

Number Theory

November 4

2014

Congruences and properties of Congruences

Let $a, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then n divides a if $\exists b/ a = nb$, $b \in \mathbb{Z}$.
 n is a divisor of a .

Definition. $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a **prime number** if $p > 1$ and $\pm 1, \pm p$ are the only divisors of p .

Definition. $a, b, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \geq 1$.

a is **congruent to b modulo n** $\iff n \mid (a - b) \iff a - b = nk$.

We then write: $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ or $a \equiv b[n]$.

Example:

$$-31 = -42 + 11 = (-6)7 + 11 \equiv 11[7]$$

$$a = nq + r, \quad 0 \leq r < n \quad \Rightarrow \quad a \equiv r[n]$$

r is called the remainder of a divided by n .

Theorem. $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $a \equiv b[n] \iff a$ and b have the same remainder when divided by n .

Proof. \Rightarrow

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} a \equiv b[n] \\ a = kn + b \\ b = qn + r \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow a = qn + r + kn = (q + k)n + r$$

\Leftarrow

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} a = q_1n + r \\ b = q_2n + r \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow a - b = (q_1 - q_2)n \equiv 0[n] \Rightarrow a \equiv b[n]$$

□

Theorem. $n > 1$, $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$

1. $a \equiv a[n]$

2. $a \equiv b[n] \Rightarrow b \equiv a[n]$

3. If $a \equiv b[n]$, $b \equiv c[n]$ then $a \equiv c[n]$

4. If $a \equiv b[n]$, $c \equiv d[n]$ then $\begin{cases} a + c \equiv b + d[n] \\ ac \equiv bd[n] \end{cases}$

5. $a \equiv b[n] \Rightarrow \begin{cases} a + c \equiv b + c[n] \\ ac \equiv bc[n] \end{cases}$

6. $a \equiv b \Rightarrow a^k \equiv b^k[n]$

Example: Let's see if $41 \mid 2^{20} - 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{20} &= (2^5)^4 \\ 2^5 &= 32 \equiv -9[41] \\ 2^{20} &\equiv -9^4[41] \equiv 81^2 \equiv (-1)^2[41] \equiv 1[41] \\ \Rightarrow 2^{20} &\equiv 1[41] \\ \Rightarrow 2^{20} - 1 &\equiv 0[41] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} B & 2 \cdot 4 & \equiv 2 \cdot 1[6] \\ & \not\equiv 4 & \equiv 1[6] \end{array}$$

Theorem.

$$ca \equiv cb[n] \Rightarrow a \equiv b\left[\frac{n}{d}\right] \quad \text{where } d = \gcd(n, c)$$

Proof.

$$c(a - b) = ca - cb = kn \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

As

$$\begin{aligned} d = \gcd(n, c) \Rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} n &= dr \\ c &= ds \end{array} \right\} \gcd(r, s) = 1, ds(a - b) = kdr \\ s(a - b) = dr \Rightarrow r \mid s(a - b) \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(r, s) &= 1 (\Rightarrow r \nmid s) \\ \Rightarrow r \mid (a - b) &\Rightarrow a \equiv b\left[\frac{n}{b}\right] \end{aligned}$$

□

1. Corollary: If $ca \equiv cb[n]$ and $\gcd(n, c) = 1$, then $a \equiv b[n]$.
2. Corollary: If $ca \equiv cb[p]$, p prime and $p \nmid c$, then $a \equiv b[p]$.

Chinese remainder theorem

$$\text{Let } \begin{array}{l} n_1 \dots n_r \in \mathbb{N} \\ a_1 \dots a_r \in \mathbb{Z} \end{array} \Bigg/ \gcd(n_i, n_j) = 1 \forall i \neq j$$

$$\text{then the system } \left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} x & \equiv & a_1[n_1] \\ x & \equiv & a_2[n_2] \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x & \equiv & a_r[n_r] \end{array} \right.$$

has a simultaneous solution which is unique modulo $n_1 \cdot n_2 \dots n_r$

$$\Rightarrow f(x) \equiv 0[n] \text{ with } n = p_1^{k_1} \dots p_r^{k_r} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} f(x) & \equiv & 0[p_1^{k_1}] \\ & \vdots & \\ f(x) & \equiv & 0[p_r^{k_r}] \end{array} \right.$$

Proof. Set

$$n = n_1 \dots n_r$$

And

$$N_k = \frac{n}{n_k} = n_1 n_2 \dots n_{k-1} n_{k+1} \dots n_r$$

Then, $N_k x_k \equiv 1[n_k]$

$$N_k x_k + n_k y_k = 1, \text{ exists because } \gcd(N_k, n_k) = 1$$

And if we set $\bar{x} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^r a_k N_k x_k \equiv a_k[n_k]$, then \bar{x} is a simultaneous solution.

Uniqueness:

Suppose \bar{x}' is another solution, then for $1 \leq k \leq r$,

$$\bar{x} \equiv a_k \equiv \bar{x}'[n_k]$$

$$n_k \mid (\bar{x} - \bar{x}')$$

$$\Rightarrow n_1 \dots n_r \mid (\bar{x} - \bar{x}')$$

Hence $\bar{x}' \equiv \bar{x}[n_1 \dots n_r]$. □

Example:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x \equiv 2[3] \\ x \equiv 3[5] \\ x \equiv 2[7] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$n = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 105$$

$$N_1 = \frac{105}{3} = 35$$

$$N_2 = \frac{105}{5} = 21$$

$$N_3 = \frac{105}{7} = 15$$

That gives us the following system:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} 35x_1 = N_1 x_1 \equiv 1[3] \\ 21x_2 = N_2 x_2 \equiv 1[5] \\ 15x_3 = N_3 x_3 \equiv 1[7] \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} 2x_1 \equiv 1[3] \\ x_2 \equiv 1[5] \\ x_3 \equiv 1[7] \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} x_1 = 2 \\ x_2 = 1 \\ x_3 = 1 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \bar{x} &= a_1 N_1 x_1 + a_2 N_2 x_2 + a_3 N_3 x_3 \\ \bar{x} &= 2 \cdot 35 \cdot 2 + 3 \cdot 21 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 15 \cdot 1 = 233 \end{aligned}$$

Fermat's theorem

Let p be a prime, $a \in \mathbb{Z}/p \nmid a$. Then,

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1[p]$$

Proof. We claim that the elements in the set

$$S = \{ka \mid k = 1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$$

are all mutually incongruent modulo p . Assume that $1 \leq k_1 < k_2 \leq p-1$ and that

$$k_1 a \equiv k_2 a[p]$$

As $\gcd(a, p) = 1$, we can cancel a from both sides of the equivalence, obtaining

$$k_1 \equiv k_2[p],$$

contradicting $1 \leq k_1 < k_2 \leq p-1$. Hence, our claim is valid.

As S contains exactly $p-1$ elements, each one is congruent to exactly one of $\{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ in some order. Hence, we have that

$$a \cdot 2a \cdots (p-1)a \equiv 1 \cdot 2 \cdots (p-1)[p]$$

and after some rearranging of the factors in the left hand side, we obtain

$$a^{p-1}(p-1)! \equiv (p-1)![p].$$

As $\gcd(p, (p-1)!) = 1$, we can cancel $(p-1)!$ from both sides of the equivalence, obtaining

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1[p]$$

□

Corollary: If p is prime, and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$a^p \equiv a[p]$$

Definition. n is **composite** if $n > 1$ and $n = ab$ with $a, b \in (1, n)$.

Definition. If for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a^n \not\equiv a[n]$, then n is not prime. n is called an **absolute pseudoprime** if n is composite and

$$a^{n-1} \equiv 1[n], \quad \forall a \in \{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \gcd(k, n) = 1\}$$

Number Theory

November 7

2014

Wilson's theorem

If p is a prime, then $(p-1)! \equiv -1[p]$

Proof. Let $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, (p-1)\}$. Then $\exists! a' \in \{1, 2, \dots, (p-1)\}$ such that

$$aa' \equiv 1[p]$$

If $a = a'$ then $a = 1$ or $a = p-1$ because:

$$\begin{aligned} a^2 \equiv 1[p] &\iff p \mid (a^2 - 1) \\ &\Rightarrow p \mid (a+1)(a-1) \\ &\Rightarrow p \mid (a+1) \text{ or } p \mid (a-1) \\ &\Rightarrow a = 1 \text{ or } a = p-1 \end{aligned}$$

If we group all the elements remaining from $\{2 \dots p-2\}$ into $\frac{p-3}{2}$ pairs equal to $1[p]$:

$$\begin{aligned} (p-2)! &= 2 \cdot 3 \cdots (p-2) \equiv 1[p] \\ (p-1)! &\equiv -1[p] \end{aligned}$$

□

Example: $p = 11$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} 2 \cdot 6 \equiv 1[11] \\ 3 \cdot 4 \equiv 1[11] \\ 5 \cdot 9 \equiv 1[11] \\ 7 \cdot 8 \equiv 1[11] \end{array} \right\} 2 \cdot 6 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 9 \cdot 7 \cdot 8 = 9! \equiv 1[11]$$

And $10! \equiv 10 \equiv -1[11]$.

Theorem. Let p be an odd prime.

Then $x^2 + 1 \equiv 0[p]$ has a solution $\iff p \equiv 1[4]$.

