

Universität Passau  
Lehrstuhl Algorithmen für Intelligente Sys-  
teme  
Prof. Name Nachname



Nummer, Typ und Titel der Lehrveranstaltung  
Semester der Lehrveranstaltung  
Name der Dozentin /des Dozenten

## Thema der Arbeit

**Name: Lipp Daniel**  
**Matrikelnummer: 123456**

Mailadresse  
Straße, Hausnummer  
Postleitzahl, Ort

Studiengang  
Version der Studien- u. Prüfungsordnung

**Modulbezeichnung, Prüfungsnummer: Prüfungsnummer, angemeldet in HisQis  
am Datum**  
**Datum der Abgabe: 1. Januar 1970**

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Notations</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Improving bounds on the Standard RSHs</b>	<b>3</b>

# 1 Notations

1. **RLS**: Randomised local Search
2. **RSH**: Randomised Search Heuristics referring to all in this context analysed Evolutionary algorithms
3. **SRSHs**: The Standard Randomised Search Heuristics (RLS, (1+1) EA)  $w_i$
4. **n**: The input length of the problem
5. **x**: A vector  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$  describing a solution
6.  $w_i$ : The i-th object. If not mentioned otherwise the weights are sorted in non increasing order so  $w_1 \geq w_2 \geq \dots \geq w_{n-1} \geq w_n$
7. **W**: The sum of all values  $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i$
8. **bin**: When solving Partition a set of numbers is divided into to distinct subsets and in this paper both subsets are referred to as bins
9.  $b_F$ : The fuller bin
10.  $b_E$ : The emptier bin
11.  $b_{w_i}$ : The bin containig the object
12. **opt**: The optimal solution for a given partition instance.

## 2 Improving bounds on the Standard RSHs

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $w_1 \geq \frac{W}{2}$  then the SRSHs reach the optimal value in expected time  $\Theta(n \log n)$*

*Proof.* The optimal solution is putting  $w_1$  in one bin and all other elements in the other bin. So the problem is almost identical to OneMax/ZeroMax. A flip of only the first bit can only happen, if the emptier bin has a weight of at most  $\frac{W-w_1}{2}$ . After this flip the weight of the emptier bin is at least  $\frac{W-w_1}{2}$  and therefore another single bit flip of  $w_1$  can only happen before a different bit is flipped. After a different bit has been flipped, the RSH won't flip the first bit alone again, because it will never result in an improvement. The first bit could also be flipped with multiple other bits by the (1+1) EA. TODO insert proof for (1+1) EA. So the run can be divided into three phases:

Phase 1: The RSH behaves exactly like OneMax/ZeroMax and flips every bit to the opposite of the first bit (except for the first bit).

Phase 2: The RSH flips only the first bit or bits that do not result in an improvement.

Phase 3: The RSH behaves exactly like ZeroMax/OneMax and flips every bit to the opposite of the first bit (except for the first bit).

The expected length of the first phase is  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  because the probability of flipping the first bit is at least  $\frac{1}{n} \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{n})^{n-1} \geq \frac{1}{ne}$  and therefore the expected time for such a step is at most  $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{ne}) = \mathcal{O}(ne) = \mathcal{O}(n)$ .

The length of the second phase is  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  because the solution is either optimal or there is at least one bit that needs to be flipped for an optimal solution. Since the expected length of Phase 1 is  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  the solution produced by the RSH won't be optimal in expectation due to the bound of  $\Theta(n \log n)$  for OneMax/ZeroMax. This again results in expected time  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ .

The length of the third phase is identical to a run of the RSH on OneMax/ZeroMax where flips of the first bit are ignored as if it was already correctly flipped and therefore the expected time is  $\Theta(n \log n)$

So the total expected time is  $\mathcal{O}(n) + \mathcal{O}(n) + \Theta(n \log n) = \Theta(n \log n)$  □

**Lemma 2.2.** *The SRSHs reach an approximation ratio of at most  $4/3$  in expected time  $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$  if  $w_1 \leq W/2$*

*Proof.* Helpful statements

(1) If  $b_F \leq \frac{2}{3}$  the approximation ratio is at most  $\frac{b_F}{opt} = \frac{2}{3}/opt \leq \frac{2}{3}/\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{4}{3}$

- (2) If  $w_1 \geq \frac{1}{3}$  and  $w_1$  is in the emptier bin, then  $b_F \leq 1 - w_1 \leq 1 - \frac{1}{3} = \frac{2}{3} \Rightarrow$  approximation  $\leq \frac{4}{3}$  (1)
- (3)  $b_F - b_E \geq v \Leftrightarrow b_F \geq b_E + v$  and therefore any object of weight at most  $v$  can be moved from  $b_F$  to  $b_E$  if  $b_F - b_E \geq v$
- (4)  $b_F \geq \frac{2}{3} \Rightarrow b_E \leq \frac{1}{3} \Rightarrow b_F - b_E \geq \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{3} \Rightarrow$  Every object  $\leq \frac{1}{3}$  can be moved from  $b_F$  to  $b_E$  as long as  $b_F \geq \frac{2}{3}$  (3)
- (5) In Time  $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$  the weight of the fuller bin can be decreased to  $\leq \frac{2}{3}$  if every item besides the biggest in the fuller bin is at most  $\frac{1}{3}$  and  $w_1 \leq \frac{W}{2}$ .

Proof of (5):

In time  $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$  the RSH can move every object  $\leq \frac{1}{3}$  to the emptier bin as long as  $b_F \geq \frac{2}{3}$  (4). So in Time  $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$  the solution can be shifted to  $w_1$  being in one bin and all other objects in the other bin. The RSH will only stop moving the elements if the condition  $b_F \geq \frac{2}{3}$  is no longer satisfied (4). If  $w_1 \geq \frac{1}{3}$  and every object was moved to the emptier bin, then  $b_F = \max\{1 - w_1, w_1\} = 1 - w_1 \leq \frac{2}{3}$ . If  $w_1 < \frac{1}{3}$  then the RSH will stop moving objects to the emptier bin since  $1 - w_1 > \frac{2}{3}$  and therefore  $b_F < \frac{2}{3}$  must hold after the RSH stops moving elements (4). So either the RSH moves all objects to the emptier bin or stops moving objects because  $b_F < \frac{2}{3}$ . This results in  $b_F \leq \frac{2}{3}$

If  $w_1 + w_2 > \frac{2}{3}$  after time  $\mathcal{O}(n)$   $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are separated (Proof by C.Witt) and will remain separated afterwards. From then on the following holds. If  $w_1$  is in the emptier bin, the result follows by (2). Otherwise the result follows by (5) and (1)  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.1.** *The RSH reaches an approximation ratio of at most  $4/3$  in expected time  $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$*

*Proof.* This follows directly from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2  $\square$

## **Erklärung der wissenschaftlichen Redlichkeit**

Hiermit versichere ich, Lipp Daniel, dass ich die vorliegende Arbeit selbstständig und ohne Benutzung anderer als der angegebenen Hilfsmittel angefertigt habe. Die aus fremden Quellen wörtlich oder sinngemäß übernommenen Gedanken sind als solche gekennzeichnet. Diese Hausarbeit wurde in gleicher oder ähnlicher Form noch keiner anderen Prüfungsbehörde vorgelegt.

Passau, den 1. Januar 1970

---

Lipp Daniel