Quantitative genetics using the sommer package

Giovanny Covarrubias-Pazaran 2017-10-28

The sommer package was developed to provide R users a powerful and reliable multivariate mixed model solver for different genetic and non-genetic analysis in diploid and polyploid organisms. This package allows the user to estimate variance components for a mixed model with the advantage of specifying the variance-covariance structure of the random effects, specify heterogeneous variances, and obtain other parameters such as BLUPs, BLUEs, residuals, fitted values, variances for fixed and random effects, etc.

The package is focused on problems of the type p > n related to genomic prediction (hybrid prediction & genomic selection) and GWAS analysis, although general mixed models can be fitted as well. The package provides kernels to estimate additive (A.mat), dominance (D.mat), and epistatic (E.mat) relationship matrices that have been shown to increase prediction accuracy under certain scenarios or simply to estimate the variance components of such. The package provides flexibility to fit other genetic models such as full and half diallel models as well.

Vignettes aim to provide several examples in how to use the sommer package under different scenarios in breeding and genetics. We will spend the rest of the space providing examples for:

- 1) Heritability (h^2) calculation
- 2) Specifying heterogeneous variances in mixed models
- 3) Using the pin calculator
- 4) Half and full diallel designs
- 5) Genomic selection
- 6) Single cross prediction
- 7) Multivariate genetic models and genetic correlations

Background

The core of the package are the mmer2 (formula-based) and mmer (matrix-based) functions which solve the mixed model equations. The functions are an interface to call the NR Direct-Inversion Newton-Raphson (Tunnicliffe 1989; Gilmour et al. 1995; Lee et al. 2016) or the EMMA efficient mixed model association algorithm (Kang et al. 2008). Since version 2.0 sommer can handle multivariate models. Following Maier et al. (2015), the multivariate (and by extension the univariate) mixed model implemented has the form:

$$y_1 = X_1\beta_1 + Z_1u_1 + \epsilon_1 \ y_2 = X_2\beta_2 + Z_2u_2 + \epsilon_2 \dots \ y_i = X_i\beta_i + Z_iu_i + \epsilon_i$$

where y_i is a vector of trait phenotypes, β_i is a vector of fixed effects, u_i is a vector of random effects for individuals and e_i are residuals for trait 'i' (i = 1, ..., t). The random effects (u_1 ... u_i and e_i) are assumed to be normally distributed with mean zero. X and Z are incidence matrices for fixed and random effects respectively. The distribution of the multivariate response and the phenotypic variance covariance (V) are:

$$Y = X\beta + ZU + \epsilon_i$$
$$Y \sim MVN(X\beta, V)$$

$$\mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \dots \\ y_t \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & X_t \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{V} = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 K \sigma_{g_1}^2 Z_1' + Z_1 I \sigma_{\epsilon_1}^2 Z_1' & \dots & Z_1 K \sigma_{g_{1,t}} Z_t' + Z_1 I \sigma_{\epsilon_{1,t}} Z_t' \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ Z_1 K \sigma_{g_{1,t}} Z_t' + Z_1 I \sigma_{\epsilon_{1,t}} Z_t' & \dots & Z_t K \sigma_{g_t}^2 Z_t' + Z_t I \sigma_{\epsilon_t}^2 Z_t' \end{bmatrix}$$

where K is the relationship or covariance matrix for the kth random effect (u=1,...,k), and R=I is an identity matrix for the residual term. The terms $\sigma_{g_i}^2$ and $\sigma_{\epsilon_i}^2$ denote the genetic (or any of the kth random terms) and residual variance of trait 'i', respectively and $\sigma_{g_{ij}}$ and $\sigma_{\epsilon_{ij}}$ the genetic (or any of the kth random terms) and residual covariance between traits 'i' and 'j' (i=1,...,t, and j=1,...,t). The algorithm implemented optimizes the log likelihood:

$$log L = 1/2 * ln(|V|) + ln(X'|V|X) + Y'PY$$

where || is the determinant of a matrix. And the REML estimates are updated using a Newton optimization algorithm of the form:

$$\theta^{k+1} = \theta^k + (H^k)^{-1} * \frac{dL}{d\sigma_{\cdot}^2} |\theta^k|$$

Where, θ is the vector of variance components for random effects and covariance components among traits, H^{-1} is the inverse of the Hessian matrix of second derivatives for the kth cycle, $\frac{dL}{d\sigma_i^2}$ is the vector of first derivatives of the likelihood with respect to the variance-covariance components. The Eigen decomposition of the relationship matrix proposed by Lee and Van Der Werf (2016) was included in the Newton-Raphson algorithm to improve time efficiency. Additionally, the popular pin function to estimate standard errors for linear combinations of variance components (i.e. heritabilities and genetic correlations) was added to the package as well.

