Exploring different merging algorithms for balanced trees and their time complexity optimization.

Changhui (Eric) Zhou October 5, 2025

word count: ???

Contents

1	Inti	roduction	2
2	Theory		2
	2.1	Data structure terminology	2
	2.2	Balanced binary search trees	3
	2.3	Insertion-based merge	4
	2.4	In-order traversal merge	4
	2.5	Brown and Tarjan's merging algorithm (1979)	5
	2.6	Optimality	7
3	Hyp	pothesis	9
4	Exp	periment Design	9
	4.1	Variables	9
		4.1.1 Control Variables	9
References		19	

1 Introduction

A data structure is a way to store and organize data in order to facilitate access and modifications (Cormen, Leiserson, Rivest, & Stein, 2022). Designing and choosing more efficient data structures has always been a great persuit for computer scientists, for optimal data structures can save huge amount of computing resources, especially in face of large amount of data. Basic data structures include ordered data structures like arrays, linked lists and binary search trees and unordered data structures like hashtables.

For ordered data structures, merging two or more instances of them while maintaining its ordered property may be frequently used in practice. For example, to investigate the factors affacting the school grade, data from different schools may be grouped and merged according to various factors. The efficiency of combination varies significantly based on the data structure itself and the algorithm used in the process.

This essay will focus on investigating the theoratical time complexity (need definitions aa) and actual performance of merging algorithms of different data structures, namely arrays, and BSTs, which are the most commonly used data structure in real life.

Research question: How does different algorithm affect the efficiency of merging two instances of balanced search trees?

2 Theory

2.1 Data structure terminology

When a homogeneous relation (a binary relation between two elements) \leq on a set of elements X satisfies:

1. Antisymmetry: $\forall u, v \in X, (u \le v \land v \le u) \Leftrightarrow u = v.$

2. Totality: $\forall u, v \in X, u < v \lor v < u$.

3. Transitivity: $\forall u, v, w \in X, (u \le v \land v \le w) \Rightarrow u \le w$.

We say $P = (X, \leq)$ is a total order. For example $P = (\mathbb{R}, \leq)$, where \leq is numerical comparison, is a total order. The set of finite strings and

lexographical order comparison is also a total order. But $P = (\{S : S \subset \mathbb{R}\}, \subset)$ is not a total order.

Ordered data structures can store elements that satisfies a total order while maintaining their order. In C++, arrays, vectors, linked lists and sets can be ordered data structures, but unordered sets (hashtables) are not ordered data structures.

Definition

Ordered data structures are data structures that can store elements that satisfies a total order while maintaining their order.

2.2 Balanced binary search trees

- A graph G = (V, E) is the combination of the vertex set V and the edge set E.
- A tree T = (V, E) is a connected acylic graph.
- A binary tree is a tree that has no more than two children for each node.
- A binary search tree (BST) is a binary tree, whose nodes contain values under a total order, that has the following property: For any node v, all nodes in its left subtree are less than v, and all nodes in its right subtree are greater than v (Cormen et al., 2022).

Generally speaking, a balanced BST is a BST whose depth or the cost of iterating from the root to one specific leaf is strictly, expectedly or amortized $O(\log n)$. There are different kinds of balanced BSTs, like splay tree, treap, AVL trees and red-black trees. This essay will focus on AVL trees. AVL trees are a type of self-balancing binary search trees, which adjusts its shape through rotations and maintain the difference of the depths of two subtrees at most one (Karlton, Fuller, Scroggs, & Kaehler, 1976)¹.

In this essay, we will assume that there are two instances of AVL trees T_1 and T_2 to be merged. Without losing generality, we will assume T_1 has n elements and T_2 has m elements and $n \ge m$.

 $^{^1\}mathrm{In}$ fact, this kind of BST was refered to as HB[1], but AVL trees nowadays are mainly HB[1]

2.3 Insertion-based merge

One of the basic operations supported by a balanced BST is insertion, where one element is added to the tree and the order of the tree is automatically maintained. In fact, merging two instances of BSTs can be reduced to a sequence of insertions to a balanced BST. To be more specific, we iterate through all the elements in T_2 , insert them one by one into T_1 , that would be m operations with each having time complexity of $O(\log n)$, resulting in a overall complexity of $O(m \log n)$.

```
Algorithm: Insertion-Based Merge

Require: Two balanced binary search trees T_1 and T_2

Ensure: A single balanced binary search tree containing all elements from T_1 and T_2

1: procedure InsertionBasedMerge(T_1, T_2)

2: | for all elements x in T_2 (in-order traversal) do

3: | T_1. Insert(x)

4: | return T_1
```

This algorithm performs well when m/n is small, as the overall time complexity will be mainly $O(\log n)$. However, when m and n are relatively at the same scale, the overall time complexity will be close to $O(n \log n)$.

