# Wrangle OpenStreetMap Data

## Map Area

Boston, MA, USA

- https://www.openstreetmap.org/relation/2315704
- https://mapzen.com/data/metro-extracts/metro/boston massachusetts/

Downloaded on December 1, 2016

This map is from my city of birth: I was born there while my parents (french father, swedish mother) were foreign students at Harvard University before returning to Europe.

"boston\_massachusetts\_sample.osm" is a shortened version (53 MB) of the original 424 MB file.

## Problems encountered in the Map and cleaning steps

#### a. Incorrect street abbreviations

Such as 'St' in 'Main St' instead of 'Street'.

Cleaning step:

I used the USPD Street Suffix Abbreviations list as reference for mapping.

Then I audited the street types to detect errors and applied corrections based on mapping.

```
# Function to correct street names using wrong suffix
def update_name(name, mapping):
    """ Substitutes incorrect abbreviation with correct one. """
    m = street_type_re.search(name)
    if m:
        street_type = m.group()

    temp= 0
    try:
        temp = int(street_type)
    except:
        pass

if street_type not in expected and temp == 0:
        try:
            name = re.sub(street_type_re, mapping[street_type], name)
        except:
            pass
    return name
```

#### b. Incorrect postal codes.

US postal codes follow the 5-digit format like '02118' which may lead to different errors.

- Some codes differed due to additional signs such as 'MA 02118' or '02136-2460'.
- Also some postal codes were not be compatible with the Boston, MA area codes who start with "01xxx" or "02xxx".

Such as '03079' (Salem in New Hampshire) or they might represent some other entry, such as '(617) 495-1000' is a phone number (Harvard U.).

#### Cleaning step:

When codes were incorrectly formated with additional signs, I removed those to fit the 5-digit format.

When codes did not belong to Boston MA area, they were removed.

```
# Function to correct format of postal codes
def update zip(post code):
    """ Extracts 5-digit postal codes from postal codes in different formats
       and deletes postal codes that do not correspond to Massachussets area.
   if post code[0:2] == 'Ma' or post code[0:2] == 'MA':
        post code = post code[3:].strip()
   if len(post code) >5 and len(post code) == 10 and (post code[0:2] == '01' or
post code[0:2] == '02'):
       post code = post code[0:5]
   elif len(post code) < 5 or (post code[0:2] != '01' and post code[0:2] !=</pre>
'02') :
       post code = ''
   elif len(post code) > 5 and post code[5]==' ':
       post_code = post_code[0:5]
   elif len(post code)>5:
       post code = ''
   return post code
```

#### c. Incorrect abbreviation of state entries.

All state entries should either contain the official abbreviation for Massachusetts, 'MA', as opposed to 'Mass', 'mass', 'ma', and 'Ma' to 'MA';

or an empty string, if the state entry is not related to 'MA', such as 'New York', 'NH', ...

## Cleaning step:

When entries are a mispell variation of MA such as 'ma', 'Ma', 'Mass' etc., they were corrected with 'MA'.

Otherwise, like "NY", they were removed.

```
# Function to correct state entries
def update_state(state):
    """ Deletes U.S. state entries not related to Massachussets and formats all
remaining to 'MA'."""

    if state.startswith('ma') or state.startswith('Ma') or
state.startswith('MA') or state == 'M':
        state = 'MA'
    else:
        state = ''

    return state
```

### **Data Overview**

The following SQL queries give a general summary of the data as well as some other interesting facts.

#### File sizes

boston_massachusetts.osm	424	MB
boston_massachusetts_sample.osm	53	MB
BostonMA.db	31	MB
nodes.csv	19	MB
nodes_tags.csv	7	MB
ways.csv	3	MB
ways_nodes.csv	7	MB
ways_tags.csv	3	MB

#### **Number of Nodes**

```
query = "SELECT count(*) FROM nodes;"
241,256
```

## **Number of Ways**

```
query = "SELECT count(*) FROM ways;"
38,598
```

## **Number of Unique Users**

```
query = "SELECT count(DISTINCT(temp.uid)) FROM (SELECT user, uid FROM ways UNION
ALL SELECT user, uid FROM nodes) as temp;"
```

## **Top 10 contributors**

```
query = "SELECT temp.user, count(*) as posts
FROM (SELECT user, uid FROM ways UNION ALL SELECT user, uid FROM nodes) as temp
GROUP BY temp.user ORDER BY posts DESC LIMIT 10;"
```

crschmidt, 150535 jremillard-massgis, 53877 OceanVortex, 11457 wambag, 10019 morganwahl, 8757 ryebread, 8405) MassGIS Import, 7920 ingalls\_imports, 4058 Ahlzen, 3364 mapper999, 1891

The contributions of the Top 10 users is incredibly skewed as they weight over 92% of total contributions [Top 10 / (nodes + ways)].

## **Top 20 Amenities**

```
query = "SELECT temp.value, count(*) as num
FROM (SELECT key, value FROM ways_tags UNION ALL SELECT key, value FROM
nodes_tags) as temp
WHERE temp.key='amenity' GROUP BY temp.value ORDER BY num DESC LIMIT 20;"
```

parking, 166 bench, 138 school, 96 restaurant, 79 parking\_space, 57 place\_of\_worship, 56 library, 38 cafe, 33 bicycle\_parking, 32 fast food, 26 bicycle\_rental, 17 pub, 13 university, 13 fire\_station, 12 bar, 11 hospital, 11 bank, 10 post\_box, 10 fountain, 9 fuel. 9

#### **Additional Ideas**

As I currently live in Stockholm, Sweden, I took note of the massive integration of wheelchair accessibility into public structures and amenities.

So I searched for information available on wheelchair accessibility and compared it with the number of amenities.

## **Number of Wheelchair Accessibility information**

```
query = "SELECT count(*) FROM (SELECT key, value FROM ways_tags UNION ALL SELECT
key, value FROM nodes_tags) WHERE key='wheelchair';"
```

32

#### **Number of Amenities**

```
query = "SELECT count(*) FROM (SELECT key, value FROM ways_tags UNION ALL SELECT
key, value FROM nodes_tags) WHERE key='amenity';"
```

950

This ratio 32 / 950 = 3.37% is extremely poor and should be explored in more depths.

A follow-up project could focus on a verification of:

- (1) what part of the bad performance is due to missing information, ie. how many amenities DO HAVE wheelchair accessibility but that information went MIA,
- (2) and what part is reflective of the true situation, ie. many amenities DO NOT HAVE wheelchair accessibility.

<u>Benefits:</u> beside the obvious social benefits and duties for helping persons with restrained mobility, there are business opportunities for commerces (shops, restaurants, hotels) who act accordingly.

<u>Problems:</u> the follow-up project might require a lot of minute manual work to verify the missing information if not available in a compatible database.