



# **First-principles Studies of Novel Two-dimensional Materials and Their Physical Properties**



**Yierpan Aierken**

Supervisor: Prof. François M. Peeters

Advisor: Dr. Ortwin Leenaerts

Dr. Deniz Çakır

Department of Physics, University of Antwerp

This dissertation is submitted for the degree of  
*Doctor of Philosophy*

Antwerp, Belgium

July 2017



*I would like to dedicate this thesis  
to my loving parents Arkin and Perwin,  
to my beloved wife Adila Dilshat,  
to my cherished sons Esran and Wildan.*



## **Declaration**

I hereby declare that except where specific reference is made to the work of others, the contents of this dissertation are original and have not been submitted in whole or in part for consideration for any other degree or qualification in this, or any other university. This dissertation is my own work and contains nothing which is the outcome of work done in collaboration with others, except as specified in the text and Acknowledgements. This dissertation contains fewer than 65,000 words including appendices, bibliography, footnotes, tables and equations and has fewer than 150 figures.

Yierpan Aierken  
July 2017



## **Acknowledgements**

And I would like to acknowledge ...



## **Abstract**

This is where you write your abstract ...



# Table of contents

<b>List of figures</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>List of tables</b>	<b>xix</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Graphene . . . . .	3
1.1.1 History . . . . .	3
1.1.2 Physical properties . . . . .	6
1.2 Post-graphene materials and their general properties . . . . .	7
1.2.1 Functionalized graphene . . . . .	8
1.2.2 Group IV 2D materials . . . . .	10
1.2.3 2D from layered materials . . . . .	11
1.3 1D from 2D: nanotubes and nanoribbons . . . . .	13
1.4 Synthesis methods . . . . .	16
<b>2 Computational methods</b>	<b>19</b>
2.1 Theory . . . . .	19
2.1.1 Density Functional Theory . . . . .	19
2.1.2 Exchange-correlation functional . . . . .	23
2.2 Implementation . . . . .	28
2.2.1 Software Packages . . . . .	31
<b>3 General physical properties 2D materials</b>	<b>33</b>
3.1 Structural properties . . . . .	33
3.1.1 Layer structure . . . . .	33
3.1.2 sp hybridization . . . . .	37

3.2	Electronic properties . . . . .	39
3.2.1	Graphene . . . . .	40
3.2.2	Dirac cone and symmetry . . . . .	41
3.2.3	Examples: 2D-h-BN, 2D-MoS <sub>2</sub> and graphene . . . . .	42
3.3	Vibrational properties . . . . .	43
3.3.1	Example: monolayer MoS <sub>2</sub> . . . . .	44
3.3.2	Dynamic stability from phonon dispersion . . . . .	45
3.4	Mechanical properties . . . . .	47
3.4.1	Examples: graphene, 2D-BN and 2D-MoS <sub>2</sub> . . . . .	49
3.4.2	Mechanical stability: Born stability criteria . . . . .	49
<b>4</b>	<b>Results of Physical Properties Calculations in Novel 2D Materials</b>	<b>51</b>
4.1	Thermal properties of phosphorene . . . . .	51
4.1.1	Phosphorene . . . . .	51
4.1.2	Thermal expansion and Quasi-harmonic approximation .	53
4.1.3	Phonon modes and dispersion . . . . .	56
4.1.4	Results of temperature-dependent thermal properties .	57
4.1.5	Summary . . . . .	60
4.2	Piezoelectric properties of 2D-TMDCs and 2D-TMDOs . . . . .	60
4.2.1	Piezoelectric constants . . . . .	62
4.2.2	Accurate band gaps from HSE06 hybrid functional . . . . .	64
4.2.3	Elastic constants . . . . .	65
4.2.4	Piezoelectric stress/strain coefficients . . . . .	67
4.2.5	Importance of internal relaxation . . . . .	69
4.2.6	Summary . . . . .	70
4.3	Magnetic properties of penta-hexa-graphene . . . . .	71
4.4	Computational details . . . . .	72
4.5	Results . . . . .	72
4.6	Summary and Conclusions . . . . .	77
4.6.1	Magnetic ordering . . . . .	77
4.7	Battery related properties of MXenes/graphene heterostructure	77
4.7.1	Principle of Lithium battery . . . . .	77
4.7.2	Key quantities and their modelling . . . . .	77

---

<b>5 Results of Physical Properties Modification in Novel 2D materials</b>	<b>79</b>
5.1 Number of layers and types of stackings . . . . .	79
5.1.1 Few-layer of Calcium hydroxide . . . . .	79
5.1.2 Few-layer of pentasilicene . . . . .	79
5.2 Mechanical strain . . . . .	79
5.2.1 Variation of vibrational modes under strain in phosphorene . . . . .	79
5.2.2 Carrier mobility enhancement in TiS <sub>3</sub> monolayer with strain	82
5.2.3 Carrier mobility . . . . .	82
5.2.4 Magnetic properties modulation in penta-hexa-graphnene with strain . . . . .	82
5.3 Adatom adsorption . . . . .	86
5.3.1 Nitrogen edge-decorated graphene nanoribbon . . . . .	86
5.4 Heterostructures . . . . .	86
5.4.1 Electrical transport in 1T/2H/1T MoS <sub>2</sub> lateral heterostructure . . . . .	86
5.4.2 Li storage and diffusion in MXenes/graphene vertical heterostructure . . . . .	86
5.5 Defect induction . . . . .	86
5.5.1 Faceted blue phosphorene nanotube formed by line defects . . . . .	86
5.5.2 Electronic structure of penta-hexa defects in graphene .	86
<b>6 Conclusions</b>	<b>87</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>List of Publications</b>	<b>107</b>



# List of figures

1.1	Graphene publications . . . . .	2
1.2	Relation of graphite, graphene, fullerene and nanotube. Image source: the Nobel prize in physics 2010 [4]. . . . .	4
1.3	Graphene lattice and band structure. . . . .	7
1.4	Atomic and electronic structure of graphane . . . . .	9
1.5	Graphite fluoride to fluorographene. Image source:[46] . . . . .	9
1.6	Buckled hexagonal crystal structures of 2D group IV materials (X = Si, Ge, and Sn). Different colors represent different 2D planes and their distance is the buckling parameter $\delta$ . Image adapted from:[51] . . . . .	10
1.7	Layered hexagonal crystal structures of h-BN. Image adapted from:[65]	12
1.8	Layered structures of TMDs . . . . .	13
1.9	Band structure evolution of MoS <sub>2</sub> from bulk to single layer. Image source: [78] . . . . .	14
1.10	Chiral vector and different type of nanotubes as obtained by rolling them up in different directions. Image adapted from [83] . . . . .	15
1.11	Graphene production setups. Image source [84] . . . . .	16
1.12	Growth of graphene on SiC wafer. Image source [87] . . . . .	17
1.13	A ultra-large-area graphene film. Image source [89] . . . . .	18
2.1	Comparison of the accuracy and the size of electronic structure calculation methods. Image source: [93]. . . . .	20
2.2	Jacob's ladder for DFT approximations. Image source: [99]. . . . .	25
2.3	MAE of the equilibrium lattice constants and band gaps of different functionals on SC40 solid test set <sup>1</sup> . Data source: [102]. . . . .	26

2.4	Schematic illustration of the relation between $E_g$ and $E_g^{KS}$ . Image adapted from: [104]. . . . .	27
3.1	(a) Energy-momentum dispersion relations of single layer and bilayer graphene as approximated by plane intersections of 3D graphite dispersion relation and (b) their 3D dispersion relations around the $K$ k point. (c) Matching of the wavelength in graphite to that in single layer and bilayer graphene. Image adapted from: [115]. . . . .	35
3.2	Energy-momentum dispersion relations of MoS <sub>2</sub> as plane intersections of 3D dispersion relations. Image adapted from: [117]. . .	36
3.3	Three types of sp hybridized orbitals. Image adapted from: [119]. . . . .	38
3.4	The formation of $sp^2 \sigma$ and $p_z \pi$ double bond. Image source: [122]. . . . .	40
3.5	Graphene lattice and its Brillouin zone. Image source: [14]. . . . .	41
3.6	Possible positions for the second atom (blue area) in order to guarantee the existence of Dirac cones as going from (a) square lattice to (f) hexagonal lattice. The first atom is located at the corners of the unit cell. Image source: [123]. . . . .	42
3.7	Electronic band structures of 2D-hBN, 2D-MoS <sub>2</sub> and graphene calculated with PBE-GGA functional. . . . .	42
3.8	(a) phonon modes of layered bulk (first row) and monolayer (second row) MoS <sub>2</sub> at $\Gamma$ q point. (b) phonon dispersion and projected DOS of monolayer MoS <sub>2</sub> . . . . .	46
3.9	Imaginary frequencies are shown as negative frequencies in a phonon dispersion plot. . . . .	46
3.10	(a) A structure at the convex (left) and the concave (right) of the PES. (b) Searching for a stable structure (phase transition). . . . .	47
4.1	Black and blue phosphorene structures . . . . .	52
4.2	Schematic illustration of the relation between asymmetric interatomic potential and thermal expansion. . . . .	54
4.3	Thermal expansion coefficients of graphite and graphene calculated using QHA (continuous line) and experimental result for graphite (points). Image source: [159] . . . . .	55

4.4	Calculated phonon dispersions for pristine (a) black and (b) blue P. (c) Optical phonon modes together with their frequencies (in units of $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) at the $\Gamma$ point and irreducible representations for black P and blue P. . . . .	57
4.5	(a) Lattice constants and (b) TEC as a function of temperature. Here, ZZ and AC are denoted for the zigzag and armchair directions, respectively. (c) TEC of monolayer MoS <sub>2</sub> for comparison from Ref. [156]. . . . .	58
4.6	Thermal properties variations of phosphorene with temperature	59
4.7	Mechanism of direct piezoelectric effect. Image source: [174] . .	62
4.8	Top and side views of MX <sub>2</sub> where M= Cr, Mo, W, Ti, Zr, Hf, Sn and X=O, S, Se, Te. P denotes the direction of the polarization. Piezoelectric calculations are done in a rectangular cell. . . . .	64
4.9	The calculated PBE-GGA and HSE06 E <sub>gap</sub> values for TMDCs and TMDOs. Here, white * sign indicates that it is a direct band gap material. . . . .	65
4.10	(a) Clamped-ion and (b) relaxed-ion polarization change under applied uniaxial strain ( $\epsilon_{11}$ ) along the x direction for the selected 2D-TMDCs and 2D-TMDOs structures. Piezoelectric coefficient is determined from the slope of the curves. . . . .	67
4.11	The calculated clamped and relaxed-ion (a) piezoelectric stress ( $e_{11}$ ) and (b) piezoelectric strain ( $d_{11}$ ) coefficients. . . . .	68
4.12	(Color online) Atomic structure of a 2×2 supercell of monolayer ph-graphene. (a) Top view with hexagonal and pentagonal rings marked with red and yellow color, respectively; (b) Side view of the buckled structure. (Visualisation using VESTA [216]) . . . . .	73
4.13	(Color online) Bond angles in the unit cell of monolayer ph-graphene.	
	74	
4.14	(Color online) Phonon dispersion relation of monolayer ph-graphene.	
	75	
4.15	(Color online) Electronic band structure of monolayer ph-graphene. The charge densities at the VBM and CBM in the unit cell are shown as insets. The arrows on the atoms indicate the orientation of their magnetic moments. . . . .	75

5.1	Calculated phonon dispersions for pristine (a) black and (b) blue P. (c) Optical phonon modes together with their frequencies (in units of $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) at the $\Gamma$ point and irreducible representations for black P and blue P. (c) Optical phonon modes together with their frequencies (in units of $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) at the $\Gamma$ point and irreducible representations for black P and blue P. . . . .	80
5.2	(Color online) Variation of the $\Gamma$ frequencies with strain: (a) zigzag and (b) armchair direction of black P and (c) blue P. . . . .	81
5.3	AFM to FM transition of monolayer ph-graphene under strain. . . . .	82
5.4	(Color online) Band gap variation of AFM and FM monolayer ph-graphene under strain. . . . .	84
5.5	(Color online) AFM to FM transition through spin-flip in monolayer ph-graphene. . . . .	85

# List of tables

3.1	Mechanical properties of graphene, BN and MoS <sub>2</sub> . . . . .	49
4.1	Calculated clamped and relaxed-ion elastic constants (in units of N/m), Young modulus Y (in units of N/m) and Poisson's ratio $\nu$ . . .	66
4.2	Orbital hybridization indices of the C atoms in ph-graphene. . .	74



# **Chapter 1**

## **Introduction**

A new field of research related to both material science and condensed matter physics has been formed since the synthesis of graphene in 2004 [1, 2]. Graphene is a sheet of carbon atoms in a crystal form having a single atom thickness. Given the thin plane-like structural nature of this type of material the field is named two-dimensional (2D) material. The synthesis itself together with the phenomenal properties of graphene has led to a Nobel Prize in physics awarded to Andre Geim and Konstantin Novoselov in 2010 [3]. Since then, the field is expanding with the involvement of researchers not only from young community, but also from experts who have been working on graphene-related materials like graphite, fullerenes and carbon nanotubes. As a result, researches focused on graphene and related topics increasing with unprecedented speed, see Fig. 1.1. While part of the research has been to explore more the properties of graphene itself and its applications, the other related research has been conducted on discovering new 2D materials. It has been evidenced from graphene, same material having different dimensionality can have different properties. For example, as compared to graphite, its monolayer graphene has a superior mechanical properties and massless carriers, to name a few. Therefore, many materials with hidden properties which will only manifest themselves at other dimensions are yet to be discovered.

On the other hand, with the advent of powerful supercomputer facilities, calculations that seems impossible to finish in a reasonable time now has been made possible. The accuracy of such calculations is the most crucial aspect of computational physics, especially when the results are utilized to predict real




Fig. 1.1 Graphene related publications and patents during the last decade. Data source: ISI Web of Science and PATENTSCOPE.<sup>1</sup>

materials properties. To make the time spent on costly supercomputer valuable, researchers and programmers have been making important progress in order to make sure theories and its implementation are correct and the results they yield are within acceptable precision. Equipped with these tools, theoretical predictions have served well on discovering unexplored properties and applications of the materials. Moreover, detailed characterizations at atomic scale benefits the experimental results as well, or even to explain the unexpected outcomes.

Considering all mentioned, it is a sound approach to apply state-of-the-art computational methods that accompanied with high-performance supercomputer facilities to investigate the physical properties of novel 2D materials. This thesis was initiated to this end and it is a summary of several works which has been accomplished during my PhD study. The thesis is organized as follows: For the rest of this chapter, I will first introduce graphene and some post-graphene materials that were discovered right after graphene and, briefly, several well-known methods used to synthesis 2D materials. The following chapter 2 will present the computational methods, the theory and the implementations of them in available software packages. In chapter 3, I will discuss several gen-

<sup>1</sup>Publication and patent results are obtained by searching for "graphene" in the topic field of Web of Science and the title field of PATENTSCOPE, respectively.

eral properties of 2D materials. The next two chapters will be the main results from my works. Starting from specific properties targeting specific novel 2D materials in chapter 4, and followed by modification of physical properties of 2D materials in chapter 5. Overlaps of materials themselves and their properties are inevitable between sections yet it will be minimized, such that each section will have a unique topic.

## 1.1 Graphene

Graphene is composed of carbon (C) atoms arranged on a honeycomb lattice in a single atomic layer. Graphite is made of van der Waals coupled graphene layers, see Fig. 1.2. These layers in graphite are stacked on top of another through weak physical bonds, whereas within each layer C atoms are hold together by strong chemical bonds. As a result, it is possible to just isolate a single layer from graphite without damaging the layer itself.

### 1.1.1 History

The story of graphene can be traced back to the discover of graphite around 1564 in England[5]. Ever since, people have been using graphite, the tip of a pencil, for writing and drawing. The black trace left behind by a pencil is actually stacks of graphite and graphene, but by chance even a single layer of graphene can be present. Apart from being a part of a pencil, graphite certainly has been holding a more important position in technology and industry due to its rich chemistry, low friction, high electrical and thermal conductivity etc. On the other hand, the synthesis of a single layer graphene seems to be discouraged by both experimental and theoretical limitation. On the experimental side, there have been attempts[6–9] to isolate graphene from graphite or even grow it on a substrate. However, they were mostly failed due to the control of the number of layers and the difficulty to identify graphene itself. Addition to these experimental difficulties, theoretically, it was believed that strictly 2D materials should not exist due to a divergence in the thermal fluctuation in 2D materials that will make them unstable [10–12]. Nevertheless, graphene was still considered as a theoretical model. For example, Wallace [13] was the first to study the band structure of




Fig. 1.2 Relation of graphite, graphene, fullerene and nanotube. Image source: the Nobel prize in physics 2010 [4].

graphene [14] and found some of the interesting properties like a semimetallic band structure.

Although not in the form of graphene, the single atomic layer of graphite has been already seen and studied in other forms, e.g. fullerenes and nanotubes, see Fig. 1.2. These materials usually contain certain types of characteristic defects that make it different from graphite. Fullerene has a quasi-spherical hollow ball shape. It is composed of both six- and five-folded C rings, where the latter give positive curvature and made closed surface possible. The resulting shape resembles a football[15, 16]. The Nobel prize in chemistry of 1996 was award to Harold W. Kroto, Robert F. Curl and Richard E. Smalley for their discovery of fullerene. Another important type of carbon allotrope, carbon nanotubes[17], was discovered by using the arc-discharge method[16] which was originally designed to produce a large quantity of fullerenes. Despite sharing similar production method, carbon nanotubes are actually more close to graphene than fullerene due to the absence of pentagonal C rings in the former two. A carbon nanotube can be constructed by rolling up a graphene sheet into a hollow tube as its name suggest. Carbon nanotubes are observed to have micrometer in lengths and nanometer in diameter and having either metallic or semiconducting nature depending on the way they are rolled up. They possess superior mechanical properties. Individual nanotube has a Young's modulus of 0.64 TPa and it is 56 times stronger than steel wire[18].

In 2004, the situation has changed completely for graphene with the successfully isolation of a single layer of graphene from graphite by A. K. Geim and K. S. Novoselov at Manchester University using a simple micromechanical cleavage method. The key ingredient for success in this case as compared to the previous failures[6, 7], except for the sophisticated experimental control, is that the Si wafer under the graphene made it easier to identify graphene[3]. The synthesis of graphene itself already is a ground-breaking achievement, however, what excited the researcher the most is the extraordinary properties of graphene. In the following section, I will summarized some of them to illustrate this point.

### 1.1.2 Physical properties

As mentioned previously, graphene is a single atomic layer of graphite. It possesses an interesting structure with high symmetry which many of its properties are attributed to. Each C atom has three neighbours to which it is chemically bonded. Because of this, C atoms are arranged in a honeycomb lattice<sup>2</sup>, or a hexagonal Bravais lattice with two atoms per site, see (a) in Fig. 1.3. Graphene has uniform bond lengths of  $1.42\text{\AA}$  and uniform bond angles of  $120^\circ$ . The band structure which characterizes the electronic properties of graphene has been calculated by P. R. Wallace in 1947 [13]. He discovered that graphene is a semimetal with conduction band minimum (CBM) and valence band maximum (VBM) touch each other at the K and K' points in the first Brillouin zone as shown in (b) and (c) in Fig. 1.3. The energy-momentum dispersion is approximately linear in the vicinity of the K and K' points. Due to this, the electron and hole in those states behave differently as they do in a quadratic band. This has several consequences. First of all, considering the linear energy momentum relation, particles can be regarded as zero-mass Dirac particles and they are governed by relativistic Dirac equation[19], and they travel at constant speed of  $10^6\text{m/s}$ . Hence, the K and K' points are referred as Dirac points, their vicinities are called Dirac cones. Secondly, the carrier concentration can be tuned continuously from electron to hole with a perpendicular electric field[3]. Thirdly, the carrier in graphene can tunnel through a finite height potential if it normally incident without reflection — Klein tunnelling[20]. Fourthly, under a particular magnetic field, a zero energy Landau level appears, and the large energy interval between the zero and the first level made it possible to observe the quantum Hall effect at room temperature [21], etc.

Graphene delivers more than just interesting electronic properties. For example, evidencing the extraordinary mechanical properties, graphene has a Young modulus of  $1\text{Tpa}$  and intrinsic strength of  $130\text{ Gpa}$ [23]. This makes graphene the strongest material ever measured. More than 300 times stronger than steel and four times harder than diamond. Carrier high mobility is another exciting feature that has more applicative importance in electronic devices. Free standing graphene without substrate attached has been reported to have mobility of

---

<sup>2</sup>Honeycomb lattice is not a Bravais lattice.




Fig. 1.3 (a) Graphene honeycomb lattice composed of A and B hexagonal Bravais sublattices. (b) Band structure of graphene where CBM and VBM touch each other only at the K and K' points. (c) Approximately linear dispersion around the K and K' points. Image source: [22].

230,000 cm<sup>2</sup>/Vs at low temperature[24] and 120,000 cm<sup>2</sup>/Vs at 240 Kelvin, the latter value is higher than that of any known semiconductor[25]. In addition, the thermal conductivity of graphene can reach up to 5000 W/mK at room temperature, which is 20 times higher than that of copper[26]. However, having a zero band gap means the application of graphene in digital logic gates is limited. The current controlled by the gate bias can not be turned off completely. Efforts to opening a band gap have been made, from substrate induction[27, 28], bi-layer graphene[29, 30], chemical adsorption[31, 32], and chemical doping[33] to quantum confinements[34, 35]. While doping and adsorption usually come with a cost of reducing mobility by introducing scattering centres, chemically pure bilayer graphene and nanoribbon are thought to be promising approaches to open a band gap as well as, to a great extend, preserve graphene's superior intrinsic properties.

## 1.2 Post-graphene materials and their general properties

Excitements of the exploration of graphene has driven the force to discover more types of 2D materials. Researchers have taken different approaches to this end. On the one hand, aiming to open a band gap in graphene, chemical functionalizations on graphene have been carried out with chemically adsorption of hydrogen, fluorine and oxygen, and resulting in graphane, fluorographene and

graphene oxide, respectively. On the other hand, inspired by graphite's layer structure, other layered materials are brought to the attention and efforts were undertaken to isolate single layer of it. In this section, I will introduce some of these early post-graphene materials and their physical properties in general.

### 1.2.1 Functionalized graphene

#### Graphane

The fully hydrogenation of graphene gives a 2-D hydrocarbon called graphane. It can synthesized either by reduction of graphite and then hydrogenation of left product (graphene, carbon nanotubes or graphite oxide) with liquid-based[36] or gas-based[37] environments, or growing by chemical vapour deposition[38].

Graphane is not flat as graphene. In fact, the bonding character changed from  $sp^2$  hybridization to  $sp^3$ , which results into buckled structure, see Fig. 1.4. Neighbouring H atoms locate at the different sides of the graphane plane. Among different phases of graphane, the chair structure was found to be the ground state. Others phases are metastable like: boat, twist-boat and twist-boat-chair[39]. The C-C bond length in the chair structure is 1.52 Å and thus larger than that in graphene. Graphane is a semiconductor with 3.5 eV band gap in the chair form. The band gap was reported to scale almost linearly with the hydrogen coverage[40]. The 2D Young's modulus of graphane is estimated 245 N/m[41] and thus smaller than 340 N/m of graphene. The incomplete coverage of H atoms on graphene gives hydrogenated graphene. It has a ferromagnetic magnetic state[42], tunable band gap[43] and reversible hydrogenation[31].