Proof. \Rightarrow

Let a be a solution of $a^2 \equiv -1[p]$. We first note that $p \nmid a$. Raising both sides of the equivalence relation to the power $\frac{p-1}{2}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (a^2)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} &\equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}[p] \\ \Rightarrow a^{p-1} &\equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}[p] \end{aligned}$$

By Fermat's theorem, we have that

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1[p]$$

which implies

$$2 \mid \frac{p-1}{2} \Rightarrow 4 \mid p-1 \Rightarrow p \equiv 1[4].$$

\Leftarrow

$$\begin{aligned} p &\equiv 1[4] \\ (p-1)! &= 1 \cdot 2 \cdots (p-1) \end{aligned}$$

The factors can be rearranged in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} (p-1)! &= 1 \cdot 2 \cdots (p-2)(p-1) \\ &\equiv 1 \cdot 2 \cdots \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \left(-\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \cdots (-2)(-1) \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left[\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!\right]^2 [p]. \end{aligned}$$

By Wilson's theorem,

$$-1 \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left[\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!\right]^2 [p]$$

and as $4 \mid p-1$, $p = 4k+1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} &= (-1)^{\frac{4k+1-1}{2}} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$-1 \equiv \left[\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!\right]^2 [p] \iff \left[\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!\right]^2 + 1 \equiv 0[p],$$

so

$$x = \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!$$

is a solution to the equation. \square

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} p &= 13 \equiv 1[4] \\ \text{Set } a &= \frac{p-1}{2}! = 6! = 720 \equiv 5[13] \\ &\Rightarrow 720^2 + 1 \equiv 5^2 + 1 \equiv 26 \equiv 0[13] \end{aligned}$$

Number Theoretic Functions

Definition. A function f is said to be **number theoretic** if its domain of definition is \mathbb{Z}^+ .

The number theoretic functions which we will use the most are:

$$\begin{cases} \tau(n) &= \sum_{d|n} 1, \text{ the number of positive divisors of } n. \\ \sigma(n) &= \sum_{d|n} d, \text{ the sum of the positive divisors of } n. \end{cases}$$

Example: For $n = 10$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(10) &= 4 \\ \sigma(10) &= 1 + 2 + 5 + 10 = 18 \end{aligned}$$

Observation: Let $n > 1$ with $n = p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_r^{k_r}$ where each p_i is a distinct prime. Then the positive divisors of n are exactly

$$d = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}, \quad 0 \leq a_i \leq k_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r.$$

Theorem. Let $n > 1$ with $n = p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_r^{k_r}$. Then

1. $\tau(n) = (k_1 + 1) \cdots (k_r + 1)$
2. $\sigma(n) = \left(\frac{p_1^{k_1+1}-1}{p_1-1}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{p_r^{k_r+1}-1}{p_r-1}\right)$

Proof. 1. Each a_i in $d = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$ can be chosen in $(k_i + 1)$ ways. So $a_1 \cdots a_r$ can be chosen in $(k_r + 1) \cdots (k_1 + 1)$ ways.

2.

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + p_1 + p_1^2 + \cdots + p_1^{k_1})(1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_2^{k_2}) \cdots (1 + p_r + \cdots + p_r^{k_r}) \\ &= \left(\frac{p_1^{k_1+1}-1}{p_1-1}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{p_r^{k_r+1}-1}{p_r-1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

□

In general we have

- $\tau(mn) \neq \tau(m)\tau(n)$
- $\sigma(mn) \neq \sigma(m)\sigma(n)$

Definition. A number theoretic function f is said to be **multiplicative** if

$$\gcd(m, n) = 1 \Rightarrow f(mn) = f(m)f(n)$$

Lemma : If $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ then the divisors of mn are:

$$\mathcal{D} = \{d_1 d_2 : d_1 \mid m_1 \text{ and } d_2 \mid m_2\}$$

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} m &= p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_r^{k_r} \\ n &= q_1^{j_1} \cdots q_s^{j_s} \\ \gcd(m, n) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Then $\forall i, j, q_i \neq p_i$, so if $d \mid mn$, then

$$d = \underbrace{p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}}_{d_1} \underbrace{q_1^{b_1} \cdots q_s^{b_s}}_{d_2}$$

where $d_1 \mid m_1$ and $d_2 \mid m_2$. Thus, $d \in \mathcal{D}$. \square

Theorem. Let f be a multiplicative number theoretic function and define $F(n)$ by:

$$F(n) = \sum_{d \mid n} f(d), \quad n \geq 1$$

Then F is also a multiplicative number theoretic function.

Proof. Assume $\gcd(m, n) = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} F(m, n) &= \sum_{d \mid mn} f(d) = \sum_{\substack{d_1 \mid m \\ d_2 \mid n}} f(d_1 d_2) = \sum_{\substack{d_1 \mid m \\ d_2 \mid n}} f(d_1) f(d_2) = \sum_{d_1 \mid m} f(d_1) \sum_{d_2 \mid n} f(d_2) \\ &= F(m) F(n) \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Corollary : σ and τ are multiplicative:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Write } \tau(n) &= \sum_{d \mid n} 1 = \sum_{d \mid n} f(d) && \text{with } f(d) = 1 \\ \sigma(n) &= \sum_{d \mid n} d = \sum_{d \mid n} g(d) && \text{with } g(d) = d \end{aligned}$$

Number Theory

November 11

2014

Definition. The Möbius function μ is defined by:

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } \exists p \text{ prime such that } p^2 \mid n \\ (-1)^r & \text{if } n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r, \text{ with distinct primes.} \end{cases}$$

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(6) &= (-1)^2 & 6 &= 2 \cdot 3 \\ \mu(12) &= 0 & 12 &= 2^2 \cdot 3 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem. μ is multiplicative.

Proof. Let $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ and assume $p^2 \mid m$ or $p^2 \mid n$, with p prime. Without loss of generality, say $p^2 \mid m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(mn) &= \mu(p^2 q) \\ &= 0 \\ &= 0 \cdot \mu(n) \\ &= \mu(m)\mu(n) \end{aligned}$$

and we are done. Now let $m > 1$ and $n > 1$ both be square free:

$$\begin{aligned} m &= p_1 p_2 \dots p_r \\ n &= q_1 q_2 \dots q_s \end{aligned}$$

with p_i, q_j distinct primes. Then:

$$\mu(m, n) = (-1)^{r+s} = (-1)^r (-1)^s = \mu(m)\mu(n)$$

The cases when exactly one or both of m and n equals 1 are left to the reader. \square

Theorem. For $n \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{d \mid n} \mu(d) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1 \\ 0 & n > 1 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Set $F(n) = \sum_{d \mid n} \mu(d)$. As μ is multiplicative, so is F . For $n = 1$ we have

$$F(1) = \mu(1) = 1$$

Assume $n > 1$, $n = p_1^{k_1} \dots p_r^{k_r}$, with p_i distinct primes. For any prime p , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} F(p^k) &= \sum_{d|p^k} \mu(d) \\ &= \mu(1) + \mu(p) + \mu(p^2) + \dots + \mu(p^k) \\ &= 1 - 1 + 0 + 0 + \dots + 0 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and by multiplicity,

$$\begin{aligned} F(n) &= F(p_1^{k_1}) \dots F(p_r^{k_r}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Möbius inversion formula

Let F and f be connected by

$$F(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d).$$

Then

$$f(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) F\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) = \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) F(d)$$

Proof. We first show that the two sums are indeed equal. Set $d' = \frac{n}{d}$. As d ranges over all the divisors of n , so does d' . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) F\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) &= \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d'}\right) F(d') \\ &= \sum_{d'|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d'}\right) F(d') \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) F\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) &= \sum_{d|n} \left(\mu(d) \sum_{c|\frac{n}{d}} f(c) \right) \\ &= \sum_{d|n} \left(\sum_{c|\frac{n}{d}} \mu(d) f(c) \right) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

We note that

$$d \mid n \wedge c \mid \frac{n}{d} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad c \mid n \wedge d \mid \frac{n}{c}$$

Thus, we can rewrite (1) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d|n} \left(\sum_{c|\frac{n}{d}} \mu(d) f(c) \right) &= \sum_{c|n} \left(\sum_{d|\frac{n}{c}} \mu(d) f(c) \right) \\ &= \sum_{c|n} \left(f(c) \sum_{d|\frac{n}{c}} \mu(d) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

As by our previous theorem,

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1 \\ 0 & n > 1 \end{cases}$$

the last sum in (2) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{c=n} \left(f(c) \sum_{d|\frac{n}{c}} \mu(d) \right) &= \sum_{c=n} f(c) \cdot 1 \\ &= f(n) \end{aligned}$$

□

Example:

$$\sigma(n) = \sum_{c|n} c; \quad f(n) = n$$

Möbius inverse:

$$n = \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \sigma(d)$$

Theorem. Let f, F be connected by:

$$F(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d); \quad \text{if } F \text{ is multiplicative, then } f \text{ is multiplicative}$$

Proof. Let $\gcd(m, n) = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(mn) &= \sum_{d|mn} \mu(d) F\left(\frac{mn}{d}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{d_1|m \\ d_2|n}} \mu(d_1 d_2) F\left(\frac{m}{d_1} \frac{n}{d_2}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{d_1|m \\ d_2|n}} \mu(d_1) \mu(d_2) F\left(\frac{m}{d_1}\right) F\left(\frac{n}{d_2}\right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{d_1|m} \mu(d_1) F\left(\frac{m}{d_1}\right) \right) \left(\sum_{d_2|n} \mu(d_2) F\left(\frac{n}{d_2}\right) \right) \\ &= f(n) f(m) \end{aligned}$$

□

Euler's φ function

For $n \geq 1$ Euler's φ function is defined as $\varphi(n)$ = the number of integers in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ that are relatively prime to n . In other words,

$$\varphi(n) = |\{a \in \mathbb{Z} : 1 \leq a \leq n, \gcd(a, n) = 1\}|$$

Example: Let $n = 18$. Then $\{a \in \mathbb{Z} : 1 \leq a \leq n, \gcd(a, n) = 1\} = \{1, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17\}$, so $\varphi(18) = 6$

Theorem. For p prime, $k > 0$:

$$\varphi(p^k) = p^k - p^{k-1} = p^k(1 - \frac{1}{p})$$

Proof.

$$\gcd(n, p^k) = 1 \iff p \nmid n$$

The multiples of p in $[1, p^k]$ are $p, 2p, \dots, pp, \dots, p^{k-1}p$, adding up to p^{k-1} numbers.