2.4 In-order traversal merge

Another way to merge two instances of BSTs is to utilize the property that each instance is already in-order. To combine them, we can view this process as merging two sorted subarrays into a new array, just like a merge sort. The iteration and new array construction process take O(n+m) time. With proper construction function, we can create a balanced BST in linear time out of a sorted array. Therefore, the overall time complexity is O(n+m).

```
Algorithm: Merge-Sort-Based BST Merge

Require: Two balanced binary search trees T_1 and T_2

Ensure: A single balanced binary search tree containing all elements from T_1 and T_2

1: procedure MergeSortBasedMerge(T_1, T_2)

2: A_1 \leftarrow \text{InOrderTraversal}(T_1)

3: A_2 \leftarrow \text{InOrderTraversal}(T_2)

4: A \leftarrow \text{MergeSortedArrays}(A_1, A_2)

5: T \leftarrow \text{BuildBalancedBST}(A)

6: C \leftarrow \text{return } T
```

This algorithm performs well when m/n is large, as the overall time complexity will be approximately O(n). However, when m is pretty negeligible compared to n, a full iteration over T_1 will be still needed and the overall time complexity will still be O(n), which wastes a lot of time.

In fact, it Stockmayer and Yao has proven that in term of number of comparisions, this algorithm is optimal when $m \leq n \leq \lfloor 3m/2 \rfloor + 1$ (Stockmeyer & Yao, 1980). This algorithm, however, does not perform well outside this range.

2.5 Brown and Tarjan's merging algorithm (1979)

In 1979, Brown and Tarjan proposed another algorithm based on the two merging algorithm mentioned above. It utilized both the tree structure for fast insertion-place location and the ordered property to reduce redundent operations. The algorithm again chooses the T_1 as the base tree and view the merging process as m insertions to a balanced BST of size n. However, the property that the inserted objects themselves are sorted helped to make the algorithm more efficient. Instead of iterating from the root, each insertion starts with the ending position of the last insertion, as it can be already told that the next insertion will happen to the right of the last insertion.

To be more specific, the algorithm keeps a stack called *path* and a stack called *successor*. The former is used to record the path from the root to the current node, while the latter records all the nodes on the *path* that is larger than the current node (that means they are on the right side of the current node their left children is visited on the *path*). Each insertion, instead of starting from the root, starts from the last node on the *successor* that is

smaller than the node to be inserted. Keep extending the *path* and *successor* during insertion. And the path shrinks back after the insertion, until a rebalance operation is triggered or we know that there need no rebalancing at all.

```
Algorithm: Brown-Tarjan Fast Height-Balanced Tree Merge
Require: Two balanced binary search trees T_1 (size n) and T_2 (size
            m), where n \geq m
Ensure: A single balanced BST containing all elements from T_1 and
 1: procedure FASTMERGE(T_1, T_2)
        Initialize stack path \leftarrow \{ \text{root}(T_1) \}
        Initialize empty stack successor
 3:
        height \leftarrow height(T_1)
 4:
        for all nodes x in T_2 (in-order traversal) do
 5:
 6:
            Detach x from T_2
                 ▷ — Step 1: Adjust path to maintain PathPredicate
            while successor not empty and key(x) >
 7:
            key(top(successor)) do
                repeat
 8:
                    pop(path)
 9:
                \mathbf{until} \ \mathsf{top}(\mathit{path}) = \mathsf{top}(\mathit{successor})
10:
11:
                pop(successor)
               Step 2: Search down from last successor and insert x
           p \leftarrow \text{top}(path)
12:
            while True do
13:
                if key(x) < key(p) then
14:
                    if p.left = Nil then
15:
                       p.left \leftarrow x; break
16:
                    else
17:
                        push(p, successor); p \leftarrow p.left
18:
                else
19:
20:
                    if p.right = Nil then
                       p.right \leftarrow x; break
21:
                    else
22:
                       p \leftarrow p.right
23:
```

```
push(p, path)
24:
             Step 3: Adjust balance factors and rebalance if needed
           while path not empty do
25:
              s \leftarrow pop(path)
26:
              if tree at s is unbalanced then
27:
                  Rebalance(s); break
28:
              else
29:
                  Update balance factor of s
30:
              if top(successor) = s then
31:
32:
                  pop(successor)
       return root of T_1 (now merged)
33:
```

It is worth noticing that the rebalance operation may make the initial path unusable. In this case, we can simply dispose of the path under the rotated node start next insertion there (Brown & Tarjan, 1979).

