### **Professional Responsibility**

Eric M. Fink Elon Law School Fall 2019

# **Confidentiality & Privilege**

# 1 Duty of Confidentiality

# 1.1 Scope of Duty

### Rule 1.6(a)

- No voluntary disclosure or use
- Information relating to the representation
  - Regardless of source
  - Even if otherwise available through non-confidential sources

#### Rule 1.18(b)

• Duty of confidentiality applies to information learned in consultation with prospective client, even if no attorney-client relationship results

### Rule 1.9(c)(2)

- Duty of confidentiality applies to information relating to representation of former client
- Matter of Anonymous (Ind. 2010)
  - Attorney violated duty of confidentiality by revealing that former client had communicated with attorney and consulted with attorney's partner about a divorce

# 1.2 Exceptions

### Rule 1.6(a)

- · Client consents to disclosure
- Disclosure is implicitly authorized to carry out representation

#### Rule 1.6(b)

- Disclosure is permitted where lawyer reasonably believes disclosure is necessary
  - To prevent reasonably certain death or substantial bodily harm
  - To prevent client from committing crime or fraud (note conditions)
  - To prevent, mitigate or rectify substantial injury to financial interests or property of another (note conditions)
  - To secure legal advice about compliance with the Rules
  - To establish lawyer's own claim or defense related to representation

- To comply with other law or court order
- Disclosure under Rule 1.6(b) is permissive
  - Some jurisdictions, e.g. NJ, make disclosure mandatory in certain circumstances
- McClure v. Thompson (9th Cir. 2003)
  - · Attorney represented defendant accused of murdering two children.
  - Attorney, believing children might still be alive, and after discussion with client, made anonymous phone call to police, informing them of the location where the children's bodies were buried.
  - Client sought to overturn conviction on grounds of ineffective assistance
  - Holding: Attorney did not breach duty of confidentiality.
    - Exception under Rule 1.6(a) (client's informed consent) was not applicable where attorney failed to advise client of potential adverse consequences of disclosure.
    - But exception under Rule 1.6(b)(1) (disclosure to prevent further criminal acts likely to result in imminent death or substantial bodily harm) did apply, where attorney reasonably believed, based on discussion with client, that children might still be alive.
      - Dissent argues attorney lacked sufficient factual basis to believe children were still alive, making attorney's conduct unreasonable under the Strickland standard.

# 2 Evidentiary Privileges

## 2.1 Client Communications

# 2.1.1 Scope

- Communication by the client (or client's agent) to the lawyer (or lawyer's agent)
  - Does not apply to information obtained from third parties or public sources
- · For purposes of seeking legal advice
  - Does not apply to business or personal advice of a non-legal nature

### 2.1.2 Effect

Protects against both voluntary and compulsory disclosure

#### 2.1.3 Assertion

- Belongs to client, but attorney may (must) assert on behalf of client, unless client waives privilege
- Party (client or attorney) invoking the privilege has the burden to establish that it applies.
  - But party asserting an exception to the privilege has the burden to establish that the exception applies.

## 2.1.4 Exceptions

#### Crime-Fraud

- · Client seeks legal advice in pursuance of an ongoing crime or fraud
- State v. Gonzalez (Kan. 2010)
  - Prosecution subpeonaed defense attorney to compel testimony about former client. Attorney refused to testify based on attorney-client privilege.
  - Under Kansas law, crime-fraud exception requires "sufficient evidence, aside from the [client communication] ... to warrant a finding that the legal service was sought or obtained in order to enable or aid the communission or planning of a crime."
    - · Where only evidence was attorney's summary of former client's communication, trial court erred in holding exception applied.
  - · Attorney-client privilege does not normally apply to client's identity
    - But where attorney previously revealed content (but not source) of client communication
      without client's consent (in breach of duty under Rule 1.6), compelling attorney to disclose
      client's identity would effectively defeat the privilege.