Hence

$$\varphi(p^k) = p^k - p^{k-1} = p^k(1 - \frac{1}{p})$$

□

Lemma : For $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\gcd(a, bc) = 1 \iff \gcd(a, b) = 1 = \gcd(a, c)$.

Proof. \Rightarrow Trivial.

\Leftarrow Each prime factor in a is distinct from every prime factor in b and in c . Hence $\gcd(a, bc) = 1$. □

Theorem. φ is multiplicative.

Proof. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\gcd(m, n) = 1$. Since $\varphi(1) = 1$, the result holds when $m = 1$ or $n = 1$.

Let $m > 1, n > 1$ and construct a table consisting of all numbers $1, \dots, mn$ in the following way

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & 1 & & 2 & \cdots & & r & \cdots & m \\ m+1 & & & m+2 & & & m+r & & 2m \\ 2m+1 & & & 2m+2 & & & 2m+r & & 3m \\ & \vdots & & \vdots & & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ (n-1)m+1 & & & (n-1)m+2 & & & (n-1)m+r & & nm \end{array} \quad (3)$$

We know that $\varphi(mn)$ is equal to the number of entries in the table which are relatively prime to mn and by our previous lemma, this is the same as the number of entries which are relatively prime to both m and n .

We note that $\gcd(qm + r, m) = \gcd(r, m)$, so the numbers in the r th column

are relatively prime to m if and only if $\gcd(r, m) = 1$. Hence, there are $\varphi(m)$ columns of numbers relatively prime to m . If we can show that there are $\varphi(n)$ numbers in each such column which are relatively prime to n we are done. Assume that $\gcd(r, m) = 1$. Consider the set of n integers in the r th column

$$R = \{km + r : k = 0, \dots, (n-1)\}$$

We claim that these are pairwise incongruent modulo n . Assume that $0 \leq k_1 < k_2 \leq n-1$ and that

$$k_1m + r \equiv k_2m + r \pmod{n}$$

Subtracting r and canceling m from both sides of the equation results in

$$k_1 \equiv k_2 \pmod{n},$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, our claim holds and the numbers in R are congruent modulo n to $0, 1, \dots, n-1$ in some order.

We note that if $s \equiv t \pmod{n}$ and $\gcd(s, n) = 1$, then $\gcd(t, n) = 1$. Hence, the number of integers in R relatively prime to n are $\varphi(n)$, which is what we wanted to show. \square

Theorem. For $n = p_1^{k_1} \dots p_r^{k_r}$; $\varphi(n) = n(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}) \dots (1 - \frac{1}{p_r})$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(n) &= \varphi(p_1^{k_1}) \dots \varphi(p_r^{k_r}) \\ &= p_1^{k_1} (1 - \frac{1}{p_1}) \dots p_r^{k_r} (1 - \frac{1}{p_r}) \\ &= n(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}) \dots (1 - \frac{1}{p_r}) \end{aligned}$$

\square

Example : $\varphi(18) = \varphi(2 \cdot 3^2) = 18(1 - \frac{1}{2})(1 - \frac{1}{3}) = 18 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{3} = 6$

Number Theory

November xx

2014

Lemma : Let $n > 1$, and $\gcd(a, n) = 1$. If

$$a_1, \dots, a_{\varphi(n)} \in [1, n)$$

are the positive integers which are relatively prime to n , then

$$aa_1, \dots, aa_{\varphi(n)}$$

are congruent to $a_1, \dots, a_{\varphi(n)}$ modulo n in some order.

Proof. We claim that the aa_i s are pairwise incongruent modulo n . Assume that

$$aa_i \equiv aa_j[n], \quad i < j.$$

Since $\gcd(a, n) = 1$, $a_i \equiv a_j[n] \Rightarrow a_i = a_j \Rightarrow i = j$.

As $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ and $\gcd(a_i, n) = 1$, we have that $\gcd(aa_i, n) = 1$. Let

$$aa_i \equiv b[n].$$

Then

$$1 = \gcd(aa_i, n) = \gcd(b, n),$$

so $b = a_j$ for some j . □

Euler's theorem

Let $n > 1$ and $\gcd(a, n) = 1$. Then

$$a^{\varphi(n)} \equiv 1[n]$$

Proof. Let $a_1, \dots, a_{\varphi(n)}$ be as in our previous lemma and write

$$\begin{cases} aa_1 & \equiv & a'_1[n] \\ & \vdots & \\ aa_{\varphi(n)} & \equiv & a'_{\varphi(n)}[n] \end{cases}$$

so that after permutation,

$$\{a'_1, \dots, a'_{\varphi(n)}\} = \{a_1, \dots, a_{\varphi(n)}\}.$$

We have that

$$(aa_1) \cdots (aa_{\varphi(n)}) \equiv a'_1 \cdots a'_{\varphi(n)} = a_1 \cdots a_{\varphi(n)}[n]$$

implying that

$$a^{\varphi(n)}(a_1 \cdots a_{\varphi(n)}) \equiv 1 \cdot (a_1 \cdots a_{\varphi(n)})[n].$$

As for each i , $\gcd(a_i, n) = 1$, $\gcd(a_1 \cdots a_{\varphi(n)}, n) = 1$. Thus we can cancel the factors and obtain

$$a^{\varphi(n)} \equiv 1[n],$$

which is what we wanted to show. \square

Lemma : For $n \geq 1$, let

$$S_d = \{m : 1 \leq m \leq n, \gcd(m, n) = d\}.$$

Then

$$\{1, 2, \dots, n\} = \bigcup_{d|n} S_d$$

and the union is disjoint.

Proof. \subseteq :

Let $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\gcd(k, n) = b$. Then $b \mid n$, so

$$k \in S_b \subset \bigcup_{d|n} S_d.$$

Thus, $\{1, 2, \dots, n\} \subseteq \bigcup_{d|n} S_d$.

\supseteq : Trivial.

Thus,

$$\{1, 2, \dots, n\} = \bigcup_{d|n} S_d$$

Assume $k \in S_{d_1} \cap S_{d_2}$, with $d_1 \neq d_2$. Then

$$\gcd(k, n) = d_1 \neq d_2 = \gcd(k, n)$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, the union is disjoint. \square

Gauss' theorem

For $n \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) = n$$

Proof. Let S_d be as in our previous lemma. By the lemma, we have

$$n = \sum_{d|n} |S_d|$$

Furthermore, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} S_d &= \left\{ d \frac{m}{d} : 1 \leq \frac{m}{d} \leq \frac{n}{d}, \quad \gcd\left(\frac{m}{d}, \frac{n}{d}\right) = 1 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ m' : 1 \leq m' \leq \frac{n}{d}, \quad \gcd\left(m', \frac{n}{d}\right) = 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$|S_d| = \varphi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)$$

and so,

$$\begin{aligned} n &= \sum_{d|n} |S_d| \\ &= \sum_{d|n} \varphi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \\ &= \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d), \end{aligned}$$

which is what we wanted to show. □

Example: $n = 10$

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \{1, 3, 7, 9\} & |S_1| &= 4 = \varphi\left(\frac{10}{1}\right) \\ S_2 &= \{2, 4, 6, 8\} & |S_2| &= 4 = \varphi\left(\frac{10}{2}\right) \\ S_5 &= \{5\} & |S_5| &= 1 = \varphi\left(\frac{10}{5}\right) \\ S_{10} &= \{10\} & |S_{10}| &= 1 = \varphi\left(\frac{10}{10}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and $10 = \varphi(10) + \varphi(5) + \varphi(2) + \varphi(1)$.

Corollary: φ is multiplicative.

Proof. Set $F(n) = n$. Then

$$F(n) = n = \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d),$$

and

$$F \text{ multiplicative} \Rightarrow \varphi \text{ multiplicative.}$$

□

Theorem. For $n \geq 1$,

$$\varphi(n) = n \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu(d)}{d}$$

Proof. From

$$F(n) = n = \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d)$$

Möbius inversion formula implies

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(n) &= \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) F\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \\ &= \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \frac{n}{d} \\ &= n \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu(d)}{d}. \end{aligned}$$

□

We have previously shown that for $n > 1$,

$$\varphi(n) = n \prod_{p_i|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right)$$

and using the last theorem, we can obtain this result using a different reasoning. Let $n = p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_r^{k_r}$ be the prime factorization of n . Now consider the product

$$P = \prod_{p_i|n} \left(\mu(1) + \frac{\mu(p_i)}{p_i} + \cdots + \frac{\mu(p_i^{k_i})}{p_i^{k_i}} \right).$$

Expanding this product yields a sum of terms on the form

$$\frac{\mu(1)\mu(p_1^{a_1})\mu(p_2^{a_2})\cdots\mu(p_r^{a_r})}{p_1^{a_1}p_2^{a_2}\cdots p_r^{a_r}} \quad 0 \leq a_i \leq k_i \quad (4)$$

and as μ is multiplicative, (4) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\mu(p_1^{a_1} \cdot p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_r^{a_r})}{p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_r^{a_r}} = \frac{\mu(d)}{d}.$$

Thus, $P = \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu(d)}{d}$ and by our previous theorem

$$\varphi(n) = n \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} = n \prod_{p_i|n} \left(\mu(1) + \frac{\mu(p_i)}{p_i} + \cdots + \frac{\mu(p_i^{k_i})}{p_i^{k_i}} \right)$$

and since $\mu(p_i^{a_i}) = 0$ for $a_i \geq 2$,

$$\varphi(n) = n \prod_{p_i|n} \left(\mu(1) + \frac{\mu(p_i)}{p_i} \right) = n \prod_{p_i|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i} \right).$$

Number Theory

November 18

2014

Definition. The *order* of $a[n]$ is the smallest positive integer k such that

$$a^k \equiv 1[n]$$

We write $k = \text{ord}_n(a)$

Example: $a = 2, n = 7$

$$2^3 = 8 \equiv 1[7]$$

$$2^1 \equiv 2, 2^2 = 4 \equiv 1[7]$$

Theorem. Let $\text{ord}_n(a) = k$, then:

$$a^n \equiv 1[n] \iff k \mid n$$

In particular, $k \mid \varphi(n)$

Proof. \Leftarrow

$$n = qk, \quad q \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$a^n = (a^k)^q \equiv 1^q \equiv 1[n]$$

\Rightarrow

$$n = qk + r, \quad 0 \leq r < k$$

$$1 \equiv a^n \equiv (a^k)^q a^r \equiv a^r[n]$$

Now if $r = 0$, $n = qk$, then $k \mid n$ and we are done. If $r \neq 0$, then this contradicts $\text{ord}_n(a) = k$, as $0 < r < k$ and the result follows.