#### Fluorographene

More stronger binding between external atom and C atom can be realized using fluorine atom for adsorption. A full fluorinated graphene is called fluorographene, and it can be regarded as a single layer of graphite fluoride. Actually, sonochemical exfoliation of fluorographene from graphite fluoride is one of the ways to synthesis it, see Fig. 1.5[46]. Fluorographene has a similar structure as graphane due to the same  $sp^3$  hybridization, and it also has different isomers where the again the chair type is the ground state configuration[47]. The unit




Fig. 1.4 (a)The chair structure of graphane. The white balls are the H atoms and the grey ones are the C atoms. Image source: [44]. (b) Band structure of chair graphane. Image source: [45]

cell of fluorographene is around 1% larger than that of graphene[48]. The formation energy of fluorographene is about 0.5 eV per fluorine atom lower than that of graphane per hydrogen atom[32]. The band gap of fluorographene is larger than 3 eV from optical measurement[32, 48], and the band structure is similar to that of graphane with a band gap at the  $\Gamma$  k-point. The 2D Young's modulus of fluorographene is 100 N/m and the intrinsic strength is about 15 N/m. Both are more than two times less than those for graphene due to the weaker sp<sup>3</sup> bonds in fluorographene[48].



Fig. 1.5 Graphite fluoride to fluorographene. Image source:[46]

### 1.2.2 Group IV 2D materials

Analogues to graphene, 2D materials made of only single elements from other members of group IV have been also proposed and synthesized. These are silicene, germanene, stanene which are made of silicon (Si), germanium (Ge) and tin (Sn) atoms, respectively. They generally suffer from less stability as compared with graphene. The free standing form of these materials are hard to make, instead they usually need ordered substrates to support them. Therefore, measurements done on these type of systems are thought not necessarily representative for the target material, the influence of the substrate is not negligible[49]. This will in turn hinder the accurate determination of their properties. Despite these experimental difficulties, theoretical studies have more freedom to investigate their physical properties. One of the most important differences of these materials as compared to graphene is their not-flat buckled structure, see Fig. 1.6. The buckling parameters  $\delta$  is defined as the interlayer distance of layers at different 2D atomic planes. According to calculations,  $\delta$  is 0.45 Å for silicene, 0.69 Å for germanene and 0.85 Å for stanene[50]. This change corresponds to a more  $sp^3$  character in the orbitals, and it increases with the atomic radius.




Fig. 1.6 Buckled hexagonal crystal structures of 2D group IV materials ( $X = Si, Ge, and Sn$ ). Different colors represent different 2D planes and their distance is the buckling parameter  $\delta$ . Image adapted from:[51]

Although having a buckled structure, these materials also posses Dirac points with linear energy momentum dispersion around it[52]. However, as stated before, the substrate that supports these materials will induce symmetry breaking which leads to the lost of the Dirac character of the electrons/holes[49]. More-

over, spin-orbit coupling (SOC) in these materials are predicted to be larger than that in graphene due to larger atomic weights. With inclusion of SOC, this corresponds to 1.9 meV band gap in silicene and 101 meV of that in stanene[50]. The mechanical stiffness and strength are low as compared to graphene and has a reducing trend with increasing atomic number in this group. This is partially due to the less energetically costly bond angle deformation in the buckled structure upon load rather than bond stretching in a flat structure[53]. For example, silicene has a 2D Young's modulus around 62 N/m, that is four times smaller than graphene. Another important difference of these materials from graphene regards the realization of a monolayer. The lack of layered bulk materials for the former ones made the mechanical exfoliation inapplicable for them, which is believed to produce the highest quality sample otherwise. Therefore, methods used in this case are either bottom-up decomposition techniques onto highly ordered substrates[54, 55], or top-down methods like chemical exfoliation to isolate grown monolayer from substrate[56, 57].

### 1.2.3 2D from layered materials

The layered structure of graphite contribute the most to the isolation of graphene. If the interlayer bonding were not the weak vdW interaction but rather a covalent type, even the concept of layers can not stand let alone to break the bonds only in one direction and keep others in the other two directions. Therefore, a reasonable way to explore other 2D materials is through other layered materials, e.g. hexagonal boron nitrides, transition metal dichalcogenides. In this section, I will discuss the general physical properties of these two materials as examples for 2D materials from layered materials.

#### Boron Nitride

Among the multiple structural phases of Boron Nitride, the layered hexagonal phase (h-BN) is the most stable one, see Fig. 1.7 for the structure. A single layer extracted from it gives 2D h-BN. Because of its structural similarity to graphene and its wide band gap it is often referred as white graphene[58]. 2D h-BN has a band gap of 6.1 eV according to calculations. A intuitive tight binding analysis reveals the band gap, in the case of 2D h-BN, to be proportional to the difference

of  $p_z$  orbitals from B and N atoms. For silicene and graphene, this difference is zero thus so is the band gap. Moreover, as a result of different electronegativity, i.e. 2.0 for B and 3.0 for N, ionic character develops which further enlarges the band gap[59]. Several interesting features of this material are reported: strong mechanical stiffness and strength close to graphene[60], a good thermal conductivity of  $100\text{-}270 \text{ W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$  for few-layer h-BN[61] as an electrical insulator, a high oxidation resistance up to  $700^\circ\text{C}$  in contrast to  $400^\circ\text{C}$  for graphene[62], etc.. Benefit from its compatible bond length, i.e. 1. Å, with graphene, it is a perfect partner for graphene to form heterostructure electronic devices to serve as a dielectric substrate[63], resulting in larger mobility for graphene as compared to  $\text{SiO}_2$  substrate[64] for instance.




Fig. 1.7 Layered hexagonal crystal structures of h-BN. Image adapted from:[65]

### Transition Metal Dichalcogenides

Transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) have a general formula of  $\text{MX}_2$ , where M stands for the group 4-7 elements in the transition metal series in the periodic table, and X are the group VI elements. This is another type of layered materials, and the single layer of some of them have been experimentally realized. These materials typically exist in three different structural phases as shown in Fig. 1.8, which at monolayer level can be either H or T phase. One of the most important differences in these two phases is the lack of inversion symmetry in H phase in contrast to the T phase. Therefore, spin orbit coupling (SOC) is more important in




Fig. 1.8 Layered structures of TMDs. 2H: two layers per unit cell with hexagonal symmetry; 3R: three layers per unit cell with rhombohedral symmetry; 1T: one layer per unit cell with tetragonal symmetry.  $a$  is the in-plane lattice constant with a range from 3.1 to 3.7 Å in TMDs.  $c$  is the vertical lattice constant. The interlayer distance has a typical length of 6.5 Å. Image source: [66]

H and induces spin-splitting, for instance 456 meV electron spin states splitting in WSe<sub>2</sub>[67] has been reported. Note that, inversion symmetry is recovered in the layered bulk form hence suppresses SOC. Another important consequence of reduce dimensionality is the indirect-to-direct band gap transition from layered TMDs to its 2D counterpart, see for example Fig. 1.9. 2D-TMDs have a broad range of potential applications. Electrocatalysis[68, 69] benefit from adequate active sites, electronic devices[70, 71] benefit from typical band gap of 1-2 eV, Li or Na batteries[72, 73] benefit from high surface-to-volume ratio and short diffusion path, photocatalysis benefit from high stability under extreme light intensity[74, 75], and biomedicine benefit from enhancement of the physiological stability and biocompatibility of polymers on 2D-TMDs[76, 77].

### 1.3 1D from 2D: nanotubes and nanoribbons

The reduction of dimensionality of the materials did not stop at the 2D level. Further lowing it will result in 1D nanotubes or nanoribbons. A nanoribbon is




Fig. 1.9 Band structure evolution of MoS<sub>2</sub> from bulk to single layer. Image source: [78]

a strip of 2D sheet with nano-scale width and micro-scale length and it is still flat. Whereas nanotubes are the rolling up of nanoribbons resulting in a tube structure. Each nanotube, also each nanoribbon but with different definition, is associated with a chiral vector that uniquely defines its structure parameters expect the length which is consider to be infinite in theory. In Fig. 1.10,  $\vec{a}_1$  and  $\vec{a}_2$  are the unit lattice vectors in graphene. Chiral vector,  $\vec{C}$ , is the superposition of these two unit vectors with indices pair  $(n,m)$ . Zigzag edge always has a  $(n,0)$  form and  $(n,n)$  is always armchair edge. Everything else is called chiral type edge. This finite-length chiral vector also defines the radius of the tube. Nanoribbons, on the other hand, have these three types of edges as well. However, in this case, edges have infinite length.

With confinements from other directions, physical properties of these systems are expected to be different than that for their higher dimension counterparts. For example, graphene nanoribbons have a finite band gap in contrast to the zero band gap of graphene[79]. Moreover, control of this confinement will give tunable physical properties. For example, overall inverse band gap relation with the width of the nanoribbon[80]. The zigzag edges in graphene

nanoribbon form spin-polarized magnetic states and give ferromagnetic ordering along the edge and anti-ferromagnetic ordering across edges[81]. For nanotubes, those having the same edges belong to the same class of chirality and have the same electronic structure. For instance, armchair carbon nanotubes are metallic, other types are semiconducting. But small radius tubes can be exceptional due to the large curvature[82]. The strong mechanical strength and high thermal conductivity of a graphene nanoribbon is similar to those in graphene.




Fig. 1.10 Chiral vector and different type of nanotubes as obtained by rolling them up in different directions. Image adapted from [83]

## 1.4 Synthesis methods

In this last section, I will briefly discuss some of the well-known synthesis methods for 2D materials. In Fig. 1.11, an overview of graphene production methods is displayed in Fig. 1.11.




Fig. 1.11 Graphene production setups. Image source [84]

### Micromechanical cleavage

Micromechanical cleavage is also known as mechanical exfoliation, which was the method used to first successful isolation of graphene in 2004 using an adhesive tape[2]. It involves separating layers in layered materials by mechanical, electrostatic, or electromagnetic forces. This method gives high quality product and is suitable for laboratory-scale sample ideal for fundamental studies. Large scale productions are impractical through this method. Room temperature mo-

bility was measured up to  $20,000 \text{ cm}^2\text{V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ [85] on graphene prepared with this method.

### Liquid phase exfoliation

Liquid phase exfoliation is the extraction of layers in a proper solvent using ultra-sounds. The cavitation-induced bubbles collapse around the graphite will generate a compressive stress wave. As a primary result, this will cause a reflective tensile wave whose strength is proportional with the number of such bubbles. Intensive tensile stress is enough to break graphite into graphite flakes. Additionally, as a secondary effect, shear effect can be developed from unbalance lateral stress, and separate two adjacent layers. Liquid phase exfoliation is a promising method to synthesis cheap and scalable samples.

### Growth on SiC

Growth of graphene on SiC involves SiC sample annealing at high temperature ( $> 1400^\circ\text{C}$ ) in vacuum or under atmospheric pressure. The sublimation of silicon atoms leave behind carbon atoms on the surface which will rearrange to form a graphitic layer[86], see Fig. 1.12. Apart from high reproducibility and production of homogeneous large-area sample by this method, it has the advantage that graphene is available on a semiconducting substrate for layer electronic device integration.




Fig. 1.12 Growth of graphene on SiC wafer. Image source [87]

### Chemical vapor deposition

Chemical vapor deposition (CVD) is a popular method to grow amorphous or crystalline thin film from solid, gaseous or liquid precursors. It is a direct deposition of vaporized desired material onto a particular substrate. Various CVD methods exist depending on their operating pressure, types of vaporization and whether it is plasma-assisted etc.. Graphene grown on transition metals usually is of high quality. Carbon atoms from organic sources in the gas phase are deposited on a metal (Ni, Ru, Ir etc.) and convert to graphene at high temperature. Then, for the characterization, graphene is transferred to a proper substrate. Typical mobility of such type of sample is around  $1000\text{-}25000 \text{ cm}^2\text{V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ [88]. A 30-inch graphene film has been produced from roll-to-roll production through CVD methods by Bae et al. [89], see Fig. 1.13. The measured product was found to be a better electrode than commercially available indium tin oxides.




Fig. 1.13 A ultra-large-area graphene film. Image source [89]

# **Chapter 2**

## **Computational methods**

Theories behind the calculations are the core component in the material properties determination process. Its correctness, accuracy and implementation directly influence the quality of its predictions. In this chapter, I will introduce relevant theoretical models, approximations and their implementations in commonly used software packages.

### **2.1 Theory**

#### **2.1.1 Density Functional Theory**

Density functional theory (DFT) is one of the most widely used quantum mechanical method to calculate the properties of materials. Its applicable length and time scale are in nanometre and picoseconds, respectively. These scales are longer than for quantum Monte Carlo simulations, but lower than for semi- or full-empirical methods in both scales. This is also so in the accuracy verse size-of-the-system plot in Fig. 2.1. The accuracy of different methods can be higher than that in the plot, since a large part of the inaccuracy can be attributed to the uncertainty of the experimental results that the methods are compared with[90]. As I will discuss in the later section, if a DFT method is compared with a highly accurate theoretical benchmark method, DFT would have a precision around 1 meV/atom. For bulk or nanostructures, DFT can be used to even quantitatively predict the properties of materials. DFT is based on: Hohenberg-Kohn theorems[91] and Kohn-Sham equations[92]. Here I will briefly overview these

without putting too much stress on the derivation which have been extensively documented in textbooks. Materials are made from electrons and nuclei. Type




Fig. 2.1 Comparison of the accuracy and the size of electronic structure calculation methods. Image source: [93].

of nuclei and the interactions between these components give rise to various materials and their properties. The interactions are mainly electrostatic or Coulombic. While electrons must be described with quantum mechanics, the nuclei can be treated as classical particles. The equation governing electron behaviour is

the Schrödinger equation. It can be written as follows:<sup>1</sup>

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{H}\psi_\alpha(\vec{r}_1\sigma_1, \dots, \vec{r}_N\sigma_N) &= \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N v(\vec{r}_i) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{|\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j|} \right] \psi_\alpha(\vec{r}_1\sigma_1, \dots, \vec{r}_N\sigma_N) \\
 &= (\hat{T} + \hat{V}_{ext} + \hat{V}_{ee}) \psi_\alpha(\vec{r}_1\sigma_1, \dots, \vec{r}_N\sigma_N) \\
 &= E_\alpha \psi_\alpha(\vec{r}_1\sigma_1, \dots, \vec{r}_N\sigma_N).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

$\hat{H}$  is the total Hamiltonian,  $\hat{T}$  is the kinetic energy,  $\hat{V}_{ext}$  is the interaction between electrons and nuclei. Here we already started with the first approximation: Born–Oppenheimer approximation[94]. Which neglect the dynamics of nuclei and electrons are considered moving in a static potential generated by their interaction with all nuclei.  $\hat{V}_{ee}$  is the interaction between electrons. The first two terms sum over all  $N$ -electrons, and the last one sums over all pairs of  $N$ -electrons.  $\vec{r}$  is the electron position,  $\sigma$  is the z-component of the spin of an electron ( $+\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$ ).  $\psi$  is the  $N$ -electron wave function, which should be antisymmetric under interchange of the orbital and spin coordinates of two electrons (i.e. fermionic character for electrons) and it should also satisfy the boundary condition of the system (e.g. quantum confinement for low-dimensional system).  $E$  is the total energy, and  $\alpha$  is the complete set of  $N$ -electron quantum numbers.

Following the constrained search algorithm introduced by M. Levy[95], the ground-state energy  $E$  can be found by minimizing the expectation value of the total Hamiltonian with respect to wave function:

$$E = \min_{\psi} \langle \psi | \hat{H} | \psi \rangle. \tag{2.2}$$

Here we take two steps for the minimization. For the first step, we minimize with respect to all wave functions that give the same density  $n(\vec{r})$ :

$$E = \min_{\psi \rightarrow n} \langle \psi | \hat{T} + \hat{V}_{ee} | \psi \rangle + \int d\vec{r}^3 v(\vec{r}) n(\vec{r}). \tag{2.3}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Equations in this chapter are written in cgs form: length, mass, time and energy are in the units of centimetre, gram, second and erg, respectively. Additionally, fundamental constants  $\hbar$ ,  $e^2$  and  $m$  are set to unity.

Then with the resulting wave function  $\psi_n^{min}$  that yields the minimum energy  $E$  associated with the density  $n(\vec{r})$ , we can construct the universal functional:

$$\min_{\psi \rightarrow n} \langle \psi | \hat{T} + \hat{V}_{ee} | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi_n^{min} | \hat{T} + \hat{V}_{ee} | \psi_n^{min} \rangle = F[n(\vec{r})]. \quad (2.4)$$

From this equation, we see that the functional,  $F[n(\vec{r})]$ , is a function of a function. For the second step, we minimized with respect to all densities  $n(\vec{r})$ :

$$E = \min_n \left\{ F[n(\vec{r})] + \int d\vec{r}^3 v(\vec{r}) n(\vec{r}) \right\}, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $v(\vec{r})$  is kept fixed during the minimization. The resulting density is the ground-state density that gives the lowest ground state energy. This is known as the density variational principle, also the main idea of the Hohenberg-Kohn theorems. For the completeness, the theorems are present in the following:

**Theorem 1** *The external potential,  $V_{ext}(\vec{r})$ , of any system of interacting particles is uniquely determined (up to a constant) by the particle density,  $n_0(\vec{r})$ , of the ground state.*

**Theorem 2** *The ground state energy of a system with an external potential  $V_{ext}(\vec{r})$  is given by the minimum value of the energy functional  $E_{HK}[n]$  and the density for which this minimum is reached corresponds with the ground state density  $n_0(\vec{r})$ .*

Now, the main problem is to define the approximated expression of  $F[n(\vec{r})]$ . Kohn-Sham equation is a elegant way to do this. It aims to construct a non-interacting system, where kinetic energy can be calculated exactly, where the local external potential  $V_{KS}(\vec{r})$  is added. The  $F[n]$  decomposes into the following, where  $E_{XC}[n]$  is the exchange-correlation (XC) energy:

$$F[n] = T_s[n] + E_H[n] + E_{XC}[n], \quad (2.6)$$

where  $T_s[n]$  is the non-interacting kinetic energy functional, and  $E_H[n]$  is the Hartree energy functional:

$$E_H[n] = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 r \int d^3 r' \frac{n(\vec{r})n(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|}. \quad (2.7)$$

Apart from the last term,  $E_{XC}[n]$ , everything else can be exactly calculated for non-interacting system for given density. By imposing a normalisation constraint on the electron density,  $\int n(\vec{r})d\vec{r} = N$ , we have

$$\frac{\delta F[n]}{\delta n(\vec{r})} = -v(\vec{r}). \quad (2.8)$$

Therefore, the effective local potential,  $V_{KS}(\vec{r})$ , will be

$$V_{KS}(\vec{r}) = v(\vec{r}) + \frac{\delta E_H[n]}{\delta n(\vec{r})} + \frac{\delta E_{XC}[n]}{\delta n(\vec{r})}, \quad (2.9)$$

and the Kohn-Sham equation reads

$$\left[ -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_i^2 + v(\vec{r}) + \frac{\delta E_H[n]}{\delta n(\vec{r})} + \frac{\delta E_{XC}[n]}{\delta n(\vec{r})} \right] \psi_\alpha(\vec{r}\sigma) = \epsilon_\alpha \psi_\alpha(\vec{r}\sigma), \quad (2.10)$$

and ground-state density is

$$n(\vec{r}) = \sum_{\alpha}^{occ.} \sum_{\sigma} |\psi_\alpha(\vec{r}\sigma)|^2. \quad (2.11)$$

This can be solved self-consistently. An initial guess on the density  $n(\vec{r})$  determines the effective potential  $V_{KS}(\vec{r})$ , from equation (2.10) wave functions  $\psi_\alpha(\vec{r}\sigma)$  can be calculated, which will give a new density through equation (2.11). This procedure is repeated until self-consistency is reached.

### 2.1.2 Exchange-correlation functional

The XC energy functional is not known exactly and therefore needs to be approximated. The choice of it directly influences the accuracy of the results. This is because, although it is often a small fraction of the total energy, its contribution to the chemical bonding and the formation energy is relatively important. The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) has become popular in solid state calculations. It is a further upgrade of its previous version, the local density approximation (LDA). The LDA has the following form:

$$E_{XC}^{LDA}[n] = \int n(\vec{r}) \epsilon_{XC}[n(\vec{r})] d\vec{r}. \quad (2.12)$$

$\epsilon_{XC}[n(\vec{r})]$  is the XC energy for an homogeneous electron gas having density  $n$ , and it is usually taken from quantum Monte Carlo calculations. Whereas the GGA further includes the derivative of density,  $\nabla n(\vec{r})$ , as an argument for  $\epsilon_{XC}$ , thus it reads

$$E_{XC}^{GGA}[n] = \int \epsilon_{XC}[n(\vec{r}), \nabla n(\vec{r})] d\vec{r}. \quad (2.13)$$

In contrast to LDA, there is no unique input for  $\epsilon_{XC}[n(\vec{r}), \nabla n(\vec{r})]$ . Different constructions for GGA usually named with the corresponding authors, e.g. PW91-GGA stands for Perdew and Wang's GGA construction in 1991[96, 97] and PBE-GGA stands for Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof [98]'s construction. They are the most popular GGA approximations for solid state systems.

### Jacob's ladder

Jacob's ladder is a ladder connecting earth and heaven that biblical Patriarch Jacob dreamed about. Professor John P. Perdew, who is known for profound contribution to DFT and XC functionals, used it analogously to describe the hierarchy of density functional approximations in terms of their accuracies, see Fig. 2.2. Each rung is a level of approximation constructed with different formalisms. From LDA and GGA as mentioned to meta-GGA which includes the Kohn-Sham kinetic energy density. Next higher in the ladder is the hybrid functionals which incorporates a part of the exact exchange from Hartree-Fock (HF) theory. For example, the PBE0 functional[100] has the following definition:

$$E_{XC}^{PBE0} = \frac{1}{4} E_X^{HF} + \frac{3}{4} E_X^{PBE} + E_C^{PBE}, \quad (2.14)$$

and the HSE06 (Heyd-Scuseria-Ernzerhof)[101] take into account the screened Coulomb potential for the exact part:

$$E_{XC}^{HSE} = \beta E_X^{HF,SR}(\omega) + (1 - \beta) E_X^{PBE,SR}(\omega) + E_X^{PBE,LR}(\omega) + E_C^{PBE}, \quad (2.15)$$

where  $\beta$  is the mixing parameter and  $\omega$  is the parameter to control the screening range which defines the short-range, SR, and long-range, LR, parts. The values of  $\beta = 1/4$  and  $\omega = 0.2$  corresponding to HSE06 functional which gives accurate band gaps and lattice constants, see the Mean absolute error (MAE) of different functionals in Fig. 2.3. The highest ranked functionals are the double hybrid




Fig. 2.2 Jacob's ladder for DFT approximations. Image source: [99].




Fig. 2.3 MAE of the equilibrium lattice constants and band gaps of different functionals on SC40 solid test set<sup>1</sup>. Data source: [102].

which includes the unoccupied orbitals as well, e.g. Random Phase Approximation[103].

### Band gap problem

As shown in Fig. 2.3, band gap estimation in LDA and GGA is quite poor. This can be attributed to the highly non-analytical and non-local behaviours of the XC energy functional. In other words, it means the energy increase by adding an extra electron in the extended system is of the order of 1 eV, even though, it is an infinitesimal density change. Let's look at the definition of the band gap  $E_g$ :

$$E_g = I - A = \epsilon_{N+1}^{KS,HOMO} - \epsilon_N^{KS,HOMO}, \quad (2.16)$$

where  $I$  is the ionization energy, the energy change by removing one valence electron;  $A$  is the electron affinity, the energy change by adding one electron to a neutral system;  $\epsilon_N^{KS}$  Kohn-Sham orbital energy for  $N$ -electron system, and  $HOMO$  stand for the highest occupied molecular orbital; The  $LUMO$  below

---

<sup>1</sup>The SC40 test set is a collections of 40 elementary and binary solid compounds of various structures with a wide range of band gaps

stands for the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital. For a non-interacting Kohn-Sham system,  $E_g^{KS}$  can be calculated as follows:

$$E_g^{KS} = \epsilon_N^{KS,LUMO} - \epsilon_N^{KS,HOMO}. \quad (2.17)$$

This leads to

$$E_g = E_g^{KS} + \Delta_{XC}, \quad (2.18)$$

where  $\Delta_{XC}$  is the orbital shift caused by adding an extra electron:  $\epsilon_{N+1}^{KS,HOMO} - \epsilon_N^{KS,LUMO}$ . The  $\Delta_{XC}$  exclusively depends on the non-analyticity of the XC potential.




