

Estimation of the number of tree species in French Guiana by extrapolation of permanent plots richness

Eric Marcon^{1,4*}

Ariane Mirabel^{2,4}

Jean-François Molino³

Daniel Sabatier³

Abstract

The biodiversity of tropical rainforest is difficult to assess. Yet, its estimation is necessary for conservation purposes, to evaluate our level of knowledge and the risks faced by the forest in relation to global change. Our contribution is to estimate the regional richness of tree species from local but widely spread inventories. GuyaDiv is a network of forest plots installed over the whole territory of French Guiana, where trees over 10 cm DBH are identified. We use its information (1315 species censused in 68 one-hectare plots) to estimate the exponent of the species-area relationship, assuming Arrhenius's power law. We can then extrapolate the number of species from three local, wide inventories (over 2.5 km²). We evaluate the number of tree species around 2200 over the territory.

Biodiversity, Tropical Forest, Self Similarity, French Guiana

¹AgroParisTech, AMAP, CIRAD, CNRS, INRAE, IRD, Univ Montpellier, Montpellier, France.

²Department of Biology, Western University, ON, Canada

³AMAP, IRD, CIRAD, CNRS, INRAE, Univ Montpellier, Montpellier, France.

⁴UMR EcoFoG, AgroParisTech, CIRAD, CNRS, INRAE, Univ Antilles, Univ Guyane, Kourou, France.

*: eric.marcon@agroparistech.fr,

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Methods	1
3	Results	3
4	Discussion	3
5	Appendix	4
5.1	Similarity distance decay	4
5.2	Variance of the product of two independent random variables	5
5.3	Non-parametric estimation of the number of species	5
5.4	Log-series estimation of the number of species	5
5.5	Hyperdominance	5
5.6	Universal species-area relationship	5

1. Introduction

Biodiversity assessment in tropical moist forests is a practical challenge but a major goal considering they are the most diverse terrestrial ecosystems. Estimating the number of tree species is made possible by the long-term effort of sampling resulting in thousands of forest plots organized in various networks. In French Guiana, the GuyaDiv network consists of close to 250 plots across the whole forest. Based on similar datasets, the diversity of tree species has been estimated in

Amazonia (ter Steege et al., 2013, 2020) and at the world scale (Slik et al., 2015). The methods used in those studies are not appropriate to estimate regional diversity, i.e. at a smaller scale where dispersal limitation is critical. The contribution of this paper is to estimate the number of tree species at the regional scale, in French Guiana (8 million hectares of tropical moist forest with no ecological boundary to distinguish them from the rest of Amazonia) and demonstrate which method is valid to do so. We build on Harte's self similarity model (Harte et al., 1999) that implies the power-law relationship of Arrhenius (1921) and provides a technique to evaluate its parameters (Harte et al., 1999), previously applied by Krishnamani et al. (2004) in the Western Ghats, India, a 60,000-ha tropical forest with around 1,000 species. We show that the log-series model underlying the work of ter Steege et al. (2013) does not apply at the regional scale. We also compare our results to those obtained by alternative methods such as the MaxEnt species-area curve (Harte et al., 2009) and the grid-aggregated occurrence-based estimation by Cazzolla Gatti et al. (2022).

2. Methods

Self-similarity (Harte et al., 1999) is a property based on scale invariance. Consider a species that is present

in an area A_0 , say French Guiana. The probability to find it in half the whole area, denoted A_1 is a . Then, if it is present A_1 , the probability to find it in turn in half A_1 , denoted A_2 , is also a , and so on. The probability to find the species in A_n is thus a^n . In other words, the conditional probability to find a species in a sub-area, given that it is present in the area containing it, is constant: it does not depend either on the observation scale nor the species considered.

The Arrhenius power law (Arrhenius, 1921) both implies and is a consequence of the self-similarity property (Harte et al., 1999). The number of species $S(A)$ observed in an area A is

$$S(A) = cA^z \quad (1)$$

where z is the power parameter and c is the number of species in an area of size 1. Actually, $a = 2^{-z}$. This is a classical relation in macroecology, with long empirical and theoretical support (Williamson et al., 2001; Garcia Martın and Goldenfeld, 2006).

If z is known, the inventory of a reasonably large area b allows computing $c = S(b)/b^z$. Then, $S(A)$ can be calculated for any value of A .

Harte et al. (1999) showed that under the assumption of self-similarity, z can be inferred from the dissimilarity between small and distant plots of equal size distributed across the area. The Sørensen (1948) similarity between two plots is

$$\chi = 2(S_1 \cap S_2)/(S_1 + S_2) \quad (2)$$

where S_1 (respectively S_2) is the number of species in plot 1 (resp. plot 2) and $S_1 \cap S_2$ is the number of common species.

Applied to plots of the same size separated by distance d , Sørensen's similarity decreases with distance following the relation $\chi \sim d^{-2z}$ (Harte et al., 1999) that can be estimated by the linear model

$$\log(\chi) \sim \log(d). \quad (3)$$

The logarithm of the Sørensen dissimilarity between pairs of plots can be regressed against the logarithm of the distance between the plots: the slope of the regression is $-2z$.

The relation (3) holds at the same scale as the power law, i.e. at the regional scale (Grilli et al., 2012). Krishnamani et al. (2004) estimated $z \approx 0.12$ with a very good fit to the linear model at distances up from 1 km but not below.

