Ecurley1_Assignment 8

```
#install.packages("Benchmarking")
library(Benchmarking)
## Warning: package 'Benchmarking' was built under R version 4.0.5
## Loading required package: lpSolveAPI
## Loading required package: ucminf
## Loading required package: quadprog
x \leftarrow \text{matrix}(c(150,400,320,520,350,320,.2,.7,1.2,2.0,1.2,.7), ncol = 2)
y \leftarrow \text{matrix}(c(14000, 14000, 42000, 28000, 19000, 14000, 3500, 21000, 10500, 42000, 25000, 15000), \text{ncol} = 2)
colnames(y) <- c("3rd Party", "Private")</pre>
colnames(x) <- c("Staff Hours", "Supplies")</pre>
##
        Staff Hours Supplies
## [1,]
                 150
                           0.2
## [2,]
                 400
                           0.7
## [3,]
                320
                           1.2
## [4,]
                520
                           2.0
## [5,]
                 350
                           1.2
                 320
## [6,]
                           0.7
##
        3rd Party Private
## [1,]
            14000
                       3500
## [2,]
            14000
                     21000
## [3,]
             42000
                    10500
## [4,]
             28000
                     42000
## [5,]
             19000
                     25000
## [6,]
             14000
                    15000
e \leftarrow dea(x,y,RTS = "fdh")
                                        # provide the input and output
## [1] 1 1 1 1 1 1
```

```
peers(e)
                                  # identify the peers
## peer1
## [1,] 1
## [2,]
          2
## [3,]
## [4,] 4
## [5,] 5
## [6,] 6
lambda(e)
      L1 L2 L3 L4 L5 L6
## [1,] 1 0 0 0 0 0
## [2,] 0 1 0 0 0 0
## [3,] 0 0 1 0 0 0
## [4,] 0 0 0 1 0 0
## [5,] 0 0 0 0 1 0
## [6,] 0 0 0 0 1
e \leftarrow dea(x,y,RTS = "crs")
                                # provide the input and output
## [1] 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 0.9775 0.8675
peers(e)
                                  # identify the peers
       peer1 peer2 peer3
## [1,] 1 NA
## [2,]
         2 NA NA
## [3,]
         3 NA NA
## [4,] 4 NA NA
## [5,] 1 2 4
## [6,] 1 2 4
lambda(e)
                   L2 L3 L4
              L1
## [1,] 1.0000000 0.00000000 0 0.0000000
## [2,] 0.0000000 1.00000000 0 0.0000000
## [3,] 0.0000000 0.00000000 1 0.0000000
## [4,] 0.0000000 0.00000000 0 1.0000000
## [5,] 0.2000000 0.08048142 0 0.5383307
## [6,] 0.3428571 0.39499264 0 0.1310751
e <- dea(x,y,RTS = "vrs") # provide the input and output
```

```
peers(e)
                                  # identify the peers
       peer1 peer2 peer3
## [1,] 1 NA
## [2,] 2 NA
                     NA
## [3,]
         3 NA NA
## [4,] 4 NA NA
## [5,] 5 NA NA
## [6,] 1 2 5
lambda(e)
##
                     L2 L3 L4
             L1
## [1,] 1.0000000 0.0000000 0 0.0000000
## [2,] 0.0000000 1.0000000 0 0.0000000
## [3,] 0.0000000 0.0000000 1 0 0.0000000
## [4,] 0.0000000 0.0000000 0 1 0.0000000
## [5,] 0.0000000 0.0000000 0 0 1.0000000
## [6,] 0.4014399 0.3422606 0 0 0.2562995
e <- dea(x,y,RTS = "irs") # provide the input and output
## [1] 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 0.8963
                                  # identify the peers
peers(e)
       peer1 peer2 peer3
## [1,]
       1 NA
## [2,]
           2 NA
                     NA
## [3,]
       4 NA NA
5 NA NA
1 2
## [4,]
## [5,]
         1 2
## [6,]
lambda(e)
                      L2 L3 L4
             L1
## [1,] 1.0000000 0.0000000 0 0.0000000
## [2,] 0.0000000 1.0000000 0 0.0000000
## [3,] 0.0000000 0.0000000 1 0 0.0000000
## [4,] 0.0000000 0.0000000 0 1 0.0000000
## [5,] 0.0000000 0.0000000 0 0 1.0000000
## [6,] 0.4014399 0.3422606 0 0 0.2562995
e \leftarrow dea(x,y,RTS = "drs")
                                  # provide the input and output
```

[1] 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 0.9775 0.8675

```
peers(e)
                                    # identify the peers
       peer1 peer2 peer3
## [1,]
       1 NA
                      NA
## [2,]
                      NA
          2
                NA
## [3,]
          3 NA NA
       4 NA NA
1 2 4
1 2 4
## [4,]
## [5,]
## [6,]
lambda(e)
              L1
                       L2 L3
## [1,] 1.0000000 0.00000000 0 0.0000000
## [2,] 0.0000000 1.00000000 0 0.0000000
## [3,] 0.0000000 0.00000000 1 0.0000000
## [4,] 0.0000000 0.00000000 0 1.0000000
## [5,] 0.2000000 0.08048142 0 0.5383307
## [6,] 0.3428571 0.39499264 0 0.1310751
e \leftarrow dea(x,y,RTS = "fdh+")
                                     # provide the input and output
## [1] 1 1 1 1 1 1
                                    # identify the peers
peers(e)
##
       peer1
## [1,]
## [2,]
## [3,]
           3
## [4,]
           4
## [5,]
          5
## [6,]
lambda(e)
       L1 L2 L3 L4 L5 L6
## [1,] 1 0 0 0 0 0
## [2,] 0 1 0 0 0 0
## [3,] 0 0 1 0 0 0
## [4,] 0 0 0 1 0 0
## [5,] 0 0 0 0 1 0
## [6,] 0 0 0 0 0 1
x <- matrix(c(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,
        1.0000,1.0000,1.0000,1.0000,0.9775,0.8675,
        1.0000, 1.0000, 1.0000, 1.0000, 1.0000, 0.8963,
        1.0000, 1.0000, 1.0000, 1.0000, 1.0000, 0.8963,
        1.0000, 1.0000, 1.0000, 1.0000, 0.9775, 0.8675,
```

```
1,1,1,1,1,1
),ncol = 6)
colnames(x) <- c("FDH","CRS","VRS","IRS","DRS","FRH/FDH+")
x
```

```
##
        FDH
               CRS
                       VRS
                              IRS
                                     DRS FRH/FDH+
## [1,]
          1 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000
## [2,]
          1 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000
                                                 1
## [3,]
          1 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000
                                                 1
##
  [4,]
          1 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000
                                                 1
          1 0.9775 1.0000 1.0000 0.9775
## [5,]
                                                 1
          1 0.8675 0.8963 0.8963 0.8675
                                                 1
## [6,]
```

We can see here that under the assumption of FDH and FHR all sites are preforming at peek efficiency. However when we consider other methods such as CRS VRS IRS and DRS we see that site 5 & 6 perform under peek efficiency.

For example under CRS site 5 is %97.75 efficient, thus they should be able to improve their efficiency by mimicing the effort of their closest peers (Sites 1,2,&4) This same process can be applied to the other methods and peers.

Under the assumption of CRS and DRS site 5 should mimic sites 1,2, & 4 Under the assumption of CRS and DRS site 6 should mimic sites 1,2, & 4 Under the assumption of VRS and IRS site 6 should mimic sites 1,2, & 5

The weights as indicated by the lambdas will indicate how important each of those sites should be weighted.