Semidefinite Programming, Computational Intelligence, Lecture 10

by Sergei Savin

Spring 2021

CONTENT

- Semidefinite Programming (SDP)
 - ▶ General form
 - ► Multiple LMI
 - ▶ SDP decision variable
- Example 1: Continuous Lyapunov equation as an SDP/LMI
- Example 2: Discrete Lyapunov equation as an SDP/LMI
- Example 3: LMI Controller design for continuous LTI
- How to describe an ellipsoid
- Volume of an ellipsoid
- Inscribed ellipsoid algorithms
- Homework

SEMIDEFINITE PROGRAMMING (SDP) General form

General form of a semidefinite program is:

minimize
$$\mathbf{c}^{\top}\mathbf{x}$$
,
subject to
$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{G} + \sum \mathbf{F}_{i}x_{i} \leq 0, \\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}. \end{cases}$$
 (1)

where $\mathbf{F}_i \succeq 0$ and $\mathbf{G} \succeq 0$ (meaning they are positive semidefinite).

Constraint $\mathbf{G} + \sum \mathbf{F}_i x_i \leq 0$ is called *linear matrix inequality* or *LMI*.

SEMIDEFINITE PROGRAMMING (SDP) Multiple LMI

SDP can have several LMIs. Assume you have:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{G} + \sum \mathbf{F}_i x_i \leq 0 \\ \mathbf{D} + \sum \mathbf{H}_i x_i \leq 0 \end{cases}$$
 (2)

This is equivalent to:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{D} \end{bmatrix} + \sum \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}_i & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{H}_i \end{bmatrix} x_i \leq 0$$
 (3)

SEMIDEFINITE PROGRAMMING (SDP) SDP decision variable

Sometimes it is easier to directly think of semidefinite matrices as of decision variables. This leads to programs with such formulation:

minimize
$$f(\mathbf{X})$$
,
subject to
$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{X} \leq 0, \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{0}. \end{cases}$$
 (4)

where cost and constraints should adhere to SDP limitations.

EX. 1: CONTINUOUS LYAPUNOV EQ. AS SDP/LMI Mathematical formulation

In control theory, Lyapunov equation is a condition of whether or not a continuous LTI system $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$ is stabilizable:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{P} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{Q} = 0 \\ \mathbf{P} \succeq 0 \\ \mathbf{Q} \succeq 0 \end{cases}$$
 (5)

where decision variable is **P**. This can be represented as an SDP:

minimize 0, subject to
$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{P} \succeq 0, \\ \mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{P} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{Q} = 0. \end{cases}$$
 (6)

Ex. 1: Continuous Lyapunov eq. as SDP/LMI Code

```
0 \mid n = 7; A = randn(n, n) - 3*rand*eye(n);
 Q = eve(n);
 cvx_begin sdp
     variable P(n, n) symmetric
      minimize 0
      subject to
          P >= 0:
        A'*P + P*A + Q \le 0;
 cvx end
 if strcmp(cvx_status, 'Solved')
      [ eig(A), eig(A*P + P*A' + Q), eig(P) ]
 else
     eig (A)
 end
```

EX. 2: DISCRETE LYAPUNOV EQ. AS SDP/LMI Mathematical formulation

In control theory, Discrete Lyapunov equation is a condition of whether or not a discrete LTI system $\mathbf{x}_{i+1} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_i$ is stabilizable:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{Q} = 0 \\ \mathbf{P} \succeq 0 \\ \mathbf{Q} \succeq 0 \end{cases}$$
 (7)

where decision variable is **P**. This can be represented as an SDP:

minimize 0, subject to
$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{P} \succeq 0, \\ \mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{Q} = 0. \end{cases}$$
 (8)

Ex. 2: DISCRETE LYAPUNOV EQ. AS SDP/LMI Code

```
0 \mid n = 7; A = 0.35 * randn(n, n);
 Q = eve(n);
 cvx_begin sdp
      variable P(n, n) symmetric
      minimize 0
      subject to
          P >= 0:
         A'*P*A - P + Q \le 0;
 cvx end
 if strcmp(cvx_status, 'Solved')
      [abs(eig(A)), eig(A*P*A - P), eig(P)]
 else
     abs (eig (A))
 end
```