A large enough inventory, provided by a permanent forest facility, is necessary along with a set of small, widely spread forest plots. Our plot network is GuyaDiv (Engel, 2015). Since the installation of the first plots in 1986, the GuyaDiv network has continuously grown until today. It now consists of 243 plots of various sizes and shapes, distributed in various forest types, in 30 sites across French Guiana (figure 1).




Figure 1. The GuyaDiv network. Paracou, Piste de Saint-Elie and Nouragues are denoted PAR, PSE and NOU.

We took into account the 68 one-hectare plots of the network. They are located in 21 sites, which provides fairly good coverage of the variability of the forest in French Guiana.

We gathered 3 local, large inventories to account for environmental variability and a network of plot covering the whole region.

The Paracou research station (Gourlet-Fleury et al., 2004) contains six 6.25-ha and one 25-ha plots of primary rainforest. Nine 6.25-ha plots were logged between 1986 and 1988 in a forestry experiment that temporarily increased the recruitment of light-demanding species (Mirabel et al., 2021) and the functional diversity (Mirabel et al., 2020).

In a rather conservative approach, we retained only the well-identified trees of the permanent plots (571 species) and added available data from the GuyaDiv network: transects from Molino and Sabatier (2001) and ten 0.49-ha plots around the Guyaflux tower (Bonal et al., 2008) contain 575 species, including 132 new ones. 37 more species at the French Guiana IRD Herbarium (CAY: Gonzalez et al., 2022) were collected in the area but outside the plots. The total number of species is thus 740 included in a 4.84-km² convex envelope.

The Piste de Saint-Elie site has been intensively sampled for 50 years. It encompasses nineteen 1-ha and one half-hectare plots in GuyaDiv and a few small plots added for various studies. Moreover, many herbarium specimen were collected from the site. As a whole, we gathered 763 species in a 3-km² area.

Nouragues research station (Bongers et al., 2001) provides 22 hectares of permanent plots. We applied the same protocol, adding 11 Guyadiv plots and herbar-

ium collections up to 850 species in a 2.5-km² area.

The number of plots varies across locations so the estimation of z must be made with care. We sampled one random plot at each location to obtain $21 \times 20/2 = 210$ pairs of plots. We calculated the Sørensen dissimilarity χ and the geographic distance d between each pair of plots. We estimated z as half the coefficient of the distance variable in the linear model $\log(\chi) \sim \log(d)$. We repeated these steps 1000 times to obtain a distribution of estimated z values depending on the plots drawn in each location. z was estimated as the empirical mean of the distribution and its 95% confidence interval was obtained by eliminating the 2.5% extreme values on both tails.

The confidence interval of the estimation of the number of species is assessed by combining the uncertainty in c and A^z . The variance of c is estimated from the three observed values. That of A^z is obtained from the empirical distribution of z . The variance of their product is calculated (the formula and its derivation are in Appendix 5.2). Finally, we assumed the normality of the distribution of the product of the estimates to retain an approximate 95% confidence interval of ± 2 standard deviations.

All analyses were made with R (R Core Team, 2022) v. 4.1.3.

3. Results

The estimated value of z is 0.103 with a 95% confidence interval between 0.089 and 0.121.

The estimated number of species per squared kilometer, c , is respectively 629, 681 and 773 in Paracou, Piste de Saint-Elie and Nouragues. The average value is 694.

Finally, the estimated number of species is 2230. Taking into account the uncertainty about z , its 95% confidence interval is between 1589 and 2872.

4. Discussion

The self-similarity model allows estimating the number of species of tropical forests at a regional scale. It requires a network of plots at a wide range of distances from each other to estimate Arrhenius's power law parameter. It should be completed by a continuous inventory whose size is consistent with the smallest scale of the power law. These constraints explain why the method has not been widely applied, beyond Krishnamani et al. (2004) and this paper.

At smaller scales, i.e. inside a single community, the relation between area and number of species is described by species accumulation curves (SAC: Gotelli and Colwell, 2001). It is driven by statistical models that address incomplete sampling (Shen et al., 2003; Béguinot, 2015). After replacing the sampled area by the number of individuals it contains, well-known estimators of richness such as Chao's (Chao, 2004) or the jackknife (Burnham and Overton, 1978) apply. This estimation is in appendix 5.3. It is less than

1700 species, i.e. less than the total number of known species (Molino et al., 2022). As already underlined by ter Steege et al. (2013), this method is not appropriate at large scales because of severe undersampling: many local communities are just not included in the data.

Cazzolla Gatti et al. (2022) applied a similar method on a large-scale grid (100 x 100 km cells) where species occurrences were reported in each cell. Considering each cell as a plot, the Chao2 estimator (Chao, 1987) allows estimating the total richness. The advantage of this approach is the opportunity to combine several sources of occurrence data to improve the sampling coverage. When applied to our data, aggregated in 100-km square cells (appendix 5.3), the estimation is similar to that obtained directly from the abundance data of the plots.

At the scale of the metacommunity, defined as of the neutral model of biogeography, the species distribution is in log-series (Hubbell, 2001; Volkov et al., 2003). ter Steege et al. (2013) fitted a log-series to data provided by a network of plots to estimate the number of species in Amazonia. We applied the same method to our data in appendix 5.4. Its estimation is well over 4000 species in French Guiana: a very unlikely result according to the current expert knowledge and the recent checklist (Molino et al., 2022). The regional species pool does not follow a log-series distribution because of dispersal limitation (Grilli et al., 2012). In other words, the regional community is not a sample of the metacommunity: many of the metacommunity's species are not present. As a consequence, the log-series estimation of the richness of a regional species pool leads to severe overestimation. For the same reasons, hyperdominance is less pronounced: 4% of the species contain half the trees (appendix 5.5), compared to 1.4% in Amazonia as a whole (ter Steege et al., 2013).

