Professional Responsibility

Eric M. Fink Elon Law School Fall 2019

Maintaining the Integrity of the Tribunal

1 Candor to the Tribunal

1.1 False representations or evidence & duty to remedy

Rule 3.3(a), (b) & (c)

Nix v. Whiteside (US 1986)

- · Ineffective assistance of counsel claim rejected
- · Court reiterates Strickland standard
 - Lawyer's conduct fell below standard of reasonableness
 - Client suffered prejudice (i.e. outcome of case was less favorable) as a result
- Lawyer warned client that if client gave false testimony lawyer would have to disclose that to the court and would seek to withdraw if client insisted on doing so
- Client testified truthfully

U.S. v. Long (8th Cir. 1988)

- Conviction affirmed, without prejudice to defendant's ability to assert ineffective assistance claim in collateral proceeding
- Prior to client's testimony at trial, lawyer told judge he believed client might commit perjury
- · Client then declined to testify
- Court says evidentiary hearing is needed to determine whether attorney's conduct fell below Strickland standard of competence

1.2 Candor in Ex Parte Proceedings

Rule 3.3(d)

Attorney must disclose all relevant facts, including those adverse to client

2 Impartiality & Decorum

Rule 3.5

2.1 Ex Parte Communications

Rule 3.5(b)

- Prohibition is not limited to communication about the case, but covers all communication about any subject
 - In practice, limited social communication (e.g. exchange of greetings) may not result in discipline absent circumstances suggesting prejudice
 - But the best practice is for lawyers to avoid all communication with judges and jurors while case is pending
 - Judicial ethics rules likewise prohibit ex parte communication by judge with parties, attorneys, and others
 - · Even if judge initiates communication with lawyer, lawyer should refrain from engaging in communication

2.2 Trial Publicity

Rule 3.6

Gentile v. State Bar of Nevada (US 1991)

- · Attorney disciplined for public statement about pending criminal case
- Discipline violated first amendment, where statements expressed political opinion and were unlikely to prejudice client's right to fair trial

2.3 Lawyer as Witness

Rule 3.7

2.4 Special Responsibilities of Prosecutors

Rule 3.8

3 Advocate in Non-Adjudicative Proceedings

Rule 3.9

Revised: October 30, 2019