



Bachelor's Thesis

**Concept and development of a
human robot interface
using parametrised Augmented Reality**

**Konzept und Entwicklung einer
Mensch-Roboter Schnittstelle
mit parametrisierbarer Augmented Reality
(GERMAN)**

Author:	Eric Vollenweider
Supervisor:	Prof. Dr.-Ing. Birgit Vogel-Heuser
Advisor:	Dr. Pantförder Dorothea
Issue date:	Februar 26, 2019
Submission date:	August 26, 2019

1 Introduction

1.1 Reasons for- and problems with Human-Robot collaboration

Today's world is highly automated in each and every aspect of our lives. One of the most important advancements within the last 30 years were robots. They allow us to minimize costs, while maximizing output, quality and efficiency. With the rising need of agile production plants, classic robotic systems do not seem to fulfil all requirements anymore. Industrial robots do have numerous abilities but adapting to new situations easily is certainly not one of them. In contrast, the human's strength always was exactly that - to learn quickly. Thus, Human-Robot (HR) collaboration is an inevitable step towards the future of humanity.

Robotics needed to adapt to the new situation where humans and robots share a perimeter, thus compliant robots were developed. For machines to feel natural it is not enough to be compliant and not hurt humans. As Gary Klein et al. stated, communicating intent is a key issue in effective collaboration within teams [1].

Augmented Reality (AR) can help us to communicate with robots in three dimensions. With rapid advancements in the field of robotics during the last few years [2], innovative ways to program, develop and operate these highly complex systems need to be researched. Both robotics and AR have been heavily worked on for the last 20 years separately, but their combination has mostly remained untouched. Lately though, it has become a new focus area in research. While it is a known fact that AR can improve communication in certain use cases, the way how we develop these applications is not as clear. Most projects involving AR re-do most of the same steps over and over again, requiring software engineers along the way to do everything. Thus, the time-to-market is long and expenses are high.

According to the U.S. Department of Labour, there is a massive hunt for talent in the software industry [3]. The department reported an expected growth of over 30% within the next 10

years. One possible reason could be, that acquiring the necessary skills to develop software applications takes a long time and is not viewed as an easy path. Specifically AR applications currently require a wide variety of skills. On top of that, many processes and steps have to be repeated for each new AR-application and it is increasingly hard to build upon other peoples work.

The lack of programming talent is also seen in the robotics industry, which requires its developers to posses an even wider set of skills, including software development, mechanical and the basics of electrical engineering. Due to the lack of developers the speed of innovation and time-to-market is slowed as stated by multiple companies worldwide. [Citation maybe?]

1.2 Parametrised development as an approach to solve AR-developer shortage

Today, most industrial robots are only parametrised and not actually programmed, which results in lower requirements for employees and thus in less time and money spent on training. Employees only set certain locations, points and actions utilizing an easy to understand User-Interface. Applying a similar methodology to the development of Augmented Reality applications could lead to similar effects. If non-programmers could setup, configure and thus develop Human-Robot interfaces involving AR and robot controlling all by themselves, without the need to write a single line of code, the cost efficiency and speed of innovation can be improved.

This bachelor thesis aims to research the feasibility and practicability of such an abstract development environment. First there will be a "State of the Art" section, where current research and progress is displayed. From there some gaps will be identified, a concept proposed, implemented and tested in a real application.

1.3 Augmented Reality in a nutshell

AR technology must not be confused with Virtual Reality (VR). Whereas VR completely immerses users, the former still allows the real world to be seen together with superimposed 3D objects projected into the user's view. T. Azuma crafted a very general definition of AR-Systems very early on [4]. He wrote that, in order for a system to be classified as an AR system, it has to fulfil three characteristics. Namely, the system has to combine real and

virtual objects, be interactive in real time and spatially map physical and virtual objects to each other. The term Augmented Reality is often used as a synonym for the also commonly used expression “Mixed Reality”.

There are various types of AR devices and principles. In this bachelor’s thesis the term AR should be understood as head-mounted superimposing Augmented Reality devices, such as the Microsoft HoloLens, that actually create the illusion of three dimensional holograms being projected into the real world.

1.4 State of the Art HR-AR-Development

C. Perey et. al [5] examined existing standards and some best-practice methods for AR. They clearly identified some gaps in the AR value-chain. The researchers lay out numerous standards for low level implementations such as geo-graphic location tracking, image tracking, network data transmission etc. They also state, that a large number of standards only help, if the community actually accepts and uses them.

Figuerola et. al [6] researched about a “Conceptual Model and Specification Language for Mixed Reality Interface Components”. They want to lay a foundation for other developers to standardize 3D interface assets, for others to build upon. The team developed an xml based data structure called “3DIC” that defines the look and some smaller behaviour actions of UI control elements. Although their work goes into the right direction, it lacks certain features that robot-human AR interfaces need, and contains unwanted components like pseudo-code. “3DIC” is a specification language that cannot be fully processed automatically, since pseudo-code is not executable.

Concluding from this general overview, there does not seem to be an existing standard for HR Interfaces utilizing Augmented Reality, that allow the development of complete HR-AR-applications that can actually be processed, executed and used.

2 Concept

2.1 Basic Overview

To solve the stated problems (see section 1.1) the to be implemented system from now on called Parametrised Augmented Reality Robot Human Interface (PARRHI) will have to fulfil these (very abstract) requirements:

- Allow development of AR-HR Interfaces without knowledge in programming
- Provide means to document the application's workflow
- Allow previous work to be reused

2.2 V-Model

To pinpoint the exact system requirements the V-Model is applied.

Questions/Ideas:

- 1.) I could write down some "User-Stories"
- 2.) Or write down some Use-Cases
- 3.) When do I start to get technical? (System I/O diagrams)
- 4.) Does the V-Model belong to the concept or is it implementation already?
- 5.) Is it ok to call the system PARRHI throughout the thesis?

1 Bibliography

- [1] G. Klein, P. J. Feltovich, J. M. Bradshaw, and D. D. Woods, “Common ground and coordination in joint activity”, *Organizational simulation*, vol. 53, pp. 139–184, 2005.
- [2] C. Laschi, B. Mazzolai, and M. Cianchetti, “Soft robotics: Technologies and systems pushing the boundaries of robot abilities”, *Sci. Robot.*, vol. 1, no. 1, eaah3690, 2016.
- [3] U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2018). Occupational outlook handbook, software developers, [Online]. Available: <https://www.bls.gov/ooh/computer-and-information-technology/software-developers.htm> (visited on 03/28/2019).
- [4] R. T. Azuma, “A survey of augmented reality”, *Presence: Teleoperators & Virtual Environments*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 355–385, 1997.
- [5] C. Perey, T. Engelke, and C. Reed, “Current status of standards for augmented reality”, in *Recent Trends of Mobile Collaborative Augmented Reality Systems*, Springer, 2011, pp. 21–38.
- [6] P. Figueroa, R. Dachsel, and I. Lindt, “A conceptual model and specification language for mixed reality interface components”, in *VR 2006, In Proc. of the Workshop “Specification of Mixed Reality User Interfaces: Approaches, Languages, Standardization*, 2006, pp. 4–11.