Harte et al. (2008) derived a universal species-area relationship based on the maximum entropy theory. Assuming only that the area, the total numbers of species and individuals, and the summed metabolic energy rate of all individuals are fixed, many features of the species distribution at any scale can be predicted. Of particular interest is the possibility to derive the number of species in a doubled area from the number of species in a sampled, reference area (Harte et al., 2009; Xu et al., 2012). Starting from a local sample, that may be a single 1-ha plot or one of our large inventories, the area can be doubled until the target size is reached. The number of species estimated from Paracou, Piste de Saint-Elie and Nouragues starting points (their number of species and area) is on average close to 2800, and over 3000 when extrapolating from an average Guyadiv 1-ha plot (appendix 5.6). Again, this model implies a log-series distribution as it integrates as few assumptions as possible (Harte et al., 2008). The arguments for overestimation are the same as those against the extrapolation of the log-series at

the regional scale.

Finally, our estimations of the number of tree species in the 8-million-hectare forest of French Guiana is close to 2200, with a quite wide confidence interval due to the variability in the estimation of both the number of trees in a squared kilometer and the power-law parameter.

As shown in figure 2, the fit of the linear model is not perfect. The theory does not address habitat variation, that is well-described in French Guiana (Guitet et al., 2015). The dissimilarity between plot pairs is thus explained by distance and habitat dissimilarity, the latter ignored in the model. Yet, the estimation of z is quite robust because the GuyaDiv network covers a wide range of habitats, allowing to cancel out local variability. Adding more plots or describing a few more species in the previous plots may not change z significantly since it is obtained from the dissimilarity between plots. Its value 0.103 is in line with that of Krishnamani et al. (2004) in another tropical forest: it is very small compared to the classical 0.25 of Arrhenius (1921) or 0.263 of Preston (1962). This was discussed by MacArthur and Wilson (1967), chapter 2. The power law applies to embedded scales of the same ecosystem here, in contrast to the usual sets of isolated islands providing the data (Triantis et al., 2012): in our case, the number of species increases less with the area, leading to smaller z values.

The critical aspect of the estimation is the accuracy of the starting point of the extrapolation, that mainly depends on the representativeness of the local inventories. Again, the self-similarity model assumes that c , the number of species per squared kilometer, is the same everywhere. Local, observed values must be understood as variations around the real c , that should be estimated by replicating inventories across the whole region. This is of course restricted by the huge resources needed to settle a single one: three replicates are an exceptional amount of data. Paracou, Piste de Saint-Elie and Nouragues represent quite well the variability of local richness of the forest of French Guiana. We made a strict selection of the data to count the numbers of species, which are thus lower bounds. Ongoing efforts of botanists may increase a bit the value of c , implying a proportional increase in the estimation of the number of species.

A recent work (Molino et al., 2022) lists nearly 1800 species of indigenous trees in French Guiana, based on herbarium collections on the one hand, and on data from the GuyaDiv and GuyaFor plot networks (Engel, 2015) on the other. However, this checklist is only a state of the art of our knowledge of the tree flora. Even in the most intensively explored areas, botanists conducting botanical inventories have identified a number of entities that are morphologically distinct from all known species in French Guiana, and which they therefore consider to be still unnamed species. They gave them provisional names (e.g. *Pouteria* sp. A), until more information is available to either recog-




Figure 2. Relation between Sørensen's similarity and the distance between pairs of plots. Both axes are in base-10 logarithms, distances are in meters. Each point is a pair of plots more than 800 m apart, up to 377 km. A linear model is fitted: the slope of the regression is $-2z$.

nize species known in other parts of the world, or to describe them and give them a valid name according to the Code of Nomenclature. The GuyaDiv and GuyaFor databases together currently list more than 300 of these unnamed species, but Molino et al. (2022) selected only 144 of them for their checklist, the ones that were best characterized and best illustrated by good quality herbarium specimens. Although it cannot be excluded that some of the other 150-200 unnamed species are in fact simple morphological variants of already described species, they believe that most of them represent distinct species. In other words, the number of known species in French Guiana (named and unnamed) is probably already close to 2000. Furthermore, the available data is very unevenly distributed across the territory. The south and especially the north-west of French Guiana are poorly explored botanically (few inventory plots, relatively few herbarium specimens), while their floras are significantly different from the better inventoried northern and central zones. It is thus very likely that the exploration of these little-known areas will add new species to the list. Therefore, the estimate of 2230 spp. given here seems quite plausible, given the state of our knowledge.

5. Appendix

5.1 Similarity distance decay

The relation between Sørensen's similarity and distance is presented in figure 2. All pairs of plots more than 1 km apart (the scale of Paracou's 0.625-km² inventory) are shown. The estimation of z is not made this way because some locations contain more plots than others so their weight is increased. The technique used in the text of the paper consists of drawing a random plot in each location to estimate z , and repeat this process a large number of times to estimate the expectation of z .

5.2 Variance of the product of two independent random variables

Let X and Y two random variables, here the estimators of c and A^z .