Ex. 3: FTS for continuous LTI

Mathematical formulation

For an LTI system of the form $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}$ there is an LMI condition to determine if it can be stabilized:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{AP} + \mathbf{PA}^{\top} + \mathbf{BL} + \mathbf{LB}^{\top} + \mathbf{Q} = 0 \\ \mathbf{P} \succeq 0 \\ \mathbf{Q} \succeq 0 \end{cases}$$
(9)

where decision variables are P and L.

This gives as a direct way to calculate linear feedback controller $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{K}\mathbf{x}$ (note the sign!) gains:

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{P}^{-1} \tag{10}$$

Ex. 3: FTS for continuous LTI, Code

```
0 \mid n = 5; m = 2;
 A = randn(n, n);
_{2}|_{B} = \operatorname{randn}(n, m);
  Q = eve(n) *0.1;
4 cvx_begin sdp
       variable P(n, n) symmetric
       variable Z(m, n)
      minimize 0
       subject to
         P >= 0:
           A*P + P*A' + B*Z + Z'*B' + Q \le 0:
12 cvx end
  P = full(P);
_{14}|Z = full(Z);
  K_LMI = Z*pinv(P);
  disp('KLMI eig:')
18 | eig(A + B*K\_LMI)
```

HOW TO DESCRIBE AN ELLIPSOID

Unit sphere transformation

Let us first remember how we describe a unit sphere:

$$S = \{\mathbf{x} : ||\mathbf{x}|| \le 1\} \tag{11}$$

An ellipsoid can be seen as a linear transformation of a unit sphere:

$$\mathcal{E} = \{ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b} : ||\mathbf{x}|| \le 1 \}$$
 (12)

HOW TO DESCRIBE AN ELLIPSOID

A dual description

Let us introduce a change of variables $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}$. Assuming **A** is invertible, we get:

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{b}) \tag{13}$$

So, we can describe the exact same ellipsoid using an alternative formula:

$$\mathcal{E} = \{ \mathbf{z} : ||\mathbf{B}\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{c}|| \le 1 \}$$
 (14)

where $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{c} = -\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$.

VOLUME OF AN ELLIPSOID Part 1

For an ellipsoid of the form

$$\mathcal{E} = \{ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b} : ||\mathbf{x}|| \le 1 \} \tag{15}$$

the "bigger" the \mathbf{A} , the bigger the ellipsoid. This concept can be made concrete by talking about the determinant of \mathbf{A} .

Thus, maximizing the volume of this ellipsoid is the same as maximizing $\det(\mathbf{A})$. Or, it is the same as minimizing the $\det(\mathbf{A}^{-1})$, since $\det(\mathbf{A}^{-1}) = 1/\det(\mathbf{A})$.

Volume of an ellipsoid Part 2

For an ellipsoid of the form

$$\mathcal{E} = \{ \mathbf{z} : ||\mathbf{B}\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{c}|| \le 1 \} \tag{16}$$

the "bigger" the \mathbf{B} , the *smaller* the ellipsoid. We can make it obvious by thinking that increasing \mathbf{B} leaves less room for valid \mathbf{z} , and it is the volume of valid \mathbf{z} that makes the volume of the ellipsoid in this case.

This concept can be made concrete by talking about the determinant of \mathbf{B} . Thus, maximizing the volume of this ellipsoid is the same as *minimizing* $\det(\mathbf{B})$. Or, it is the same as *maximizing* the $\det(\mathbf{B}^{-1})$.

INSCRIBED ELLIPSOID ALGORITHMS

Continue with slides from Convex Optimization — Boyd & Vandenberghe. Follow the link:

8. Geometric problems

HOMEWORK

Implement both examples from page 2 of the LMI CVX documents.

Lecture slides are available via Moodle.

You can help improve these slides at: github.com/SergeiSa/Computational-Intelligence-Slides-Spring-2021



Check Moodle for additional links, videos, textbook suggestions.