

# COMP10001 Foundations of Computing

## Objects and Types: A Closer Look

### (Advanced Lecture)

Semester 1, 2019  
Tim Baldwin, Nic Geard, Farah Khan, and Marion Zalk



But First ...

- Seat rearrangement:
  - Move to the seat  $(x, y)$  defined as follows:

```
x = (age + day_of_your_birthday) % 20
y = (min(dentist_visits_in_last_year, 5) +
      cousins) % 15
```

- For example (11,3):

[illegible]

## Immutable Types and Nesting

- Hang on, hang on, explain the following then:

```
>>> mytup = (0, [])
>>> mytup[0] = 1
Traceback (most recent call last):
  File "<stdin>", line 1, in <module>
TypeError: ...
>>> mytup[1].append("hey there!")
>>> print(mytup)
(0, ['hey there!'])
```

## Lecture Agenda

- This lecture:
  - Making sense of strings, lists and functions
  - Hashing

**THIS LECTURE IS NON-EXAMINABLE**

# Objects

- Everything in Python is an “object”, with an **unchangeable** type and a value
- There are two basic categories of type:

- **mutable types**, where the value of the object is changeable (e.g. `list`):

```
>>> lst = [0]
>>> lst[0] = "COMP10001"
>>> print(lst)
['COMP10001']
```

- **immutable types**, where the value of the object is unchangeable (e.g. `int`, `str`)

## Immutable Types and Nesting

- Hang on, hang on, explain the following then:

```
>>> mytup = (0, [])
>>> mytup[0] = 1
Traceback (most recent call last):
  File "<stdin>", line 1, in <module>
TypeError: ...
>>> mytup[1].append("hey there!")
>>> print(mytup)
(0, ['hey there!'])
```

- The answer is that the values of immutable types can't be changed directly, but that doesn't stop us changing the values of mutable types nested within them

## Object Identity

- Internally on the computer, every object exists in “memory” and is accessible via its **identity**, defining its location in memory; this identity is also unchangeable, even for mutable types:

```
>>> lst = []
>>> id(lst)
140016396283848
>>> lst.append('newval')
>>> id(lst)
140016396283848
```

- `is` is a relational operator that checks whether two objects have the same identity

## Objects and Variables

... although there are some optimisations that confuse things slightly for immutable types:

```
>>> str1 = "woodchuck"; str2 = "woodchuck"
>>> str1 == str2 # same value?
True
>>> str1 is str2 # same object?
True
>>> lst1 = []
>>> lst2 = []
>>> lst1 == lst2
True
>>> lst1 is lst2
False
```

## Dictionaries Redux

- As powerful as lists are, if items are associated with a (unique) key, we want to be able to look them up directly, rather than search through the values; dictionaries provide this, e.g.:

```
>>> lst1 = [('tim', 'duck'), ('nic', 'hamster')]
>>> for i in lst1:
...     if i[0] == 'tim':
...         print(i[1])
...
duck
>>> dict1 = {} # initialise a new dictionary
>>> dict1['tim'] = 'duck' # add an item
>>> dict1['nic'] = 'hamster' # add another item
>>> print(dict1['tim']) # look up an item
duck
```

## Objects and Variables

- When we construct an object and assign it to a variable, the variable is simply assigned the **identity** of the new object; when we assign a variable to a new variable, therefore, the new variable is simply given the identity of the existing object

```
>>> int1 = 10001
>>> int2 = int1
>>> int2 is int1 # same object?
True
>>> int2 = 10001
>>> int2 is int1 # same object?
False
```

## Aside: Functions are also Objects

- All well and good, but what about functions?
- Functions are also just objects:

```
>>> type(print)
<class 'builtin_function_or_method'>
>>> id(print)
140443419192584
>>> myprint = print
>>> myprint("Hello world")
Hello world
>>> del(print) # can only delete user-defined objects
Traceback (most recent call last):
  File "<stdin>", line 1, in <module>
NameError: name 'print' is not defined
>>> del(myprint)
>>> print = len # note: user-defined object
>>> print("Hello world")
```

## Basic Operations

Operation	str	list	tuple	dict
Add item				
Indexing				
Lookup				
Slice				
Order				
preserving?				

## Basic Operations

Operation	str	list	tuple	dict
Add item	—	<code>l.append()</code>	—	<code>d[KEY] = VAL</code>
Indexing				
Lookup				
Slice				
Order				
preserving?				

## Basic Operations

Operation	str	list	tuple	dict
Add item	—	<code>l.append()</code>	—	<code>d[KEY] = VAL</code>
Indexing	<code>s[ID]</code>	<code>l[ID]</code>	<code>t[ID]</code>	<code>d[KEY]</code>
Lookup	<code>VAL in s</code>	<code>VAL in l</code>	<code>VAL in t</code>	<code>KEY in d</code>
Slice				
Order				
preserving?				

## Basic Operations

Operation	str	list	tuple	dict
Add item	—	<code>l.append()</code>	—	<code>d[KEY] = VAL</code>
Indexing	<code>s[ID]</code>	<code>l[ID]</code>	<code>t[ID]</code>	<code>d[KEY]</code>
Lookup	<code>VAL in s</code>	<code>VAL in l</code>	<code>VAL in t</code>	<code>KEY in d</code>
Slice	<code>s[i:j]</code>	<code>l[i:j]</code>	<code>t[i:j]</code>	—
Order				
preserving?	Y	Y	Y	N

## Basic Operations

Operation	str	list	tuple	dict
Add item	—	<code>l.append()</code>	—	<code>d[KEY] = VAL</code>
Indexing	<code>s[ID]</code>	<code>l[ID]</code>	<code>t[ID]</code>	<code>d[KEY]</code>
Lookup				
Slice				
Order				
preserving?				