The variance of their product XY is

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(XY) &= \mathbb{E}(X^2Y^2) - \mathbb{E}^2(XY) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(X^2)\mathbb{E}(Y^2) + \text{Cov}(X^2, Y^2) \\ &\quad - [\mathbb{E}(X)\mathbb{E}(Y) + \text{Cov}(X, Y)]^2.\end{aligned}\quad (4)$$

If X and Y are independent (this applies to c and A^z), covariances are 0 and the variance reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(XY) &= \mathbb{E}(X^2)\mathbb{E}(Y^2) - [\mathbb{E}(X)\mathbb{E}(Y)]^2 \\ &= [\text{Var}(X) + \mathbb{E}(X)^2][\text{Var}(Y) + \mathbb{E}(Y)^2] \\ &\quad - [\mathbb{E}(X)\mathbb{E}(Y)]^2 \\ &= \text{Var}(X)\text{Var}(Y) \\ &\quad + E(X)^2\text{Var}(Y) + E(Y)^2\text{Var}(X).\end{aligned}\quad (5)$$

5.3 Non-parametric estimation of the number of species

Assuming that the plots are sample of a single community, the estimation of richness relies on the well-known non-parametric estimators. The observed number of species is 1314 among which 204 and 119 are sampled once and twice. The lower-bound estimation of the number of species by the Chao1 estimator is 1489. The best jackknife estimator (of order 3) is 1677.

An alternative, following Cazzolla Gatti et al. (2022), consists of paving the territory with a grid whose size does not change the estimation, say 100 km. In each 100 by 100 km cell of the grid, all available data is aggregated to obtain an occurrence dataset. The Chao2 estimator is finally applied: it combines the the number of species observed in only one or two cells to estimate the number of unobserved species. The estimation is 1643.

5.4 Log-series estimation of the number of species

Assuming that the plots are samples of a metacommunity that follows a log-series distribution, the rank-abundance curve can be extrapolated (figure 3) following ter Steege et al. (2013).

First, the total number of trees is estimated by extrapolation of the average number of trees per plot. There are close to 5 billion trees in French Guiana.

The probability for one of these trees to belong to a given species is obtained by averaging the frequency of the species among plots. Each plot is a sample of a local community whose composition is not completely known: for example, many rare species are not in the sample. The observed frequency of a species in a plot is not the probability of the species in the community: frequencies sum up to 1 while the sum of the actual probabilities of observed species, called the sample coverage (Good, 1953), sums up to 1 minus that of the unobserved species. The actual probabilities of




Figure 3. Extrapolation of the rank-abundance curve built from the GuyaDiv plots. Extrapolated abundances (in log scale) of observed species are plotted against the rank of their species. The abundances of unobserved species (the red curve) is extrapolated linearly from the center 50% of the distribution of the observed species. The rarest 25%, ignored for the extrapolation, are plotted as grey points.




Figure 4. Accumulation of the number of individuals from the most abundant to the rarest species. The horizontal line corresponds to half the individuals. The vertical line allows reading the corresponding rank of the species.

observed species can be estimated following Chao and Jost (2015), with the *entropart* package (Marcon and Hérault, 2015).

The most abundant tree species is *Eperua falcata* with around 151 million trees.

The estimated number of species according to this model is 4368. This is undoubtedly a severe overestimation, see the discussion section of the paper.

5.5 Hyperdominance

Hyperdominance is a characteristic of many distributions of species. Figure 4 shows the accumulation of individuals from the most abundant to the rarest species.

Only 90 species, i.e. 4% of their estimated number, contain half the number of trees.

5.6 Universal species-area relationship

The method from Harte et al. (2009) is applied to our data. Assuming the number of individuals per area




Figure 5. Extrapolation of the initial inventories up to 8 Mha (vertical line, assuming 602 trees/ha). The vertical line corresponds to the area of French Guiana. Curves are the estimated species-area curves from the Guyadiv 1-ha plots (solid line), Nouragues (dot-dashed line), Piste de Saint-Elie (dashed-line) and Paracou (dotted line) starting points. Estimated values are marked on the lines.

is constant and starting from a single initial inventory point (a number of species corresponding to a number of individuals), the number of species for twice the number of individuals can be calculated.

The number of trees per hectare is estimated from the Guyadiv network. To be consistent with the model, the geometric mean is applied: its logarithm equals the average logarithm of the number of trees in all 1-ha plots. We retain 602 trees/ha.

Initial inventories, e.g. 740 trees in 484 ha in Paracou (see the Methods section) and the geometric-mean number of species in Guyadiv plots, are the starting points of the estimation. The number of trees is doubled and the number of species calculated. This operation is repeated until the target area (8 Mha) is reached, i.e. 15 times for Paracou and 24 times for the 1-ha plots. Figure 5 shows the obtained species-area curves.

The extrapolation from 1 ha to 8 million hectares is clearly less reliable than that from the three large inventories, which are consistent with our main approach at the regional scale. The curves are almost perfectly fitted by a Michaelis-Menten model, estimated by the linear model (Lineweaver and Burk, 1934) $\frac{1}{\log S} \sim \frac{1}{\log n}$, where S is the number of species and n the number of trees. The estimated number of species is then obtained for n equal to 8 Mha times 602 trees per ha:

- From Nouragues: 3238 species.
- From Piste de Saint-Elie: 2739 species.
- From Paracou: 2385 species.

References

Arrhenius, O. (1921). Species and area. *Journal of Ecology* 9(1), 95–99.