Fig. 2.4 Schematic illustration of the relation between  $E_g$  and  $E_g^{KS}$ . Image adapted from: [104].

tial  $\frac{\delta E_{XC}[n]}{\delta n(\vec{r})}$ , since the Hartree potential explicitly depends on the density. If the XC energy functional were analytic, the infinitesimal density variation would not introduce a large potential change, hence  $\Delta_{XC}$  is small or equals to zero, and  $E_g \approx E_g^{KS}$ . The band gap accuracy when compared with experiment would be only limited inherently by different functionals. However, non-zero  $\Delta_{XC}$  has been reported on many materials and it is responsible for 80% of the LDA band gap error[105].

## 2.2 Implementation

The implementations of the theory in the last section are crucial and not always straightforward. Many of the quantities are represented with technically easily implemented functions, and they have to be finite in size or quantity. Question rises on how much would be enough? This is equivalent to the computational convergence. Here we review two of the most important convergence parameters:  $\mathbf{k}$  points and cut-off energy of the basis set.

### $\mathbf{k}$ points

According to Bloch's theorem, the solution of the Schrödinger equation for a periodic system, e.g. a crystal with a well-defined unit cell, can be expressed through the following:

$$\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (2.19)$$

where  $\phi$  is the wave function,  $u$  is a function having the same periodicity as the crystal. The space of vector  $\mathbf{r}$  and  $\mathbf{k}$  are called real and reciprocal space ( $\mathbf{k}$  space), respectively. Particularly, each point in  $\mathbf{k}$  space is associated with an unique  $\mathbf{k}$  vector and is usually called a  $\mathbf{k}$  point. Making use of the symmetry of the system, all inequivalent  $\mathbf{k}$  points reside inside a finite sub-space of  $\mathbf{k}$  space, called the first Brillouin zone (FBZ). Quantity evaluations are mostly done through the integration of wave functions, or other functions have  $\mathbf{k}$  dependence, over the FBZ. This integration has to be done numerically since explicit relation of  $\phi$  and  $\mathbf{k}$  is unknown. In practice, the FBZ is discretized into a grid defined by the mesh of the  $\mathbf{k}$ -points. This mesh has to be large for accurate sampling of FBZ yet it should be small for less computational time and resource. This is one of the convergence tests that needs to be done in order to obtain reliable results. Usually, metals need more  $\mathbf{k}$ -points than semiconductors. This is because the highest occupied valence band crosses the Fermi energy in metals, hence the integration for all occupied states is done for a discontinuous function that excludes unoccupied states. Whereas for a semiconductor or insulator, the highest occupied valence band is completely occupied, therefore it is a continuous function. Smearing is one of the ways to make a discontinuous function in metal continuous by smearing out the edge using a smearing function, such as Fermi-Dirac function. The

range of smearing has to compromise between the computation efficiency and correctness: Too large will give wrong integration results on the total energy, while too small become useless therefore one needs more k-points.

### Basis set, cut-off energy

Now let us look back at equation (2.19), we can identify  $e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$  as a plane wave,  $u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$  is periodic in space and it can be expanded in terms of a set of plane waves as well:

$$u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{G}} c_{\mathbf{G}} e^{i\mathbf{G}\cdot\mathbf{r}}, \quad (2.20)$$

where  $c_{\mathbf{G}}$  is the coefficient that determines the magnitude of the plane wave  $e^{i\mathbf{G}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$ . equation (2.19) can now exclusively be represented with plane waves:

$$\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{G}} c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}} e^{i\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}\cdot\mathbf{r}}. \quad (2.21)$$

The summation in the above equation, for practical reasons, has to be truncated. The truncation is usually done for the kinetic energy:

$$E = \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}|^2. \quad (2.22)$$

The maximum kinetic energy,  $E_{cut}$ , associates with a  $\mathbf{G}$  vector to limit the summations. Here we arrive at another convergence parameter: the plane wave cut-off energy. Similar to the  $\mathbf{k}$  points, it has to be large enough for the total energy to be converged in an acceptable precision range. While too large will cost more computational resources without additional benefits.

### Pseudopotentials and projected augmented-wave method

Considering the chemically inertness of the core electrons and their highly oscillating wave functions, their impact on the valence electrons is generally approximated by pseudopotentials in order to realize optimal computational efficiency. It is a smooth function and has the ability to reconstruct the original core electron properties. In practice, a pseudopotential is constructed for one isolated atom of one element. While being used in complex multi-elements system, the transferability of the pseudopotential is the key factor that determines how well

they will perform. Ultrasoft [106] and projected augmented-wave (PAW) [107, 108] are two types of the most popular pseudopotentials-based methods used in materials simulations. They are well-balanced between accuracy and computational cost. In this thesis, the PAW method is exclusive used for all calculations. This method combines the ideas of pseudopotentials method and all-electron methods. Same as in the case of pseudopotential, in PAW, the true wave function  $|\Psi_n\rangle$  that are obtained from all-electron methods can be transformed into a smooth auxiliary function  $|\tilde{\Psi}_n\rangle$  by a linear transformation operator  $\mathcal{T}$ . The partial waves  $|\phi_i\rangle$  is the complete basis set that expands the wave function can be also related to auxiliary partial waves  $|\tilde{\phi}_i\rangle$ :

$$|\phi_i\rangle = \mathcal{T} |\tilde{\phi}_i\rangle, \quad (2.23)$$

where  $i$  is a complete set of quantum numbers. The true and the auxiliary wave functions are identical outside the cur-off radius  $r_c$ :

$$\phi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \tilde{\phi}_i(\mathbf{r}), \text{ for } |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}| > r_c, \quad (2.24)$$

where  $\mathbf{R}$  is the position of the atom. The transformation operator  $\mathcal{T}$  takes the following form:

$$\mathcal{T} = 1 + \sum_i (|\phi_i\rangle - |\tilde{\phi}_i\rangle) \langle \tilde{\rho}_i |, \quad (2.25)$$

where  $\tilde{\rho}_i$  is projector functions that capture the character of true wave function within a radius of  $r_c$ . Now the all-electron wave functions can be reconstructed through the smooth auxiliary functions and projector functions :

$$|\Psi_n\rangle = |\tilde{\Psi}_n\rangle + \sum_i (|\phi_i\rangle - |\tilde{\phi}_i\rangle) \langle \tilde{\rho}_i | \tilde{\Psi}_n \rangle. \quad (2.26)$$

The PAW method expresses the true all-electron wave functions with smooth functions that can be easily implemented and perform more efficiently as compared to the all-electron wave functions, moreover the accuracy of the calculations are comparable to the all-electron ones.

### 2.2.1 Software Packages

There are more than 70 different software packages capable of performing density functional theory calculations according to Wikipedia[109]. They mainly differ in type of pseudopotentials if there is any, what type of basis set is used to expand the wave function, in which programming language it is written and whether or not it is free or commercial etc. Lejaeghere et al. [110] have compared 40 different implementations and their accuracy by comparing their results to a highly accurate all-electron method. They concluded that all codes or methods yield generally consistent results. The accuracy of the codes which were developed in recent years is higher than the earlier ones. The Vienna *Ab initio* Simulation Package (VASP) [111, 112] with its projected augmented-wave method is one of the most accurate codes concluded from this study. Its well-optimized performance on supercomputers gives good results in less time as compared with the others. This code will be used as the main tool for all the calculations done in this thesis.



# **Chapter 3**

## **General physical properties 2D materials**

In this thesis, the properties of materials are divided into a preliminary and an advanced category, where the latter will be presented in the next chapter as the main results of this thesis. In this chapter, I will focus on the preliminary properties of 2D materials, namely structural, electronic, vibrational and mechanical properties. These properties are considered as test calculations and knowledge upon which the advanced properties are closely relied on. They are composed both of my original calculations and results from literature. An emphasis will be made on the characteristic properties of 2D materials that are different for 3D cases.

### **3.1 Structural properties**

#### **3.1.1 Layer structure**

As discussed in the chapter 1 Introduction, layered bulk materials have a close relationship with 2D materials. The strong anisotropic structure in the former results in the layer concept in the latter, and a single layer of a layered bulk material is a 2D material. This anisotropic nature is attributed to the weak interlayer bonds and the strong intralayer bonds. Van der Waals (vdWs) interactions [113] are the main types of these weak interlayer bonds. vdWs interactions are the attraction and the repulsion between atom or molecule entities caused by dipole-

dipole, dipole-induced dipole and instantaneous induced dipole-induced dipole forces. The definition is sometimes extended to include all dispersion forces between molecules. For 2D materials, vdWs interactions become important as the number of layers is larger than one; that is few-layer materials having typically less than ten layers. They also belong to the family of 2D materials since the thickness of the materials still small for quantum confinements to dominate their roles. As in its layered bulk counterpart, a few-layer system is a stack of monolayers that hold together through vdWs forces. When no other bonding types are present, interlayer vdWs interactions determine all the change brought by going above a single layer, and their impact on the electronic structure can be significant. For example, from monolayer to bilayer, the linear dispersion relation of energy  $E$  and momentum  $k$  around the  $K$  point evolves into parabolic-like spectrum[114, 115].

In Fig. 3.1 (a), the dispersion relation of graphite along the  $z$  direction, that is the HKH line in the Brillouin zone, is shown on the blue plane. This direction is perpendicular to the graphite layers. Because of the interlayer interaction and the quantum confinements, in few-layer system, the finite thickness limits the number of wave vectors that standing waves can take. Therefore, if only the intralayer and interlayer interactions between the nearest neighbour atoms were considered, the dispersion relations in few-layer systems can be approximated as those on the cross-section of red planes with the 3D graphite dispersion relation, see Fig. 3.1 (a). These planes are perpendicular to the  $z$  direction and intersect with the HKH line at limited points. This is called the zone-folding of dispersion relations[116]. These points are illustrated in Fig. 3.1 (c). Under the condition that quantum confinements at a few-layer system require that the wave functions vanish at the imaginary layer right outside the surface of the system, the systems will have well-defined wave vectors. Then, the dispersion relations of graphene and bilayer graphene will be on the red planes that intersect the HKH line at  $k_z = \pi/c$  and at  $k_z = 2(\pi/3c)$ , respectively. Having the knowledge of 3D band structure of graphite, we can approximate the dispersion relation of few-layer systems in this way. As a result, as shown in red plane in Fig. 3.1 (a) and their 3D version in Fig. 3.1 (b), graphene has linear dispersion relations and bilayer graphene has two parabolic-like bands that come from each layer. Moreover, the bilayer structure will never pass through the  $H$  point where graphene




Fig. 3.1 (a) Energy-momentum dispersion relations of single layer and bilayer graphene as approximated by plane intersections of 3D graphite dispersion relation and (b) their 3D dispersion relations around the  $K$  point. (c) Matching of the wavelength in graphite to that in single layer and bilayer graphene. Image adapted from: [115].

has passed to have linear dispersion relations. This is because that the standing waves in bilayer will have a wave vector  $k = 2(n\pi/3c)$ , where  $n$  is a positive integer: 1, 2, ...,  $n$ . This will never equal to  $\pi/c$  for any integer number of  $n$ . More generally, systems with an even number of layers will not have a linear dispersion relation, and vice versa for systems that have an odd number of layers. Further, if other interactions were considered, an overlap of those bands touching each other would have occurred[114]. This overlap increases with the number of layers. Eventually in graphite, maximum overlap is reached.




Fig. 3.2 Energy-momentum dispersion relations of MoS<sub>2</sub> as plane intersections of 3D dispersion relations. Image adapted from: [117].

Another example of the importance of interlayer interactions in few-layer 2D materials is for MoS<sub>2</sub>. As mentioned in the chapter 1 Introduction, as going from layered bulk to monolayer, MoS<sub>2</sub> transforms from an indirect band gap to a direct one. Here again, we can make use of the zone-folding scheme to approximate the band structure of the monolayer from that of the layered bulk. The monolayer and the layered bulk structure of 2H phase are shown in Fig. 3.2 (a). In figure (b), let us focus on the planes parallel to the page that pass through the KΓ line and the HA line (simply call them KΓ plane and HA plane below). Similar to the previously discussed graphite, 2H layered bulk MoS<sub>2</sub> has two layers per unit cell. Therefore, according to the standing wave arguments that we have used for the graphene case above, HA plane represents the monolayer.  $E_g$  and

$E_{I_g}$  are the band gaps of the monolayer and the layered bulk structures. Here, not only the magnitude of the band gap is increased as going from layered bulk to monolayer, the character of the band gap has changed as well. It is clearly shown that this is due to the band edges shifting. VBM at  $\Gamma$  and CBM at the middle of  $\Gamma K$  line are brought closer as they go from monolayer to layered bulk. This corresponds to the widening of band width and it is coming from the splitting of the VB and CB when more and more layers interact with each other through the interlayer interactions. Therefore, band gap in the layered bulk is defined by these two band edges, which in the monolayer was defined by band edges at  $K$ . In contrast to this, the band edges at the  $K$  are not affected too much by the interlayer interaction to make a difference. So why do the band edges react differently to the interlayer interactions? If we look into the orbital composition of the band edges, we will find that the ones that have widened the most, i.e. VBM at  $\Gamma$ , have the largest contribution from S  $p_z$  and Mo  $d_{z^2}$  orbitals. These out-of-plane orbitals vertically orientate to the plane and thus have maximum overlap with the others from an adjacent layer. Therefore, band splitting is more profound for these band edges and causing the widen of their band width. In contrast, both of the CBM and VBM at  $K$  are largely composed of S  $p_x$  and  $p_y$  orbitals and Mo  $d_{xy}$  and  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  orbitals. All of them are in-plane orientated orbitals and thus have limited effect from interlayer interactions[118].

### 3.1.2 sp hybridization

After discussing layered structure and the importance of interlayer interaction, let us look into some details of the in-plane structures and how sp hybridization gives rise to various structures for 2D materials. When atoms come together to form bonds, the orientations of bonding orbitals are decisive for the final structure. The sp hybridization is a good example of this. It mainly exists in three different variants: sp,  $sp^2$  and  $sp^3$ , see Fig. 3.3. The hybridization index  $n$  in  $sp^n$  stands for the relative amount of p character in the resulting hybridized orbital. For example,  $sp^2$  has 1/3 s character and 2/3 p character. Hybridized orbitals tend to maximize their distance to reduce the energy raised by the repulsion of electrons. As shown in Fig. 3.3, this results in tetrahedral structure of  $sp^3$  orbitals, as in diamond, trigonal planar structure of  $sp^2$  orbitals, as in graphene or

graphite and linear structure of sp orbitals, as in ethyne molecules. This is, for example, useful to explain the buckled structure of graphane and fluorographene. Because of  $sp^3$  character developed when the fourth electrons are bonded with H or F atoms, buckling appears in these systems.




Fig. 3.3 Three types of sp hybridized orbitals. Image adapted from: [119].

Coulson and Moffitt [120] generalized the relation of bond angle with  $n$  as follows:

$$1 = -\sqrt{n_1 n_2} \cos\theta_{12}, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\theta_{12}$  is the bond angle between orbital 1 and 2. The bond angle can be measured in the structures after the relaxation simulations. If orbital 1 and 2 have different  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ , we still need one more constraint to solve the equation (3.1) for the hybridization indices  $n$ . This constraint is that, in the case of carbon atom, the total portions of s orbital should equal to 1, while it should be 3 for the p orbitals. With these pieces of knowledge, the equation (3.1) can be

solved, and each orbital from one atom can be assigned with a  $n$ . This formula is useful to determine the s and p fractions of the bonds. For example,  $\theta_{12} = 90^\circ$  gives  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , which means it is a pure p orbital;  $\theta_{12} = 120^\circ$  gives  $n = 2$ , that is a  $sp^2$  hybridized orbital. Generally, the wider the bond angle, the larger the s contribution. Accordingly, bond angles are ordered as  $sp > sp^2 > sp^3$ . Of course, the equation (3.1) is more useful when the bond angle takes values other than those three types of hybridized orbitals mentioned, then it can be used to explain the resulting geometry.

## 3.2 Electronic properties

Electronic properties is one of the first features we would like to know about new materials. Not only is it because semiconductor and metal have different roles in the applications, but also because details of the electronic structure set the direction towards which further exploration should be carried out. One example for this from my experience is that by monitoring electronic structure variations under strain, we had predicted how the mobility of the carrier can be tuned. This will be discussed in the later chapters. Therefore, it is important to understand this property of a new material to fully reveal its potential. Electronic properties are usually characterized by band structure (BS) and density of states (DOS). These calculations are standard calculations in common first-principles codes from where all subsequent calculations start. After solving the Kohn-Sham equation with properly defined cut-off energy, k points etc., we will have the eigenenergy of each state that are indexed with k point in the Brillouin zone and band. DOS is a count of such states at specific energy. BS is the plot of eigenenergy along the lines in the Brillouin zone that connect high symmetry k points. 2D material has vast variation of electronic properties, from semimetallic graphene to semiconducting MoS<sub>2</sub> and to insulating BN. I will briefly discuss this at the end of this section. The purpose of this section is to point out some of the interesting electronic properties of some 2D materials. We will start with a brief introduction to the electronic properties of graphene.

### 3.2.1 Graphene

As mentioned before, orbitals of the C atoms in graphene are  $sp^2$  hybridized. Each one of these  $sp^2$  orbitals, coloured in green in Fig. 3.4, is composed from s,  $p_x$  and  $p_y$  orbitals, whereas the  $p_z$  orbital, coloured in yellow in the figure, is left unchanged. One  $sp^2$  hybridized orbital with another one from an adjacent atom form a strong  $\sigma$  bond, while  $p_z$  orbitals form  $\pi$  bonds. It may look like alternative single and double bonds between atoms, however, according to the Clar's theory, the bond order, i.e. the number of chemical bonds between a pair of atoms, in graphene is  $4/3$  and it is uniform[121]. This has to do with the high symmetry of graphene lattice.




Fig. 3.4 The formation of  $sp^2$   $\sigma$  and  $p_z$   $\pi$  double bond. Image source: [122].

Every C atom has same local environment in graphene, however, adjacent atoms are not equivalent from the symmetry point of view. They belong to different hexagonal sublattices A and B as indicated with blue and yellow colors in Fig. 3.5.  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are the basis vectors in real space connecting equivalent lattice sites. On the left,  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are the basis vectors in reciprocal space connecting equivalent k points. The hexagon in the reciprocal space is the first Brillouin zone where all inequivalent k points are contained. These k points associate with different parallel lines of atoms and thus their directions in the reciprocal space are associated with different directions in real space. The k wave vectors near the

$\Gamma$  point have longer wave length than those away from it. While those at the boundary of the first Brillouin zone have wave lengths that are two times the unit cell dimension on the direction specified by the  $k$  points. For example, the most interesting  $k$  point for graphene is the  $K$  and  $K'$  points. These directions correspond to the  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  directions in real space. It is only at these  $k$  points in the Brioulloin zone that the antibinding and the bonding  $\pi$  band touch each other.




Fig. 3.5 Graphene lattice and its Brillion zone. Image source: [14].

As compared to  $\pi$  bond, the  $\sigma$  bond originates from a strong overlap of  $sp^2$  orbitals. The interaction is so strong that the splitting of bonding and antibonding orbitals is large. This makes the  $\sigma$  bonding orbitals deep in energy, or in other words, makes the  $\sigma$  bond strong and difficult to break. This feature contributes the most to the mechanical strength of graphene. On the other hand,  $p_z$  orbitals are less overlapped. This makes the  $\pi$  bond energy close to Fermi level, i.e. the highest occupied state. Therefore, they contribute the most to the electronic properties of graphene.

### 3.2.2 Dirac cone and symmetry

We have seen that graphene, silicene and germanene have an interesting electronic structure: Dirac cone. We also have listed the consequences of having such a feature: high mobility, massless carrier etc. In this section, we will discuss the symmetry condition for the existence of Dirac cones. This knowledge can be used to discover more materials with Dirac cone. According to von Neumann-Wigner theorem, the space-time inversion symmetry is crucial for the existence




Fig. 3.6 Possible positions for the second atom (blue area) in order to guarantee the existence of Dirac cones as going from (a) square lattice to (f) hexagonal lattice. The first atom is located at the corners of the unit cell. Image source: [123].

and protection of Dirac cones[124]. It is a combination of space inversion and time reversal symmetries. These two are equally important and have to act simultaneously for the possible formation of Dirac cones. A more restrictive condition that guarantees the existence of Dirac cones has to deal with relations of hopping integrals[123, 125]. It is from the Liu, Wang, and Li [123]'s study that it revealed that the hexagonal lattice has the most favourable structure to form Dirac cones. The probability decreases as one goes from a hexagonal lattice to a square lattice, as shown in Fig. 3.6. Therefore, since most of the 2D materials have hexagonal symmetry, there will be a higher chance of finding materials with Dirac cones in this category.

### 3.2.3 Examples: 2D-h-BN, 2D-MoS<sub>2</sub> and graphene




Fig. 3.7 Electronic band structures of 2D-hBN, 2D-MoS<sub>2</sub> and graphene calculated with PBE-GGA functional.

Three typical examples of the band structures of 2D materials are shown in Fig. 3.7. The 2D h-BN acts as an insulator due to a large band gap in the ultraviolet range, therefore it is suitable to serve as a dielectric layer in an electronic device.

The 2D TMDs have a band gap ranging from 1.0-2.5 eV which is in the visible and the near infrared range of light, therefore, it is suitable for optoelectronic device applications. Furthermore, we can see as we compare the dispersion curves along the  $M\Gamma$  and  $K\Gamma$ , the electronic structures are generally the same on these paths which correspond to different crystallographic directions. This means the materials in the figure are highly isotropic, thus we would expect the same for the physical properties. In the results chapters of this thesis, we will see some new 2D materials that are highly anisotropic. Their discoveries enrich the features of the physical properties of 2D materials.

### 3.3 Vibrational properties

Vibrational property is an important aspect of the materials, especially at finite temperature. Thermal expansion, thermal conductivity and electron mobility are all vibrational related topics. Therefore, it is crucial to understand the characterization of this in computational modelling. The force on an atom can be calculated from the wave functions evaluated from DFT thanks to the Hellmann-Feynman theorem. When searching for the equilibrium geometry of the materials, one basically is trying different positions of atoms to find a geometry that minimizes all the forces. This usually is the first thing to do for new materials, since different codes, implementations and, more importantly, different functionals will give different results. Despite the fact that the difference is usually small, unrelaxed geometry will have residual forces on the atoms. This is particularly important when vibrational properties are concerned. Vibrational properties are characterized through the energy (usually expressed in terms of frequency) versus vibrational wave vector dispersion relations. In crystal, all atoms have their equilibrium positions that coincide with the lattice points. Atoms vibrate around these positions. The vibrational modes are quantized into phonons. Each phonon represent a periodic, collective vibration with a well-defined vibrational mode and wave vector. The forces ( $F$ ) that restore the atoms when they deviate from their equilibrium positions can be calculated from DFT either by introducing small displacement or from perturbation theory. Then, the force constants,  $\Phi$ , can be constructed by monitoring the change in forces through the displacements,  $u$ , of atoms in the following way:

$$\Phi_{i\alpha,j\beta} = \frac{\partial F_{j\beta}}{\partial u_{i\alpha}}, \quad (3.2)$$

where the  $i, j$  indices are the labels for atoms,  $\alpha, \beta$  are the Cartesian directions:  $x, y$  and  $z$ . The Fourier transformation of the force constants at wave vector  $\mathbf{q}$  is the dynamical matrix  $D(\mathbf{q})$  that is related to the frequency of the phonon through the eigenvalue problem:

$$\omega^2(\mathbf{q}, n)\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{q}, n) = D(\mathbf{q})\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{q}, n), \quad (3.3)$$

where  $\omega(\mathbf{q}, n)$  is the frequency of the phonon in mode  $n$  having a wave vector  $\mathbf{q}$ , and  $\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{q}, n)$  is the eigenvector[126, 127]. Depending on whether atoms in the unit cell are vibrating in-phase or out-of-phase, phonon modes are categorized into acoustic and optical, respectively. For polar materials, polarized atoms that vibrate with respect to each other can interact with light, it is the reason that these types of vibrations are called optical modes. Further, considering the respective directions of the wave ( $\mathbf{e}$ ) and vibration ( $\mathbf{q}$ ), the modes are subcategorized into transverse optical (TO) modes and transvers acoustic (TA) modes, where  $\mathbf{q} \perp \mathbf{e}$ , and longitudinal optical (LO) and longitudinal acoustic (LA) modes, where  $\mathbf{q} \parallel \mathbf{e}$ . These modes are all in-plane vibrations for 2D materials. For 2D materials, another direction is different from those in-plane ones, namely the  $\mathbf{c}$  lattice vector direction perpendicular to the 2D plane. Special modes exist: out-of-plane transverse optical (ZO) and out-of-plane transverse acoustic (ZA) ( $\mathbf{q} \perp \mathbf{e}$  and  $\mathbf{q} \parallel \mathbf{c}$ ). The total number of acoustic modes is three, that of optical modes is  $3N-3$ , where  $N$  is the total number of atoms in the unit cell.