Lastly, Euler's theorem implies $a^{\varphi(n)} \equiv 1[n]$, and so $k \mid \varphi(n)$. \square

Example: $k = \text{ord}_{11}(2) \quad 2^{10} \equiv 1[11]$

$$k \mid 10 \Rightarrow k \in \{1, 2, 5, 10\}$$

If $k =$

$$1 : 2^1 = 2 \not\equiv 1[11]$$

$$2 : 2^2 = 4 \not\equiv 1[11]$$

$$5 : 2^5 = 32 \equiv -1 \not\equiv 1[11]$$

Hence $\text{ord}_{11}(2) = 10$

Theorem. Let $\text{ord}_n(a) = k$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} a^i &\equiv a^j[n] \\ \iff i &\equiv j[k] \end{aligned}$$

Proof. \Rightarrow
Say $i \leq j$

$$\begin{aligned} a^i &\equiv a^j \equiv a^{i+(j-i)} \equiv a^i a^{j-i}[n] \\ \gcd(a^i, n) &= 1, \quad 1 \equiv a^{j-i}[n] \end{aligned}$$

The precedent theorem says:

$$k \mid j - i \Rightarrow j \equiv i[k]$$

\Leftarrow

$$\begin{aligned} j &= qk + i \\ a^j &= a^{qk+i} = \underbrace{(a^k)^q}_{\equiv 1} a^i \equiv a^i[n] \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary: Let $\text{ord}_n(a) = k$,
then a^1, a^2, \dots, a^k are incongruent modulo n .

Proof. Assume $1 \leq j \leq i \leq k$

$$a^i \equiv a^j[n]$$

The previous theorem implies:

$$\begin{aligned} i &\equiv j[k] \\ i &= j \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem. Let $\text{ord}_n(a) = k, h > 0$
Then a^h has order $\text{ord}_n(a^h) = \frac{k}{\gcd(h, k)}$

Proof. Set $d = \gcd(h, k)$

$$\begin{aligned} h &= h_1 d \\ k &= k_1 d \quad \text{and} \quad \gcd(h_1, k_1) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Then:

$$(a^h)^{k_1} = a^{\frac{hk_1}{d}} = a^{kh_1} \equiv 1^{h_1} \equiv 1[n]$$

Now set $r = \text{ord}_n(a^h)$, $r \mid k_1$

$$\begin{aligned} a^{hr} &= (a^h)^r \equiv 1[n] \\ k \mid hr &\Rightarrow \frac{hr}{k} \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \frac{\frac{h}{d}r}{\frac{k}{d}} \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \frac{h_1 r}{k_1} \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow k_1 \mid h_1 r \end{aligned}$$

Euclid's lemma implies:

$$k_1 \mid r \Rightarrow r = k_1$$

$$\text{ord}_n(a^h) = r = k_1 = \frac{k}{d} = \frac{k}{\gcd(h, k)}$$

□

Example: $n = 7, a = 3$

$$3^2 = 9 \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$$

$$3^3 = 27 \equiv -1 \pmod{7}$$

$$\Rightarrow k = \text{ord}_7(3) = 6$$

If $h = 2$,

$$\text{ord}_7(3^2) = \frac{6}{\gcd(2, 6)} = \frac{6}{2} = 3$$

$$\text{So } \text{ord}_7(2) = 3 \Rightarrow 2^3 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$$

Remark: if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$

$$\begin{aligned} b^k &\equiv a^k \equiv 1 \pmod{n} \\ b^j &\equiv a^j \equiv 1 \pmod{n} \end{aligned} \quad \text{with } 1 \leq j \leq k-1$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ord}_n(b) = \text{ord}_n(a)$$

Definition. a is called a **primitive root modulo n** if $\text{ord}_n(a) = \varphi(n)$

Example: 3 is a primitive root modulo 7: $\text{ord}_7(3) = 6 = 7 - 1 = \varphi(7)$

Lagrange's theorem: Let p be a prime, and f such that:

$$f(x) = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0$$

$$a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$a_n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

Then $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has at most n incongruent solutions.

Proof. by induction on n . At $n=1$:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= a_1 x + a_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ \Rightarrow a_1 x &\equiv -a_0 \pmod{p} \\ \gcd(a_1, p) &= 1 \\ \Rightarrow &\text{there exist a unique solution modulo } p \end{aligned}$$

Now assume it is true for polynomials of some degree $k-1$ and let $\deg(f(x)) = k$. If $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has no solution, we're done. If such a solution $x = a$ exists,

$$f(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

$$f(x) = (x - a)q(x) + r, \quad q(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[X], r \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Since $\deg(q(x)) = k - 1$

$$0 \equiv f(a) \equiv (a - a)q(a) + r = r[p]$$

Ergo $r \equiv 0[p]$

$$\Rightarrow f(x) = (x - a)q(x)$$

Now suppose $b \neq a$ is another solution to $f(x) \equiv 0[p]$.

$$0 \equiv f(b) \equiv (b - a)q(b)[p]$$

$$p \mid (b - a)q(b)$$

$$b \neq a \Rightarrow p \mid q(b) \Rightarrow q(b) \equiv 0[p]$$

Since $q(x) \equiv 0[p]$ has less or equal than $k - 1$ incongruent solutions, we get that $f(x) \equiv 0[p]$ has less or equal than $1 + (k - 1) = k$ incongruent solutions modulo p . \square

Corollary: For p prime, $d \mid p - 1$:

$$x^d - 1 \equiv 0[p]$$

has exactly d incongruent solutions.

Proof. Let $p - 1 = dk$

Then

$$x^{p-1} - 1 = (x^d - 1) \underbrace{(x^{d(k-1)} + \dots + x^{2d} + x^d + 1)}_{f(x)}$$

Fermat's theorem implies: $x^{p-1} - 1 \equiv 0[p]$ has $p - 1$ solutions, and

Lagrange's theorem implies: $f(x) \equiv 0[p]$ has less or equal than $d(k - 1) = p - 1 - d$ solutions. Set a a solution of $x^{p-1} - 1 \equiv 0[p]$:

$$0 \equiv a^{p-1} - 1 = (a^d - 1)f(a)[p]$$

$$p \mid (a^d - 1) \quad \text{or} \quad p \mid f(a)$$

$$\Rightarrow a \text{ is a solution of } x^d - 1 \equiv 0[p]$$

And by using Lagrange's theorem:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x^d - 1 \equiv 0[p] \text{ has over or equal to } d \text{ incongruent solutions}[p] \\ x^d - 1 \equiv 0[p] \text{ has less or equal than } d \text{ incongruent solutions}[p] \end{array} \right\} d \text{ solutions.}$$

\square

Theorem. Let p be a prime, $d \mid p - 1$, then there are exactly $\varphi(d)$ incongruent integers of order d modulo p .

Proof. For $d \mid \overbrace{(p-1)}^{=\varphi(p)}$, set $\psi(d)$ the number of $a \leq p-1$ of order p .

$$\Rightarrow p-1 = \sum_{d \mid (p-1)} \psi(d)$$

For $d \mid p-1$

$$d = \sum_{c \mid d} \psi(c) ;$$

And there are by the corollary exactly d incongruent solutions a_1, \dots, a_d to $x^d - 1 \equiv 0[p]$.

Then $a_i^d \equiv 1[p]$.

So $c = \text{ord}_p(a_i) \mid d$ And if , for some $b \leq p-1$,

$$c = \text{ord}_p(b) \mid d$$

$$1 \equiv b^c \Rightarrow (b^c)^{dk} \equiv b \equiv 1[p] \Rightarrow b \in \{a_1 \dots a_d\}$$

By Möbius inversion formula:

$$\Rightarrow \psi(d) = \sum_{c \mid d} \mu(c) \frac{d}{c} = \varphi(c)$$

□

Illustration: $p = 11$

a	$\text{ord}_1 1(a)$	
1	1	
2	10	
3	5	
4	5	$\left. \begin{array}{l} \psi(1) = 1 = \varphi(1) \\ \psi(2) = 1 = \varphi(2) \\ \psi(5) = 4 = \varphi(5) \\ \psi(1) = 1 = \varphi(1) \end{array} \right\} 10 = 4 + 4 + 1 + 1$
5	5	
6	10	
7	10	
8	10	
9	5	
10	2	

Corollary: A prime p has exactly $\varphi(p-1)$ primitive elements
(This is the case $d = p-1$ of the precedent theorem)

Application: $p \equiv 1[4] \Rightarrow x^2 \equiv -1[p]$ has a solution.

Take $d = 4$ in the theorem: $4 \mid p-1$

Then there exists an a of order 4 modulo p .

$$p \mid (a^4 - 1) = (a^2 - 1)(a^2 + 1)$$

$$p \mid a^2 - 1 \text{ or } \mid a^2 + 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} a^2 \equiv 1[p] \\ a^2 \equiv -1[p] \end{array} \right\} x = a \text{ is a solution to } x^2 \equiv -1[p]$$

Number Theory

November 19

2014

Lemma: p an odd prime, there is a primitive element $r[p]$ such that:

$$r^{p-1} \not\equiv 1[p^2]$$

Proof. Let r be a primitive root modulo p .