Béguinot, J. (2015). Extrapolation of the species accumulation curve for incomplete species samplings:

A new nonparametric approach to estimate the degree of sample completeness and decide when to stop sampling. *Annual Research & Review in Biology* 8(5), 1–9.

Bonal, D., A. Bosc, S. Ponton, J.-Y. Goret, B. Burban, P. Gross, J.-M. Bonnefond, J. Elbers, B. Longdoz, D. Epron, J.-M. Guehl, and A. Granier (2008, August). Impact of severe dry season on net ecosystem exchange in the Neotropical rainforest of French Guiana. *Global Change Biology* 14(8), 1917–1933.

Bongers, F., P. Charles-Dominique, P.-M. Forget, and M. Théry (2001). *Nouragues: Dynamics and Plant-Animal Interactions in a Neotropical Rainforest*, Volume 80. Springer Science & Business Media.

Burnham, K. P. and W. S. Overton (1978). Estimation of the size of a closed population when capture probabilities vary among animals. *Biometrika* 65(3), 625–633.

Cazzolla Gatti, R., P. B. Reich, J. G. P. Gamarra, T. Crowther, C. Hui, A. Morera, J.-F. Bastin, S. de-Miguel, G.-J. Nabuurs, J.-C. Svenning, J. M. Serra-Diaz, C. Merow, B. Enquist, M. Kamenetsky, J. Lee, J. Zhu, J. Fang, D. F. Jacobs, B. Pijanowski, A. Banerjee, R. A. Giaquinto, G. Alberti, A. M. Almeyda Zambrano, E. Alvarez-Davila, A. Araujo-Murakami, V. Avitabile, G. A. Aymard, R. Balazy, C. Baraloto, J. G. Barroso, M. L. Bastian, P. Birnbaum, R. Bitariho, J. Bogaert, F. Bongers, O. Bouriaud, P. H. S. Brancalion, F. Q. Brearley, E. N. Broadbent, F. Bussotti, W. Castro da Silva, R. G. César, G. Češljár, V. Chama Moscoso, H. Y. H. Chen, E. Cienciala, C. J. Clark, D. A. Coomes, S. Dayanandan, M. Decuyper, L. E. Dee, J. Del Aguila Pasquel, G. Derroire, M. N. K. Djuikouo, T. Van Do, J. Dolezal, I. Đ. Đorđević, J. Engel, T. M. Fayle, T. R. Feldpausch, J. K. Fridman, D. J. Harris, A. Hemp, G. Hengeveld, B. Herault, M. Herold, T. Ibanez, A. M. Jagodzinski, B. Jaroszewicz, K. J. Jeffery, V. K. Johannsen, T. Jucker, A. Kangur, V. N. Karminov, K. Kartawinata, D. K. Kennard, S. Kepfer-Rojas, G. Keppel, M. L. Khan, P. K. Khare, T. J. Kileen, H. S. Kim, H. Korjus, A. Kumar, A. Kumar, D. Laarmann, N. Labrière, M. Lang, S. L. Lewis, N. Lukina, B. S. Maitner, Y. Malhi, A. R. Marshall, O. V. Martynenko, A. L. Monteagudo Mendoza, P. V. Ontikov, E. Ortiz-Malavasi, N. C. Pallqui Camacho, A. Paquette, M. Park, N. Parthasarathy, P. L. Peri, P. Petronelli, S. Pfautsch, O. L. Phillips, N. Picard, D. Piotto, L. Poorter, J. R. Poulsen, H. Pretzsch, H. Ramírez-Angulo, Z. Restrepo Correa, M. Rodeghiero, R. D. P. Rojas Gonzáles, S. G. Rolim, F. Rovero, E. Rutishauser, P. Saikia, C. Salas-Eljatib, D. Schepaschenko, M. Scherer-Lorenzen, V. Šebeň, M. Silveira, F. Slik, B. Sonké, A. F. Souza, K. J. Stereńczak, M. Svoboda, H. Tiedoung, N. Tchebakova, J. Terborgh, E. Tikhonova,