### 3.3.1 Example: monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>

Let us now take an example of layered bulk and monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> to highlight some of the important details of phonon dispersion relations. A comparison of vibrational modes between layered bulk and monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> is presented in Fig. 3.8 (a). First of all, the number of atoms in the unit cell reduces from six to three from layered bulk to monolayer. Therefore, the number of the optical modes will be reduced as well from 15 in the layered bulk to six in the monolayer. In the Fig. 3.8 (a) it is shown, as the material is transformed to the monolayer,

several modes merge with others that only differ by whether the vibration in different layers is in-phase or out-of-phase. Secondly, a characteristic feature of phonon disperions for layered bulk and 2D materials has appeared, namely the quadratic ZA mode (flexural mode). It is usually linear in 3D bulk materials because of strong interlayer interactions. Because in layered bulk, the interlayer interactions are weak and absent in 2D materials, therefore, it will cost less energy for the out-of-plane vibration and the quadratic dispersion will appear[128]. This feature is closely related to the formation of the intrinsic ripples in 2D materials, e.g. graphene[129] and MoS<sub>2</sub>[130]. As shown in Fig. 3.8 (b), phonons with longer wave lengths, i.e. around  $\Gamma$ , in ZA mode have smaller frequencies or energies. This means this mode is more easily excited at low temperature and forms ripples which can be often observed in 2D materials. The formation of ripples is crucial for the stability of 2D materials at a finite temperature. Lastly, in the projected DOS, the projections of mode eigenvetors to in-plane (XY) and out-of-plane (Z) components are shown. The modes with Z components, i.e. ZO<sub>1</sub>, ZO<sub>2</sub> and ZA, contribute the most to the Z projections, and vice versa for the longitudinal and acoustic modes to XY projection.

### 3.3.2 Dynamic stability from phonon dispersion

One of the most important outputs of phonon dispersion is the check on the dynamical stability of the structure. An unstable structure is usually indicated by the imaginary frequencies of its phonon dispersion in a portion of or throughout its Brillouin zone, see Fig. 3.9 for example.

Now let us try to understand it and make use of it to convert the unstable to a stable structure. Consider a relaxed structure in which forces on all atoms have vanished. This could be on a convex or on a concave of the potential energy surface (PES), see Fig. 3.10. Note that, in both situations, the forces, the derivative of the PES curves, are zero. Therefore, both situations can occur when the structure is relaxed only following the forces. In the case of PES convex, the dynamical matrix  $D(\mathbf{q})$  will have negative components because the direction of the force is same as the displacement. We have seen from equation (3.3) that  $D(\mathbf{q})$  is related to the square of frequency  $\omega$ , hence imaginary frequencies are the only solutions. However, a structure with imaginary frequencies near  $\Gamma$  q point does




Fig. 3.8 (a) phonon modes of layered bulk (first row) and monolayer (second row) MoS<sub>2</sub> at  $\Gamma$  q point. (b) phonon dispersion and projected DOS of monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>.




Fig. 3.9 Imaginary frequencies are shown as negative frequencies in a phonon dispersion plot.




Fig. 3.10 (a) A structure at the convex (left) and the concave (right) of the PES. (b) Searching for a stable structure (phase transition).

not necessarily mean it is not stable. Since a large supercell consisted of multiples of the unit cell is typically used to do phonon calculations, it may be that the size of the supercell is not large enough to correctly describe long wavelength phonons. Contrasted to this, a structure with imaginary frequencies that appear around other  $q$  points than  $\Gamma$  would imply a structure instability or a structural phase transition. The lowering of energy with some vibrational modes means the structure prefers the modulation induced by vibration, therefore if we calculate the energy of the modulated structure we will have a lower energy structure. With advanced techniques in phonon software[e.g. 131], it is possible to modulate such a structure based on the vibration mode which has an imaginary frequency to find a lower energy state and stabilize the structure, as schematically illustrated in Fig. 3.10 (b).

## 3.4 Mechanical properties

In chapter 1 Introduction, we have seen the stiffness and strength of some of the 2D materials. They all belong to the mechanical properties of the materials. The force on the atoms or the stress  $\sigma$  on the the unit cells under a finite strain  $\epsilon$  are the typical outputs from common first-principles codes. Within the elastic regime of stress-strain relation, they can be related through elastic constant  $C$ :  $\sigma = C\epsilon$ , this is Hook's law.  $C$  is a  $6 \times 6$  matrix whose matrix elements has a form  $C_{ij}$ . The elements measure the resistance of materials in  $i$  direction to a deformation in  $j$  direction, where  $i$  and  $j$  are the index of stress and strain tensors, respectively. Under the Voigt notations, the indices have the following correspondence: 1→ xx, 2→ yy, 3→ zz, 4→ yz, 5→ zx, 6→ xy. The elastic constants

can be simplified if the crystal symmetry and dimension are taken into account. For example, for 2D hexagonal lattice symmetry, Hook's law reads:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 \\ \sigma_6 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & 0 \\ C_{12} & C_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (C_{11} - C_{12})/2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \\ \epsilon_6 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.4)$$

In this way, all elastic constants can be extracted from stress-strain data from first-principles calculations. Generally, it is more convenient to have one quantity to describe each aspect of the mechanical properties of materials. This is where Young's modulus  $Y$ , shear modulus  $G$  and Poisson's ratio  $\nu$  become useful. Following the previous notations, they are defined as

$$Y_\alpha = \frac{1}{S_{\alpha\alpha}}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3. \quad (3.5)$$

$$\nu_{\alpha\beta} = -Y_\beta S_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3 \quad (\alpha \neq \beta). \quad (3.6)$$

$$G_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{1}{S_{\gamma\gamma}}, \quad \gamma = 4, 5, 6, \quad (3.7)$$

where  $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{C}^{-1}$  is the compliance matrix [e.g. 132]. Young's modulus and shear modulus give the stiffness of the materials when it responds to stretching and shearing deformation in particular directions and they stand for the hardness of the materials. Their unit in 2D is  $J/m^2$  or  $N/m$ . To make them comparable with conventional 3D materials, 2D modulus usually are converted into 3D ones by dividing the former by the thickness of the sheet. Poisson's ratio gives the ratio of the transverse to the axial strain. It represents how easy it is to change the shape of the material with respect to changing the volume. Liquid and rubber have a Poisson's ratio close to 0.5, which is the theoretical upper limit of this quantity and making them the easiest materials to change shape over volume. In contrast, a cork has a Poisson's ratio close to zero, meaning zero lateral expansion when compressed in other directions. Breaking strength/strain is a measure of maximum load limit that materials can withstand, and it is used to characterize how strong a material is. This quantity is usually obtained by continuously deforming the materials until they break and recording the maximum stress/strain, it can be done both experimentally and through simulations.

### 3.4.1 Examples: graphene, 2D-BN and 2D-MoS<sub>2</sub>

In table 3.1, the mechanical properties of several 2D materials are shown, as well as that of steel for comparison. As mentioned, graphene is the strongest material ever measured. This is owing to its strong  $\sigma$  and  $\pi$  bonding. With similar bonding in BN, it shows comparable results as graphene. MoS<sub>2</sub> has lower stiffness and strength than the previous two due to weaker bonding, nevertheless, it is still much stronger than steel. The Poisson's ratio has an inverse relation with Young's modulus. This means graphene acts more like a cork than like a rubber as compared to MoS<sub>2</sub>.

Table 3.1 Mechanical properties of graphene, BN and MoS<sub>2</sub>

material	Young's modulus TPa	Breaking strength GPa	Poisson's ratio
graphene[23]	1.0±0.1	130±10	0.149[133]
2D-BN [134]	0.71–0.97	120–165	0.210
2D-MoS <sub>2</sub> [135]	0.27± 0.10	23	0.29 [136]
A36 steel[137]	0.2	0.4–0.55	0.26

### 3.4.2 Mechanical stability: Born stability criteria

Mechanical stability is a criteria for unstressed crystal stability, which is additional to dynamical stability. It was first point out by Born [138] in the 1940's., for that, it is often called "Born stability criteria". Its core concept is that elastic energy should be positive for any non-zero strains. Elastic energy  $U$  is related to the elastic constants  $C_{ij}$  in the following way:

$$U = U_0 + \frac{1}{2} V_0 \sum_{i=1, j=1}^6 C_{ij} \epsilon_i \epsilon_j, \quad (3.8)$$

where  $U_0$  is the equilibrium energy and  $V_0$  is equilibrium volume. According to Born's paper[138], the necessary and sufficient stability conditions are: 1)  $|\mathbf{C}| > 0$ ; 2) all eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{C}$  are positive; 3) Sylvester's criterion: the determinations of the upper-left  $k$  by  $k$  sub-matrices are positive; (4) an arbitrary set of minors of  $\mathbf{C}$  are positive. Mouhat and Coudert [139] formulated closed form expressions of this criteria for different crystal systems. Taking into account the symmetry of

these systems, the number of criteria reduce, and become very useful to check the mechanical stability of new crystal system. For example, for 2D hexagonal crystal, the criteria become:

$$C_{11} > |C_{22}|, C_{66} > 0. \quad (3.9)$$

# **Chapter 4**

## **Results of Physical Properties Calculations in Novel 2D Materials**

In this and the next chapter, the main results of the thesis will be presented. In the chapter 1, I have reviewed some of the early post-graphene 2D materials, and their physical properties in chapter 3. As it has been defined, the properties discussed before belong to the basic property category. In this chapter, I will present my works on the determination of some of the advanced properties, namely thermal properties, piezoelectric properties, carrier mobility, magnetic properties and Li battery related properties. In addition, along with these new properties I will also introduce several new 2D materials on which the property determinations were carried out. They are phosphorene, monolayer Titanium trisulfide, penta-hexa-graphene and MXenes. Each of the sections below comes from one publication.

### **4.1 Thermal properties of phosphorene<sup>1</sup>**

#### **4.1.1 Phosphorene**

Black phosphorene (black P) is a single atomic layer of layered material black phosphorus and has been successfully exfoliated [140, 141]. Similar to the multiphase structures in phosphorus, there have been at least six different possi-

---

<sup>1</sup>This work is published in: P2.

ble stable two dimensional allotropes of phosphorene were proposed[142–144]. This multi-phase nature is because that in contrast to the C atoms of graphene, the P atoms in phosphorene have  $sp^3$ -hybridized orbitals. This is mainly caused by the extra valence electron of P atom in comparison to carbon. Indeed, if this extra electron is placed in a  $sp^2$ -hybridized structure, they would occupy the energetically unfavourable (antibonding)  $\pi^*$  band. However, with  $sp^3$ -hybridization, a  $\sigma$ -bond network can be formed with three  $sp^3$  orbitals and the other  $sp^3$  orbital is used to host the remaining electron pair. This leads to an essentially tetragonal coordination of the P atoms and results in a buckled nature of  $sp^3$ -hybridized sheets, see Fig. 4.1 for the structures. The out-of-plane positions of the atoms in  $sp^3$ -hybridized sheets give rise to various possible structural phases which are absent in  $sp^2$ -hybridized systems. Among those, black P, also referred as the  $\alpha$  phase, is the most stable allotrope. However, the cohesive energy of blue phosphorene (blue P), or the  $\beta$  phase, is only a few meV higher than that of black P, while other crystal structures are much less favourable with energy at least by  $\sim 80$  meV/atom higher.




Fig. 4.1 (a) and (b) are the one hexagonal rings of black P and blue P, their top viewed structures are shown in (c) and (d), respectively. Atoms are coloured in accordance with the names of the structures. Lighter coloured atoms mean they are lower in vertical position. Black boxes in top views are the primitive unit cell used in our calculations.

Triggered by its realization, various physical properties of phosphorene have been explored. Electronically, black P is a semiconductor with a direct band

gap at the  $\Gamma$  point[142, 145]. Experimentally, photoluminescence excitation spectroscopy measured a quasi-particle band gap of 2.2 eV[146], which is larger than its bulk band gap, i.e. 0.31-0.33 eV[147, 148]. Due to its electronic structure, black P has been proposed as a potential novel material in nanoelectronics and optoelectronics, especially in the infrared regime. High performance black P based transistors with a mobility up to  $1000 \text{ cm}^2/\text{V}\cdot\text{s}$  and an on/off ratio up to  $10^4$  at room temperature have been reported[140, 141]. Similar to black P, blue P was predicted to be a semiconductor material with an indirect band gap around 2.00 eV[142]. Therefore, it can be potentially used for field-effect transistor applications. The high mobility and tunable finite band gap of phosphorene, among other promising properties[149–153], make it an interesting new member of the 2D materials. Despite the mentioned studies that aimed to explore the physical properties of phosphorene, a more comprehensive knowledge of finite temperature effects on their properties haven't been reported. Given the fact that this knowledge could contribute to the acceleration in the progress towards its proposed applications, this study[P2] is urgently needed. To this end, here we explore the thermal properties of black p and blue p, their temperature-dependent lattice constant, thermal expansion coefficients, free energy and specific heat.

#### 4.1.2 Thermal expansion and Quasi-harmonic approximation

The thermal expansion is the expansion of material's volume at finite temperature. As shown in Fig. 4.2, it is directly related to the asymmetric of interatomic potential where the equilibrium position shift towards the flatter side of the potential energy profile and stay far away from the steeper side as the temperature increases. In below we will see how we can include this anharmonic potential using an approximation. The thermal expansion coefficient  $\alpha(T)$  are defined through the following formula:

$$\alpha(T) = \frac{1}{a_0(T)} \frac{da_0(T)}{dT}, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $T$  is the temperature,  $a_0(T)$  is the equilibrium lattice parameter corresponding to the minimum of the Helmholtz free energy.




Fig. 4.2 Schematic illustration of the relation between asymmetric interatomic potential and thermal expansion.

In order to describe the thermal expansion within DFT, one needs to go beyond the harmonic approximation that is used to calculate the phonon frequency as we discussed in chapter 3. Harmonic approximation gives infinite thermal conductivity, infinite phonon lifetimes and temperature-independent vibrational and elastic properties, which are contradicted to experiment. Quasi-harmonic approximation (QHA)[154–157] is a way to include the approximated anharmonic effect through volume-dependent frequency within non-interacting phonons approximation. Although it is an implicitly inclusion of anharmonic effect, its dominant role in the thermal properties, that is two orders of magnitude larger than explicit anharmonic effect, make sure it can correctly describe the thermal properties up to melting point. Beyond this temperature, anharmonic effect will become important. QHA has been applied to a various compounds from semiconductors to metals and to Earth materials under extreme conditions[158–161]. In Fig. 4.3, the applications of QHA for graphite and graphene are shown. The agreement between experiment and QHA is good in a wide range of temperature up to 2000 K. Another interesting point is that the negative thermal expansion, where the  $\alpha(T)$  is negative, is presented in both materials, especially, graphene persists such a feature up to 2000 K. This is a common character of layered materials where layers are weakly bonded. The origin of this related to the ZA mode in layered materials as discussed in chapter 3. At low temperature,

ZA mode will be excited and this out-of-plane vibration effectively shrinks the in-plane dimension of the materials thus gives negative thermal expansion.




Fig. 4.3 Thermal expansion coefficients of graphite and graphene calculated using QHA (continuous line) and experimental result for graphite (points). Image source: [159]

Equilibrium lattice constants at any temperature  $a_0(T)$  are calculated by direct minimization of the Helmholtz free energy  $F(a, T)$  with respect to its independent lattice vector, i.e. in this case, **a** and **b** for black P, and **a** for blue P. For the minimization process,  $F(a, T)$  is obtained by fitting the discrete data points of  $F(a_i, T)$  to the third-order Birch-Murnaghan equation of state, where  $i$  is the label for different lattice constants or equivalently different strains. The  $F(a_i, T)$  is constructed from  $\omega_{\mathbf{q},j}^i$  and  $E[a_i]$  through the following formula[154, 162]:

$$F(a_i, T) = E[a_i] + \sum_{\mathbf{q},j} \frac{\hbar\omega_{\mathbf{q},j}^i}{2} + k_B T \sum_{\mathbf{q},j} \ln \left( 1 - \exp \left[ -\frac{\hbar\omega_{\mathbf{q},j}^i}{k_B T} \right] \right). \quad (4.2)$$

Here,  $T$  is the temperature,  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant,  $E[a_i]$  is the DFT ground state energy.  $\omega_{\mathbf{q},j}^i$  is the phonon frequency at the  $\mathbf{q}$  point  $\mathbf{q}$  with band index  $j$ . The sums run over all  $\mathbf{q}$  points and all bands of the whole Brillouin zone. Since the structural instabilities arise especially for the armchair direction when under compressive strain values larger than 4%, the calculation of phonon dispersions

for both structures are performed under small strains, namely  $\pm 2\%$ , in order to evaluate  $\omega_{q,j}^i$  and  $E[a_i]$ . Considering two independent lattice vectors **a** and **b** of black P two uniaxial strains are applied for these directions. While for blue P, only biaxial strain is applied to keep its hexagonal symmetry unchanged. The whole calculation process was carried out using phonopy-qha script[163].

### Computational details

**Simulation program:** VASP and Phonopy[164]

**Energy cut-off:** 500 eV

**Pseudopotentials:** PBE-GGA(PAW)

**k points (Monkhorst-Pack):**  $15 \times 11 \times 1$  and  $15 \times 15 \times 1$  for black P and blue P, respectively

**Vacuum:** 25 Å

**Energy and force convergence criterion:**  $10^{-5}$  eV and  $10^{-7}$  eV/Å, respectively

**Supercell for phonon calculation:**  $7 \times 3 \times 1$  and  $5 \times 5 \times 1$  for black P and blue P, respectively

**q points for phonon calculation:**  $200 \times 200 \times 1$

### 4.1.3 Phonon modes and dispersion

Different from a pure planar graphene, black P and blue P have a buckled non-planar structure due to the  $sp^3$  hybridization, yet all three structures share the same hexagonal lattice base. Given an almost identical local environment in the unit cell, see Fig. 4.1, it is not surprising that blue P and black P having similar total energy. Only significant difference is the plane, marked as grey shown in Fig. 4.1, on which the system extends to form an infinite 2D crystal. Therefore, thermal expansion on these different planes are expected to be different and will carry insight information on the different finite temperature properties. The primitive unit cell of black P is a rectangular lattice with a four-atom basis and a space group of  $D_{2h}^7$ , and that of blue P is a hexagonal lattice with a two-atom basis and a space group of  $D_{3d}^3$ . Therefore, besides the three acoustic modes with the in-phase vibrations of atoms, there are nine and three optical modes for black P and blue P, respectively.

The calculated phonon dispersions along the high symmetric q lines corresponding to these modes are depicted in Fig. 4.4(a) and (b) for both structures. Parallel with the previous calculations [165, 166], the calculated phonon dispersions are free from imaginary frequencies, which ensures the structural stability




Fig. 4.4 Calculated phonon dispersions for pristine (a) black and (b) blue P. (c) Optical phonon modes together with their frequencies (in units of  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) at the  $\Gamma$  point and irreducible representations for black P and blue P.

of the materials. Lowest acoustic mode ZA displays a  $q^2$  relation as we discussed in chapter 3. The other two acoustic modes LA and TA still have a linear dependence with respect to the  $q$  wavevector since the situation is the same here as in the bulk. The total frequency range of the phonon dispersion is larger by an amount of about  $100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in blue P as compared to black P. We will discuss more about the vibrational character of these phonon modes in the later chapters where we investigate the effect of strain on the frequency of the vibration.

#### 4.1.4 Results of temperature-dependent thermal properties

The equilibrium lattice constants at zero K,  $a_0$  and  $b_0$  of black P and  $a_0$  of blue P, are predicted as  $a_0 = 3.298 \text{ \AA}$ ,  $b_0 = 4.625 \text{ \AA}$ , and  $a_0 = 3.277 \text{ \AA}$ , respectively, in good agreement with previously reported results ( $a_0 = 3.297 \text{ \AA}$ ,  $b_0 = 4.640 \text{ \AA}$  for black P[145, 167], and  $a_0 = 3.330 \text{ \AA}$  for blue P[142]). The expansion of these lattice parameters due to zero-point vibration is around 0.2% at 0 K, which is smaller than that of other well-known two dimensional materials like graphene and *h*-BN, but it is comparable with that of MoS<sub>2</sub> and MoSe<sub>2</sub>. This result is reasonable due to the difference in the maximum phonon frequency between these materials: that of graphene and *h*-BN is around  $1600 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and that of MoS<sub>2</sub>, MoSe<sub>2</sub>, black P and  $a_0$  of blue P is around  $600 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ .

The temperature dependence of the lattice constants  $a_0(T)$ ,  $b_0(T)$  and thermal expansion coefficients (TEC)  $\alpha(T)$  of both structures are shown in Fig. 4.5. Anisotropic nature of the structure of black P leads to different lattice constant




Fig. 4.5 (a) Lattice constants and (b) TEC as a function of temperature. Here, ZZ and AC are denoted for the zigzag and armchair directions, respectively. (c) TEC of monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> for comparison from Ref. [156].

expansion rates. A faster thermal expansion along the armchair direction (i.e. **b**) is found, see Fig. 4.5(a). While a small negative TEC appears for all structures in all directions at temperatures lower than 100 K, black P along the zigzag direction has a more apparent negative expansion. The lattice constant of both phases varies linearly when  $T > 200$  K, see Fig. 4.5(a). The TEC increases rapidly with temperature up to 300 K. After that, all TECs changes slowly with temperature starting from around 400 K in agreement with predictions for two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides [155, 156], for example see Fig. 4.5(c) for monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>. Different from MoS<sub>2</sub>, we do not observe any saturation of the TEC for black P at high temperatures. While black P expands at most 0.02 Å along the zigzag direction as T approaches 1000 K, it expands 0.04 Å along the armchair direction. As is clear from Fig. 4.1, an uniaxial expansion along the armchair direction may result in a structural phase transition from black P to blue P because of the similar hexagonal arrangement of P atoms[168].

In Fig. 4.6, the variation of the cohesive energy as a function of strain at 0 K (a) and the variation of the Helmholtz free energy (F(T)) as a function of temperature (b) are presented. In Fig. 4.6(b), we also show  $\Delta F(T)$  which is defined as  $\Delta F(T)=F_{blueP}(T) - F_{blackP}(T)$ . Here,  $F_{blueP}(T)$  and  $F_{blackP}(T)$  are the Helmholtz free energy of black P and blue P, respectively. As the zero-point energy continuously decreases with strain from minus to plus, the variation of the zero-point energy results in an asymmetric behaviour in cohesive energy with strain. In addition, the curvature of this total energy gives the in-plane stiff-




Fig. 4.6 (a) Cohesive energy, including zero point energy, as a function of applied strain at  $T = 0$  K and (b) Helmholtz free energy ( $F(T)$ ) as a function of temperature. Here, ZZ and AC are used for the zigzag and armchair directions, respectively.  $\Delta F(T)$  is the difference between  $F(T)$  of black P and blue P. The blue arrow (b) denotes the transition point, after which blue P becomes thermodynamically more stable over black P. The specific heat at constant pressure ( $C_p$ ) and the difference ( $\Delta C_p$ ) between  $C_p$  of black P and blue P are shown in (c).

ness of the material as a measure of the response to mechanical deformation. It is clear that blue P is a stiffer material as compared to black P. Moreover, the deformation along the zigzag direction of black P is harder than that along the armchair direction at 0 K, and this is consistent with the finite temperature behaviour as we conclude from previous section in connection with Fig. 4.5(a).