The function mmer takes the Zs and Ks for each random effect and construct the neccesary structure inside and estimates the variance components by ML/REML using any of the 4 methods available in sommer. The mmer2 function is enabled to work in a model-based fashion so user don't have to build the Z's and K matrices. Please refer to the canonical papers listed in the Literature section to check how the algorithms work. We have tested widely the methods to make sure they provide the same solution when the likelihood behaves well but for complex problems they might lead to slightly different answers. If you have any concern please contact me at cova ruber@live.com.mx.

In the following section we will go in detail over several examples on how to use mixed models in univariate and multivariate case and their use in quantitative genetics.

1) Marker and non-marker based heritability calculation

The heritability is one of the most popular parameters in the breeding and genetics community. The heritability is usually estimated as narrow sense $(h^2;$ only additive variance in the numerator σ_A^2), and broad sense $(H^2;$ all genetic variance in the numerator σ_G^2).

In a classical experiment with no molecular markers, special designs are performed to estimate and disect the additive (σ_A^2) and dominance (σ_D^2) variance along with environmental variability. Designs such as generation analysis, North Carolina designs are used to disect σ_A^2 and σ_D^2 to estimate the narrow sense heritability (h^2) . When no special design is available we can still disect the genetic variance (σ_G^2) and estimate the broad sense heritability. In this example we will show the broad sense estimation which doesn't use covariance structures for random effects. For big models with no covariance structures, sommer's direct inversion is a bad idea to use but we will show anyways how to do it, for very sparse models we recommend using the lmer function from the lme4 package from Douglas Bates or change to the EM algorithm which uses MME-based algorithms.

The dataset has 41 potato lines evaluated in 5 locations across 3 years in an RCBD design. We show how to fit the model and extract the variance components to calculate the h^2 .

```
library(sommer)
data(h2)
head(h2)
##
                   Name
                            Env Loc Year
                                             Block y
## 1
                W8822-3 FL.2012 FL 2012 FL.2012.1 2
## 2
                W8867-7 FL.2012 FL 2012 FL.2012.2 2
## 3
               MSL007-B MO.2011
                                 MO 2011 MO.2011.1 3
## 4
             C000270-7W FL.2012
                                 FL 2012 FL.2012.2 3
## 5 Manistee(MSL292-A) FL.2013 FL.2013.2 3
               MSM246-B FL.2012 FL 2012 FL.2012.2 3
ans1 <- mmer2(y~1,
              random = ~Name + Env + Name:Env + Block,
              rcov = ~units,
              data=h2, silent = TRUE)
suma <- summary(ans1)</pre>
n.env <- length(levels(h2$Env))
pin(ans1, h2 ~ V1 / ( V1 + (V3/n.env) + (V5/(2*n.env)) ) )
##
                        SE
       Estimate
## h2 0.8594805 0.03517521
```

The same model can be fitted with the mmer function that is actually used by the mmer2 function in the background. This is just to show that you can create your customized matrices and use the mixed model solver. This is how you would do it:

```
library(sommer)
data(h2)
head(h2)
                                        Block y
##
                 Name
                         Env Loc Year
              W8822-3 FL.2012 FL 2012 FL.2012.1 2
## 1
              W8867-7 FL.2012 FL 2012 FL.2012.2 2
## 2
## 3
             MSL007-B M0.2011 M0 2011 M0.2011.1 3
           C000270-7W FL.2012 FL 2012 FL.2012.2 3
## 5 Manistee(MSL292-A) FL.2013 FL.2013.2 3
             MSM246-B FL.2012 FL 2012 FL.2012.2 3
Z1 <- model.matrix(~Name-1, h2)</pre>
Z2 <- model.matrix(~Env-1, h2)</pre>
Z3 <- model.matrix(~Env:Name-1, h2)
Z4 <- model.matrix(~Block-1, h2)
y <- h2$y
ans1 <- mmer(Y=y, Z=ETA, silent = TRUE)</pre>
vc <- ans1$var.comp
```

Recently with markers becoming cheaper, thousand of markers can be run in the breeding materials. When markers are available, an special design is not necessary to disect the additive genetic variance. The availability of the additive, dominance and epistatic relationship matrices allow us to estimate σ_A^2 , σ_D^2 and σ_L^2 .