- If $r^{p-1} \not\equiv 1[p^2]$, we're done.
- If $r^{p-1} \equiv 1[p^2]$, set $r' = r + p$, $\text{ord}_p(r) = \text{ord}_p(r') = p - 1$

$$\begin{aligned} r'^{p-1} &= (r+p)^{p-1} \equiv r^{p-1} + \underbrace{\binom{p-1}{1} r^{p-2} p}_{p-1} [p^2] \\ &= r^{p-1} + p^2 r^{p-2} - r^{p-2} p \\ &\equiv 1 - r^{p-2} p \equiv 1[p^2] \text{ since } p \nmid r \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma: Let r be a primitive root modulo p such that:

$$r^{p-1} \equiv 1[p^2]$$

Then for each $k \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} r^{p^{k-2}(p-1)} &\not\equiv 1[p^k] \\ p^{k-2}(p-1) &= \frac{p^{k-1}(p-1)}{p} = \frac{\varphi(p^k)}{p} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Induction on $k \geq 2$

Case $k = 2$ is the assumption. Now assume for a particular k :

$$\begin{aligned} r^{p^{k-2}(p-1)} &= r^{\varphi(p^{k-1})} \equiv 1[p^{k-1}] \\ r^{p^{k-2}(p-1)} &= 1 + ap^{k-1} \quad a \in \mathbb{Z} \quad p \nmid a \end{aligned}$$

At $k + 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} r^{p^{k-1}(p-1)} &= (r^{p^{k-2}(p-1)})^p \equiv (1 + ap^{k-1})^p \\ &\equiv 1 + \binom{p}{1} ap^{k-1} + \underbrace{\binom{p}{2} a^2 p^{2(k-1)} + \dots}_{=0} \\ &\equiv 1 + ap^k [p^{k+1}] \\ &\not\equiv 1[p^{k+1}] \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem. $k \geq 1$, $\forall p$ odd prime, $\exists r[p^k]$ a primitive root.

Proof. Take r a primitive root modulo p . We assume from a precedent lemma that $r^{p^{k-2}(p-1)} \not\equiv 1[p^k]$ Set $n = \text{ord}_{p^k}(r)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} r^n &\equiv 1[p] \Rightarrow (p-1) \mid n \\ n \mid \varphi(p^k) &= p^{k-1}(p-1) \\ \Rightarrow n &= p^m(p-1), \quad 0 \leq m \leq k-1 \end{aligned}$$

- If $m = k-1$ it's done, r is a primitive root.
- If $m \leq k-2$:

$$r^{p^{k-2}(p-1)} = r^{p^m(p-1)p^{(k-2)-m}} \equiv 1[p^k] : \text{absurd!}$$

□

Definition. For $\gcd(a, n) = 1$, the *index* of a in the base r is the smallest positive integer h such that:

$$\begin{aligned} a &\equiv r^h[n] \\ \text{ind}_r(a) &= h \end{aligned}$$

If $a \equiv b[n]$ then $\text{ind}(a) = \text{ind}(b)$

Theorem. n, r as above.

- a) $\text{ind}_r(a, b) \equiv \text{ind}_r(a) + \text{ind}_r(b)[\varphi(n)]$
- b) $\text{ind}_r(a^k) \equiv k \cdot \text{ind}_r(a)[\varphi(n)]$
- c) $\text{ind}_r(1) \equiv 0$
- d) $\text{ind}_r(r) \equiv 1[\varphi(n)]$

Number Theory

November 21

2014

In a polynomial equation, we always state $p \nmid a$, since $ax^2 + bx + c \equiv 0[p] \iff bx + c \equiv 0[p]$ if it does. To introduce the quadratic residue, we will try to prove that

$$x^2 \equiv a[p], p \text{ an odd prime}$$

has either 0 or 2 incongruent solutions. Let's suppose x_0 is a solution, then $-x_0$ is another:

$$(-x_0)^2 \equiv x_0^2 \equiv a[p]$$

$$\text{If } x_0 \equiv -x_0[p]$$

$$\Rightarrow 2x_0 \equiv 0[p]$$

$$\Rightarrow p \mid x_0$$

$$\Rightarrow p \mid a : \text{absurd!}$$

$$\Rightarrow x_0 \not\equiv -x_0[p]$$

Hence, there are at least 2 solutions. But we also know by Lagrange's theorem that: $\deg(x^2 - 2) = 2 \Rightarrow$ there are 2 or less incongruent solutions. Therefore, there are exactly 2 solutions.

Definition. p an odd prime, $p \mid a$. a is called a quadratic residue modulo p if

$$x^2 \equiv a[p] \text{ has 2 incongruent solutions}$$

a is called a quadratic non residue if the equation has no solution.

Example: $p = 11$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} 1^2 \equiv 10^2 \equiv 1 \\ 2^2 \equiv 9^2 \equiv 4 \\ 3^2 \equiv 8^2 \equiv 9 \\ 4^2 \equiv 7^2 \equiv 5 \\ 5^2 \equiv 6^2 \equiv 3 \end{array} \right\} [11]$$

1, 3, 4, 5, 9 are quadratic residues, and 2, 6, 7, 8, 10 are quadratic non residues [11].

Euler's criterion: Let p be an odd prime, and $p \nmid a$.

Then a is a quadratic residue iff:

$$a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv 1[p]$$

Proof. :

\Rightarrow Let x_1 be such that $x_1^2 \equiv a[p]$

$$a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv (x_1^2)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} = x_1^{p-1} \underbrace{\equiv 1[p]}_{\text{Fermat's thm}}$$

\Leftarrow Assume $a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv 1[p]$

Fix a primitive root $r[p]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} a &\equiv r^k[p], \quad k = \text{ind}_p(a) \\ 1 &\equiv a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv (r^k)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} = r^{\frac{k(p-1)}{2}}[p] \\ \text{ord}_p(r) &= (p-1) \mid \frac{k(p-1)}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\exists \ell \in \mathbb{Z} / \frac{k(p-1)}{2} = (p-1)\ell \implies k = 2\ell$ is even and $a = r^k = r^{2\ell}[p]$ is a quadric residue! \square

"Extra argument"

$$\begin{aligned} a^{p-1} &\equiv 1[p] \\ p \mid (a^{p-1} - 1) &= (a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} - 1)(a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + 1) \\ \Rightarrow a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} &\equiv \pm 1[p] \end{aligned}$$

Hence a is a quadric non residue iff $a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv -1[p]$

Definition. p an odd prime, $p \nmid a$.

The **Lagrange symbol** is defined by:

$$(a/p) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \text{ is a quadric residue mod } p \\ -1 & \text{if } a \text{ is a quadric non residue mod } p \end{cases}$$

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} (1/11) &= (4/11) = (9/11) = (5/11) = (3/11) = 1 \\ (2/11) &= (6/11) = (7/11) = (8/11) = (10/11) = -1 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem. p an odd prime, $p \nmid a$, $p \nmid b$. Then:

- a) $a \equiv b[p] \Rightarrow (a/p) = (b/p)$
- b) $(a^2/p) = 1$
- c) $(a/p) \equiv a^{\frac{p-1}{2}}[p]$
- d) $(ab/p) \equiv (a/p)(b/p)$
- e) $(1/p) = 1 \quad (-1/p) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$

The proofs are quite easy so they will not figure here.

Corollary:

$$(-1/p) = \begin{cases} 1 & \\ -1 & \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} p \equiv 1[4] \\ p \equiv 3[4] \end{cases}$$

Proof.

$$p \equiv 1[4] \Rightarrow p = 4k + 1, p \equiv 3[4] \Rightarrow p = 4k + 3$$

$$\text{Since } (-1/p) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}, \text{ we have } \begin{cases} (-1)^{2k} = 1 \\ (-1)^{2k+1} = -1 \end{cases}$$

□

$$\text{Example: } (76/43) \stackrel{(a)}{=} (-10/43) \stackrel{(d)}{=} (-1/43)(2/43)(5/43) = (-1) \cdot 1 \cdot 1 = -1$$

Theorem. There are infinitely many primes of the form $4k + 1$

Proof. : Assume $p_1 \dots p_n$ are all the primes $\equiv 1[4]$, and set:

$$N = (2p_1p_2 \dots p_n)^2 + 1$$

Let p be a factor in N , then p is odd since N is odd.

$$\begin{aligned} p \mid N, \quad N &\equiv 0[p] \\ (2p_1p_2 \dots p_n)^2 + 1 &\equiv 0[p] \\ \Rightarrow (2p_1 \dots p_n)^2 &\equiv -1[p] \\ \Rightarrow (-1/p) &= 1 \\ \Rightarrow p &\equiv 1[4] \\ \Rightarrow p \mid N - (2p_1 \dots p_n)^2 &= 1: \text{ absurd!} \end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists infinitely many primes $\equiv 1[4]$. □

Number Theory

November 25

2014

Gauss's lemma: p an odd prime, $p \nmid a$

Let n denote the number of elements in

$$S = \{a, 2a, \dots, (\frac{p-1}{2})a\}$$

whose remainders $[p]$ lie in $(\frac{p}{2}, p)$, then:

$$(a/p) = (-1)^n$$

Proof. Denote the remainders: $0 < r_1 < \dots < r_m < \frac{p}{2} < s_1 < \dots < s_n < p$

If we set $m = |\{r_i\}|$, and $n = |\{s_j\}|$, $m + n = \frac{p-1}{2}$

$(r_1, \dots, r_m, p - s_1, \dots, p - s_n)$ are distincts and exhaust $\{1, 2, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}\}$.