The  $F(T)$  decreases as temperature increases due to the entropy term (the last term in equation (4.2)). Inclusion of the zero-point energy gives rise to a slightly higher ground state energy for blue P over black P since its optical phonon modes have larger frequencies. However, as temperature increases, a crossing of the free energy curves around 135 K occurs, which makes the blue P energetically more favorable at high temperatures, see Fig. 4.6(b). The free energy difference between the two phases is of the order of 4 meV at room temperature, meaning that the two phases can coexist. In addition, it is possible to observe a phase transition driven by temperature from black P to blue P or visa versa at  $T=135$  K.

In Fig. 4.6(c), we present constant pressure heat capacity,  $C_p$ , results for both structures, which is generally few percent differ from constant volume heat capacity in similar structures[154]. The  $C_p$  difference ( $\Delta C_p$ ) between two phases is significantly small for all temperature range as represented with blue dash dot line in Fig. 4.6(c), which states essentially very similar Debye temperature for

these two different phases. At high temperatures,  $C_p$  approaches its classical value of  $12 k_B$ . When  $T=300$  K,  $C_p$  already reaches about 80 % of its classical value, meaning that the most of the phonon modes are activated at this temperature.

#### 4.1.5 Summary

In summary, we systematically investigate the lattice thermal properties of black and blue P. Similar to its electronic properties, black P has direction dependent mechanical and thermal properties. The calculated thermal expansion coefficients demonstrate that a much larger expansion along the armchair direction with temperature is observed for black P. While black P is thermodynamically more stable than blue P, the latter becomes more stable when  $T > 135$  K, yet their free energy difference is small due to their structural similarities. It is possible to observe a structural phase transition from black P to blue P by increasing temperature beyond 135 K, and therefore the coexistence of these two phases is possible.

## 4.2 Piezoelectric properties of 2D-TMDs and 2D-TMDOs<sup>2</sup>

We have seen the general properties of 2D-TMDs in chapter 1 and vibrational and electronic properties of their one member: 2D-MoS<sub>2</sub> in chapter 3. When the S atom is replaced with O, TMDs become transition metal dioxides (TMDOs), whose monolayer have similar properties as 2D-TMDs. For the consistency with the paper, here in this section we refer to the 2D-TMDs as 2D-TMDCs. Although 2D-TMDOs proven to be stable, they have not been synthesis yet. Sharing similar electronic strucutre, 2D-TMDCs and 2D-TMDOs already demonstrating various of potential applications, such as nanoelectronic and nanophotonic devices for their direct finite band gaps[66, 169]. In addition to these exciting applications, 2D-TMDCs in the noncentrosymmetric 2H crystal structure with  $D_{3h}$  symmetry have also been shown to have remarkable piezoelectric properties that can be then use in pressure sensors, transducers, high voltage generators, nonlinear energy harvesters, energy conversion and piezotronic applications. Many layered

---

<sup>2</sup>This work is published in: P3.

materials have a centrosymmetry which suppresses the piezoelectricity. However, this symmetry will be broken when it searches the monolayer level, and then the piezoelectricity is recovered. For example, graphene preserves the centrosymmetry as in graphite, or inversion symmetry, due to its non-polar nature thus it does not display piezoelectricity; Whereas 2D-BN and 2D-TMDs break such symmetry and become noncentrosymmetric system where piezoelectric effect can manifest themselves.

Duerloo, Ong, and Reed [170] calculated the piezoelectric properties of single layer of BN, MoS<sub>2</sub>, MoSe<sub>2</sub>, MoTe<sub>2</sub>, WS<sub>2</sub>, WSe<sub>2</sub>, and WTe<sub>2</sub> by using first principle calculations. They reported that piezoelectricity of the 2D-TMDC monolayers in H phase are comparable or even better than that of conventional bulk piezoelectric materials. Zhu et al. [171] reported experimental evidence of piezoelectricity in free-standing MoS<sub>2</sub> and they found that this material exhibits piezoelectricity for an odd number of layers in which case inversion symmetry is broken. Their measured piezoelectric coefficient is  $2.9 \times 10^{-10}$  C/m, which agrees well with previous theoretical calculations[170]. Similarly, by using DFT based theoretical calculations, it has been predicted that group III monodichalcogenides, namely GaS, GaSe and InSe, have piezoelectric stress coefficients of  $1.34 \times 10^{-10}$ ,  $1.34 \times 10^{-10}$  and  $1.47 \times 10^{-10}$  C/m, respectively[172]. In addition, reducing the dimensionality has been shown to enhance piezoelectricity in ZnO[173]. These studies indicate that TMDCs are promising candidates as low dimensional piezoelectric materials.

Since previous calculations and experiments were only focused on Mo and W based TMDs, the potential of other 2D-TMDCs and 2D-TMDOs for piezoelectric device applications have remained an open question so far. To reveal such potential, first principles calculations are performed in order to systematically investigate the piezoelectric properties of single layer 2H-MX<sub>2</sub> compounds, where M= Cr, Mo, W, Ti, Zr, Hf, Sn and X=O, S, Se, Te. Lattice parameters, atomic positions, electronic band-gap values, elastic stiffness constants ( $C_{11}$  and  $C_{12}$ ), Young modulus (Y), Poisson's ratios ( $\nu$ ), piezoelectric stress coefficients ( $e_{11}$ ) and piezoelectric strain coefficients ( $d_{11}$ ) are calculated.

### 4.2.1 Piezoelectric constants

Piezoelectricity is the ability of some materials to generate internal electric field in response to applied mechanical stress. Two types of piezoelectric phenomena can be observed for piezoelectric materials: 1) direct piezoelectric effect: separation of opposite charge due to stress and 2) converse piezoelectric effect: occurrences of stress and strain under external electric field. In Fig. 4.7, the mechanism of direct piezoelectric effect is shown.




Fig. 4.7 Mechanism of direct piezoelectric effect. Image source: [174]

To investigate the piezoelectric properties, we follow the same theoretical approach of Ref. [170]. The piezoelectric tensor[175, 176],  $e_{ij}$ , can be defined in terms of the induced polarization in the direction  $i$  due to a strain ( $\varepsilon_j$ ) change along the direction  $j$  as follows

$$e_{ij} = \partial P_i / \partial \varepsilon_j = \partial P_i / \partial \varepsilon_j |_u + \sum_k (\partial P_i / \partial u_{ik}) (\partial u_{ik} / \partial \varepsilon_j). \quad (4.3)$$

where  $P_i$  is the induced polarization along the direction  $i$  as a result of an applied strain  $\varepsilon_j$  along the direction  $j$ . The  $P_i$  is calculated using the Berry Phase approach[177] as implemented in the VASP package with applied uniform strain, ranging from 0.01 % to -0.01 % in steps of 0.005 %, along the armchair side of the rectangular cell. At this point, in order to apply strain in a desired direction, the hexagonal primitive cell structure of each material is transformed to a tetragonal one composed of two hexagonal primitive cells[170], see Fig. 4.8. The first

term in equation (4.3) is the clamped-ion or homogeneous strain contribution to the piezoelectric tensor and it mainly arises from the electronic contribution. The second term represents the contribution from the internal relaxation of ions. Here,  $u_{ik}$  is the fractional coordinate of the  $k^{th}$  atom along the  $i$  direction of the unit cell.

Since TMDCs and TMOs compounds have a non-centrosymmetric crystal structure, the inclusion of internal relaxation becomes essential in order to obtain realistic piezoelectric properties. In addition, it is clear that the relaxed-ion piezoelectric coefficients are experimentally relevant quantities that can be measured. From the theoretical point of view, since the relaxed-ion piezoelectric coefficients include both electronic and relaxation effects, the calculation of the clamped-ion piezoelectric coefficients helps to separate the electronic and relaxation contributions from the relaxed-ion piezoelectric coefficients. The number of independent piezoelectric tensor coefficient is deduced from the symmetry of the crystal. For TMDCs and TMOs, we only need to calculate the  $e_{11}$  component of the piezoelectric stress tensor.  $e_{11}$  relates in-plane strain to in-plane electrical polarization. The piezoelectric coefficient  $e_{31}$  is zero due to the presence of an inversion centre between the two layers of chalcogenides. However, it is found to be non-zero for the unsymmetrical H and F co-decorated graphene[178, 179].

The corresponding piezoelectric strain tensor ( $d_{11}$ ) of each material is predicted from the following relation[170]:

$$d_{11} = e_{11}/(C_{11} - C_{12}). \quad (4.4)$$

For each applied strain, the ions are kept in their strained positions or allowed to relax to their new equilibrium positions, and consequently the clamped-ion or relaxed-ion piezoelectric properties are calculated, respectively.

## Computational details

**Simulation program:** VASP

**Energy cut-off:** 600 eV

**Pseudopotentials:** PBE-GGA(PAW), HSE06

**k points (Monkhorst-Pack):**  $26 \times 26 \times 1$

**Vacuum:** 15 Å

**Energy and force convergence criterion:**  $10^{-3}$  eV and  $10^{-7}$  eV/Å, respectively




Fig. 4.8 Top and side views of  $\text{MX}_2$  where  $\text{M} = \text{Cr}, \text{Mo}, \text{W}, \text{Ti}, \text{Zr}, \text{Hf}, \text{Sn}$  and  $\text{X} = \text{O}, \text{S}, \text{Se}, \text{Te}$ .  $\text{P}$  denotes the direction of the polarization. Piezoelectric calculations are done in a rectangular cell.

**strain applied:** 1% to -1% in steps of 0.5%

**stress and force:** finite displacement method

**polarization:** Berry Phase expression [177] in VASP

#### 4.2.2 Accurate band gaps from HSE06 hybrid functional

It is mandatory that a piezoelectric material has to be an insulator or semiconductor with a sufficiently wide band gap to avoid current leakage. Thus, we first calculate the electronic properties of twenty eight single layer  $\text{MX}_2$  compounds, where  $\text{M} = \text{Cr}, \text{Mo}, \text{W}, \text{Ti}, \text{Zr}, \text{Hf}, \text{Sn}$  and  $\text{X} = \text{O}, \text{S}, \text{Se}, \text{Te}$ . We discard the metallic structures, namely  $\text{SnSe}_2$ ,  $\text{SnTe}_2$ , and  $\text{TiTe}_2$ . Actually,  $\text{G}_0\text{W}_0$  calculations predicted that 2H-TiTe<sub>2</sub> is a small band gap semiconductor material[180]. Since semi-local functionals are used in the Berry's phase calculations, 2H-TiTe<sub>2</sub> is excluded. For electronic structure calculations, we also applied the HSE06 hybrid functionals in order to obtain realistic electronic band gap values for TMDCs and TMDOs. Fig. 4.9 shows the calculated PBE-GGA and HSE06 band gap values  $E_{\text{gap}}$ . The materials, except Cr, Mo, and W based TMDCs, have indirect band gaps and the predicted values and trends are in good agreement with previous theoreti-

cal calculations[170, 181, 182]. Generally, the band gap increases when moving upwards in the chalcogens family from Te to S and with increasing atomic number in the transition metals. However, the latter trend is partially valid when the compounds with O are included. The difference is that within the same row of the transition metals, TMDOs with a larger atomic number tend to have smaller band gaps which is in contrast to the TMDCs case.




Fig. 4.9 The calculated PBE-GGA and HSE06  $E_{gap}$  values for TMDCs and TMDOs. Here, white \* sign indicates that it is a direct band gap material.

### 4.2.3 Elastic constants

As previously mentioned, we need to calculate the elastic constants in order to obtain the piezoelectric strain,  $d_{11}$  coefficients, see equation (4.4). Therefore, the relaxed-ion and clamped-ion elastic stiffness coefficients ( $C_{11}$  and  $C_{12}$ ), Young modulus ( $Y = (C_{11}^2 - C_{12}^2)/C_{11}$ ) and Poisson's ratios ( $\nu = C_{12}/C_{11}$ ) for all 2D-TMDC and 2D-TMDO materials considered in this study are obtained and are listed in table 4.1. Our results are in good agreement with available data[136, 170, 183, 184]. The first observation from table 4.1 is that  $C_{11}$ ,  $C_{12}$  and  $Y$  decreases with increase of the row number of the chalcogenide atom. Except Zr, in each chalcogenide group, the  $MX_2$  monolayer becomes stiffer with increase of the row number of the metal atom. Structures considered in this study are found to be less stiff when compared to graphene ( $Y=341$  N/m)[185] and single layer *h*-BN ( $Y=275.9$  N/m)[185]. Also it should be noticed that the calculated elastic constants are positive and satisfy the Born stability criteria for crystals having hexagonal symmetry[186, 187]. Note that the relaxed-ion elastic constants, i.e.  $C_{11}$  and  $C_{12}$ , are

Table 4.1 Calculated clamped and relaxed-ion elastic constants (in units of N/m), Young modulus Y (in units of N/m) and Poisson's ratio  $\nu$ .

Material	Clamped Ion				Relaxed Ion			
	$C_{11}$	$C_{12}$	$Y$	$\nu$	$C_{11}$	$C_{12}$	$Y$	$\nu$
TiS <sub>2</sub>	100.3	34.2	88.6	0.34	89.9	28.6	80.8	0.32
TiSe <sub>2</sub>	84.8	29.3	74.7	0.35	74.4	24.4	66.4	0.33
ZrS <sub>2</sub>	96.3	37.7	81.5	0.39	84.2	31.8	72.2	0.38
ZrSe <sub>2</sub>	83.3	31.0	71.8	0.37	71.4	26.0	61.9	0.36
ZrTe <sub>2</sub>	66.2	22.8	58.35	0.34	53.1	18.6	46.6	0.35
HfS <sub>2</sub>	104.4	39.1	89.8	0.37	92.8	33.8	80.5	0.36
HfSe <sub>2</sub>	89.7	32.2	78.1	0.36	78.8	27.8	69.0	0.35
HfTe <sub>2</sub>	71.0	23.5	63.2	0.33	59.3	19.7	52.8	0.33
CrS <sub>2</sub>	136.9	42.6	123.6	0.31	120.6	32.3	111.9	0.27
CrSe <sub>2</sub>	111.3	37.5	98.7	0.34	96.6	28.9	87.9	0.30
CrTe <sub>2</sub>	86.5	32.7	74.1	0.38	73.0	25.8	63.9	0.30
MoS <sub>2</sub>	157.2	50.1	141.2	0.32	132.7	33.0	124.5	0.25
MoSe <sub>2</sub>	133.2	40.8	120.7	0.31	106.9	25.6	100.8	0.24
MoTe <sub>2</sub>	106.3	32.8	96.2	0.31	84.1	19.8	79.4	0.24
WS <sub>2</sub>	174.7	51.9	159.3	0.30	146.5	31.8	139.6	0.22
WSe <sub>2</sub>	147.4	41.1	135.9	0.28	102.4	23.1	115.9	0.23
WTe <sub>2</sub>	115.4	31.6	106.8	0.27	89.2	15.7	86.4	0.18
SnS <sub>2</sub>	92.8	23.1	87.1	0.25	91.0	22.2	85.6	0.24
TiO <sub>2</sub>	178.9	80.9	142.3	0.45	173.7	75.7	141.7	0.44
ZrO <sub>2</sub>	163.5	83.0	121.5	0.51	157.4	77.5	119.2	0.49
HfO <sub>2</sub>	181.7	86.7	140.3	0.48	174.2	81.5	136.1	0.47
CrO <sub>2</sub>	233.8	87.4	201.1	0.37	218.6	74.4	193.3	0.34
MoO <sub>2</sub>	253.3	104.0	210.6	0.41	230.2	84.5	199.2	0.37
WO <sub>2</sub>	286.2	109.0	244.7	0.38	261.2	87.8	231.7	0.34
SnO <sub>2</sub>	165.7	52.4	149.1	0.32	160.2	53.3	142.5	0.33

always smaller than the clamped-ion ones since the internal relaxation of ions allows to release some of the stress in the former, see table 4.1.

#### 4.2.4 Piezoelectric stress/strain coefficients




Fig. 4.10 (a) Clamped-ion and (b) relaxed-ion polarization change under applied uniaxial strain ( $\epsilon_{11}$ ) along the x direction for the selected 2D-TMDCs and 2D-TMOs structures. Piezoelectric coefficient is determined from the slope of the curves.

Piezoelectric coefficients ( $e_{11}$ ) are derived from the slope of the polarization change in Fig. 4.10 with applied uniform strain, ranging from 0.01 % to -0.01 % in steps of 0.005 %, along the armchair side of the rectangular cell via Berry's Phase approximation[177]. The clamped-ion and relaxed-ion  $d_{11}$  coefficients are obtained by using the calculated  $e_{11}$  coefficients, and the elastic constants ( $C_{11}$  and  $C_{12}$ ) via equation (4.4). Fig. 4.11 shows the calculated  $e_{11}$  and  $d_{11}$  coefficients for both TMDCs and TMOs. The materials are ordered along the x-axis by considering the period and group number of the transition metal element in the periodic table. The predicted relaxed-ion  $e_{11}$  and  $d_{11}$  coefficients are consistent with the available reference data[170, 188], see results for Cr, Mo and W based TMDCs, which are comparable with the piezoelectric properties of single layer and bulk *h*-BN[170, 189–191]. In addition, the relaxed-ion  $e_{11}$  coefficient of




Fig. 4.11 The calculated clamped and relaxed-ion (a) piezoelectric stress ( $e_{11}$ ) and (b) piezoelectric strain ( $d_{11}$ ) coefficients.

single layer MoS<sub>2</sub> ( $4.91 \times 10^{-10} \text{ C/m}$ ) is comparable with the experimentally measured piezoelectric coefficient of  $2.90 \times 10^{-10} \text{ C/m}$ [192] and agrees well with the reported value of  $3.64 \times 10^{-10} \text{ C/m}$ .[170]. In addition, the trends found for the  $e_{11}$  and  $d_{11}$  coefficients of Mo and W based TMDCs are consistent with those found in Ref.[170]. The difference between our calculated piezoelectric coefficients and previous calculations is likely due to the use of different pseudopotentials, small differences in elastic constants, and other computational parameters (for instance  $k$ -mesh).

SnS<sub>2</sub> has the highest  $e_{11}$  coefficient for the relaxed-ion ( $10.7 \times 10^{-10} \text{ C/m}$ ) calculation. WO<sub>2</sub> has the smallest relaxed-ion piezoelectric stress coefficient ( $2.49 \times 10^{-10} \text{ C/m}$ ) among TMDOs and WTe<sub>2</sub> has the smallest clamped-ion piezoelectric stress coefficient ( $1.75 \times 10^{-10} \text{ C/m}$ ). From Fig. 4.11, we predict several periodic trends in clamped-ion  $e_{11}$  and  $d_{11}$  coefficients for TMDC and TMDO monolayers. The clamped-ion  $e_{11}$  coefficients of TMDCs usually increase when moving

from right to left in the periodic table (i.e., from  $\text{CrX}_2$  to  $\text{TiX}_2$ ) and upward in an individual group of both transition metal and chalcogen atoms. This trend is nearly the same for TMDOs. However, for TMDCs, the trend (i.e., the increase in the calculated clamped-ion  $e_{11}$  coefficients when moving upward in the group of chalcogen elements) becomes reversed for the relaxed-ion calculations of group VI elements as clearly seen in Fig. 4.11(a). The clamped and relaxed ion  $d_{11}$  coefficients increase when moving downward in the group of chalcogen elements (i.e. from S to Te) in each metal group. This trend can be correlated to the polarizability of chalcogen atoms since the atoms are easily polarized when going downward in a specific group of the periodic table. We notice that the chalcogenide atoms have a much larger impact on the  $d_{11}$  coefficients as compared to the metal atoms. Especially in group VI, the  $d_{11}$  coefficient is maximized if one uses a smaller metal atom and a larger chalcogen atom. In group IV, Zr does not exhibit the same trend that is found for the group VI elements. This is partially because the  $C_{11}$  elastic constant of Zr based TMDCs for a particular chalcogen atom is smaller than that of Ti and Hf based TMDCs. Since TMDOs pose larger elastic constants, they usually have smaller  $d_{11}$  coefficients as compared to TMDCs. In other words, the stronger the material the smaller the  $d_{11}$  coefficient.

Among the group VI elements (i.e., Cr, Mo and W), Cr based TMDCs and TMDOs are found to have much better piezoelectric properties in each chalcogenide group and  $\text{CrTe}_2$  possesses the largest relaxed-ion  $e_{11}$  ( $8.06 \times 10^{-10} \text{ C/m}$ ) and  $d_{11}$  (17.1 pm/V) coefficients. On the other hand, the relaxed-ion  $e_{11}$  and  $d_{11}$  coefficients of  $\text{SnS}_2$  are almost the same as those of  $\text{CrTe}_2$ . The predicted relaxed-ion  $e_{11}$  values are much larger than the values previously predicted for surface decorated graphene structures[193]. Furthermore, when the piezoelectric coefficients of the extensively used bulk piezoelectric materials, namely 2.3 pm/V for  $\alpha$ -quartz[194], 3.1 pm/V for wurtzite GaN[195] and 5.1 pm/V for AlN[195], are considered, we predict that TMDCs and TMDOs have comparable or even larger relaxed-ion piezoelectric coefficients.

#### 4.2.5 Importance of internal relaxation

It is essential to discuss the effect of the internal relaxation on the piezoelectric properties of TMDCs and TMDOs. Relaxing the ion positions after applying strain

significantly reduces (increases) the polarization of the Ti, Zr and Hf (Cr, Mo and W) based TMDCs. As a result, the clamped-ion piezoelectric coefficients of the Ti, Zr and Hf (Cr, Mo and W) based TMDCs monolayers are much larger (smaller) than that of the relaxed-ion coefficients. This means that the electronic contribution, i.e. the first term in equation (4.3), and strain contribution, i.e. the second term in equation (4.3), have opposite (the same) sign for the Ti, Zr and Hf (Cr, Mo and W) based TMDCs. The calculated elastic constants suggest that Ti, Zr and Hf based TMDCs are more brittle materials that are expected to exhibit larger response to an applied strain, thereby giving rise to higher clamped-ion piezoelectric constants. For TMDOs, the contribution of internal relaxation to the  $e_{11}$  coefficient decrease when moving downward in an individual metal group. However, in each chalcogen group, the internal relaxation becomes generally less important when going from Te to S. This can be attributed to the large strain-induced ionic motion in response of an applied strain. In other words, after applying strain, the amount of the internal relaxation of the chalcogen atoms increases from S to Te, giving rise to a larger internal relaxation contribution to the piezoelectric coefficients in Te based TMDCs. Since Te is the most easily polarizable atom among the chalcogenide atoms (due to its larger size), the polarization effects (and hence electronic contribution to the  $e_{11}$  coefficient) are found to be large in Te based TMDCs as compared to S and Se counterparts. However, the increase in piezoelectricity effects competes with the degradation of stability.

#### 4.2.6 Summary

In summary, we presented a detailed theoretical investigation of the piezoelectric properties of semiconductor TMDC and TMDO monolayers. Our calculations show that TMDC and TMDO structures are strong candidates for future atomically thin piezoelectric applications. We show that Ti, Zr, Sn and Cr based TMDCs and TMDOs have much better piezoelectric properties as compared to Mo and W based TMDCs and TMDOs and the well-known conventional bulk piezoelectric materials. The usage of these 2D piezoelectric materials in ultra sensitive sensors, low-power electronics and nanoscale electromechanical systems are expected to have an impact on the size reduction, weight and energy consumption of such devices.

