

Authors:

Erick Rosete Beas — er165@uni-freiburg.de

Jessica Lizeth Borja Diaz — jb986@uni-freiburg.de

# Principles of AI Planning

## Exercise Sheet 10

17.01.2020

### Exercise 10.1 - Affecting labels vs. orthogonality

Prove the following: Let  $\mathcal{A}_i$  be an abstraction of some transition system  $\mathcal{T}$  with abstraction mapping  $\alpha_i$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . If no label of  $\mathcal{T}$  affects both  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$ , then  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are orthogonal.

Definition of orthogonality:

Let  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  be abstraction mappings on  $\mathcal{T}$ . We say that  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are orthogonal if for all transitions  $\langle s, l, t \rangle$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ , we have  $\alpha_i(s) \neq \alpha_i(t)$  for at most one  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .

Definition of affecting transition labels.

For a transition system  $\mathcal{A}$  and a label  $l$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we say that  $l$  affects  $\mathcal{A}$  if  $\mathcal{A}$  has a transition  $\langle s, l, t \rangle$  with  $s \neq t$ .

## Exercise 10.2 - Potential heuristics: consistency constraints

Let  $\Pi = \langle V, I, O, \gamma \rangle$  be an  $SAS^+$  planning task in transition normal form, and let  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_{v=d} \mid v \in V, d \in \mathcal{D}_v\}$  be the set of all atomic features over  $\Pi$ . Finally, let:

$$h(s) = \sum_{fact\ v=d} w_{v=d} \cdot f_{v=d}(s)$$

be the potential heuristic with potentials  $w_{v=d}$  for all  $v \in V, d \in \mathcal{D}_v$ , such that for all  $o \in O$ , the following constraint is satisfied:

$$\sum_{fact\ v=d \text{ consumed by } o} w_{v=d} - \sum_{fact\ v=d \text{ produced by } o} w_{v=d} \leq cost(o)$$

Prove: Then  $h$  is consistent, i. e.,  $h(s) - h(t) \leq cost(o)$  for all transitions  $(s, o, t)$  in  $\mathcal{T}(\Pi)$ .