Assume you have a population, and a similar model like the one displayed previously has been fitted. Now we have BLUPs for the genotypes but in addition we have genetic markers.

```
data(CPdata)
CPpheno$idd <-CPpheno$id; CPpheno$ide <-CPpheno$id
### look at the data
head(CPpheno)
##
          id Row Col Year
                                 color Yield FruitAver Firmness Rowf Colf
                                                                              idd
## P003 P003
               3
                    1 2014 0.10075269 154.67
                                                   41.93
                                                          588.917
                                                                      3
                                                                           1 P003
## P004 P004
                    1 2014 0.13891940 186.77
                                                   58.79
                                                          640.031
                                                                      4
                                                                           1 P004
## P005 P005
                    1 2014 0.08681502 80.21
                                                   48.16
                                                          671.523
                                                                           1 P005
               5
                                                                      5
## P006 P006
               6
                    1 2014 0.13408561 202.96
                                                   48.24
                                                          687.172
                                                                      6
                                                                           1 P006
## P007 P007
               7
                    1 2014 0.13519278 174.74
                                                   45.83
                                                          601.322
                                                                      7
                                                                           1 P007
## P008 P008
                    1 2014 0.17406685 194.16
                                                   44.63
                                                          656.379
                                                                           1 P008
##
         ide
## P003 P003
## P004 P004
## P005 P005
## P006 P006
## P007 P007
## P008 P008
CPgeno[1:5,1:4]
##
        scaffold_50439_2381 scaffold_39344_153 uneak_3436043 uneak_2632033
## P003
                                                                             1
## P004
                           0
                                               0
                                                              0
                                                                             1
## P005
                           0
                                                              0
                                                                             1
## P006
                                                                             0
                          -1
                                               -1
                                                             -1
## P007
                           0
                                                              0
                                                                             1
## fit a model including additive and dominance effects
A <- A.mat(CPgeno) # additive relationship matrix
D <- D.mat(CPgeno) # dominance relationship matrix
E <- E.mat(CPgeno) # epistatic relationship matrix
ans.ADE <- mmer2(color~1,</pre>
                  random=~g(id) + g(idd) + g(ide),
                  rcov=~units,
                  G=list(id=A,idd=D,ide=E),
                  silent = TRUE, data=CPpheno)
suma <- summary(ans.ADE)$var.comp.table</pre>
(H2 \leftarrow sum(suma[1:3,1])/sum(suma[,1]))
## [1] 0.7224984
(h2 <- sum(suma[1,1])/sum(suma[,1]))
```

```
## [1] 0.4827261
```

In the previous example we showed how to estimate the additive (σ_A^2) , dominance (σ_D^2) , and epistatic (σ_I^2) variance components based on markers and estimate broad (H^2) and narrow sense heritability (h^2) . Notice that we used the g() function which indicates that the random effect inside the parenthesis (i.e. id, idd or ide) has a covariance matrix (A, D, or E), that will be specified in the G argument in the form of a list and using the name of the random effect to allow the program to recognize which variance covariance matrix belongs to each random effect. Please DO NOT provide the inverse but the original covariance matrix. This is why we have called the function g() and no giv() as the popular software asreml.