### 4.3 Magnetic properties of penta-hexa-graphene<sup>3</sup>

Magnetic material is a key ingredient for the unprecedented progress that has been made in the modern technology over the recent decades. The exploration in this direction also includes the discovery of new materials and the invention of new devices. Considering the very low spin-orbit coupling and long spin relaxation time in graphene-related 2D systems, substantial effort has been devoted to the induction of magnetism in these metal-free materials with the aim for future spintronic devices[196, 197]. Intrinsic magnetism in graphene is absent, but extrinsic magnetism has been achieved by means of partial hydrogenation[42, 198], foreign atom substitution[199, 200] and the introduction of defects[201, 202].

Three types of orbital hybridization are usually found in carbon allotropes, namely  $sp$ ,  $sp^2$  and  $sp^3$ . While graphene is made of a network of  $sp^2$  hybridized atoms connected through  $\sigma$  and  $\pi$  bonds of  $p_z$  orbitals, diamond is exclusively held together by  $\sigma$  bonds between  $sp^3$  bonded atoms. Another class of carbon allotropes is formed by the graphynes and graphdiynes [203, 204]. These flat materials contain a mixture of  $sp$  and  $sp^2$  hybridized C atoms. Structures containing a mixture of  $sp^2$  and  $sp^3$  atoms, such as penta-graphene[205], have been studied[206–208] as well. This last structure contains a mixture of threefold and fourfold coordinated C atoms. This leads to a distorted structure with non-ideal bond angles that has higher formation energy than the non-distorted graphene and diamond crystals with their ideal planar and tetrahedral bonding geometry. Therefore, a system with a local bond structure resembling that of graphene or diamond will have lower energy.

None of the above mentioned materials are magnetic because they contain only paired electrons. Local magnetic moments usually originate from lone electrons that are not involved in chemical bonding. Single atomic defects such as vacancies break covalent bonds and create lone electrons that give rise to magnetism. It is interesting to investigate whether a structural modification with a proper mixing of three- and fourfold coordinated C atoms can lead to local magnetic moments in a stable crystalline structure. In this work, we propose such a new type of 2D carbon allotrope with non-trivial magnetic properties. This

---

<sup>3</sup>This work is published in: P4.

structure is composed of a mixture of pentagonal and hexagonal rings of carbon atoms and will be called penta-hexa-graphene (ph-graphene). This new material has an antiferromagnetic (AFM) ground state which transforms to a ferromagnetic (FM) state under strain. The latter state is protected by a small strain-induced energy barrier. These findings can initiate further research to induce magnetism and spin-flip barriers through strain in other metal-free 2D materials.

## 4.4 Computational details

Our first-principles calculations are based on spin-polarized density functional theory as implemented in the Vienna *ab initio* Simulation Package (VASP) [111, 112, 209, 210]. The cutoff energy for the plane-wave basis used to expand the wavefunctions is set to 500 eV. This is large enough to guarantee the convergence of the relative energy differences between different ground states. The projected augmented wave (PAW) method [211, 212] is used to describe the wave functions. Exchange-correlation interactions are described with the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) within the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) formulation [213, 214]. The Brillouin-zone sampling is done with a  $15 \times 15 \times 1$  k-points mesh for monolayer structures. A vacuum space of 25 Å is included to simulate a monolayer environment. The self-consistency convergence criterion for the total energy is set to  $10^{-8}$  eV. The ionic optimization criterion for the atomic forces is set to  $10^{-7}$  eV/Å. These high criteria are required to perform accurate phonon calculations. These phonon calculations are done in a  $3 \times 3 \times 1$  supercell with the Phonopy code[215].

## 4.5 Results

The structure of the proposed 2D material is schematically shown in Fig. 4.12. It consists of hexagonal rings of C atoms surrounded by six pentagonal rings which share one edge with the hexagon and four with other pentagons. These hexagonal and pentagonal rings are arranged in a hexagonal lattice to form an infinite monolayer sheet. Considering the complex structure of this system, it is




Fig. 4.12 (Color online) Atomic structure of a  $2 \times 2$  supercell of monolayer graphene. (a) Top view with hexagonal and pentagonal rings marked with red and yellow color, respectively; (b) Side view of the buckled structure. (Visualisation using VESTA [216])

important to understand the hybridization of the electronic orbitals. For this, we calculate the hybridization index of the three C atoms that have a unique local environment, namely C1, C2 and C3 in Fig. 4.13, through Coulson's theorem:  $1 + \sqrt{n_1 n_2} \cos\theta_{12} = 0$ , where  $\theta_{12}$  is the interorbital angle between orbital 1 and 2 (see Fig. 4.13) and  $n$  is the hybridization index.  $n$  corresponds to the index in the  $sp^n$  notation and determines the relative fraction of  $p$  orbitals with respect to the  $s$  orbital. The sum of the  $s$  fractions in all hybridized orbitals should equal 1, while it should be 3 for the sum of the  $p$  fractions.

In table 4.2, we list the hybridization indices of the C1 and C3 orbitals,  $\varphi$ . The C1 atom has two  $sp^2$  orbitals with  $120^\circ$  interorbital angle and one  $sp^{2.72}$ . The




Fig. 4.13 (Color online) Bond angles in the unit cell of monolayer ph-graphene.

Table 4.2 Orbital hybridization indices of the C atoms in ph-graphene.

Atom	$\varphi_1$	$\varphi_2$	$\varphi_3$	$\varphi_4$
C1	2.00	2.00	2.72	14.58
C3	3.11	3.11	3.11	2.70




Fig. 4.14 (Color online) Phonon dispersion relation of monolayer ph-graphene.




Fig. 4.15 (Color online) Electronic band structure of monolayer ph-graphene. The charge densities at the VBM and CBM in the unit cell are shown as insets. The arrows on the atoms indicate the orientation of their magnetic moments.

latter gives rise to a partly buckled structure. The fourth C1 orbital has a large  $p$  contribution, indicating that it is close to a  $p_z$  orbital with little  $s$  contribution, and induces  $\pi$  bonding in the hexagonal rings. The C2 atoms have fourfold coordination and their hybridization should be close to  $sp^3$ . Due to geometric constraints, the bonds have strained angles[120]. Finally, the C3 atom has four quasi- $sp^3$  orbitals of which three are used for nearest-neighbor  $\sigma$  bonding. The electron in the fourth orbital remains unpaired and can give a local magnetic moment of  $1 \mu_B$  (Bohr magneton). There are two C3 atoms per unit cell which form a graphene-like subcrystal. The maximal magnetic moment per unit cell is therefore  $2\mu_B$  if the system is ferromagnetic. However, when we align these two magnetic moments antiparallel, the total energy is lowered by 12 meV per magnetic atom, which gives an AFM ground state.

In comparison to penta-graphene, ph-graphene has a 76 mev/atom lower formation energy. However, it is about 0.9 eV/atom higher than that of graphene. **These results are consistent with the ones from Zhang et al. [217].** The relatively high formation energy of ph-graphene as compared to graphene can be mainly attributed to the “bent” bonds of the C2 atoms due to geometric constraints.

To check the dynamical stability of the ph-graphene structure we calculated its phonon spectrum (see Fig. 4.14). Since there are no imaginary frequencies, we can conclude that the structure is dynamically stable.

The electronic band structure and the charge densities at the valence band maximum (VBM) and conduction band minimum (CBM) are shown in Fig. 4.15. Both the FM and AFM states exhibit indirect semiconducting character with a band gap (PBE) of 1.06 eV and 0.96 eV, respectively. The band edge states are mainly located on the magnetic atoms and the band gap separates states of opposite spin orientation. The hybridized  $\varphi_4$  states (see above) on these atoms form a  $\pi$  bonding network that resembles the  $p_z$   $\pi$  bonding in graphene. For the FM state, the typical graphene-like band structure that results from this can be observed for the valence and conduction bands. These bands are separated due to the ferromagnetic exchange splitting in spin-up and spin-down states with a large gap in between. Note that the splitting between bonding and anti-bonding states at the  $\Gamma$  point is strongly reduced with respect to graphene because of the larger interatomic distance separating the C3 atoms (3.1 Å in ph-graphene vs 1.4 Å in graphene). Due to this increased bonding distance, the exchange interaction

exceeds the bonding interaction and the system is magnetic in stark contrast to graphene where the  $\pi$  bonding is much stronger.

## 4.6 Summary and Conclusions

In this work, we proposed a new type of stable monolayer carbon allotrope composed of pentagonal and hexagonal rings of carbon atoms. We explained the symmetry and the structure of the bonds that result in local magnetic moments. By comparing the total energy of the FM and AFM state, we conclude that the latter is the ground state. Our theoretical calculations give insight in the magnetic mechanism in this metal-free material, which can initiate further work on the exploration of magnetic properties of other 2D metal-free material.

### 4.6.1 Magnetic ordering

**Stoner criterion of ferromagnetism**

## 4.7 Battery related properties of MXenes/graphene heterostructure<sup>4</sup>

### 4.7.1 Principle of Lithium battery

### 4.7.2 Key quantities and their modelling

---

<sup>4</sup>This work will be published as: P9.



# **Chapter 5**

## **Results of Physical Properties Modification in Novel 2D materials**

### **5.1 Number of layers and types of stackings**

#### **5.1.1 Few-layer of Calcium hydroxide<sup>1</sup>**

#### **5.1.2 Few-layer of pentasilicene<sup>2</sup>**

### **5.2 Mechanical strain**

#### **5.2.1 Variation of vibrational modes under strain in phosphorene<sup>3</sup>**

In subsection 4.1.3 we calculated the phonon dispersion of black and blue phosphorene, here we will discuss how the vibrational modes change with strain. In Fig. 5.1 we revisit the phonon dispersion of phosphorene, here the  $\Gamma$  point vibrational modes with their irreducible representations and their frequencies are given.

With the knowledge of the space group and its character table it is possible to assign vibrational modes to each band at the  $\Gamma$ -point. A table of all optical

---

<sup>1</sup>This work is published in: P5.

<sup>2</sup>This work is published in: P6.

<sup>3</sup>This work is published in: P2.




Fig. 5.1 Calculated phonon dispersions for pristine (a) black and (b) blue P. (c) Optical phonon modes together with their frequencies (in units of  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) at the  $\Gamma$  point and irreducible representations for black P and blue P.




Fig. 5.2 (Color online) Variation of the  $\Gamma$  frequencies with strain: (a) zigzag and (b) armchair direction of black P and (c) blue P.

modes together with its frequency and irreducible representation for both structures is presented in Fig. 5.1(c) for the strainless structures. In Fig. 5.2, we show the variation of these optical phonons at the  $\Gamma$  point under uniaxial strain. Our calculations agree well with the results of Ref. [166]. The frequency shift by strain can be directly detected using Raman spectroscopy. Depending on the atomic motion of the modes, the magnitude and type of strain, we find that the dependence of the phonon frequency can be different for each mode. Especially, the higher energy optical modes under uniaxial strain, applied along the zigzag direction, exhibit a much larger variation, see Fig. 5.2(a).  $B_{3g}^2$  mode of black P has a different behavior under tensile and compressive strains along the zigzag direction. Applying a tensile (compressive) strain increases (decreases) the frequency of  $B_{3g}^2$  mode. The frequency spacing between  $A_g^2$  and  $B_{2u}$  modes decreases when black P is stretched along both zigzag and armchair directions. While the frequency of  $A_g^2$  mode exhibits almost no variation under strain applied along the armchair direction, it significantly varies under strain applied along the zigzag direction. Among the low lying optical modes (i.e.,  $B_{1u}$ ,  $B_{1g}$  and  $B_{3g}^1$ ), the  $B_{1u}$  mode exhibits a larger variation with applied strain.  $E_g$  and  $A_{1g}$  modes of blue

P always are red shifted when changing strain from compressive to tensile. Our results show that by measuring the frequency shift in the particular modes, it is possible to determine the strain distribution in phosphorene.

Our results show that the frequency shift observed in particular modes can be utilized to determine strain distribution in phosphorene.

### 5.2.2 Carrier mobility enhancement in $\text{TiS}_3$ monolayer with strain<sup>4</sup>

### 5.2.3 Carrier mobility

### 5.2.4 Magnetic properties modulation in penta-hexa-graphnene with strain<sup>5</sup>




Fig. 5.3 AFM to FM transition of monolayer ph-graphene under strain.

<sup>4</sup>This work is published in: P7.

<sup>5</sup>This work is published in: P4.

Strain is an effective way to modulate the electronic properties of materials. There are different ways to induce strain on 2D materials. Roldán et al. [218] gave a comprehensive review on the strain engineering on 2D system both from experimental and theoretical view. Here, we apply biaxial strain to our structure and monitor how the total energy of the different magnetic phases change, see Fig. 5.3. We find that an AFM to FM transition occurs for both compressive and tensile strains of approximately 6% and 8%, respectively. Therefore, strained structures of this kind can give a FM ground state, which is more beneficial for applications. The variation of the band gap under strain is plotted in Fig. 5.4. Both the FM and the AFM band gap has a similar qualitative behavior with a small quantitative difference between the two magnetic phases. The tensile strain decreases the band gap monotonically up to 10%, while the compressive strain gives a non-monotonic behavior due to band crossings. From -5% to 10% strain, CBM and VBM are located at the  $\Gamma$  and K high symmetric k-points, respectively. While two transitions of the location of the VBM happen below -5% strain. First, it is shifted to the  $\Gamma$  k-point from the second highest valence band around -8%, and then shifted to the  $\Sigma$  k-path between the  $\Gamma$  and M k-points at -9%.

As a final property of the two different magnetic ground states, we study the energy barrier for the transition from the FM to AFM state through spin flipping. This calculation is realized by constraining the magnetic moment through a penalty contribution to the total energy in VASP, and rotate one of the moments stepwise through  $180^\circ$ . In this way an energy profile from FM to AFM can be obtained (see Fig. 5.5). The rotation of the magnetic moment was carried along two different planes, one along the zigzag direction and the other along armchair direction, but the difference of the energy profile is negligible. Without strain, there is almost no energy barrier for the transition from the FM to AFM state. However, if the structure is under a 9% tensile strain, in which case the FM state is the ground state, an energy barrier of about 13 meV per magnetic atom arises to return to the AFM state. This is much larger as one consider the energy barrier from the AFM to the FM under the same strain, which is about 3.3 meV per magnetic atom. This calculation is performed with the aim to study the stability of each magnetic state, and the possibility to form paramagnetic state even without thermal motion. We found both magnetic state is stable,




Fig. 5.4 (Color online) Band gap variation of AFM and FM monolayer ph-graphene under strain.




Fig. 5.5 (Color online) AFM to FM transition through spin-flip in monolayer phosphorene.

and there is no tendency to form non-collinear magnetic moment orientation, and possibility of paramagnetic formation is small.

We discovered a strain-driven magnetic ground state transition from AFM to FM around 6% compressive strain and 8% tensile strain. An energy profile with different angles between two local magnetic moments is calculated to study the energy barrier for the transition between FM and AFM. While an energy barrier of about 13 meV/(magnetic atom) protecting the AFM ground state in the pristine case; it is protecting the FM ground state when the system is under 9% tensile strain.

## 5.3 Adatom adsorption

### 5.3.1 Nitrogen edge-decorated graphene nanoribbon<sup>6</sup>

## 5.4 Heterostructures

### 5.4.1 Electrical transport in 1T/2H/1T MoS<sub>2</sub> lateral heterostructure<sup>7</sup>

### 5.4.2 Li storage and diffusion in MXenes/graphene vertical heterostructure<sup>8</sup>

## 5.5 Defect induction

### 5.5.1 Faceted blue phosphorene nanotube formed by line defects<sup>9</sup>

### 5.5.2 Electronic structure of penta-hexa defects in graphene<sup>10</sup>

---

<sup>6</sup>This work will be published as: P10.

<sup>7</sup>This work is submitted as: P11.

<sup>8</sup>This work will be published as: P9.

<sup>9</sup>This work is published in: P8.

<sup>10</sup>This work is published in: P4.

# **Chapter 6**

## **Conclusions**



# References

- [1] K. S. Novoselov et al. "Electric Field Effect in Atomically Thin Carbon Films". In: *Science* 306.5696 (2004), pp. 666–669. DOI: 10.1126/science.1102896 (cit. on p. 1).
- [2] K. S. Novoselov et al. "Two-dimensional atomic crystals". In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 102.30 (2005), pp. 10451–10453. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0502848102 (cit. on pp. 1, 16).
- [3] A. K. Geim and K. S. Novoselov. "The rise of graphene". In: *Nat. Mater.* 6.3 (Mar. 2007), pp. 183–191. DOI: 10.1038/nmat1849 (cit. on pp. 1, 5, 6).
- [4] The Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences. *The Nobel Prize in Physics 2010 - Information for the public*. 2010. URL: [https://www.nobelprize.org/nobel\\_prizes/physics/laureates/2010/popular- physicsprize2010.pdf](https://www.nobelprize.org/nobel_prizes/physics/laureates/2010/popular- physicsprize2010.pdf) (visited on 04/14/2017) (cit. on p. 4).
- [5] H. Petroski. *The Pencil: A History of Design and Circumstance*. Knopf, 1990 (cit. on p. 3).
- [6] A. Krishnan et al. "Graphitic cones and the nucleation of curved carbon surfaces". In: *Nature* 388.6641 (July 1997), pp. 451–454. DOI: 10.1038/41284 (cit. on pp. 3, 5).
- [7] Y. Ohashi et al. "Size Effect in the In-plane Electrical Resistivity of Very Thin Graphite Crystals". In: *TANSO* 1997.180 (1997), pp. 235–238. DOI: 10.7209/tanso.1997.235 (cit. on pp. 3, 5).
- [8] M. S. Dresselhaus and G. Dresselhaus. "Intercalation compounds of graphite". In: *Advances in Physics* 51.1 (2002), pp. 1–186. DOI: 10.1080/00018730110113644 (cit. on p. 3).
- [9] H. Shioyama. "Cleavage of graphite to graphene". In: *Journal of Materials Science Letters* 20.6 (2001), pp. 499–500. DOI: 10.1023/A:1010907928709 (cit. on p. 3).
- [10] R. Peierls. "Quelques propriétés typiques des corps solides". fre. In: *Annales de l'institut Henri Poincaré* 5.3 (1935), pp. 177–222 (cit. on p. 3).
- [11] Lev Davidovich Landau. "On the theory of phase transitions. I." In: *Phys. Z. Sowjet.* 11 (1937), p. 26 (cit. on p. 3).

- [12] N. D. Mermin. "Crystalline Order in Two Dimensions". In: *Phys. Rev.* 176 (1 Dec. 1968), pp. 250–254. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRev.176.250 (cit. on p. 3).
- [13] P. R. Wallace. "The Band Theory of Graphite". In: *Phys. Rev.* 71 (9 May 1947), pp. 622–634. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRev.71.622 (cit. on pp. 3, 6).
- [14] a. H. Castro Neto et al. "The electronic properties of graphene". In: *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 81.1 (Jan. 2009), pp. 109–162. DOI: 10.1103/RevModPhys.81.109 (cit. on pp. 5, 41).
- [15] H. W. Kroto et al. "C<sub>60</sub>: Buckminsterfullerene". In: *Nature* 318.6042 (Nov. 1985), pp. 162–163. DOI: 10.1038/318162a0 (cit. on p. 5).
- [16] W. Krätschmer et al. "Solid C<sub>60</sub>: a new form of carbon". In: *Nature* 347.6291 (Sept. 1990), pp. 354–358. DOI: 10.1038/347354a0 (cit. on p. 5).
- [17] Sumio Iijima and Toshinari Ichihashi. "Single-shell carbon nanotubes of 1-nm diameter". In: *Nature* 363.6430 (June 1993), pp. 603–605. DOI: 10.1038/363603a0 (cit. on p. 5).
- [18] Ray H. Baughman, Anvar A. Zakhidov, and Walt A. de Heer. "Carbon Nanotubes—the Route Toward Applications". In: *Science* 297.5582 (2002), pp. 787–792. DOI: 10.1126/science.1060928 (cit. on p. 5).
- [19] K. S. Novoselov et al. "Two-dimensional gas of massless Dirac fermions in graphene". In: *Nature* 438.7065 (Nov. 2005), pp. 197–200. DOI: 10.1038/nature04233 (cit. on p. 6).
- [20] M. I. Katsnelson, K. S. Novoselov, and A. K. Geim. "Chiral tunnelling and the Klein paradox in graphene". In: *Nat Phys* 2.9 (Sept. 2006), pp. 620–625. DOI: 10.1038/nphys384 (cit. on p. 6).
- [21] K. S. Novoselov et al. "Room-Temperature Quantum Hall Effect in Graphene". In: *Science* 315.5817 (2007), pp. 1379–1379. DOI: 10.1126/science.1137201 (cit. on p. 6).
- [22] J Güttinger et al. "Transport through graphene quantum dots". In: *Reports on Progress in Physics* 75.12 (2012), p. 126502 (cit. on p. 7).
- [23] Changgu Lee et al. "Measurement of the Elastic Properties and Intrinsic Strength of Monolayer Graphene". In: *Science* 321.5887 (2008), pp. 385–388. DOI: 10.1126/science.1157996 (cit. on pp. 6, 49).
- [24] K.I. Bolotin et al. "Ultrahigh electron mobility in suspended graphene". In: *Solid State Communications* 146.9-10 (2008), pp. 351–355. DOI: 10.1016/j.ssc.2008.02.024 (cit. on p. 7).
- [25] K. I. Bolotin et al. "Temperature-Dependent Transport in Suspended Graphene". In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 101 (9 Aug. 2008), p. 096802. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.096802 (cit. on p. 7).
- [26] Alexander A Balandin et al. "Superior thermal conductivity of single-layer graphene". In: *Nano letters* 8.3 (2008), pp. 902–907 (cit. on p. 7).

- [27] Lijie Ci et al. "Atomic layers of hybridized boron nitride and graphene domains". In: *Nature Materials* 9.5 (Feb. 2010), pp. 430–435. DOI: 10.1038/nmat2711 (cit. on p. 7).
- [28] S Yi Zhou et al. "Substrate-induced bandgap opening in epitaxial graphene". In: *Nature materials* 6.10 (2007), pp. 770–775 (cit. on p. 7).
- [29] Edward McCann. "Asymmetry gap in the electronic band structure of bilayer graphene". In: *Physical Review B* 74.16 (2006), p. 161403 (cit. on p. 7).
- [30] Eduardo V Castro et al. "Biased bilayer graphene: semiconductor with a gap tunable by the electric field effect". In: *Physical review letters* 99.21 (2007), p. 216802 (cit. on p. 7).
- [31] D. C. Elias et al. "Control of Graphene's Properties by Reversible Hydrogenation: Evidence for Graphane". In: *Science* 323.5914 (2009), pp. 610–613. DOI: 10.1126/science.1167130 (cit. on pp. 7, 8).
- [32] Ki-Joon Jeon et al. "Fluorographene: A Wide Bandgap Semiconductor with Ultraviolet Luminescence". In: *ACS Nano* 5.2 (2011). PMID: 21204572, pp. 1042–1046. DOI: 10.1021/nn1025274 (cit. on pp. 7, 9).
- [33] SY Zhou et al. "Metal to insulator transition in epitaxial graphene induced by molecular doping". In: *Physical review letters* 101.8 (2008), p. 086402 (cit. on p. 7).
- [34] Kyoko Nakada et al. "Edge state in graphene ribbons: Nanometer size effect and edge shape dependence". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 54 (24 Dec. 1996), pp. 17954–17961. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.54.17954 (cit. on p. 7).
- [35] Verónica Barone, Oded Hod, and Gustavo E. Scuseria. "Electronic Structure and Stability of Semiconducting Graphene Nanoribbons". In: *Nano Letters* 6.12 (2006). PMID: 17163699, pp. 2748–2754. DOI: 10.1021/nl0617033 (cit. on p. 7).
- [36] Zhiqiang Yang et al. "Birch Reduction of Graphite. Edge and Interior Functionalization by Hydrogen". In: *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 134.45 (2012). PMID: 23072630, pp. 18689–18694. DOI: 10.1021/ja3073116 (cit. on p. 8).
- [37] James S. Burgess et al. "Tuning the electronic properties of graphene by hydrogenation in a plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition reactor". In: *Carbon* 49.13 (2011), pp. 4420–4426. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbon.2011.06.034 (cit. on p. 8).
- [38] Yu Wang et al. "Toward high throughput interconvertible graphane-to-graphene growth and patterning". In: *ACS nano* 4.10 (2010), pp. 6146–6152 (cit. on p. 8).
- [39] Duminda K. Samarakoon and Xiao-Qian Wang. "Chair and Twist-Boat Membranes in Hydrogenated Graphene". In: *ACS Nano* 3.12 (2009). PMID: 19947580, pp. 4017–4022. DOI: 10.1021/nn901317d (cit. on p. 8).