Now assume $p - s_i = r_j$ for some i, j .

$$\exists n, r \in \mathbb{Z} / 1 \leq u, v \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} r_j \equiv va[p] \\ s_i \equiv ua[p] \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} (u+v)a \equiv v_j + s_i = p \equiv 0[p] \\ \Rightarrow p \mid (u+v) \text{ or } p \mid a \text{ (contradiction with the initial conditions)} \\ \Rightarrow p \mid (u+v) \text{ but } 0 < 2 \leq (u+v) \leq p-1 < p \end{array}$$

So $p \nmid (u+v)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)! &= r_1 \dots r_m (p - s_1) \dots (p - s_n) \\ &= r_1 \dots r_m (-s_1) \dots (-s_n) \\ &= (-1)^n r_1 \dots r_m s_1 \dots s_n \\ &= (-1)^n (a(2a) \dots (\frac{p-1}{2})a) \\ &\equiv (-1)^n \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)! a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} [p] \quad \text{and } \gcd(\frac{p-1}{2}, p) = 1 \text{ so:} \\ 1 &\equiv (-1)^n a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} [p] \\ \Rightarrow (-1)^n &\equiv a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} [p] \end{aligned}$$

And by Euler's criterion:

$$\begin{aligned} (a/p) &\equiv a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv (-1)^n [p] \\ &\Rightarrow (a/p) \in \{\pm 1\} \end{aligned}$$

Since $p > 2$, the congruence must be an equality. □

Example: $p = 13$, $a = 5$

$$S = \{5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30\} \equiv \{5, 10, 2, 7, 12, 4\}$$

$$(5/13) = (-1)^5 = -1 \text{ so } 5 \text{ is a non residue [13]}$$

Definition. $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is the largest integer less or equal to x .

Theorem. p an odd prime, then:

$$(2/p) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 1[8] \\ -1 & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 3[8] \end{cases}$$

Proof. $(2/p) = (-1)^n$, with n the number of remainders in $(\frac{p}{2}, p)$, from $S = \{2, 4, 6, \dots, (\frac{p-1}{2})2\}$.

p	$\frac{p-1}{2} - \lfloor \frac{p}{4} \rfloor = n$	$(-1)^n = (2/p)$
$8k+1$	$4k - 2k = 2k$	1
$8k+3$	$4k+1 - 2k = 2k+1$	-1
$8k+5$	$4k+2 - (2k+1) = 2k+1$	-1
$8k+7$	$4k+3 - (2k+1) = 2k+2$	1

□

Corollary: $(2/p) = (-1)^{\frac{p^2-1}{8}}$

Proof.

- If $p = 8k \pm 1$
 $\Rightarrow \frac{p^2-1}{8} = 8k^2 \pm 2$ is even.
- If $p = 8k \pm 3$
 $\Rightarrow \frac{p^2-1}{8} = 8k^2 \pm 6 + 1$ is odd.

□

Theorem. p an odd prime.

$$\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} (a/p) = 0$$

i.e. there are exactly $\frac{p-1}{2}$ quadric residues and $\frac{p-1}{2}$ quadric non residues $[p]$.

Proof. Let r be a primitive root modulo p , then:

$$\begin{aligned} \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\} &\equiv \{r, r^2, \dots, r^{p-1}\}[p] \\ \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} (a/p) &\underset{\text{Euler's crit}}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} (r^k/p) = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} (r/p)^k = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} (-1)^k \\ (r/p) &\overset{\text{Euler's crit}}{=} r^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv (-1)[p] \\ (r/p) &= -1 \end{aligned}$$

And since $p-1$ is even, the sum vanishes.

□

Number Theory

November 28

2014

Lemma: Let p be an odd prime, a an odd integer, $p \nmid a$.

Then, $(a/p) = (-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \lfloor \frac{ka}{p} \rfloor}$

Proof. Consider $S = \{a, 2a, \dots, (\frac{p-1}{2})a\}$.

$$ka = q_k p + t_k \quad \text{with} \quad 1 \leq t_k \leq p-1, \quad 1 \leq k \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$$

$$\{t_1 \dots t_{\frac{p-1}{2}}\} = \{r_i\}_{i=1}^m + \{s_j\}_{j=1}^n$$

$$\frac{ka}{p} = q_k + \frac{t_k}{p} \quad 0 < \frac{t_k}{p} < 1 \Rightarrow q_k = \lfloor \frac{ka}{p} \rfloor$$

The we calculate the two sums:

•

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} ka = \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \lfloor \frac{ka}{p} \rfloor + \sum_{i=1}^m r_i + \sum_{j=1}^n s_i$$

• And considering $\{r_i, p - s_j\} = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}\}$ (from a previous result),

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} k = \sum_{i=1}^m r_i + \sum_{j=1}^n (p - s_j) = np + \sum r_i + \sum s_j$$

We then subtract:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} ak - \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} k = p \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \lfloor \frac{ka}{p} \rfloor - n \right) + 2 \sum s_j$$

And looking at that modulo 2, with a and p both still odd:

$$0 \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \lfloor \frac{ka}{p} \rfloor - n[2]$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \lfloor \frac{ka}{p} \rfloor \equiv n[2] \quad \text{but then} \quad (a/p) = (-1)^n = (-1)^{\sum \lfloor \frac{ka}{p} \rfloor}$$

□

Gauss's quadratic reciprocity theorem:

$p \neq q$ two odd primes, then:

$$(p/q)(q/p) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \frac{q-1}{2}}$$

The exact proof is in the book. **Corollary:** $p \neq q$ two odd primes, then

$$(p/q)(q/p) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \text{ or } q \equiv 1[4] \\ -1 & \text{if } p \text{ or } q \equiv 3[4] \end{cases}$$

Corollary: $p \neq q$ two odd primes, then

$$(p/q) = \begin{cases} (q/p) & \text{if } p \text{ or } q \equiv 1[4] \\ -(q/p) & \text{if } p \text{ or } q \equiv 3[4] \end{cases}$$

Example:

$$(37/89) \Rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} 37 \equiv 1[4] \\ 89 \equiv 15[37] \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow (15/37) = (3/37)(5/37) = (1/3)(2/5) = 1 \cdot -1 = -1$$

Theorem. *If $p \neq 3$ is an odd prime, then*

$$(3/p) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 1[12] \\ -1 & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 5[12] \end{cases}$$

Number Theory

December 2

2014

Question: What integer can be written as a sum of 2 squares?

Lemma:

$$\text{If } \begin{cases} m = a^2 + b^2 \\ n = c^2 + d^2 \end{cases} \Rightarrow mn \text{ is also a sum of two squares.}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Set } \begin{cases} z = a + bi \\ w = c + di \end{cases} & \begin{cases} m = a^2 + b^2 = |z|^2 \\ n = c^2 + d^2 = |w|^2 \end{cases} \\ (a^2 + b^2)(c^2 + d^2) = mn &= |z|^2 |w|^2 = |zw|^2 \\ &= |(a + bi)(c + di)|^2 \\ &= |(ac - bd) + (ad + bc)i| \\ &= (ac - bd)^2 + (ad + bc)^2 \end{aligned}$$

□

Dirichlet's box principle: If n objects are placed in m boxes, and $n > m$, then some boxes contains more than one object.

Thue's Lemma: p a prime, $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $p \nmid a$

Then $ax \equiv y[p]$ has a solution $x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}/$

$$0 < |x_0| < \sqrt{p}, \quad 0 < |y_0| < \sqrt{p}$$

Proof. Set $k = \lfloor \sqrt{p} \rfloor + 1$, and consider:

$$\begin{aligned} f : \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\} \times \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\} &\longrightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\} \\ (x, y) &\longrightarrow ax - y[p] \end{aligned}$$

As $k > p^2$, Dirichlet's box principle implies: $\exists (x_1, y_1) \neq (x_2, y_2) /$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, y_1) &= f(x_2, y_2) \\ ax_1 - y_1 &= ax_2 - y_2[p] \\ ax_1 - ax_2 &= y_1 - y_2[p] \\ \begin{pmatrix} x_0 = x_1 - x_2 \\ y_0 = y_1 - y_2 \end{pmatrix} &\rightarrow ax_0 = y_0[p] \end{aligned}$$

We prove easily that x_0 and y_0 are both non zero.

□

Fermat's theorem: An odd prime p is a sum of 2 squares iff $p \equiv 1[4]$.

Proof. :

\Rightarrow Assume

$$p = a^2 + b^2 \quad a, b \in \mathbb{N}$$

Then $p \nmid a$, for if it does:

$$a = pk \implies p = a^2 + b^2 \geq a^2 = p^2 k \geq p^2$$

Symetrically, $p \nmid b$.

Then, $\exists c \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \quad bc \equiv 1[p]$, and:

$$\begin{aligned} c^2 \mid (ac)^2 + (bc)^2 &= (a^2 + b^2)c^2 = pc^2 \equiv 0[p] \\ \Rightarrow (ac)^2 + 1 &\equiv 0[p] \\ ac^2 &\equiv -1[p] \\ (-1/p) &= 1 \Rightarrow p \equiv 1[4] \end{aligned}$$

\Leftarrow Let $p \equiv 1[4]$

$$\Rightarrow (-1/p) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow a^2 \equiv -1[p]$$

Then $a \not\equiv 0[p]$ so $p \nmid a$ and Thue's lemma says $\exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$

$$ax \equiv y[p]$$

$$0 < |x| < \sqrt{p}, \quad 0 < |y| < \sqrt{p}$$

$$y^2 \equiv (ax)^2 = a^2 x^2 \equiv -x^2[p]$$

$$\Rightarrow x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0[p]$$

$$x^2 + y^2 = kp \quad \text{for some } p \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$0 < x^2 + y^2 < p + p = 2p$$

$$\Rightarrow k = 1, \quad x^2 + y^2 = p$$

□

Proposition: p a prime of the form $4k + 1$ can be represented uniquely as a sum of two squares.