- A. Torres-Lezama, F. van der Plas, R. Vásquez, H. Viana, A. C. Vibrans, E. Vilanova, V. A. Vos, H.-F. Wang, B. Westerlund, L. J. T. White, S. K. Wiser, T. Zawila-Niedzwiecki, L. Zemagho, Z.-X. Zhu, I. C. Zo-Bi, and J. Liang (2022, February). The number of tree species on Earth. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 119(6), e2115329119.
- Chao, A. (1987). Estimating the population size for capture-recapture data with unequal catchability. *Biometrics* 43(4), 783–791.
- Chao, A. (2004). Species richness estimation. In N. Balakrishnan, C. B. Read, and B. Vidakovic (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Statistical Sciences* (2nd ed. ed.). New York: Wiley.
- Chao, A. and L. Jost (2015). Estimating diversity and entropy profiles via discovery rates of new species. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 6(8), 873–882.
- Engel, J. (2015). Plot networks & teams. <http://atdnmorphospecies.myspecies.info/node/781>.
- García Martín, H. and N. Goldenfeld (2006). On the origin and robustness of power-law species-area relationships in ecology. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 103(27), 10310–10315.
- Gonzalez, S., V. Bilot-Guérin, P. G. Delprete, C. Geniez, J.-F. Molino, and J.-L. Smock (2022). L’herbier IRD de Guyane. <https://herbier-guyane.ird.fr/>.
- Good, I. J. (1953). The population frequency of species and the estimation of population parameters. *Biometrika* 40(3/4), 237–264.
- Gotelli, N. J. and R. K. Colwell (2001). Quantifying biodiversity: Procedures and pitfalls in the measurement and comparison of species richness. *Ecology Letters* 4(4), 379–391.
- Gourlet-Fleury, S., J. M. Guehl, and O. Laroussinie (2004). *Ecology & Management of a Neotropical Rainforest. Lessons Drawn from Paracou, a Long-Term Experimental Research Site in French Guiana*. Paris: Elsevier.
- Grilli, J., S. Azale, J. R. Banavar, and A. Maritan (2012). Spatial aggregation and the species–area relationship across scales. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 313(0), 87–97.
- Guitet, S., R. Péliissier, O. Brunaux, G. Jaouen, and D. Sabatier (2015, May). Geomorphological landscape features explain floristic patterns in French Guiana rainforest. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 24(5), 1215–1237.
- Harte, J., A. Kinzig, and J. Green (1999). Self-similarity in the distribution and abundance of species. *Science* 284(5412), 334–336.
- Harte, J., S. McCarthy, K. Taylor, A. Kinzig, and M. L. Fischer (1999). Estimating species-area relationships from scale plot to landscape data using species spatial-turnover. *Oikos* 86(1), 45–54.
- Harte, J., A. B. Smith, and D. Storch (2009, August). Biodiversity scales from plots to biomes with a universal species-area curve. *Ecology Letters* 12(8), 789–797.
- Harte, J., T. Zillio, E. Conlisk, and A. B. Smith (2008). Maximum entropy and the state-variable approach to macroecology. *Ecology* 89(10), 2700–2711.
- Hubbell, S. P. (2001). *The Unified Neutral Theory of Biodiversity and Biogeography*. Princeton University Press.
- Krishnamani, R., A. Kumar, and J. Harte (2004). Estimating species richness at large spatial scales using data from small discrete plots. *Ecography* 27(5), 637–642.
- Lineweaver, H. and D. Burk (1934). The determination of enzyme dissociation constants. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 56(3), 658–666.
- MacArthur, R. H. and E. O. Wilson (1967). The theory of island biogeography. In *Monographs in Population Biology*, Volume 1. Princeton University Press.
- Marcon, E. and B. Hérault (2015). Entropart, an R package to measure and partition diversity. *Journal of Statistical Software* 67(8), 1–26.
- Mirabel, A., B. Hérault, and E. Marcon (2020). Diverging taxonomic and functional trajectories following disturbance in a Neotropical forest. *Science of The Total Environment* 720, 137397.
- Mirabel, A., E. Marcon, and B. Hérault (2021, October). 30 Years of postdisturbance recruitment in a Neotropical forest. *Ecology and Evolution* 11(21), 14448–14458.
- Molino, J.-F. and D. Sabatier (2001). Tree diversity in tropical rain forests: A validation of the intermediate disturbance hypothesis. *Science* 294(5547), 1702–1704.
- Molino, J.-F., D. Sabatier, J. Engel, Frame, D., Lucas, E.J., Delprete, P.G., Grenand, Pierre, Fleury, Marie, Odonne, Guillaume, Davy, Damien, and Martin, C.A. (2022). An annotated checklist of the tree species of French Guiana, including a vernacular nomenclature. *Adansonia submitted*.
- Preston, F. W. (1962). The canonical distribution of commonness and rarity: Part I. *Ecology* 43(2), 185–215.
- R Core Team (2022). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