- [40] A.M. Ilyin et al. "Computer simulation and experimental study of graphane-like structures formed by electrolytic hydrogenation". In: *Physica E: Low-dimensional Systems and Nanostructures* 43.6 (2011), pp. 1262–1265. DOI: 10.1016/j.physe.2011.02.012 (cit. on p. 8).
- [41] Enrique Muñoz et al. "The ultimate diamond slab: GraphAne versus graphEne". In: *Diamond and Related Materials* 19.5-6 (2010). Proceedings of Diamond 2009, The 20th European Conference on Diamond, Diamond-Like Materials, Carbon Nanotubes and Nitrides, Part 1, pp. 368–373. DOI: 10.1016/j.diamond.2010.01.007 (cit. on p. 8).
- [42] J. Zhou et al. "Ferromagnetism in Semihydrogenated Graphene Sheet". In: *Nano Lett.* 9.11 (Nov. 2009), pp. 3867–3870. DOI: 10.1021/nl9020733 (cit. on pp. 8, 71).
- [43] A. I. Shkrebtii et al. "Graphene and graphane functionalization with hydrogen: electronic and optical signatures". In: *physica status solidi (c)* 9.6 (2012), pp. 1378–1383. DOI: 10.1002/pssc.201100705 (cit. on p. 8).
- [44] Jorge O. Sofo, Ajay S. Chaudhari, and Greg D. Barber. "Graphane: A two-dimensional hydrocarbon". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 75 (15 Apr. 2007), p. 153401. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.75.153401 (cit. on p. 9).
- [45] O Leenaerts et al. "First-principles investigation of graphene fluoride and graphane". In: *Physical Review B* 82.19 (2010), p. 195436 (cit. on p. 9).
- [46] Mingshan Zhu et al. "Fluorographene nanosheets with broad solvent dispersibility and their applications as a modified layer in organic field-effect transistors". In: *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 15 (48 2013), pp. 20992–21000. DOI: 10.1039/C3CP53383B (cit. on pp. 8, 9).
- [47] Duminda K. Samarakoon et al. "Structural and Electronic Properties of Fluorographene". In: *Small* 7.7 (Apr. 2011), pp. 965–969. DOI: 10.1002/smll.201002058 (cit. on p. 8).
- [48] Rahul R Nair et al. "Fluorographene: A Two-Dimensional Counterpart of Teflon". In: *small* 6.24 (2010), pp. 2877–2884 (cit. on p. 9).
- [49] Chun-Liang Lin et al. "Substrate-Induced Symmetry Breaking in Silicene". In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 110 (7 Feb. 2013), p. 076801. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett. 110.076801 (cit. on p. 10).
- [50] Lars Matthes, Olivia Pulci, and Friedhelm Bechstedt. "Massive Dirac quasi-particles in the optical absorbance of graphene, silicene, germanene, and tinene". In: *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* 25.39 (2013), p. 395305 (cit. on pp. 10, 11).
- [51] Sivacarendran Balendhran et al. "Elemental Analogues of Graphene: Silicene, Germanene, Stanene, and Phosphorene". In: *Small* 11.6 (2015), pp. 640–652. DOI: 10.1002/smll.201402041 (cit. on p. 10).
- [52] Joelson C. Garcia et al. "Group IV Graphene- and Graphane-Like Nanosheets". In: *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* 115.27 (2011), pp. 13242–13246. DOI: 10.1021/jp203657w (cit. on p. 10).

- [53] Aaditya Manjanath, Vijay Kumar, and Abhishek K. Singh. "Mechanical and electronic properties of pristine and Ni-doped Si, Ge, and Sn sheets". In: *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 16 (4 2014), pp. 1667–1671. DOI: 10.1039/C3CP54655A (cit. on p. 11).
- [54] Patrick Vogt et al. "Silicene: compelling experimental evidence for graphene-like two-dimensional silicon". In: *Physical review letters* 108.15 (2012), p. 155501 (cit. on p. 11).
- [55] Linfei Li et al. "Buckled germanene formation on Pt (111)". In: *Advanced Materials* 26.28 (2014), pp. 4820–4824 (cit. on p. 11).
- [56] Chun-Liang Lin et al. "Structure of silicene grown on Ag (111)". In: *Applied Physics Express* 5.4 (2012), p. 045802 (cit. on p. 11).
- [57] Thaneshwor P Kaloni and Udo Schwingenschlögl. "Weak interaction between germanene and GaAs (0001) by H intercalation: a route to exfoliation". In: *Journal of Applied Physics* 114.18 (2013), p. 184307 (cit. on p. 11).
- [58] Nasim Alem et al. "Atomically thin hexagonal boron nitride probed by ultrahigh-resolution transmission electron microscopy". In: *Physical Review B* 80.15 (2009), p. 155425 (cit. on p. 11).
- [59] Houlong L Zhuang and Richard G Hennig. "Electronic structures of single-layer boron pnictides". In: *Applied Physics Letters* 101.15 (2012), p. 153109 (cit. on p. 12).
- [60] Alexey Bosak et al. "Elasticity of hexagonal boron nitride: Inelastic x-ray scattering measurements". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 73 (4 Jan. 2006), p. 041402. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.73.041402 (cit. on p. 12).
- [61] Insun Jo et al. "Thermal Conductivity and Phonon Transport in Suspended Few-Layer Hexagonal Boron Nitride". In: *Nano Letters* 13.2 (2013). PMID: 23346863, pp. 550–554. DOI: 10.1021/nl304060g (cit. on p. 12).
- [62] Lu Hua Li and Ying Chen. "Atomically Thin Boron Nitride: Unique Properties and Applications". In: *Advanced Functional Materials* 26.16 (Apr. 2016), pp. 2594–2608. DOI: 10.1002/adfm.201504606 (cit. on p. 12).
- [63] Gwan-Hyoung Lee et al. "Flexible and Transparent MoS<sub>2</sub> Field-Effect Transistors on Hexagonal Boron Nitride-Graphene Heterostructures". In: *ACS Nano* 7.9 (2013). PMID: 23924287, pp. 7931–7936. DOI: 10.1021/nn402954e (cit. on p. 12).
- [64] DeanC R. et al. "Boron nitride substrates for high-quality graphene electronics". In: *Nat Nano* 5.10 (Oct. 2010), pp. 722–726. DOI: 10.1038/nnano.2010.172 (cit. on p. 12).
- [65] via Wikimedia Commons Benjah-bmm27 (Own work) [Public domain]. *Boron-nitride-(hexagonal)-side-3D-balls*. 2007. URL: [https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File%3ABoron-nitride-\(hexagonal\)-side-3D-balls.png](https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File%3ABoron-nitride-(hexagonal)-side-3D-balls.png) (visited on 05/02/2017) (cit. on p. 12).

- [66] Qing Hua Wang et al. "Electronics and optoelectronics of two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides". In: *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 7.11 (Nov. 2012), pp. 699–712. DOI: 10.1038/nnano.2012.193 (cit. on pp. 13, 60).
- [67] Z. Y. Zhu, Y. C. Cheng, and U. Schwingenschlögl. "Giant spin-orbit-induced spin splitting in two-dimensional transition-metal dichalcogenide semiconductors". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 84 (15 Oct. 2011), p. 153402. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.84.153402 (cit. on p. 13).
- [68] Jaemyung Kim et al. "Enhanced Electrocatalytic Properties of Transition-Metal Dichalcogenides Sheets by Spontaneous Gold Nanoparticle Decoration". In: *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* 4.8 (2013). PMID: 26282134, pp. 1227–1232. DOI: 10.1021/jz400507t (cit. on p. 13).
- [69] Yunpeng Huang et al. "Synthesis of few-layered MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheet-coated electrospun SnO<sub>2</sub> nanotube heterostructures for enhanced hydrogen evolution reaction". In: *Nanoscale* 6 (18 2014), pp. 10673–10679. DOI: 10.1039/C4NR02014F (cit. on p. 13).
- [70] B. Radisavljevic et al. "Single-layer MoS<sub>2</sub> transistors". In: *Nature Nanotech.* 6.3 (Jan. 2011), pp. 147–150. DOI: 10.1038/nnano.2010.279 (cit. on p. 13).
- [71] Gengzhi Sun et al. "Fabrication of Ultralong Hybrid Microfibers from Nanosheets of Reduced Graphene Oxide and Transition-Metal Dichalcogenides and their Application as Supercapacitors". In: *Angewandte Chemie* 126.46 (2014), pp. 12784–12788. DOI: 10.1002/ange.201405325 (cit. on p. 13).
- [72] Kun Chang and Weixiang Chen. "L-Cysteine-Assisted Synthesis of Layered MoS<sub>2</sub>/Graphene Composites with Excellent Electrochemical Performances for Lithium Ion Batteries". In: *ACS Nano* 5.6 (2011). PMID: 21574610, pp. 4720–4728. DOI: 10.1021/nn200659w (cit. on p. 13).
- [73] Dongyun Chen et al. "In situ nitrogenated graphene-few-layer WS<sub>2</sub> composites for fast and reversible Li<sup>+</sup> storage". In: *Nanoscale* 5 (17 2013), pp. 7890–7896. DOI: 10.1039/C3NR02920D (cit. on p. 13).
- [74] Yunguo Li et al. "Single-layer MoS<sub>2</sub> as an efficient photocatalyst". In: *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 3 (9 2013), pp. 2214–2220. DOI: 10.1039/C3CY00207A (cit. on p. 13).
- [75] Eric Parzinger et al. "Photocatalytic Stability of Single- and Few-Layer MoS<sub>2</sub>". In: *ACS Nano* 9.11 (2015). PMID: 26536283, pp. 11302–11309. DOI: 10.1021/acsnano.5b04979 (cit. on p. 13).
- [76] Liang Cheng et al. "PEGylated WS<sub>2</sub> Nanosheets as a Multifunctional Theranostic Agent for in vivo Dual-Modal CT/Photoacoustic Imaging Guided Photothermal Therapy". In: *Advanced Materials* 26.12 (2014), pp. 1886–1893. DOI: 10.1002/adma.201304497 (cit. on p. 13).

- [77] Wenyan Yin et al. "High-Throughput Synthesis of Single-Layer MoS<sub>2</sub> Nanosheets as a Near-Infrared Photothermal-Triggered Drug Delivery for Effective Cancer Therapy". In: *ACS Nano* 8.7 (2014). PMID: 24905027, pp. 6922–6933. DOI: 10.1021/nn501647j (cit. on p. 13).
- [78] Manish Chhowalla et al. "The chemistry of two-dimensional layered transition metal dichalcogenide nanosheets". In: *Nat. Chem.* 5.4 (Mar. 2013), pp. 263–275. DOI: 10.1038/nchem.1589 (cit. on p. 14).
- [79] Xinran Wang et al. "Room-Temperature All-Semiconducting Sub-10-nm Graphene Nanoribbon Field-Effect Transistors". In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 100 (20 May 2008), p. 206803. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.206803 (cit. on p. 14).
- [80] Melinda Y. Han et al. "Energy Band-Gap Engineering of Graphene Nanoribbons". In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 98 (20 May 2007), p. 206805. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.206805 (cit. on p. 14).
- [81] Young-Woo Son, Marvin L. Cohen, and Steven G. Louie. "Half-metallic graphene nanoribbons". In: *Nature* 444.7117 (), pp. 347–349. DOI: 10.1038/nature05180 (cit. on p. 15).
- [82] Prabhakar R. Bandaru. "Electrical Properties and Applications of Carbon Nanotube Structures". In: *Journal of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology* 7.4-1 (Apr. 2007), pp. 1239–1267. DOI: 10.1166/jnn.2007.307 (cit. on p. 15).
- [83] Jan Prasek et al. "Methods for carbon nanotubes synthesis-review". In: *J. Mater. Chem.* 21 (40 2011), pp. 15872–15884. DOI: 10.1039/C1JM12254A (cit. on p. 15).
- [84] Andrea C Ferrari et al. "Science and technology roadmap for graphene, related two-dimensional crystals, and hybrid systems". In: *Nanoscale* 7.11 (2015), pp. 4598–4810. DOI: 10.1039/C4NR01600A (cit. on p. 16).
- [85] Z. H. Ni et al. "On Resonant Scatterers As a Factor Limiting Carrier Mobility in Graphene". In: *Nano Letters* 10.10 (2010). PMID: 20795655, pp. 3868–3872. DOI: 10.1021/nl101399r (cit. on p. 17).
- [86] Neeraj Mishra et al. "Graphene growth on silicon carbide: A review". In: *physica status solidi (a)* 213.9 (2016), pp. 2277–2289. DOI: 10.1002/pssa.201600091 (cit. on p. 17).
- [87] Neeraj Mishra et al. "Graphene growth on silicon carbide: A review". In: *physica status solidi (a)* 213.9 (Sept. 2016), pp. 2277–2289. DOI: 10.1002/pssa.201600091 (cit. on p. 17).
- [88] Nicholas Petrone et al. "Chemical Vapor Deposition-Derived Graphene with Electrical Performance of Exfoliated Graphene". In: *Nano Letters* 12.6 (2012). PMID: 22582828, pp. 2751–2756. DOI: 10.1021/nl204481s (cit. on p. 18).

- [89] Sukang Bae et al. "Roll-to-roll production of 30-inch graphene films for transparent electrodes". In: *Nat Nano* 5.8 (Aug. 2010), pp. 574–578. DOI: 10.1038/nnano.2010.132 (cit. on p. 18).
- [90] Scott Kirklin et al. "The Open Quantum Materials Database (OQMD): assessing the accuracy of DFT formation energies". In: *npj Comput. Mater.* 1.1 (Dec. 2015), p. 15010. DOI: 10.1038/npjcompumats.2015.10 (cit. on p. 19).
- [91] P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn. "Inhomogeneous Electron Gas". In: *Phys. Rev.* 136 (3B Nov. 1964), B864–B871. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRev.136.B864 (cit. on p. 19).
- [92] W. Kohn and L. J. Sham. "Self-Consistent Equations Including Exchange and Correlation Effects". In: *Phys. Rev.* 140 (4A Nov. 1965), A1133–A1138. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRev.140.A1133 (cit. on p. 19).
- [93] Neil Drummond. *QMC Studies of Real Systems*. 2017. URL: <http://slideplayer.com/slide/4763755/> (visited on 05/10/2017) (cit. on p. 20).
- [94] M. Born and R. Oppenheimer. "Zur Quantentheorie der Moleküle". In: *Annalen der Physik* 389.20 (1927), pp. 457–484. DOI: 10.1002/andp.19273892002 (cit. on p. 21).
- [95] Mel Levy. "Universal variational functionals of electron densities, first-order density matrices, and natural spin-orbitals and solution of the v-representability problem". In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 76.12 (1979), pp. 6062–6065. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.76.12.6062 (cit. on p. 21).
- [96] John P Perdew. *Electronic structure of solids' 91*. Vol. 11. Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1991 (cit. on p. 24).
- [97] John P. Perdew et al. "Atoms, molecules, solids, and surfaces: Applications of the generalized gradient approximation for exchange and correlation". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 46 (11 Sept. 1992), pp. 6671–6687. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.46.6671 (cit. on p. 24).
- [98] John P. Perdew, Kieron Burke, and Matthias Ernzerhof. "Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple". In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77 (18 Oct. 1996), pp. 3865–3868. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865 (cit. on p. 24).
- [99] Qian Peng, Fernanda Duarte, and Robert S. Paton. "Computing organic stereoselectivity - from concepts to quantitative calculations and predictions". In: *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 45 (22 2016), pp. 6093–6107. DOI: 10.1039/C6CS00573J (cit. on p. 25).
- [100] Carlo Adamo and Vincenzo Barone. "Toward reliable density functional methods without adjustable parameters: The PBE0 model". In: *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 110.13 (1999), pp. 6158–6170. DOI: 10.1063/1.478522 (cit. on p. 24).

- [101] Jochen Heyd, Gustavo E. Scuseria, and Matthias Ernzerhof. “Hybrid functionals based on a screened Coulomb potential”. In: *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 118.18 (2003), pp. 8207–8215. DOI: 10.1063/1.1564060 (cit. on p. 24).
- [102] M J Lucero, T M Henderson, and G E Scuseria. “Improved semiconductor lattice parameters and band gaps from a middle-range screened hybrid exchange functional”. In: *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* 24.14 (2012), p. 145504. DOI: 10.1088/0953-8984/24/14/145504 (cit. on p. 26).
- [103] David C. Langreth and John P. Perdew. “Theory of nonuniform electronic systems. I. Analysis of the gradient approximation and a generalization that works”. In: *Phys. Rev. B* 21 (12 June 1980), pp. 5469–5493. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.21.5469 (cit. on p. 26).
- [104] C. Fiolhais, F. Nogueira, and M.A.L. Marques. *A Primer in Density Functional Theory*. Lecture Notes in Physics. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2003. DOI: 10.1007/3-540-37072-2 (cit. on p. 27).
- [105] R. W. Godby, M. Schlüter, and L. J. Sham. “Self-energy operators and exchange-correlation potentials in semiconductors”. In: *Phys. Rev. B* 37 (17 June 1988), pp. 10159–10175. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.37.10159 (cit. on p. 27).
- [106] David Vanderbilt. “Soft self-consistent pseudopotentials in a generalized eigenvalue formalism”. In: *Phys. Rev. B* 41 (11 Apr. 1990), pp. 7892–7895. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.41.7892 (cit. on p. 30).
- [107] P. E. Blöchl. “Projector augmented-wave method”. In: *Phys. Rev. B* 50 (24 Dec. 1994), pp. 17953–17979. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.50.17953 (cit. on p. 30).
- [108] G. Kresse and D. Joubert. “From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method”. In: *Phys. Rev. B* 59 (3 Jan. 1999), pp. 1758–1775. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.59.1758 (cit. on p. 30).
- [109] *List of quantum chemistry and solid-state physics software*. 2017. URL: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List\\_of\\_quantum\\_chemistry\\_and\\_solid-state\\_physics\\_software](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_quantum_chemistry_and_solid-state_physics_software) (visited on 05/15/2017) (cit. on p. 31).
- [110] Kurt Lejaeghere et al. “Reproducibility in density functional theory calculations of solids”. In: *Science* 351.6280 (2016). DOI: 10.1126/science.aad3000 (cit. on p. 31).
- [111] G. Kresse and J. Hafner. “*Ab initio* molecular-dynamics simulation of the liquid-metal-amorphous-semiconductor transition in germanium”. In: *Phys. Rev. B* 49 (20 May 1994), pp. 14251–14269. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.49.14251 (cit. on pp. 31, 72).

- [112] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller. "Efficiency of *ab-initio* total energy calculations for metals and semiconductors using a plane-wave basis set". In: *Computational Materials Science* 6.1 (1996), pp. 15–50. DOI: 10.1016/0927-0256(96)00008-0 (cit. on pp. 31, 72).
- [113] Johannes Diderik van der Waals. "Over de Continuiteit van den Gas- en Vloeistoftoestand (on the continuity of the gas and liquid state)". Ph.D. thesis. University of Leiden, 1873 (cit. on p. 33).
- [114] B. Partoens and F. M. Peeters. "From graphene to graphite: Electronic structure around the  $K$  point". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 74 (7 Aug. 2006), p. 075404. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.74.075404 (cit. on pp. 34, 36).
- [115] Kin Fai Mak et al. "The evolution of electronic structure in few-layer graphene revealed by optical spectroscopy". In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 107.34 (2010), pp. 14999–15004. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1004595107 (cit. on pp. 34, 35).
- [116] R. Saito, G. Dresselhaus, and M.S. Dresselhaus. *Physical Properties of Carbon Nanotubes*. Imperial College Press, 1998 (cit. on p. 34).
- [117] Kin Fai Mak et al. "Atomically Thin MoS<sub>2</sub>: A New Direct-Gap Semiconductor". In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 105 (13 Sept. 2010), p. 136805. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.136805 (cit. on p. 36).
- [118] J. E. Padilha et al. "Nature and evolution of the band-edge states in MoS<sub>2</sub>: From monolayer to bulk". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 90 (20 Nov. 2014), p. 205420. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.90.205420 (cit. on p. 37).
- [119] Stock photo and royalty-free images on Fotolia.com - Pic 128894654. *sp3 sp2 sp hybrid orbitals*. 2016. URL: <https://www.fotolia.com/id/128894654> (visited on 05/25/2017) (cit. on p. 38).
- [120] C.A. Coulson and W.E. Moffitt. "I. The properties of certain strained hydrocarbons". In: *Phil. Mag.* 40.300 (1949), pp. 1–35. DOI: 10.1080/14786444908561208 (cit. on pp. 38, 76).
- [121] Tobias Wassmann et al. "Clar's Theory,  $\pi$ -Electron Distribution, and Geometry of Graphene Nanoribbons". In: *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 132.10 (Mar. 2010), pp. 3440–3451. DOI: 10.1021/ja909234y (cit. on p. 40).
- [122] Thomson/Brooks Cole. *Double bond*. 2004. URL: [http://images.slideplayer.com/14/4339766/slides/slide\\_7.jpg](http://images.slideplayer.com/14/4339766/slides/slide_7.jpg) (visited on 04/21/2017) (cit. on p. 40).
- [123] Zhirong Liu, Jinying Wang, and Jianlong Li. "Dirac cones in two-dimensional systems: from hexagonal to square lattices". In: *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 15 (43 2013), pp. 18855–18862. DOI: 10.1039/C3CP53257G (cit. on p. 42).
- [124] Jinying Wang et al. "The rare two-dimensional materials with Dirac cones". In: *Natl. Sci. Rev.* 2.1 (Mar. 2015), pp. 22–39. DOI: 10.1093/nsr/nwu080 (cit. on p. 42).