### 2.1.5 Waiver

### Disclosure to third-party

- Third party is present during the communication, or
- · Client discloses communication to a third party

#### Joint Clients

- No privilege between joint clients
  - But privilege applies as to outsiders

#### Inadvertent Disclosure

#### FRE 502(b)

- Inadvertent disclosure does not waive privilege if holder of privilege
  - Took reasonable steps to prevent disclosure, and
  - Promptly took reasonable steps to remedy error
- Peterson v. Bernardi (D.N.J. 2009)
  - Party asserting inadvertent disclosure has burden of proof as to factors under FRE 502(b)
    - · Reasonableness of efforts to prevent disclosure takes into account time contrants, number and extent of disclosures, and nature of items disclosed.

#### Placing Matter into Evidence

- In re Seagate Technology (Fed. Cir. 2007)
  - Client's assertion of "advice of counsel" defense waives attorney-client privilege and work product protection
  - Scope of waiver may be limited to communications relevant to establishing the reliance defense.

· Where defense is based on pre-litigation conduct and advice, waiver will generally not extend to communications with, or work product of, trial counsel.

#### 2.1.6 Duration

- Privilege survives termination of representation
- Privilege survives death of client in majority of jurisdictions
  - but see, e.g., California: Upon client's death, privilege belongs to personal representative in charge of estate, and terminates once estate is distributed and representative's duties are fully discharged

# 2.2 Attorney Work Product

## 2.2.1 **Scope**

- · Material prepared in anticipation of litigation
  - Whether prepared by attorney or someone else
- Attorney's mental impressions
  - Prepared by attorney

### 2.2.2 Effect

- Protects against compulsory disclosure
  - Most commonly raised as objection to request for production of documents in discovery
- · Does not preclude discovery of information itself

# 3 Confidentiality & Privilege for Organizational Clients

Client is the organization itself, not officers, directors, shareholders, members, or employees.

Attorney has a duty to clarify nature of the relationship when speaking to individuals within the organization.

- Rules 1.13, 4.3
- See Upjohn v. US

### 3.1 Which communications are covered?

### 3.1.1 Control Group Test

The attorney-client privilege applies only to communications with persons in positions to make decisions based on legal advice.

## 3.1.2 Subject Matter/Upjohn Test

- I. The communication was made for the purpose of securing legal advice;
- 2. The employee making the communication did so at the direction of his corporate superior;
- 3. The superior made the request so that the corporation could secure legal advice;
- 4. The subject matter of the communication is within the scope of the employee's corporate duties; and
- The communication is not disseminated beyond those persons who, because of the corporate structure, need to know its contents.

# 3.2 Who may assert the duty or privilege?

CFTC v. Weintraub: Trustee in bankruptcy may waive privilege over former corporate officer's objection

Changes in corporate control: Tekni-Plex

# 3.3 Reporting misconduct by organizational staff

Duty to client (organization) includes duty to report misconduct by client's employees, officers, etc. Sarbanes-Oxley requires upward and outward reporting of certain misconduct.

#### **Problems:**

Attorney, who is corporate counsel for Company, is investigating a possible theft ring in the parts department of Company. Attorney knows that Employee has worked in the parts department for a long time and believes that Employee is a suspect in the thefts. Attorney believes that if Employee were questioned, Employee would not answer truthfully if she knew the real purpose of the questions. Consequently, Attorney plans to question Employee and tell her that she is not a suspect and that her answers to the questions will be held in confidence.

Is this proper?

John, who is employed by ABC as a truck driver, was involved in an accident last month. The other driver recently sued both ABC and John. ABC's president has asked Attorney to handle the litigation. Before commencing her investigation, Attorney needs to know:

- Who does she represent?
- May she represent both ABC and John?

- Assuming she only represents ABC, will her conversations with the president be privileged?
- What about her conversations with John?
- Assume Attorney decides to represent both ABC and John. John tells Attorney in confidence that he (John) was drinking on the day of the accident. What are Attorney's ethical obligations?

Attorney is an in-house Lawyer at ABC Corporation. Attorney recently learned that Jill, ABC's senior vice-president, has been providing fraudulent financial information to the IRS. If the fraud is discovered, ABC will face serious civil and criminal penalties.

• What should Attorney do?