- Shen, T.-J., A. Chao, and C.-F. Lin (2003). Predicting the number of new species in a further taxonomic sampling. *Ecology* 84(3), 798–804.
- Slik, J. W. F., V. Arroyo-Rodríguez, S.-I. Aiba, P. Alvarez-Loayza, L. F. Alves, P. S. Ashton, P. Balvanera, M. L. Bastian, P. J. Bellingham, E. van den Berg, L. Bernacci, P. da Conceição Bispo, L. Blanc, K. Böhning-Gaese, P. Boeckx, F. Bongers, B. Boyle, M. Bradford, F. Q. Brearley, M. Breuer-Ndoundou Hockemba, S. Bunyavejchewin, D. Calderado Leal Matos, M. Castillo-Santiago, E. L. M. Catharino, S.-L. Chai, Y. Chen, R. K. Colwell, C. L. Robin, C. J. Clark, D. B. Clark, D. A. Clark, H. Culmsee, K. Damas, H. S. Dattaraja, G. Dauby, P. Davidar, S. J. DeWalt, J.-L. Doucet, A. Duque, G. Durigan, K. A. O. Eichhorn, P. V. Eisenlohr, E. Eler, C. Ewango, N. Farwig, K. J. Feeley, L. Ferreira, R. Field, A. T. de Oliveira Filho, C. Fletcher, O. Forshed, G. Franco, G. Fredriksson, T. Gillespie, J.-F. Gillet, G. Amar-nath, D. M. Griffith, J. Grogan, N. Gunatilleke, D. Harris, R. Harrison, A. Hector, J. Homeier, N. Imai, A. Itoh, P. A. Jansen, C. A. Joly, B. H. J. de Jong, K. Kartawinata, E. Kearsley, D. L. Kelly, D. Kenfack, M. Kessler, K. Kitayama, R. Kooyman, E. Larney, Y. Laumonier, S. Laurance, W. F. Laurance, M. J. Lawes, I. L. Amaral, S. G. Letcher, J. Lindsell, X. Lu, A. Mansor, A. Marjokorpi, E. H. Martin, H. Meilby, F. P. L. Melo, D. J. Metcalfe, V. P. Medjibe, J. P. Metzger, J. Millet, D. Mohandass, J. C. Montero, M. de Morisson Valeriano, B. Mugerwa, H. Nagamasu, R. Nilus, S. Ochoa-Gaona, Onrizal, N. Page, P. Parolin, M. Parren, N. Parthasarathy, E. Paudel, A. Permana, M. T. F. Piedade, N. C. A. Pitman, L. Poorter, A. D. Poulsen, J. Poulsen, J. Powers, R. C. Prasad, J.-P. Puyravaud, J.-C. Razafimahaimodison, J. Reitsma, J. R. dos Santos, W. Roberto Spironello, H. Romero-Saltos, F. Rovero, A. H. Rozak, K. Ruokolainen, E. Rutishauser, F. Saiter, P. Saner, B. A. Santos, F. Santos, S. K. Sarker, M. Satdichanh, C. B. Schmitt, J. Schöngart, M. Schulze, M. S. Suganuma, D. Sheil, E. da Silva Pinheiro, P. Sist, T. Stevart, R. Sukumar, I.-F. Sun, T. Sunderland, H. S. Suresh, E. Suzuki, M. Tabarelli, J. Tang, N. Targhetta, I. Theilade, D. W. Thomas, P. Tchouto, J. Hurtado, R. Valencia, J. L. C. H. van Valkenburg, T. Van Do, R. Vasquez, H. Verbeeck, V. Adekunle, S. A. Vieira, C. O. Webb, T. Whitfeld, S. A. Wich, J. Williams, F. Wittmann, H. Wöll, X. Yang, C. Y. Adou Yao, S. L. Yap, T. Yoneda, R. A. Zahawi, R. Zakaria, R. Zang, R. L. de Assis, B. Garcia Luize, and E. M. Venticinque (2015). An estimate of the number of tropical tree species. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 112(24), 7472–7477.
- Sørensen, T. (1948). A method of establishing groups of equal amplitude in plant sociology based on similarity of species content and its application to analyses of the vegetation on danish commons. *Biologiske Skrifter* 5(4), 1–34.
- ter Steege, H., N. C. A. Pitman, D. Sabatier, C. Baraloto, R. P. Salomão, J. E. Guevara, O. L. Phillips, C. V. Castilho, W. E. Magnusson, J.-F. Molino, A. Monteagudo, P. Núñez Vargas, J. C. Montero, T. R. Feldpausch, E. N. H. Coronado, T. J. Killeen, B. Mostacedo, R. Vasquez, R. L. Assis, J. Terborgh, F. Wittmann, A. C. S. Andrade, W. F. Laurance, S. G. W. Laurance, B. S. Marimon, B.-H. Marimon, I. C. Guimarães Vieira, I. L. Amaral, R. Brien, H. Castellanos, D. Cárdenas López, J. F. Duivenvoorden, H. F. Mogollón, F. D. d. A. Matos, N. Dávila, R. García-Villacorta, P. R. Stevenson Diaz, F. Costa, T. Emilio, C. Levis, J. Schietti, P. Souza, A. Alonso, F. Dallmeier, A. J. D. Montoya, M. T. Fernandez Piedade, A. Araujo-Murakami, L. Arroyo, R. Gribel, P. V. A. Fine, C. A. Peres, M. Toledo, G. A. Aymard, T. Baker, C. Cerón, J. Engel, T. W. Henkel, P. Maas, P. Petronelli, J. Stropp, C. E. Zartman, D. Daly, D. Neill, M. Silveira, M. R. Paredes, J. Chave, D. d. A. Lima Filho, P. M. Jørgensen, A. Fuentes, J. Schöngart, F. Cornejo Valverde, A. Di Fiore, E. M. Jimenez, M. C. Peñuela-Mora, J. F. Phillips, G. Rivas, T. R. van Andel, P. von Hildebrand, B. Hoffman, E. L. Zent, Y. Malhi, A. Prieto, A. Rudas, A. R. Ruschell, N. Silva, V. Vos, S. Zent, A. A. Oliveira, A. C. Schutz, T. Gonzales, M. Trindade Nascimento, H. Ramirez-Angulo, R. Sierra, M. Tirado, M. N. Umaña Medina, G. van der Heijden, C. I. A. Vela, E. Vilanova Torre, C. Vriesendorp, O. Wang, K. R. Young, C. Baider, H. Balslev, C. Ferreira, I. Mesones, A. Torres-Lezama, L. E. Urrego Giraldo, R. Zagt, M. N. Alexiades, L. Hernandez, I. Huamantupa-Chuquimaco, W. Milliken, W. Palacios Cuenca, D. Pauletto, E. Valderrama Sandoval, L. Valenzuela Gamarra, K. G. Dexter, K. J. Feeley, G. Lopez-Gonzalez, and M. R. Silman (2013). Hyperdominance in the amazonian tree flora. *Science* 342(6156), 1243092.
- ter Steege, H., P. I. Prado, R. A. F. de Lima, E. Pos, L. de Souza Coelho, D. de Andrade Lima Filho, R. P. Salomão, I. L. Amaral, F. D. de Almeida Matos, C. V. Castilho, O. L. Phillips, J. E. Guevara, M. de Jesus Veiga Carim, D. Cárdenas López, W. E. Magnusson, F. Wittmann, M. P. Martins, D. Sabatier, M. V. Irume, J. R. da Silva Guimarães, J.-F. Molino, O. S. Bánki, M. T. F. Piedade, N. C. A. Pitman, J. F. Ramos, A. Monteagudo Mendoza, E. M. Venticinque, B. G. Luize, P. Núñez Vargas, T. S. F. Silva, E. M. M. de Leão Novo, N. F. C. Reis, J. Terborgh, A. G. Manzatto, K. R. Casula, E. N. Honório Coronado, J. C. Montero, A. Duque, F. R. C. Costa, N. Castaño Arboleda, J. Schöngart, C. E. Zartman, T. J. Killeen, B. S. Marimon, B. H. Marimon-Junior, R. Vasquez, B. Mostacedo, L. O.