Just to show one more time that you can use your own matrices we will repeat the same calculation using

```
the mmer function:
data(CPdata)
### look at the data
head(CPpheno)
##
          id Row Col Year
                                color Yield FruitAver Firmness Rowf Colf
## P003 P003
               3
                  1 2014 0.10075269 154.67
                                                 41.93 588.917
                                                                    3
## P004 P004
                  1 2014 0.13891940 186.77
                                                 58.79
                                                         640.031
                                                                         1
## P005 P005
                   1 2014 0.08681502 80.21
                                                 48.16
               5
                                                        671.523
                                                                         1
## P006 P006
               6
                   1 2014 0.13408561 202.96
                                                  48.24
                                                         687.172
                                                                    6
                                                                         1
## P007 P007
               7
                   1 2014 0.13519278 174.74
                                                  45.83
                                                        601.322
                                                                    7
                                                                         1
## P008 P008
                   1 2014 0.17406685 194.16
                                                  44.63
                                                        656.379
                                                                         1
CPgeno[1:5,1:4]
        scaffold_50439_2381 scaffold_39344_153 uneak_3436043 uneak_2632033
##
## P003
                                                             0
                           0
                                              0
## P004
                           0
                                              0
                                                             0
                                                                            1
## P005
                           0
                                             -1
                                                             0
                                                                            1
## P006
                          -1
                                             -1
                                                            -1
                                                                            0
## P007
                           0
                                              0
                                                             0
                                                                            1
## fit a model including additive and dominance effects
Z1 <- model.matrix(~id-1, CPpheno); colnames(Z1) <- gsub("id","",colnames(Z1))
A <- A.mat(CPgeno) # additive relationship matrix
D <- D.mat(CPgeno) # dominance relationship matrix
E <- E.mat(CPgeno) # epistatic relationship matrix
y <- CPpheno$color
ETA <- list(id=list(Z=Z1,K=A),idd=list(Z=Z1,K=D),ide=list(Z=Z1,K=E))
ans.ADE <- mmer(Y=y, Z=ETA, silent = TRUE)
ans.ADE$var.comp
## $id
##
               T1
## T1 0.003664777
## $idd
##
               T1
## T1 0.001820402
##
## $ide
##
      Т1
## T1 0
##
## $units
##
               Т1
```

2) Specifying heterogeneous variances in univariate models

T1 0.002106253

Very often in multi-environment trials, the assumption that genetic variance is the same across locations may be too naive. Because of that, specifying a general genetic component and a location specific genetic variance is the way to go. Although the function 'mmer' implemented in sommer can be used to do that, can be quite cumbersome and messy to create the incidence and variance covariance matrices for fitting those models. For that reason the function 'mmer2' was added to the package to make such models easier to fit.

We estimate variance components for GCA_2 and SCA specifying the variance structure.

```
data(cornHybrid)
hybrid2 <- cornHybrid$hybrid # extract cross data
head(hybrid2)
##
    Location GCA1
                 GCA2
                            SCA Yield PlantHeight
## 1
          1 A258 AS5707 A258:AS5707
                                  NA
## 2
          1 A258
                  B2
                        A258:B2
                                  NA
                                            NA
## 3
          1 A258
                  B99
                        A258:B99
                                  NA
                                            NA
## 4
          1 A258
                       A258:LH51
                                            NA
                 LH51
                                 NΑ
## 5
          1 A258
                 Mo44
                       A258:Mo44
                                  NA
                                            NA
## 6
          1 A258
                NC320
                      A258:NC320
                                            NA
                                  NA
### fit the model
modFD <- mmer2(Yield~1,</pre>
            random=~ at(Location, c("3", "4")):GCA2,
            rcov= ~ at(Location):units,
            data=hybrid2, silent = TRUE)
summary(modFD)
##
     Multivariate Linear Mixed Model fit by REML
## ********** sommer 3.0 *********
  ______
##
         logLik
                   AIC
                           BIC Method Converge
## Value -164.6839 331.3677 335.3592
                                 MNR
                                       TRUE.
## ===========
## Variance-Covariance components:
                   VarComp VarCompSE Zratio
##
## 3:GCA2.Yield-Yield
                    62.41
                             53.39
                                  1.169
## 4:GCA2.Yield-Yield
                    98.02
                            79.59
                                  1.232
## 1:units.Yield-Yield 216.82
                             30.76 7.048
## 2:units.Yield-Yield 216.82
                             30.76 7.048
## 3:units.Yield-Yield 493.08
                            77.29 6.380
## 4:units.Yield-Yield 711.98
                            111.64 6.378
## Fixed effects:
##
## $Yield
##
          Estimate Std. Error t value
## Intercept 138.1129 0.9441644 146.2806
##
  ## Groups and observations:
        Observ Groups
##
## 3:GCA2
          400
                 20
## 4:GCA2
                 20
          400
```

In the previous example we showed how the at function is used in the mmer2 solver. By using the at function you can specify that i.e. the GCA2 has a different variance in different Locations, in this case locations 3 and

Use the '\$' sign to access results and parameters

4, but also a main GCA variance. This is considered a CS + DIAG (compound symmetry + diagonal) model.

