

# Improving Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn Cavity Performance Using Centrifugal Barrel Polishing

Eric Viklund <sup>1,2</sup> David Burk <sup>2</sup> David N. Seidman <sup>1</sup> Sam Posen <sup>2</sup><sup>1</sup>Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Northwestern University<sup>2</sup>Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

## Key Findings

- Centrifugal barrel polishing (CBP) is an effective method of polishing Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn coated cavities.
- Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn films polished using CBP can achieve less than 20 nm surface roughness with minimal material removal.
- CBP treatment of a Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn cavity leads to a significant increase in the maximum accelerating gradient.
- A secondary recoating procedure is required to achieve this increase.

## Background Information

Superconducting radiofrequency (SRF) cavities are essential components for providing the electric fields required to accelerate charged particles in particle accelerators. SRF cavities coated with a layer of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn can reach up to 100 MV/m of accelerating field in theory. However, in practice surface roughness and other defects limit Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn SRF cavity performance. Centrifugal barrel polishing (CBP) is a proven technique for mechanically polishing Nb SRF cavities to nanometer scale smoothness. To show the evolution of the surface during CBP, we polish Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn samples using a coupon cavity, which can simulate cavity polishing conditions.

- Centrifugal Barrel Polishing (CBP) is a technique used to polish niobium cavities, without using toxic chemicals such as HF.
- CBP uses a custom built tumbling machine that can fit up to 9-cell size cavities, and can accelerate the polishing media against the cavity surface with up to 6g of force.
- An alumina nanoparticle suspension is used as the abrasive material. Felt cubes are added to push the abrasives against the cavity surface.




Figure 1. (A) A schematic of the coupon cavity and the sample holder used to polish the Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn coated samples. The sample holder can hold 1 cm diameter disks by clamping the sides of the sample with set screws. (B) Pictures of the sample holder sitting outside the coupon cavity and with a sample mounted as seen from the inside of the coupon cavity.

## Bibliography

- [1] Sam Posen, Jaeyel Lee, David N Seidman, Alexander Romanenko, Brad Tennis, OS Melnychuk, and DA Serfaty. Advances in nb<sub>3</sub>sn superconducting radiofrequency cavities towards first practical accelerator applications. *Superconductor Science and Technology*, 34(2):025007, 2021.

## Surface Analysis of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn Polished with CBP




Figure 2. Surface height maps of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn polished between 0 to 8 hours.




Figure 3. Surface roughness of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn over time (top). The power spectral density (PSD) of the surface profiles, electropolished Nb, and thinly coated Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn[1].

To evaluate the performance of CBP, the surface roughness of the polished samples is measured using confocal laser microscopy and the surface is analyzed using scanning and transmission electron microscopy (SEM and TEM). The material removal rate is measured using focused ion-beam tomography.

- Material is preferentially removed from the highest point on the surface.
- The material removal rate is measured to be 170 nm per hour.
- After 6 hours of polishing, the surface roughness is comparable to the surface roughness of the well-performing, thinly coated Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn coatings created at Fermilab[1].
- After 8 hours of polishing, the surface roughness is comparable to a typical niobium surface after EP.




Figure 4. TEM images of a Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn sample polished using wooden spheres (A) and felt cubes (B). The polishing procedure creates a 10 nm thick layer of disordered Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn on the sample polished with wooden spheres which is not present on the sample polished by felt cubes.

## RF Performance Improvement from Polishing




Figure 5. The RF performance of the Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn-coated SRF cavity before and after centrifugal barrel polishing and after a recoating treatment.

- The resulting cavity surface has a mirror-like appearance.
- The as-coated performance is poor, with a maximum gradient of around 10 MV/m and Q of  $10^{10}$  at 4.4 K.
- After polishing, the cavity exhibits Q-slope and the maximum gradient is only 5 MV/m.
- A recoating procedure is applied to repair surface damage and subsurface defects at 1,000 °C, using one third of the normal amount of tin and no SnCl<sub>2</sub>.
- After the recoating procedure, the Q-slope is ameliorated, and the maximum accelerating gradient increases to 15 MV/m.
- The quality factor of the cavity is also improved over the as-coated state at 2.0 K, but not at 4.4 K.

## Acknowledgements

This work made use of the EPIC facility of Northwestern University's NUANCE Center, which has received support from the SHyNE Resource (NSF ECCS-2025633), the IIN, and Northwestern's MRSEC program (NSF DMR-1720139).

This manuscript has been authored by Fermi Research Alliance, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-07CH11359 with the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of High Energy Physics.