2.6 Optimality

When merging two instances of size n and m respectively, there are in total $\binom{n+m}{n}$ possible outcomes. According to the decision tree theory, each of them corresponds to a decision tree leaf node. Since the merging algorithm is comparison based, the decision tree has to be a binary tree (i.e. Each node has at most two children). The height of the decision tree is therefore no lower than $O(\log_2(\binom{n+m}{n}))$.

According to Sterling's approximation,

$$n! \approx \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n \tag{1}$$

which means

$$O(\log(n!)) = O(\frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi n) + n\log n - n\log e) = O(n\log n - n + O(\log n))$$
(2)

Using the definition of combination number,

$$\binom{n+m}{m} = \frac{(n+m)!}{n!m!} \tag{3}$$

$$\log\binom{n+m}{n} = \log(\frac{(n+m)!}{n!})$$

$$= (n+m)\log(n+m) - n\log n - m\log m + O(\log(n+m))$$

$$= n\log(1+\frac{m}{n}) + m\log(1+\frac{n}{m}) + O(\log(n+m))$$
(6)

Since $m \leq n$ we have $n \log(1 + m/n) \leq n \cdot (\frac{m}{n}) = m$, therefore the first term is O(m). This means the first term should be neglected as $m \log(1 + \frac{n}{m})$ is the dominant term compared to O(m).

Since $m \log(1 + \frac{n}{m})$ can be written as $m \log(n + m) - m \log(m)$, where the first term is more dominant than $O(\log(n + m))$, the third term should be neglected as well.

We can get the overall expression

$$\log \binom{n+m}{n} = O(m\log(1+\frac{n}{m}))$$
 (7)

Theorem

The optimal time complexity of merging two instances of ordered data structures is $O(m \log(1 + \frac{n}{m}))$, multiplied by the comparision cost with is assumed to be O(1) in this case.

3 Hypothesis

4 Experiment Design

4.1 Variables

4.1.1 Control Variables

Appendix

Device: Laptop CPU: Intel(R) Core(TM) Ultra 7 155H (1.40 GHz) Memory: 32GB OS: Windows 11 24H2 26100.6584 Compiler (C++): g++ 15.2.0 (for algorithm efficiency test and timing) Interpreter: Python 3.12.2 (for batch test)