In addition, other functions can be added on top to fit models with covariance structures, i.e. the g() function which indicates that the random effect inside the parenthesis (i.e. GCA2) has a covariance matrix (A, pedigree or genomic relationship matrix) that will be specified in the G argument in the form of a list:

```
data(cornHybrid)
hybrid2 <- cornHybrid$hybrid # extract cross data
## get the covariance structure for GCA2
A <- cornHybrid$K
## fit the model
modFD <- mmer2(Yield~1,</pre>
            random=~ g(GCA2) + at(Location):g(GCA2),
            rcov= ~ at(Location):units,
            data=hybrid2, G=list(GCA2=A),
            silent = TRUE, draw=FALSE)
summary(modFD)
##
     Multivariate Linear Mixed Model fit by REML
## *********** sommer 3.0 *********
  AIC
##
                           BIC Method Converge
          logLik
## Value -157.4751 316.9502 320.9417
                                 MNR
                                        TRIF
## Variance-Covariance components:
                     VarComp VarCompSE Zratio
## g(GCA2).Yield-Yield
                      28.179
                               12.49 2.2567
## 1:g(GCA2).Yield-Yield
                      0.000
                                 NaN 0.0000
## 2:g(GCA2).Yield-Yield
                      0.000
                                 NaN 0.0000
## 3:g(GCA2).Yield-Yield
                      3.925
                               18.43 0.2130
## 4:g(GCA2).Yield-Yield 10.069
                               27.89 0.3611
## 1:units.Yield-Yield
                     187.926
                               29.07 6.4642
## 2:units.Yield-Yield
                     187.926
                               29.07 6.4642
## 3:units.Yield-Yield
                     497.124
                               76.36 6.5104
## 4:units.Yield-Yield
                     727.368
                              111.74 6.5093
  ______
## Fixed effects:
##
## $Yield
          Estimate Std. Error t value
##
## Intercept 138.3383
                    1.321402 104.6906
##
  ## Groups and observations:
          Observ Groups
##
## g(GCA2)
             400
                    20
## 1:g(GCA2)
             400
                    20
## 2:g(GCA2)
                    20
             400
```

The draw argument allows you to see the progress of the likelihood and the change of the variance components, we just mention it in case you like to do that inspection but this will make the fitting process more time

3:g(GCA2)

4:g(GCA2)

400

400

20

20

Use the '\$' sign to access results and parameters

consuming.

3) Using the pin calculator

Sometimes the user needs to calculate ratios or functions of specific variance-covariance components and obtain the standard error for such parameters. Examples of these are the genetic correlations, heritabilities, etc. Using the CPdata we will show how to estimate the heritability and the standard error.

```
data(CPdata)
#### create the variance-covariance matrix
A <- A.mat(CPgeno)
#### look at the data and fit the model
head(CPpheno)
        id Row Col Year
                           color Yield FruitAver Firmness Rowf Colf
## P003 P003
                1 2014 0.10075269 154.67
                                          41.93
                                                588.917
## P004 P004
                1 2014 0.13891940 186.77
                                          58.79
                                                640.031
## P005 P005
             5
                1 2014 0.08681502 80.21
                                          48.16
                                                671.523
                                                              1
## P006 P006
             6
                1 2014 0.13408561 202.96
                                          48.24
                                                687.172
                                                         6
                                                              1
## P007 P007
             7
                                                         7
                1 2014 0.13519278 174.74
                                          45.83
                                                601.322
                                                              1
## P008 P008
                1 2014 0.17406685 194.16
                                          44.63
                                               656.379
mix1 <- mmer2(color~1,
            random=~g(id),
            rcov=~units,
            G=list(id=A), data=CPpheno, silent=TRUE)
summary(mix1)
##
      Multivariate Linear Mixed Model fit by REML
## ********** sommer 3.0 *********
## -----
          logLik
                    AIC
                            BIC Method Converge
## Value -110.7406 223.4812 227.3728
                                  MNR
                                          TRUE
## Variance-Covariance components:
                   VarComp VarCompSE Zratio
## g(id).color-color 0.005123 0.0010395
## units.color-color 0.002743 0.0003002 9.137
## Fixed effects:
##
## $color
##
            Estimate Std. Error t value
## Intercept 0.1825652 0.002754967 66.26763
##
  ##
## Groups and observations:
       Observ Groups
##
          362
## g(id)
## Use the '$' sign to access results and parameters
#### run the pin function
pin(mix1, h2 ~ V1 / ( V1 + V2 ) )
```

```
## Estimate SE
## h2 0.6512661 0.06109168
```

The same can be used for multivariate models. Please check the documentation of the pin function to see more examples.