- [125] Yasumasa Hasegawa et al. "Zero modes of tight-binding electrons on the honeycomb lattice". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 74 (3 July 2006), p. 033413. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.74.033413 (cit. on p. 42).
- [126] G J Ackland, M C Warren, and S J Clark. "Practical methods in ab initio lattice dynamics". In: *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* 9.37 (Sept. 1997), pp. 7861–7872. DOI: 10.1088/0953-8984/9/37/017 (cit. on p. 44).
- [127] K. Parlinski. "Phonons calculated from first-principles". In: *École thématique la Société Française la Neutron*. 12 (June 2011), pp. 161–166. DOI: 10.1051/sfn/20112008 (cit. on p. 44).
- [128] C. Kittel. *Introduction to Solid State Physics*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2004 (cit. on p. 45).
- [129] M. Neek-Amal and F. M. Peeters. "Lattice thermal properties of graphane: Thermal contraction, roughness, and heat capacity". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 83 (23 June 2011), p. 235437. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.83.235437 (cit. on p. 45).
- [130] Jacopo Brivio, Duncan T. L. Alexander, and Andras Kis. "Ripples and Layers in Ultrathin MoS<sub>2</sub> Membranes". In: *Nano Letters* 11.12 (2011). PMID: 22010987, pp. 5148–5153. DOI: 10.1021/nl2022288 (cit. on p. 45).
- [131] Atsushi Togo and Isao Tanaka. "First principles phonon calculations in materials science". In: *Scripta Materialia* 108 (2015), pp. 1–5. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scriptamat.2015.07.021> (cit. on p. 47).
- [132] J.F. Nye. *Physical Properties of Crystals: Their Representation by Tensors and Matrices*. Oxford science publications. For example: Clarendon Press, 1985 (cit. on p. 48).
- [133] Konstantin N. Kudin, Gustavo E. Scuseria, and Boris I. Yakobson. "C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub>". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 64 (23 Nov. 2001), p. 235406. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.64.235406 (cit. on p. 49).
- [134] M. Topsakal, S. Cahangirov, and S. Ciraci. "The response of mechanical and electronic properties of graphane to the elastic strain". In: *Applied Physics Letters* 96.9 (2010), p. 091912. DOI: 10.1063/1.3353968 (cit. on p. 49).
- [135] Simone Bertolazzi, Jacopo Brivio, and Andras Kis. "Stretching and Breaking of Ultrathin MoS<sub>2</sub>". In: *ACS Nano* 5.12 (2011). PMID: 22087740, pp. 9703–9709. DOI: 10.1021/nn203879f (cit. on p. 49).
- [136] Ryan C. Cooper et al. "Nonlinear elastic behavior of two-dimensional molybdenum disulfide". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 87 (3 Jan. 2013), p. 035423. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.87.035423 (cit. on pp. 49, 65).
- [137] Cambridge University Engineering Department. *Materials Data Book*. 2003. URL: <http://www-mdp.eng.cam.ac.uk/web/library/enginfo/cueddatabooks/materials.pdf> (visited on 05/31/2017) (cit. on p. 49).

- [138] Max Born. "On the stability of crystal lattices. I". In: *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* 36.2 (1940), pp. 160–172. DOI: 10.1017/S0305004100017138 (cit. on p. 49).
- [139] Félix Mouhat and François-Xavier Coudert. "Necessary and sufficient elastic stability conditions in various crystal systems". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 90.22 (Dec. 2014), p. 224104. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.90.224104 (cit. on p. 49).
- [140] Han Liu et al. "Phosphorene: an unexplored 2D semiconductor with a high hole mobility." In: *ACS Nano* 8.4 (Apr. 2014), pp. 4033–41. DOI: 10.1021/nn501226z (cit. on pp. 51, 53).
- [141] Likai Li et al. "Black phosphorus field-effect transistors." In: *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 9.5 (2014), pp. 372–7. DOI: 10.1038/nnano.2014.35 (cit. on pp. 51, 53).
- [142] Zhen Zhu and David Tománek. "Semiconducting Layered Blue Phosphorus: A Computational Study". In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 112.17 (May 2014), p. 176802. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.176802 (cit. on pp. 52, 53, 57).
- [143] Jie Guan, Zhen Zhu, and David Tománek. "Phase Coexistence and Metal-Insulator Transition in Few-Layer Phosphorene: A Computational Study". In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 113.4 (July 2014), p. 046804. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.046804 (cit. on p. 52).
- [144] Menghao Wu et al. "Nine New Phosphorene Polymorphs with Non-Honeycomb Structures: A Much Extended Family". In: *Nano Letters* 15.5 (2015). PMID: 25844524, pp. 3557–3562. DOI: 10.1021/acs.nanolett.5b01041 (cit. on p. 52).
- [145] D. Çakır, H. Sahin, and F. M. Peeters. "Tuning of the electronic and optical properties of single-layer black phosphorus by strain". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 90 (20 Nov. 2014), p. 205421. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.90.205421 (cit. on pp. 53, 57).
- [146] Xiaomu Wang et al. "Highly anisotropic and robust excitons in monolayer black phosphorus". In: *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 10 (Apr. 2015), pp. 517–521 (cit. on p. 53).
- [147] Y. Maruyama et al. "Synthesis and some properties of black phosphorus single crystals". In: *Physica B+C* 105.1–3 (1981), pp. 99–102. DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-4363\(81\)90223-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-4363(81)90223-0) (cit. on p. 53).
- [148] S. Narita et al. "Electrical and optical properties of black phosphorus single crystals". In: *Physica B+C* 117 (1983), pp. 422–424. DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-4363\(83\)90547-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-4363(83)90547-8) (cit. on p. 53).
- [149] Ankit Jain and Alan J. H. McGaughey. "Strongly anisotropic in-plane thermal transport in single-layer black phosphorene". In: *Sci. Rep.* 5 (2015), p. 8501. DOI: 10.1038/srep08501 (cit. on p. 53).
- [150] Qun Wei and Xihong Peng. "Superior mechanical flexibility of phosphorene and few-layer black phosphorus". In: *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 104.25, 251915 (2014), p. 251915. DOI: 10.1063/1.4885215 (cit. on p. 53).

- [151] Liangzhi Kou, Thomas Frauenheim, and Changfeng Chen. "Phosphorene as a Superior Gas Sensor: Selective Adsorption and Distinct I-V Response". In: *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 5.15 (2014), pp. 2675–2681. DOI: 10.1021/jz501188k (cit. on p. 53).
- [152] M. Tahir, P. Vasilopoulos, and F. M. Peeters. "Magneto-optical transport properties of monolayer phosphorene". In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1505.06780* (May 2015) (cit. on p. 53).
- [153] Xiaoying Zhou et al. "Landau levels and magneto-transport property of monolayer phosphorene". In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1411.4275* (2014) (cit. on p. 53).
- [154] Nicolas Mounet and Nicola Marzari. "First-principles determination of the structural, vibrational and thermodynamic properties of diamond, graphite, and derivatives". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 71 (20 May 2005), p. 205214. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.71.205214 (cit. on pp. 54, 55, 59).
- [155] Deniz Çakır, François M Peeters, and Cem Sevik. "Mechanical and thermal properties of h-MX<sub>2</sub> (M = Cr, Mo, W; X = O, S, Se, Te) monolayers: A comparative study". In: *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 104.20 (May 2014), p. 203110. DOI: 10.1063/1.4879543 (cit. on pp. 54, 58).
- [156] C. Sevik. "Assessment on lattice thermal properties of two-dimensional honeycomb structures: Graphene, *h*-BN, *h*-MoS<sub>2</sub>, and *h*-MoSe<sub>2</sub>". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 89 (3 Jan. 2014), p. 035422. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.89.035422 (cit. on pp. 54, 58).
- [157] Stefano Baroni, Paolo Giannozzi, and Eyyaz Isaev. "Density-Functional Perturbation Theory for Quasi-Harmonic Calculations". In: *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry* 71.1 (2010), pp. 39–57. DOI: 10.2138/rmg.2010.71.3 (cit. on p. 54).
- [158] I. Hamdi et al. "Pressure dependence of vibrational, thermal, and elastic properties of ZnSe: An ab initio study". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 73 (17 May 2006), p. 174114. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.73.174114 (cit. on p. 54).
- [159] Nicolas Mounet and Nicola Marzari. "First-principles determination of the structural, vibrational and thermodynamic properties of diamond, graphite, and derivatives". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 71.20 (May 2005), p. 205214. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.71.205214 (cit. on pp. 54, 55).
- [160] B. Grabowski et al. "Ab initio up to the melting point: Anharmonicity and vacancies in aluminum". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 79 (13 Apr. 2009), p. 134106. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.79.134106 (cit. on p. 54).
- [161] B. B. Karki et al. "High-pressure lattice dynamics and thermoelasticity of MgO". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 61 (13 Apr. 2000), pp. 8793–8800. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.61.8793 (cit. on p. 54).
- [162] P. Pavone et al. "Ab initio lattice dynamics of diamond". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 48 (5 Aug. 1993), pp. 3156–3163. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.48.3156 (cit. on p. 55).

- [163] Atsushi Togo et al. "First-principles phonon calculations of thermal expansion in  $Ti_3SiC_2$ ,  $Ti_3AlC_2$ , and  $Ti_3GeC_2$ ". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 81 (17 May 2010), p. 174301. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.81.174301 (cit. on p. 56).
- [164] Atsushi Togo. *phonopy*. 2017. URL: <https://atztogo.github.io/phonopy/> (visited on 07/04/2017) (cit. on p. 56).
- [165] Baisheng Sa et al. "Strain Engineering for Phosphorene: The Potential Application as a Photocatalyst". In: *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* 118.46 (2014), pp. 26560–26568. DOI: 10.1021/jp508618t (cit. on p. 56).
- [166] Ruixiang Fei and Li Yang. "Lattice vibrational modes and Raman scattering spectra of strained phosphorene". In: *Applied Physics Letters* 105.8, 083120 (2014), p. 083120. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4894273> (cit. on pp. 56, 81).
- [167] Ruixiang Fei and Li Yang. "Strain-Engineering the Anisotropic Electrical Conductance of Few-Layer Black Phosphorus". In: *Nano Letters* 14.5 (2014), pp. 2884–2889. DOI: 10.1021/nl500935z (cit. on p. 57).
- [168] Jin-Wu Jiang and Harold S. Park. "Negative poisson's ratio in single-layer black phosphorus". In: *Nat. Commun.* 5 (Aug. 2014), p. 4727. DOI: 10.1038/ncomms5727 (cit. on p. 58).
- [169] Deep Jariwala et al. "Emerging Device Applications for Semiconducting Two-Dimensional Transition Metal Dichalcogenides". In: *ACS Nano* 8.2 (2014). PMID: 24476095, pp. 1102–1120. DOI: 10.1021/nn500064s (cit. on p. 60).
- [170] Karel-Alexander N. Duerloo, Mitchell T. Ong, and Evan J. Reed. "Intrinsic Piezoelectricity in Two-Dimensional Materials". In: *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* 3.19 (2012), pp. 2871–2876. DOI: 10.1021/jz3012436 (cit. on pp. 61–63, 65, 67, 68).
- [171] Hanyu Zhu et al. "Observation of piezoelectricity in free-standing monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>". In: *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 10.2 (Dec. 2014), pp. 151–155. DOI: 10.1038/nnano.2014.309 (cit. on p. 61).
- [172] Wenbin Li and Ju Li. "Piezoelectricity in two-dimensional group-III monochalcogenides". In: *Nano Research* 8.12 (Dec. 2015), pp. 3796–3802. DOI: 10.1007/s12274-015-0878-8 (cit. on p. 61).
- [173] H. J. Xiang et al. "Piezoelectricity in ZnO nanowires: A first-principles study". In: *Applied Physics Letters* 89.22 (2006), p. 223111. DOI: 10.1063/1.2397013 (cit. on p. 61).
- [174] G. Tomasz and Warsaw Zielinski. *How does a piezoelectric igniter work?* 2013. URL: <https://qph.ec.quoracdn.net/main-qimg-b9c33a87f3be9dd104214145364cfaf2> (visited on 07/07/2017) (cit. on p. 62).
- [175] Stefano Baroni et al. "Phonons and related crystal properties from density-functional perturbation theory". In: *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 73 (2 July 2001), pp. 515–562. DOI: 10.1103/RevModPhys.73.515 (cit. on p. 62).

- [176] Xifan Wu, David Vanderbilt, and D. R. Hamann. "Systematic treatment of displacements, strains, and electric fields in density-functional perturbation theory". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 72 (3 July 2005), p. 035105. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.72.035105 (cit. on p. 62).
- [177] D Vanderbilt. "Berry-phase theory of proper piezoelectric response". In: *Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids* 61.2 (2000), pp. 147–151. DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3697\(99\)00273-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3697(99)00273-5) (cit. on pp. 62, 64, 67).
- [178] Mitchell T. Ong, Karel-Alexander N. Duerloo, and Evan J. Reed. "The Effect of Hydrogen and Fluorine Coadsorption on the Piezoelectric Properties of Graphene". In: *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* 117.7 (2013), pp. 3615–3620. DOI: 10.1021/jp3112759 (cit. on p. 63).
- [179] Hye Jung Kim et al. "Origin of piezoelectricity in monolayer halogenated graphane piezoelectrics". In: *Chemical Physics Letters* 603 (2014), pp. 62–66. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2014.04.031> (cit. on p. 63).
- [180] Filip A. Rasmussen and Kristian S. Thygesen. "Computational 2D Materials Database: Electronic Structure of Transition-Metal Dichalcogenides and Oxides". In: *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* 119.23 (2015), pp. 13169–13183. DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b02950 (cit. on p. 64).
- [181] C. Ataca, H. Şahin, and S. Ciraci. "Stable, Single-Layer MX<sub>2</sub> Transition-Metal Oxides and Dichalcogenides in a Honeycomb-Like Structure". In: *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* 116.16 (2012), pp. 8983–8999. DOI: 10.1021/jp212558p (cit. on p. 65).
- [182] Hongyan Guo et al. "Tuning Electronic and Magnetic Properties of Early Transition-Metal Dichalcogenides via Tensile Strain". In: *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* 118.13 (2014), pp. 7242–7249. DOI: 10.1021/jp501734s (cit. on p. 65).
- [183] Qing Peng and Suhranu De. "Outstanding mechanical properties of mono-layer MoS<sub>2</sub> and its application in elastic energy storage". In: *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 15 (44 2013), pp. 19427–19437. DOI: 10.1039/C3CP52879K (cit. on p. 65).
- [184] Jun Kang et al. "Band offsets and heterostructures of two-dimensional semiconductors". In: *Applied Physics Letters* 102.1 (2013), p. 012111. DOI: 10.1063/1.4774090 (cit. on p. 65).
- [185] Deniz Çakır, François M. Peeters, and Cem Sevik. "Mechanical and thermal properties of h -MX 2 (M = Cr, Mo, W; X = O, S, Se, Te) monolayers: A comparative study". In: *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 104.20 (May 2014), p. 203110. DOI: 10.1063/1.4879543 (cit. on p. 65).
- [186] J.F. Nye. *Physical Properties of Crystals: Their Representation by Tensors and Matrices*. Clarendon Press, 1957 (cit. on p. 65).

- [187] M. Born and K. Huang. *Dynamical Theory of Crystal Lattices*. International series of monographs on physics. Clarendon Press, 1998 (cit. on p. 65).
- [188] Houlong L. Zhuang et al. “Computational prediction and characterization of single-layer CrS<sub>2</sub>”. In: *Applied Physics Letters* 104.2 (2014), p. 022116. DOI: 10.1063/1.4861659 (cit. on p. 67).
- [189] K. H. Michel and B. Verberck. “Theory of elastic and piezoelectric effects in two-dimensional hexagonal boron nitride”. In: *Phys. Rev. B* 80 (22 Dec. 2009), p. 224301. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.80.224301 (cit. on p. 67).
- [190] K. H. Michel and B. Verberck. “Phonon dispersions and piezoelectricity in bulk and multilayers of hexagonal boron nitride”. In: *Phys. Rev. B* 83 (11 Mar. 2011), p. 115328. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.83.115328 (cit. on p. 67).
- [191] K. H. Michel and B. Verberck. “Theory of phonon dispersions and piezoelectricity in multilayers of hexagonal boron-nitride”. In: *physica status solidi (b)* 248.11 (2011), pp. 2720–2723. DOI: 10.1002/pssb.201100084 (cit. on p. 67).
- [192] Hanyu Zhu et al. “Observation of piezoelectricity in free-standing monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>”. In: *Nature nanotechnology* 10.2 (2015), pp. 151–155. DOI: 10.1038/nnano.2014.309 (cit. on p. 68).
- [193] Mitchell T. Ong and Evan J. Reed. “Engineered Piezoelectricity in Graphene”. In: *ACS Nano* 6.2 (2012). PMID: 22196055, pp. 1387–1394. DOI: 10.1021/nn204198g (cit. on p. 69).
- [194] R. Bechmann. “Elastic and Piezoelectric Constants of Alpha-Quartz”. In: *Phys. Rev.* 110 (5 June 1958), pp. 1060–1061. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRev.110.1060 (cit. on p. 69).
- [195] C. M. Lueng et al. “Piezoelectric coefficient of aluminum nitride and gallium nitride”. In: *Journal of Applied Physics* 88.9 (2000), pp. 5360–5363. DOI: 10.1063/1.1317244 (cit. on p. 69).
- [196] ERJUN KAN, ZHENYU LI, and JINLONG YANG. “MAGNETISM IN GRAPHENE SYSTEMS”. In: *Nano* 03.06 (Dec. 2008), pp. 433–442. DOI: 10.1142/S1793292008001350 (cit. on p. 71).
- [197] Kun Xu et al. “Hydrogen dangling bonds induce ferromagnetism in two-dimensional metal-free graphitic-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> nanosheets”. In: *Chem. Sci.* 6 (1 2015), pp. 283–287. DOI: 10.1039/C4SC02576H (cit. on p. 71).
- [198] Alex Yong Sheng Eng et al. “Searching for Magnetism in Hydrogenated Graphene: Using Highly Hydrogenated Graphene Prepared via Birch Reduction of Graphite Oxides”. In: *ACS Nano* 7.7 (July 2013), pp. 5930–5939. DOI: 10.1021/nn4016289 (cit. on p. 71).
- [199] Susumu Okada and Atsushi Oshiyama. “Magnetic Ordering in Hexagonally Bonded Sheets with First-Row Elements”. In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 87 (14 Sept. 2001), p. 146803. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.146803 (cit. on p. 71).

- [200] Qinghua Miao et al. "Magnetic properties of N-doped graphene with high Curie temperature". In: *Sci. Rep.* 6 (Feb. 2016), p. 21832. DOI: 10.1038/srep21832 (cit. on p. 71).
- [201] Vitor M. Pereira et al. "Disorder Induced Localized States in Graphene". In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 96 (3 Jan. 2006), p. 036801. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.036801 (cit. on p. 71).
- [202] Oleg V. Yazyev and Lothar Helm. "Defect-induced magnetism in graphene". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 75 (12 Mar. 2007), p. 125408. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.75.125408 (cit. on p. 71).
- [203] RH Baughman, H Eckhardt, and M Kertesz. "Structure-property predictions for new planar forms of carbon: Layered phases containing sp<sup>2</sup> and sp atoms". In: *The Journal of chemical physics* 87.11 (1987), pp. 6687–6699. DOI: 10.1063/1.453405 (cit. on p. 71).
- [204] A.L. Ivanovskii. "Graphynes and graphdyines". In: *Prog. Solid State Chem.* 41.1–2 (2013), pp. 1–19. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.progsolidstchem.2012.12.001> (cit. on p. 71).
- [205] Shuhong Zhang et al. "Penta-graphene: A new carbon allotrope". In: *PNAS* 112.8 (Feb. 2015), pp. 2372–2377. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1416591112 (cit. on p. 71).
- [206] Fancy Qian Wang et al. "Lattice thermal conductivity of penta-graphene". In: *Carbon* 105 (2016), pp. 424–429. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2016.04.054> (cit. on p. 71).
- [207] Zhi Gen Yu and Yong-Wei Zhang. "A comparative density functional study on electrical properties of layered penta-graphene". In: *Journal of Applied Physics* 118.16 (2015), p. 165706. DOI: 10.1063/1.4934855 (cit. on p. 71).
- [208] Golibjon R. Berdiyorov and Mohamed El-Amine Madjet. "First-principles study of electronic transport and optical properties of penta-graphene, penta-SiC<sub>2</sub> and penta-CN<sub>2</sub>". In: *RSC Adv.* 6 (56 2016), pp. 50867–50873. DOI: 10.1039/C6RA10376F (cit. on p. 71).
- [209] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller. "Efficiency of ab-initio total energy calculations for metals and semiconductors using a plane-wave basis set". In: *Comput. Mater. Sci.* 6.1 (1996), pp. 15–50. DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0927-0256\(96\)00008-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0927-0256(96)00008-0) (cit. on p. 72).
- [210] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller. "Efficient iterative schemes for *ab initio* total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 54 (Oct. 1996), pp. 11169–11186. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.54.11169 (cit. on p. 72).
- [211] P. E. Blöchl. "Projector augmented-wave method". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 50 (Dec. 1994), pp. 17953–17979. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.50.17953 (cit. on p. 72).

- [212] G. Kresse and D. Joubert. "From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 59 (Jan. 1999), pp. 1758–1775. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.59.1758 (cit. on p. 72).
- [213] John P. Perdew, Kieron Burke, and Matthias Ernzerhof. "Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple". In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77 (Oct. 1996), pp. 3865–3868. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865 (cit. on p. 72).
- [214] John P. Perdew, Kieron Burke, and Matthias Ernzerhof. "Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple [Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996)]". In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 78 (Feb. 1997), pp. 1396–1396. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.1396 (cit. on p. 72).
- [215] Atsushi Togo and Isao Tanaka. "First principles phonon calculations in materials science". In: *Scr. Mater.* 108 (2015), pp. 1–5. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scriptamat.2015.07.021> (cit. on p. 72).
- [216] Koichi Momma and Fujio Izumi. "VESTA3 for three-dimensional visualization of crystal, volumetric and morphology data". In: *J. Appl. Cryst.* 44.6 (Dec. 2011), pp. 1272–1276. DOI: 10.1107/S0021889811038970 (cit. on p. 73).
- [217] Xiaoming Zhang et al. "Prediction of an ultrasoft graphene allotrope with Dirac cones". In: *Carbon N. Y.* 105 (Aug. 2016), pp. 323–329. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbon.2016.04.058 (cit. on p. 76).
- [218] Rafael Roldán et al. "Strain engineering in semiconducting two-dimensional crystals". In: *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* 27.31 (2015), p. 313201 (cit. on p. 83).

# List of Publications

## Published

- [P1] Aerpati Yimamu et al. "Mixed-solvent thermal synthesis and magnetic properties of flower-like microstructured nickel". In: *Particuology* 10.3 (2012), pp. 392–396. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.partic.2011.01.008>.
- [P2] Yierpan Aierken et al. "Thermal properties of black and blue phosphorenes from a first-principles quasiharmonic approach". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 92 (8 Aug. 2015), p. 081408. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.92.081408 (cit. on pp. 51, 53, 79).
- [P3] M. Menderes Alyörük et al. "Promising Piezoelectric Performance of Single Layer Transition-Metal Dichalcogenides and Dioxides". In: *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* 119.40 (2015), pp. 23231–23237. DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b06428 (cit. on p. 60).
- [P4] Yierpan Aierken, Ortwin Leenaerts, and François M. Peeters. "Intrinsic magnetism in penta-hexa-graphene: A first-principles study". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 94 (15 Oct. 2016), p. 155410. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.94.155410 (cit. on pp. 71, 82, 86).
- [P5] Y. Aierken et al. "Portlandite crystal: Bulk, bilayer, and monolayer structures". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 91 (24 June 2015), p. 245413. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.91.245413 (cit. on p. 79).
- [P6] Yierpan Aierken, Ortwin Leenaerts, and François M. Peeters. "A first-principles study of stable few-layer penta-silicene". In: *Phys. Chem. Chem.*

*Phys.* 18 (27 2016), pp. 18486–18492. DOI: 10.1039/C6CP03200A (cit. on p. 79).

- [P7] Yierpan Aierken, Deniz Çakır, and François M. Peeters. “Strain enhancement of acoustic phonon limited mobility in monolayer TiS<sub>3</sub>”. In: *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 18 (21 2016), pp. 14434–14441. DOI: 10.1039/C6CP01809B (cit. on p. 82).
- [P8] Yierpan Aierken, Ortwin Leenaerts, and François M. Peeters. “Defect-induced faceted blue phosphorene nanotubes”. In: *Phys. Rev. B* 92 (10 Sept. 2015), p. 104104. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.92.104104 (cit. on p. 86).

## Under Review

- [P9] Yierpan Aierken et al. “A first principles study of stacking and Lithium atom intercalation in MXenes/graphene heterostructures”. in Preparation. 2017 (cit. on pp. 77, 86).
- [P10] Yierpan Aierken, Ortwin Leenaerts, and François M. Peeters. “Edge-decoration of graphene nanoribbon with Nitrogens – A first principles study”. in Preparation. 2017 (cit. on p. 86).
- [P11] Yierpan Aierken et al. “In pursuit of barrierless transition metal dichalcogenides lateral heterojunctions”. submitted to PCCP. June 2017 (cit. on p. 86).