## Basic Operations

Operation	str	list	tuple	dict
Add item	—	<code>l.append()</code>	—	<code>d[KEY] = VAL</code>
Indexing	<code>s[ID]</code>	<code>l[ID]</code>	<code>t[ID]</code>	<code>d[KEY]</code>
Lookup	<code>VAL in s</code>	<code>VAL in l</code>	<code>VAL in t</code>	<code>KEY in d</code>
Slice	<code>s[i:j]</code>	<code>l[i:j]</code>	<code>t[i:j]</code>	—
Order				
preserving?				

## Random Observations

- We can index strings, lists and tuples in constant time, irrespective of their contents and length

## Random Observations

- We can index strings, lists and tuples in constant time, irrespective of their contents and length
- Lookup in a string, list or tuple gets slower as the object gets bigger, but is constant in dictionaries


## Random Observations

- We can index strings, lists and tuples in constant time, irrespective of their contents and length
- Lookup in a string, list or tuple gets slower as the object gets bigger, but is constant in dictionaries
- Mutation is weird! (or is it ...)
- Dictionary keys must be (recursively) immutable

## Lists: Key Idea

- Contiguous “array” of “pointers” to arbitrary objects<sup>a</sup>
- Additional bookkeeping to store the length of the list (avoid overrunning the ends of the list)

<sup>a</sup>Again, this is a slight over-simplification




## Random Observations

- We can index strings, lists and tuples in constant time, irrespective of their contents and length
- Lookup in a string, list or tuple gets slower as the object gets bigger, but is constant in dictionaries
- Mutation is weird! (or is it ...)

## Strings: Key Idea


- Contiguous “array” of fixed objects (characters = `str` of length one)<sup>a</sup>
- Additional bookkeeping to store the length of the string (avoid overrunning the ends of the string)

<sup>a</sup>This is a slight over-simplification, but it gives you the basic idea



## Dictionaries: Key Idea

- Use a “hash” function to map objects onto integers with which to index a list
- Build in some mechanism to handle hash “collisions” (cf. the “birthday paradox”)




## Hash Functions

- Our hash *function* must:
  - return an `int` value for all types
  - be deterministic given a key
  - be cheap
  - return a value in a given range
 and ideally should:
  - distribute keys evenly irrespective of the key source
- Our hash *table* must:
  - not use excessive storage
  - be able to handle collisions efficiently
  - support incremental additions and deletions
  - allow dynamic growth
- Hash functions in the wild?

## Closed Hashing

- A more sophisticated form of hashing with better behaviour when there is a high collision rate is “closed hashing”: collisions resolved by “probing” *within hash table* for an empty cell




- Advantages: faster lookup
- Disadvantage: complexity; table can “fill up”
- Roughly what Python uses in practice

## Answers to Random Observations

- We can index strings, lists and tuples in constant time, irrespective of their contents and length
  - hopefully this is less surprising now, given what we know about the implementation details

## Open Hashing

- The simplest form of a hashing is “open hashing”, where each cell in the hash table takes the form of a list, and collisions are resolved simply by appending to the end of the list



- Advantages: simple, table can't ever “fill”
- Disadvantage: slow if lots of collisions

## The Quirks of Assignment

- Based on what we have learned about the different types, let's see if we can make sense of the following:

```
>>> lst1 = biglist()
>>> lst2 = lst1
>>> lst1[0]
'tzRqbqAwUs1kBUBkiWWAJAWbstJE_01'
>>> lst2[0] = -1
>>> lst1[0]
-1
>>> del(lst1)
>>> lst2[0]
-1
```

- If we think about it in terms of “pointers” (a la the internals of strings), hopefully it's less baffling

## Answers to Random Observations

- We can index strings, lists and tuples in constant time, irrespective of their contents and length
  - hopefully this is less surprising now, given what we know about the implementation details
- Lookup in a string, list or tuple gets slower as the object gets bigger, but is constant in dictionaries
  - again, this is a direct function of the implementation details

## Answers to Random Observations

- We can index strings, lists and tuples in constant time, irrespective of their contents and length
  - hopefully this is less surprising now, given what we know about the implementation details
- Lookup in a string, list or tuple gets slower as the object gets bigger, but is constant in dictionaries
  - again, this is a direct function of the implementation details
- Mutation is weird! (or is it ...)
  - given that variables are just pointers, hopefully considerably less weird ... verging on sensible

## For the Brave at Heart

- If you want to find out more about the internal implementation details of Python objects, strings and lists, the following are a good starting point:
    - <https://docs.python.org/3/reference/datamodel.html>
    - <http://www.laurentluce.com/posts/python-string-objects-implementation/>
    - <http://www.laurentluce.com/posts/python-list-implementation/>
    - <http://www.laurentluce.com/posts/python-dictionary-implementation/>
- but expect to have to go away and read up on some of the back-end algorithms

## Answers to Random Observations

- We can index strings, lists and tuples in constant time, irrespective of their contents and length
  - hopefully this is less surprising now, given what we know about the implementation details
- Lookup in a string, list or tuple gets slower as the object gets bigger, but is constant in dictionaries
  - again, this is a direct function of the implementation details
- Mutation is weird! (or is it ...)
  - given that variables are just pointers, hopefully considerably less weird ... verging on sensible
- Dictionary keys must be (recursively) immutable
  - what would happen if we allowed the key to be mutated, in terms of its location in the dictionary?

## Lecture Summary

- What are objects?
- What are the basic behaviours of string, lists, tuples and dictionaries?
- What data structures underlie each?
- How does assignment work and why?
- What's the deal with mutation?
- What's the big deal about immutable keys and dictionaries?