4) Half and full diallel designs

When breeders are looking for the best single cross combinations, diallel designs have been by far the most used design in crops like maize. There are 4 types of diallel designs depending if reciprocate and self cross (omission of parents) are performed (full diallel with parents n^2 ; full diallel without parents n(n-1); half diallel with parents 1/2 * n(n+1); half diallel without parents 1/2 * n(n-1)). In this example we will show a full diallel design (reciprocate crosses are performed) and half diallel designs (only one of the directions is performed).

In the first data set we show a full diallel among 40 lines from 2 heterotic groups, 20 in each. Therefore 400 possible hybrids are possible. We have pehnotypic data for 100 of them across 4 locations. We use the data available to fit a model of the form:

```
y = X\beta + Zu_1 + Zu_2 + Zu_S + \epsilon
```

Location GCA1

GCA2

1 A258 AS5707 A258:AS5707

##

1

We estimate variance components for GCA_1 , GCA_2 and SCA and use them to estimate heritability. Additionally BLUPs for GCA and SCA effects can be used to predict crosses.

```
data(cornHybrid)
hybrid2 <- cornHybrid$hybrid # extract cross data
head(hybrid2)</pre>
```

SCA Yield PlantHeight

NA

NA

```
## 2
             1 A258
                          B2
                                  A258:B2
                                              NA
                                                            NA
## 3
             1 A258
                        B99
                                 A258:B99
                                              NA
                                                            NA
## 4
             1 A258
                       LH51
                               A258:LH51
                                              NA
                                                            NA
## 5
             1 A258
                       Mo44
                               A258:Mo44
                                              NA
                                                            NA
## 6
             1 A258
                      NC320
                              A258:NC320
                                                            NA
                                              NΑ
modFD <- mmer2(Yield~Location,</pre>
                 random=~GCA1+GCA2+SCA,
                rcov=~units,
                 data=hybrid2, silent = TRUE, draw=FALSE)
(suma <- summary(modFD))</pre>
```

```
##
  ______
##
     Multivariate Linear Mixed Model fit by REML
  ************ sommer 3.0 **********
##
##
                  AIC
                         BIC Method Converge
         logLik
 Value -132.5889 273.1777 289.1436
                                     TRUE
  Variance-Covariance components:
##
                VarComp VarCompSE
##
                              Zratio
                              0.0000
## GCA1.Yield-Yield
                 0.000
                           NaN
                 7.299
## GCA2.Yield-Yield
                         18.88
                              0.3866
## SCA.Yield-Yield
                187.654
                         41.62 4.5083
## units.Yield-Yield 221.142
                         18.15 12.1861
## Fixed effects:
```

```
##
## $Yield
##
                      Estimate Std. Error
                                                   t value
                 1.379350e+02
                                  2.121462
##
   (Intercept)
                                             6.501886e+01
## Location2
                 1.350031e-13
                                  2.103057
                                             6.419375e-14
                                  2.103057
## Location3
                 7.835337e+00
                                             3.725689e+00
## Location4
                -9.097455e+00
                                  2.103057 -4.325824e+00
##
##
##
   Groups and observations:
##
        Observ Groups
## GCA1
            400
                     20
## GCA2
            400
                     20
## SCA
            400
                    400
## ===
## Use the '$' sign to access results and parameters
Vgca <- sum(suma$var.comp.table[1:2,1])</pre>
Vsca <- suma$var.comp.table[3,1]</pre>
Ve <- suma$var.comp.table[4,1]</pre>
Va = 4*Vgca
Vd = 4*Vsca
Vg <- Va + Vd
(H2 \leftarrow Vg / (Vg + (Ve)))
## [1] 0.779069
(h2 \leftarrow Va / (Vg + (Ve)))
```

[1] 0.02916874

Don't worry too much about the small h2 value, the data was simulated to be mainly dominance variance, therefore the Va was simulated extremely small leading to such value of narrow sense h2.