- Demarchi, T. R. Feldpausch, J. Engel, P. Petronelli, C. Baraloto, R. L. Assis, H. Castellanos, M. F. Simon, M. B. de Medeiros, A. Quaresma, S. G. W. Laurance, L. M. Rincón, A. Andrade, T. R. Sousa, J. L. Camargo, J. Schietti, W. F. Laurance, H. L. de Queiroz, H. E. M. Nascimento, M. A. Lopes, E. de Sousa Farias, J. L. L. Magalhães, R. Brien, G. A. Aymard C., J. D. C. Revilla, I. C. G. Vieira, B. B. L. Cintra, P. R. Stevenson, Y. O. Feitosa, J. F. Duivenvoorden, H. F. Mogollón, A. Araujo-Murakami, L. V. Ferreira, J. R. Lozada, J. A. Comiskey, J. J. de Toledo, G. Damasco, N. Dávila, A. Lopes, R. García-Villacorta, F. Draper, A. Vicentini, F. Cornejo Valverde, J. Lloyd, V. H. F. Gomes, D. Neill, A. Alonso, F. Dallmeier, F. C. de Souza, R. Gribel, L. Arroyo, F. A. Carvalho, D. P. P. de Aguiar, D. D. do Amaral, M. P. Pansonato, K. J. Feeley, E. Berenguer, P. V. A. Fine, M. C. Guedes, J. Barlow, J. Ferreira, B. Villa, M. C. Peñuela Mora, E. M. Jimenez, J. C. Licón, C. Cerón, R. Thomas, P. Maas, M. Silveira, T. W. Henkel, J. Stropp, M. R. Paredes, K. G. Dexter, D. Daly, T. R. Baker, I. Huamantupa-Chuquimaco, W. Milliken, T. Pennington, J. S. Tello, J. L. M. Pena, C. A. Peres, B. Klitgaard, A. Fuentes, M. R. Silman, A. Di Fiore, P. von Hildebrand, J. Chave, T. R. van Andel, R. R. Hilário, J. F. Phillips, G. Rivas-Torres, J. C. Noronha, A. Prieto, T. Gonzales, R. de Sá Carpanedo, G. P. G. Gonzales, R. Z. Gómez, D. de Jesus Rodrigues, E. L. Zent, A. R. Ruschel, V. A. Vos, É. Fonty, A. B. Junqueira, H. P. D. Doza, B. Hoffman, S. Zent, E. M. Barbosa, Y. Malhi, L. C. de Matos Bonates, I. P. de Andrade Miranda, N. Silva, F. R. Barbosa, C. I. A. Vela, L. F. M. Pinto, A. Ruelas, B. W. Albuquerque, M. N. Umaña, Y. A. Carrero Márquez, G. van der Heijden, K. R. Young, M. Tirado, D. F. Correa, R. Sierra, J. B. P. Costa, M. Rocha, E. Vilanova Torre, O. Wang, A. A. Oliveira, M. Kalamandeen, C. Vriesendorp, H. Ramirez-Angulo, M. Holmgren, M. T. Nascimento, D. Galbraith, B. M. Flores, V. V. Scudeller, A. Cano, M. A. Ahuite Reategui, I. Mesones, C. Baider, C. Mendoza, R. Zagt, L. E. Urrego Giraldo, C. Ferreira, D. Villarroel, R. Linares-Palomino, W. Farfan-Rios, W. Farfan-Rios, L. F. Casas, S. Cárdenas, H. Balslev, A. Torres-Lezama, M. N. Alexiades, K. Garcia-Cabrera, L. Valenzuela Gamarra, E. H. Valderama Sandoval, F. Ramirez Arevalo, L. Hernandez, A. F. Sampaio, S. Pansini, W. Palacios Cuenca, E. A. de Oliveira, D. Pauletto, A. Levesley, K. Melgaço, and G. Pickavance (2020, December). Biased-corrected richness estimates for the Amazonian tree flora. *Scientific Reports* 10(1), 10130.
- Volkov, I., J. R. Banavar, S. P. Hubbell, and A. Maritan (2003). Neutral theory and relative species abundance in ecology. *Nature* 424(6952), 1035–1037.
- Williamson, M., K. J. Gaston, and W. M. Lonsdale (2001). The species–area relationship does not have an asymptote! *Journal of Biogeography* 28(7), 827–830.
- Xu, H., S. Liu, Y. Li, R. Zang, and F. He (2012, December). Assessing non-parametric and area-based methods for estimating regional species richness. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 23(6), 1006–1012.
- Triantis, K. A., F. Guilhaumon, and R. J. Whittaker (2012). The island species–area relationship: Biology and statistics. *Journal of Biogeography* 39(2), 215–231.