Proof. Assume $p = a^2 + b^2 = c^2 + d^2$ where a, b, c, d are positive integers.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} a^2 d^2 - b^2 d^2 &= a^2 d^2 + b^2 d^2 - b^2 d^2 - b^2 c^2 \\ &= d^2(a^2 + b^2) - b^2(c^2 + d^2) \\ &= d^2 p - b^2 p \equiv 0[p] \end{aligned}$$

So $p \mid (ad + bc)$ or $p \mid (ad - bc)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < a, b, c, d < \sqrt{p} &\Rightarrow 0 < ad, bc < p \\ \Rightarrow ad = bc &\quad \text{or} \quad ad = p - bc \end{aligned}$$

In this last case, $p = ad + bc$, so:

$$\begin{aligned} p^2 &= (a^2 + b^2)(a^2 + d^2) = (ad + bc)^2 + (ac - bd)^2 = p^2 + (ac - bd)^2 \\ &\Rightarrow ac - bd = 0 \\ &\quad ac = bd \end{aligned}$$

Then we have either $ad = bc$ or $ac = bd$.

By symmetry ($c \rightarrow d$) we assume $ad = bc$.

If $\gcd(a, b) > 1$, then

$$\gcd(a, b)^2 \mid a^2 + b^2 = p^2$$

Which is absurd, therefore, a and b are relatively prime.

$$\begin{aligned} a \mid ad = bc &\Rightarrow a \mid c \\ c &= ka, \quad \text{for some } k \in \mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} ad &= bka \\ d &= bk \\ (c, d) &= k(a, b) \\ p &= c^2 + d^2 = (ka)^2 + (kb)^2 = k^2(a^2 + b^2) = k^2p \\ &\Rightarrow k^2 = 1 \\ &\Rightarrow k = 1 \\ &\Rightarrow (c, d) = (a, b) \end{aligned}$$

□

Example: $p = 29 \equiv 1[4]; a^2 \equiv -1[29]$

$$a = 12; \quad 12^2 = 144 \equiv -1[29]$$

$$12x \equiv y[29] \quad 145 = 5 \cdot 29$$

$$0 < |x|, |y| < \sqrt{29}$$

x	$12x \equiv y$
1	$12 \equiv 12$
2	$24 \equiv -5$
3	$36 \equiv 7$
4	$48 \equiv -10$
5	$50 \equiv 2$

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) &= (2, -5) \\ 12 \cdot 2 &\equiv -5[29] \\ 5^2 = y^2 &\equiv (12x)^2 \equiv -1x^2 \equiv -2^2[29] \\ 5^2 + 2^2 &\equiv 0[29] \\ 5^2 + 2^2 &= 29 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n = N^2m$, with m square free.
Then $n = a^2 + b^2 \iff m$ contains no prime factor of the form $4k + 3$

Proof. :

\Rightarrow Assume

$$n = a^2 + b^2 = N^2 m$$

Let p be an odd prime, $p \mid m$. Set:

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \gcd(a, b) \\ a &= dr \\ b &= ds \end{aligned} \quad \text{with } \gcd(r, s) = 1$$

$$d^2(r^2 + s^2) = (dr)^2 + (ds)^2 = a^2 + b^2 = n = N^2 m$$

$$\Rightarrow d^2 \mid N^2 \text{ as } m \text{ is square free?}$$

$$r^2 + s^2 \equiv 0[p]$$

$$\gcd(r, s) = 1 \equiv p \nmid r \text{ or } p \nmid s$$

By symmetry, $p \nmid r$.

$\exists r' \in \mathbb{Z}/$

$$\begin{aligned} rr' &\equiv 1[p] \\ (rr')^2 + (sr')^2 &\equiv 0[p] \\ 1 + (sr')^2 &\equiv 0[p] \\ (sr')^2 &\equiv -1[p] \\ \Rightarrow (-1/p) &= 1 \\ \Rightarrow p &\equiv 1[4] \end{aligned}$$

\Leftarrow If $m = 1$, $n = N^2$.

Let $m > 1$, $m = p_1 \dots p_r$ (distinct primes). $p_i \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2[4]$, $\exists x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{Z}/$

$$p_i = x_i^2 + y_i^2 \quad 1 \leq i \leq r$$

By repeatedly using multiplicativity:

$$\begin{aligned} m &= p_1 \dots p_r = x^2 + y^2 \\ n &= N^2 m = N^2(x^2 + y^2) = (Nx)^2 + (Ny)^2 = a^2 + b^2 \end{aligned}$$

□

Example:

•

$$459 = 3^3 \cdot 17 = \underbrace{3^2}_{N^2} \underbrace{(3 \cdot 17)}_m$$

$$3 = 3[4] \text{ so } n = 459 \neq a^2 + b^2.$$

•

$$n = 153 = 3^2 \cdot 17$$

$$m = 4^2 + 1^2$$

$$153 = 3^2(4^2 + 1^2) = (3 \cdot 4)^2 + 3^2 = 12^2 + 3^2$$

Theorem. «Officially part of the course but not so important» $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ can be written $n = a^2 + b^2 \iff n \not\equiv 2[4]$

Number Theory

December 5

2014

Fibonacci sequence

$$\begin{cases} u_1 = u_2 = 1 \\ u_n = u_{n-1} + u_{n-2} \end{cases}$$

Claim: $u_{5n+2} > 10^n$ for $n \geq 1$.

Proof. Induction on n :

$$n = 1 \quad u_7 = 13 > 10^1$$

Now assume it is true for some n :

$$\begin{aligned} u_{5n+2} &> 10^n \\ u_{5(n+1)+2} &= u_{5n+7} = u_{5n+6} + u_{5n+5} \\ &= 2(u_{5n+5} + u_{5n+3}) + u_{5n+4} \\ &= 3(u_{5n+3} + u_{5n+2}) + 2u_{5n+3} \\ &= 5(u_{5n+2} + u_{5n+1}) + 3u_{5n+2} \\ &= 8u_{5n+2} + 5u_{5n+1} > 8u_{5n+2} + 2 \underbrace{(u_{5n+1} + u_{5n})}_{=u_{5n+2}} \\ &= 10u_{5n+2} > 10 \cdot 10^n = 10^{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem. $\gcd(u_n, u_{n+1}) = 1 \quad \forall n \geq 1$

Proof. Set $d = \gcd(u_n, u_{n+1})$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow d \mid u_{n+1} - u_n = u_{n-1} \\ &\Rightarrow d \mid u_n - u_{n-1} = u_{n-2} \\ &\Rightarrow \vdots \\ &\Rightarrow d \mid u_3 - u_2 = 1 \\ &\Rightarrow d = 1 \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition: for $m \geq 2, n \geq 1$:

$$u_{m+n} = u_{m-1}u_n + u_mu_{n+1}$$

Proof. Fix $m \geq 2$. Induction on n :

$$\boxed{n=1} \quad \begin{aligned} u_{m+1} &= u_{m-1}u_1 + u_mu_2 \\ &= u_{m-1} + u_m \end{aligned}$$

Now assume it is true for all integer until some n . We show it is true for $n + 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{at } n-1 \quad u_{m+n-1} &= u_{m-1}u_{n-1} + u_mu_n \\
\text{at } n \quad u_{m+n} &= u_{m-1}u_n + u_mu_{n+1} \\
\text{at } n+1 \quad u_{m+n+1} &= u_{m-1}u_{n-1} + u_mu_n + u_{m-1}u_n + u_mu_{n+1} \\
&= u_{m-1}(u_n + u_{n-1}) + u_m(u_n + u_{n+1}) \\
&= u_mu_{n+1} + u_mu_{k+2}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem. For $m \geq 1, n \geq 1$

$$u_m \mid u_{mn}$$

Proof. Fix $m \geq 1$ and by induction on n :

$$\boxed{n=1} \quad u_m \mid u_{m \cdot 1} = u_m$$

Now assume $u_m \mid u_{mn}$ for some n , and consider the case $n + 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{m(n+1)} &= u_{mn+n} = u_{mn-1}u_m + u_{mn}u_{m+1} \\
\Rightarrow u_m \mid u_m; \quad u_m \mid u_{mn} &\Rightarrow u_m \mid u_{mn-1}u_m + u_{mn}u_{m+1} \\
&\Rightarrow u_m \mid u_{m(n+1)}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma: Let $m = qn + r$, with $m, n, q, r \geq 1$, then:

$$\gcd(u_m, u_n) = \gcd(u_r, u_n)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\gcd(u_m, u_n) &= \gcd(u_{qn+r}, u_n) \\
&= \gcd(u_{qn-1}u_r + u_{qn}u_{r+1}, u_n)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{We know that: } u_n \mid u_{qn} \Rightarrow \gcd(a + bk, b) &= \gcd(a, b)] \\
&= \gcd(u_{qn-1}u_r, u_n)
\end{aligned}$$

We now try to prove:

$$\gcd(u_{qn-1}, u_n) = 1$$

$$\text{If } d = \gcd(u_{qn-1}, u_n) \text{ then } d \mid u_n \mid u_{qn} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} d \mid u_{qn-1} \\ d \mid u_{qn} \end{cases} \Rightarrow d = 1$$

□

Theorem. The gcd of two Fibonacci numbers is a Fibonacci number:

$$\gcd(u_m, u_n) = u_{\gcd(m, n)}$$

Proof. Assume $m \geq n$. We use the euclidian algorithm:

$$\begin{array}{ll|l}
m = q_1n + r_1 & 0 < r_1 < n & \gcd(u_m, u_n) = \gcd(u_{r_1}, u_n) \\
n = q_2r_1 + r_2 & 0 < r_2 < r_1 & = \gcd(u_n, u_{r_1}) = \gcd(u_{r_2}, u_{r_1}) \\
\vdots & \vdots & = \cdots = \gcd(u_{r_{k-1}}, u_{r_k}) \\
r_{k-2} = q_k r_{k-1} + r_k & & \text{And since } r_k \mid r_{k-1} \Rightarrow u_{r_k} \mid u_{r_{k-1}} \\
r_{k-1} = q_{k-1} r_k + 0 & 0 < r_k < r_{k-1} & \text{We have } u_{\gcd(m, n)}
\end{array}$$

□

Number Theory

December 9

2014

Definition. A finite continued fraction is an expression:

$$a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{\ddots + \frac{1}{a_{n-1} + \frac{1}{a_n}}}}}$$

Theorem. Any rational number can be written as a finite simple continued fraction.