In this second data set we show a small half diallel with 7 parents crossed in one direction. n(n-1)/2 crosses are possible 7(6)/2 = 21 unique crosses. Parents appear as males or females indistictly. Each with two replications in a CRD. For a half diallel design a single GCA variance component for both males and females can be estimated and an SCA as well (σ_G^2CA and σ_S^2CA respectively), and BLUPs for GCA and SCA of the parents can be extracted. We would show first how to use it with the mmer2 function using the and() function and later we will show how to do it creating customized matrices using the overlay and model.matrix functions for the GCA and SCA matrices respectively. The specific model here is:

```
y = X\beta + Zu_g + Zu_s + \epsilon
data(HDdata)
head(HDdata)
##
     rep geno male female
                                   sugar
##
             12
   1
        1
                    1
                            2 13.950509
             12
##
        2
                    1
                            2
                               9.756918
        1
             13
                    1
                            3 13.906355
        2
             13
##
   4
                    1
                            3
                                9.119455
        1
             14
##
   5
                    1
                                5.174483
## 6
        2
             14
                    1
                            4 8.452221
HDdata$geno <- as.factor(HDdata$geno)</pre>
HDdata$male <- as.factor(HDdata$male)</pre>
HDdata$female <- as.factor(HDdata$female)</pre>
```

```
# Fit the model
modHD <- mmer2(sugar~1,</pre>
              random=~male + and(female) + geno,
              rcov=~units,
              data=HDdata, silent = TRUE)
summary(modHD)
## ==========
##
      Multivariate Linear Mixed Model fit by REML
  ************ sommer 3.0 **********
##
           logLik
                       AIC
                                BIC Method Converge
## Value -5.674408 13.34882 15.08649
## Variance-Covariance components:
##
                          VarComp VarCompSE Zratio
## and(female).sugar-sugar
                            5.509
                                     3.579 1.539
## geno.sugar-sugar
                            1.816
                                      1.363 1.332
## units.sugar-sugar
                            3.117
                                     0.962 3.240
## -----
## Fixed effects:
##
## $sugar
##
            Estimate Std. Error t value
## Intercept 10.33318 1.818941 5.680879
##
## =========
## Groups and observations:
##
              Observ Groups
## and(female)
                  42
                  42
## geno
                         21
## Use the '$' sign to access results and parameters
suma <- summary(modHD)$var.comp.table</pre>
Vgca <- suma[1,1]</pre>
Vsca \leftarrow suma[2,1]
Ve \leftarrow suma[3,1]
Va = 4*Vgca
Vd = 4*Vsca
Vg <- Va + Vd
(H2 <- Vg / (Vg + (Ve/2)) ) # 2 technical reps
## [1] 0.9494881
(h2 \leftarrow Va / (Vg + (Ve/2)))
```

[1] 0.7140855

Notice how the and() argument makes the overlay possible making sure that male and female are joint into a single random effect. The same can be done using the mmer argument by creating the incidence and covariance matrices in case you want to see what is doing mmer2 in the background.

```
data(HDdata)
head(HDdata)
```

```
## rep geno male female sugar
```

```
## 2
       2
           12
                  1
                         2 9.756918
## 3
           13
                  1
                         3 13.906355
## 4
           13
       2
                  1
                         3
                           9.119455
## 5
       1
           14
                  1
                            5.174483
## 6
       2
           14
                  1
                         4 8.452221
  #### GCA matrix for half diallel using male and female columns
  #### use the 'overlay' function to create the half diallel matrix
  Z1 <- overlay(HDdata[,c(3:4)])</pre>
  #### Obtain the SCA matrix
  Z2 <- model.matrix(~as.factor(geno)-1, data=HDdata)</pre>
  #### Define the response variable and run
  y <- HDdata$sugar
  ETA <- list(list(Z=Z1), list(Z=Z2)) # Zu component
  modHD <- mmer(Y=y, Z=ETA, draw=FALSE, silent=TRUE)
  summary(modHD)
```

```
______
##
    Multivariate Linear Mixed Model fit by REML
  ************ sommer 3.0 **********
  _____
##
        logLik
                 AIC
                       BIC Method Converge
## Value -5.674409 13.34882 15.08649
                            MNR
                                  TRUE
  _____
## Variance-Covariance components:
##
          VarComp VarCompSE Zratio
## u1.T1-T1
            5.505
                  3.5747 1.540
## u2.T1-T1
            1.818
                  1.3648 1.332
## units.T1-T1
            3.117
                  0.9618 3.241
## Fixed effects:
##
## $T1
##
         Estimate Std. Error t value
## Intercept 10.33318
                1.818323 5.682808
  ______
##
 Groups and observations:
##
    Observ Groups
## u1
       42
            7
## u2
       42
            21
## Use the '$' sign to access results and parameters
```