Listing 1: AvlSet

```
#include <vector>
#include <algorithm>
#include <memory>
#include <list>
#include <functional>
#include <stack>
#ifdef DEBUG
#include <assert.h>
#endif

template <typename T, typename Compare = std::less<T>>
class AVLSet {
  private:
    struct Node {
```

```
T key;
15
          Node* left;
16
          Node* right;
17
          int height;
18
          template <typename... Args>
          Node(Args&&... args)
              : key(std::forward<Args>(args)...),
                left(nullptr),
23
                right(nullptr),
24
                height(1) {}
25
      };
26
      Node* root;
      size_t size;
29
      Compare comp_;
30
31
      // Helper functions for merging
32
      int height(Node* node) const {
33
          return node ? node->height : 0;
34
      }
35
36
      Node* create_node(const T& key) {
37
          ++size;
38
          return new Node(key);
39
      }
40
41
      Node* create_node(T&& key) {
42
          ++size;
          return new Node(std::move(key));
44
      }
45
46
      // Generates a balanced subtree in O(n) time out from a
47
          ordered sequence.
      // Returns the root node pointer.
48
      // *Preconditions
      // keys have to be ordered
      // _RandAccIt is the random access iterator
51
      // (*bg) and (*ed) should be of type T
52
53
```

```
template<typename _RandAccIt>
54
      Node* build(const _RandAccIt& bg, const _RandAccIt& ed){
          if(bg == ed) return nullptr;
56
          auto it = bg;
          if(++it == ed) return create_node(*bg);
          it = bg + (ed - bg) / 2; // The same as (bg + ed)/2 but
              avoids overflow problems
          auto cur = create_node(*it);
60
          cur->left = build(bg, it);
61
          cur->right = build(it + 1, ed);
62
          update_height(cur);
63
          return cur;
      }
      void update_height(Node* node) {
67
          node->height = 1 + std::max(height(node->left), height(
68
              node->right));
      }
69
70
      void delete_tree(Node* node) {
71
          if (!node) return;
          delete_tree(node->left);
73
          delete_tree(node->right);
          --size;
75
          delete node;
76
      }
78
      Node* rotate_right(Node* y) {
          Node* x = y - > left;
80
          Node* T2 = x-right;
81
82
          x->right = y;
83
          y \rightarrow left = T2;
          update_height(y);
          update_height(x);
89
          return x;
      }
90
91
```

```
Node* rotate_left(Node* x) {
92
           Node* y = x->right;
93
           Node* T2 = y->left;
94
           y \rightarrow left = x;
           x->right = T2;
           update_height(x);
99
           update_height(y);
           return y;
       }
104
       int balance_factor(Node* node) const {
105
           return node ? height(node->left) - height(node->right) :
106
               0;
       }
108
       Node* balance(Node* node) {
109
           update_height(node);
110
           int bf = balance_factor(node);
112
           // Left Heavy
113
           if (bf > 1) {
114
               if (balance_factor(node->left) < 0)</pre>
115
                   node->left = rotate_left(node->left);
116
               return rotate_right(node);
117
           }
           // Right Heavy
119
           if (bf < -1) {
120
               if (balance_factor(node->right) > 0)
121
                   node->right = rotate_right(node->right);
               return rotate_left(node);
123
           }
124
           return node;
       }
126
127
       template <typename Func>
128
       void traverse_in_order(Node* node, Func f) const {
129
           if (!node) return;
130
```

```
std::stack<Node*> stack;
131
           Node* current = node;
132
           while (current || !stack.empty()) {
133
               while (current) {
134
                   stack.push(current);
                   current = current->left;
136
               }
137
               current = stack.top();
138
               stack.pop();
               f(current->key);
140
               current = current->right;
141
           }
142
       }
143
144
       Node* insert(Node* node, const T& key) {
145
           if (!node) {
146
               return create_node(key);
147
           }
148
149
           if (comp_(key, node->key)) {
               node->left = insert(node->left, key);
           } else if (comp_(node->key, key)) {
152
               node->right = insert(node->right, key);
153
           } else {
154
               return node;
           }
156
157
           return balance(node);
       }
159
160
   public:
161
       AVLSet(Compare Comp = Compare()) : root(nullptr), size(0),
162
           comp_(Comp) {}
       Compare comparator() const { return comp_; }
163
       // Move operations
       AVLSet(AVLSet&& other) noexcept
           : root(other.root),
166
             size(other.size){
167
           other.root = nullptr;
168
           other.size = 0;
```

```
}
170
171
       AVLSet& operator=(AVLSet&& other) noexcept {
172
           if (this != &other) {
173
               clear();
               root = other.root;
175
               size = other.size;
176
               other.root = nullptr;
177
               other.size = 0;
178
           }
179
           return *this;
180
       }
182
       // Disable copy operations
183
       AVLSet(const AVLSet&) = delete;
184
       AVLSet& operator=(const AVLSet&) = delete;
185
186
       void clear() {
187
           delete_tree(root);
           root = nullptr;
189
       }
191
       bool empty() const {
192
           return size == 0;
193
194
195
       size_t get_size() const {
196
           return size;
       }
198
199
       template <typename Func>
200
       void traverse_in_order(Func f) const {
201
           traverse_in_order(root, f);
202
203
204
       std::vector<T> items() const {
           std::vector<T> result;
206
           traverse_in_order([&result](const T& key) {
207
               result.push_back(key);
208
           });
209
```

```
return result;
210
211
212
213
       void swap_with(AVLSet& other) {
           std::swap(root, other.root);
           std::swap(size, other.size);
       }
217
218
       void insert(const T& val){
219
           root = insert(root, val);
220
       }
221
       void remove(const T& val){
           root = remove(root, val);
       }
224
       template<typename RandAccIt>
225
       void construct(RandAccIt bg, RandAccIt ed){
226
           // Clear the current tree
227
           delete_tree(root);
           root = nullptr;
229
           // Build new tree
           root = build(bg, ed);