Proof. in the book p.308. □

Example:

$$\begin{array}{l|l} \frac{a}{b} = \frac{43}{13} & \frac{43}{13} = 3 + \frac{4}{13} = 3 + \frac{1}{\frac{13}{4}} \\ 43 = 3 \cdot 13 + 4 & \frac{13}{4} = 3 + \frac{1}{4} = 3 + \frac{1}{4} \cdot 1 \\ 13 = 3 \cdot 4 + 1 & \frac{4}{1} = 4 \\ 4 = 4 \cdot 1 & \end{array}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{43}{13} = 3 + \frac{1}{3 + \frac{1}{4}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{13}{43} = 0 + \frac{1}{3 + \frac{1}{3 + \frac{1}{4}}}$$

Notation: $[a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{\ddots + \frac{1}{a_{n-1} + \frac{1}{a_n}}}}$

$$\frac{13}{43} = [0; 3, 3, 4]$$

Definition. $C_k = [a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k]_{1 \leq k \leq n}$; is called the k^{th} convergent to $[a_0; a_1, \dots, a_k, \dots, a_n]$.

Example:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} [0; 3, 3, 4] \\ C_0 = 0 \\ C_1 = 0 + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{3} \\ C_2 = 0 + \frac{1}{3 + \frac{1}{3}} = \frac{3}{10} \\ C_3 = 0 + \frac{1}{3 + \frac{1}{3 + \frac{1}{4}}} = \frac{13}{43} \end{array} \right\} C_k = \frac{p_k}{q_k}, \text{ with } \begin{bmatrix} p_0 = a_0 & q_0 = 1 \\ p_1 = a_1 a_0 + 1 & q_1 = a_1 \\ p_k = a_k p_{k-1} & q_k = a_k q_{k-1} + q_{k-2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Theorem. The k^{th} convergent $C_k = \frac{p_k}{q_k}$ with p_k, q_k given by recursion.

Proof. We prove this more generally for $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

Induction on k : True for $C_0 = \frac{p_0}{q_0}$, $C_1 = \frac{p_1}{q_1}$, $C_2 = \frac{p_2}{q_2}$.

Now assume it's true for some m such that: $2 \leq m \leq n$:

$$[a_0; a_1, \dots, a_m] = \frac{p_m}{q_m} = \frac{a_m p_{m-1} + p_{m-2}}{a_m q_{m-1} + q_{m-2}}$$

Since $p_{m-1}, p_{m-2}, q_{m-1}, q_{m-2}$ only depend on a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{m-1} but not a_m :

$$\begin{aligned} C_{m+1} &= [a_0; a_1, \dots, a_m, a_{m+1}] = [a_0; a_1, \dots, a_m + \frac{1}{a_{m+1}}] \\ &= \frac{(a_m + \frac{1}{a_{m+1}})p_{m-1} + p_{m-2}}{(a_m + \frac{1}{a_{m+1}})q_{m-1} + q_{m-2}} \cdot \frac{a_{m-1}}{a_{m-1}} \\ &= \frac{a_{m+1}(a_m p_{m-1} + p_{m-2}) + p_{m-1}}{a_{m+1}(a_m q_{m-1} + q_{m-2}) + q_{m-1}} \\ &= \frac{p_{m+1}}{q_{m+1}} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the theorem holds for $m+1$ and for all $m \leq n$ by finite induction. \square

Convention:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} p_{-2} = 0 & q_{-2} = 1 \\ p_{-1} = 1 & q_{-1} = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c} k & -2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline a_k & . & . & 0 & 3 & 3 & 4 \\ p_k & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 13 \\ q_k & 1 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 10 & 43 \\ C_k & . & . & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{3}{10} & \frac{13}{43} \end{array} \right)$$

Theorem. The convergents $\frac{p_k}{q_k} \quad \forall k \leq n$ satisfy:

$$p_k q_{k-1} - p_{k-1} q_k = (-1)^{k-1}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_k & q_k \\ p_{k-1} & q_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_k & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_{k-1} & q_{k-1} \\ p_{k-2} & q_{k-2} \end{bmatrix}$$

If we set $M_k = \begin{bmatrix} p_k & q_k \\ p_{k-1} & q_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}$; and $A_k = \begin{bmatrix} a_k & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, then: $M_k = A_k M_{k-1}$. And therefore:

$$A_k M_{k-1} = A_k (A_{k-1} M_{k-2}) = \dots = A_k A_{k-1} \dots A_0 M_{-1}$$

$$M_{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} p_{-1} & q_{-1} \\ p_{-2} & q_{-2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = Id$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \det(M_k) &= p_k q_{k-1} - q_k p_{k-1} = \prod_{j=0}^k \det(A_j) \det(M_{-1}) = (-1)^{k+1} \\ &= (-1)^{k-1} \end{aligned}$$

\square

Number Theory

December 9

2014

Lemma: $q_{k-1} \leq q_k$ for $A \leq k \leq n$ with a strict inequality for $k > 1$.
Hence $q_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. : $k = 1$, $q_k = 1 \leq a_1 = q_1$, and let $k > 1$, then:

$$q_k = a_k q_{k-1} + q_{k-2} > a_k q_{k-1} \geq q_{k-1}$$

□

Theorem. *The convergents satisfy:*

- a) $C_0 < C_2 < C_4 < \dots$
- b) $C_1 < C_3 < C_5 < \dots$
- c) $C_{2s} < C_{2r-1} \quad \forall s \geq 0, r \geq 1$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} C_{k+2} - C_k &= (C_{k+2} - C_{k+1}) + (C_{k+1} - C_k) \\ &= \left(\frac{p_{k+2}}{q_{k+2}} - \frac{p_{k+1}}{q_{k+1}} \right) + \left(\frac{p_{k+1}}{q_{k+1}} - \frac{p_k}{q_k} \right) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{q_{k+2}q_{k+1}} + \frac{(-1)^k}{q_{k+1}q_k} = (-1)^k \frac{q_{k+2} - q_k}{q_{k+2}q_{k+1}q_k} \\ &\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{If } k \text{ is even : } C_{k+2} - C_k > 0 \Rightarrow C_k < C_{k+2} & (a) \\ \text{If } k \text{ is odd : } C_{k+2} - C_k < 0 \Rightarrow C_{k+2} < C_k & (b) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition. *An infinite continued fraction is an expression:*

$$[a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \dots] = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3 + \frac{1}{\ddots}}}}$$

With $a_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ $a_j > 0$ for $j \geq 1$

This has a convergent C_n :

$$C_n = [a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n$$

Proof of the convergence:

$$C_0 < C_2 < C_4 < \dots < C_5 < C_3 < C_1$$

Set

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \alpha &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_{2n} \\ \alpha' &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_{2n-1} \end{aligned} \right\} \alpha \leq \alpha'$$

$$0 \leq \alpha - \alpha' < C_{2n+1} - C_{2n} = \frac{p_{2n+1}}{q_{2n+1}} - \frac{p_{2n}}{q_{2n}} = \frac{(-1)^{2n}}{q_{2n+1}q_{2n}} = \frac{1}{\underbrace{q_{2n+1}q_{2n}}_{\rightarrow 0 \text{ when } n \rightarrow \infty}}$$

Example: Consider $[1; 1, 1, 1, \dots, 1, \dots]$ $a_n = 1 \forall n$.

$$p_n = p_{n-1} + p_{n-2} \quad q_n = q_{n-1} + q_{n-2}$$

k	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4	5
p_k	0	1	1	2	3	5	8	13
q_k	1	0	11	2	3	5	8	

$$\Rightarrow C_n = \frac{u_{n+2}}{u_{n+1}} \text{ since it's the Fibonnacci sequence.}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_{n+2}}{u_{n+1}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_{n+2}}{u_{n+1}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_{n+1} + u_n}{u_{n+1}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 + \frac{1}{\frac{u_{n+1}}{u_n}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 + \frac{1}{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_{n+1}}{u_n}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_{n+2}}{u_{n+1}} = \frac{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_{n+2}}{u_{n+1}} + 1}{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_{n+2}}{u_{n+1}}} \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n = \frac{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n + 1}{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n} \Rightarrow (\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n)^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n + 1$$

$$\Rightarrow x^2 - x + 1 = 0 \iff x = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2} \text{ but } x_0 > C_0 \Rightarrow \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} = [1; 1, 1, \dots]$$

Notation: We write:

$$[3; 1, 2, 1, 6, 1, 2, 1, 6, 1, \dots] = [3; 1, 2, \bar{1}, 6]$$

Theorem. *The value of an infinite continued fraction is irrational.*

Proof. Set $x = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_n}{q_n}$

Let $n \geq 0$. As x lies between C_n and C_{n+1}

$$0 < |x - C_n| < |C_{n+1} - C_n| = \left| \frac{p_{n+1}}{q_{n+1}} - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right| = \frac{1}{q_{n+1}q_n}$$

Now, assume (for a contradiction) that $x = \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q}$:

$$0 < \left| \frac{a}{b} - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right| < \frac{1}{q_{n+1}q_n}$$

□