           size = static_cast<size_t>(ed - bg);
232
       }
233
234
235
       /** Merge two sets in O(N+M) time.
236
       * Some additional space may be costed.
       * But it does not affect the result of the experiment.
       * Oparam other The AVLSet to be merged into this set.
239
240
241
       void linearmerge(AVLSet&& other) {
242
           if (other.empty()) return;
243
           // Get elements from both trees
           std::vector<T> q1 = items();
           std::vector<T> q2 = other.items();
247
           std::vector<T> all_elements;
248
           all_elements.reserve(q1.size() + q2.size());
249
```

```
250
           // Merge sorted vectors
251
           std::merge(q1.begin(), q1.end(), q2.begin(), q2.end(),
252
                     std::back_inserter(all_elements), comp_);
253
           // Recycle both trees
           delete_tree(root);
           delete_tree(other.root);
257
           root = nullptr;
258
           other.root = nullptr;
259
260
           // Build new tree
           root = build(all_elements.begin(), all_elements.end());
           size = all_elements.size();
       }
264
265
       /**
266
        * Merge two sets in O(N log(M)) time.
267
        * Oparam other The AVLSet to be merged into this set.
       void simplemerge(AVLSet&& other) {
           if (other.empty()) return;
272
           if (size < other.size) { swap_with(other); }</pre>
273
274
           // Insert all elements from the smaller tree (now 'other')
                into this
           other.traverse_in_order([this](const T& key) {
               this->insert(key);
           });
278
279
           other.clear();
280
       }
281
282
       void brownmerge(AVLSet&& other) {
           if (other.empty()) return;
           if (size < other.size) swap_with(other);</pre>
286
           std::vector<T> elems = other.items();
287
           other.clear();
```

```
289
           // stacks of pointers-to-links (Node**). Each points to
290
               some parent->left or parent->right or &root
           std::vector<Node**> path;
291
           std::vector<Node**> successor;
           path.push_back(&root);
294
295
           for (const T& x : elems) {
296
               // ==== CLIMB/RETRACT: pop successors while their key
297
                  <= x (i.e. not (x < succ_key)) ====
               while (!successor.empty() && !comp_(x, (*successor.
                  back())->key)) {
                  Node** succLink = successor.back();
299
                  // pop path entries until top == succLink
300
                  while (!path.empty() && path.back() != succLink)
301
                      path.pop_back();
                  successor.pop_back();
302
               }
303
304
               // ==== DESCEND from current finger (path.back()) to
                  insertion point ====
               Node** curLink = path.empty() ? &root : path.back();
306
               Node* p = *curLink;
307
               if (!p) {
308
                  // empty subtree (rare because root existed),
309
                      insert directly
                  *curLink = create_node(x);
                  path.push_back(curLink);
311
               } else {
312
                  for (;;) {
313
                      path.push_back(curLink); // link that points to
314
                      if (comp_(x, p->key)) {
315
                          // go left; mark this link as a successor (
                              we turned left here)
                          if (p->left == nullptr) {
317
                              p->left = create_node(x);
318
                              path.push_back(&(p->left));
319
                              break;
320
```

```
} else {
321
                               successor.push_back(curLink);
322
                               curLink = &(p->left);
323
                               p = *curLink;
324
                           }
                       } else {
                           // go right
327
                           if (p->right == nullptr) {
328
                               p->right = create_node(x);
329
                               path.push_back(&(p->right));
330
                               break;
331
                           } else {
                               curLink = &(p->right);
                               p = *curLink;
                           }
335
                       }
336
                   }
337
               }
338
339
               // ==== REBALANCE upward using the link-stack; attach
                   rotated subtree via *link ====
               while (!path.empty()) {
341
                   Node** link = path.back();
342
                   Node* s = *link;
343
                   path.pop_back();
344
345
                   if (!successor.empty() && successor.back() == link
346
                       ) successor.pop_back();
347
                   update_height(s);
348
                   int bf = balance_factor(s);
349
350
                   if (std::abs(bf) > 1) {
351
                       Node* newsub = balance(s); // returns new root
352
                           of this subtree
                       *link = newsub; // reattach correctly via the
                           link
354
                       // retract path until the remaining entries are
355
                            consistent with this rotation
```

```
while (!path.empty() && path.back() != link)
356
                           path.pop_back();
                       break; // stop climbing after performing
357
                           rotation
                   }
359
                   if (bf == 0) {
360
                       // height didn't increase => stop climbing
361
362
                   }
363
                   // else continue climbing
364
               }
           }
366
           #ifdef DEBUG
367
           auto items_after = items();
368
           for (size_t i = 1; i < items_after.size(); ++i)</pre>
369
               assert(!comp_(items_after[i], items_after[i-1]));
370
           #endif
371
       }
   };
374
```

References

- Brown, M. R., & Tarjan, R. E. (1979). A fast merging algorithm. *Journal* of the ACM (JACM), 26(2), 211–226.
- Cormen, T. H., Leiserson, C. E., Rivest, R. L., & Stein, C. (2022). *Introduction to algorithms* (Fourth ed.). MIT Press.
- Karlton, P. L., Fuller, S. H., Scroggs, R., & Kaehler, E. (1976). Performance of height-balanced trees. *Communications of the ACM*, 19(1), 23–28.
- Stockmeyer, P. K., & Yao, F. F. (1980). On the optimality of linear merge. SIAM Journal on Computing, 9(1), 85–90.