2 13.950509

5) Genomic selection

In this section we will use wheat data from CIMMYT to show how is genomic selection performed. This is the case of prediction of specific individuals within a population. It basically uses a similar model of the form:

$$y = X\beta + Zu + \epsilon$$

1

12

and takes advantage of the variance covariance matrix for the genotype effect known as the additive relationship matrix (A) and calculated using the A.mat function to establish connections among all individuals and predict

the BLUPs for individuals that were not measured. The prediction accuracy depends on several factors such as the heritability (h^2) , training population used (TP), size of TP, etc.

```
data(wheatLines);
X <- wheatLines$wheatGeno; X[1:5,1:4]; dim(X)</pre>
        wPt.0538 wPt.8463 wPt.6348 wPt.9992
##
## [1,]
              -1
                        1
                                 1
## [2,]
                                 1
                                          1
               1
                        1
## [3,]
                                 1
                                          1
              1
                        1
                                          1
## [4,]
                                 1
              -1
                        1
## [5,]
              -1
                        1
                                 1
                                          1
## [1] 599 1279
Y <- data.frame(wheatLines$wheatPheno); Y$id <- rownames(Y); head(Y);
##
                X1
                           X2
                                       Х4
                                                   Х5
                                                        id
## 775
         1.6716295 -1.72746986 -1.89028479
                                           0.0509159
                                                      775
0.3418151 -0.64862633 -0.79955921 -1.0535691 2167
        0.7854395  0.09394919  0.57046773  0.5517574  2465
## 2465
        0.9983176 -0.28248062 1.61868192 -0.1142848 3881
## 3881
## 3889
        2.3360969 0.62647587 0.07353311 0.7195856 3889
rownames(X) <- rownames(Y)</pre>
# select environment 1
K <- A.mat(X) # additive relationship matrix</pre>
# GBLUP pedigree-based approach
set.seed(12345)
y.trn <- Y
vv <- sample(rownames(Y),round(dim(Y)[1]/5))</pre>
y.trn[vv,"X1"] <- NA
ans <- mmer2(X1~1,
            random=~g(id),
            rcov=~units,
            G=list(id=K), method="NR",
            data=y.trn, silent = TRUE) # kinship based
cor(ans$u.hat$`g(id)`[vv,],Y[vv,"X1"])
```

[1] 0.4885689

6) Single cross prediction

When doing prediction of single cross performance the phenotype can be dissected in three main components, the general combining abilities (GCA) and specific combining abilities (SCA). This can be expressed with the same model analyzed in the diallel experiment mentioned before:

```
y = X\beta + Zu_1 + Zu_2 + Zu_S + \epsilon with:

u_1 \sim N(0, K_1\sigma_u^2 1)

u_2 \sim N(0, K_2\sigma_u^2 2)

u_s \sim N(0, K_3\sigma_u^2 s)
```

And we can specify the K matrices. The main difference between this model and the full and half diallel designs is the fact that this model will include variance covariance structures in each of the three random effects (GCA1, GCA2 and SCA) to be able to predict the crosses that have not ocurred yet. We will use the data published by Technow et al. (2015) to show how to do prediction of single crosses.

```
data(Technow_data)
A.flint <- Technow_data$AF # Additive relationship matrix Flint
A.dent <- Technow data$AD # Additive relationship matrix Dent
pheno <- Technow_data$pheno # phenotypes for 1254 single cross hybrids
head(pheno);dim(pheno)
                           GY
     hybrid dent flint
                                 GM
## 1 518.298 518
                   298 -8.04 -0.85 518:298
## 2 518.305 518
                   305 -11.10 1.70 518:305
## 3 518.306 518
                   306 -16.85 2.24 518:306
## 4 518.316 518
                   316
                         2.08 -1.33 518:316
## 5 518.323
             518
                   323
                         5.65 -2.71 518:323
## 6 518.327
             518
                   327 -16.95 -0.52 518:327
## [1] 1254
# CREATE A DATA FRAME WITH ALL POSSIBLE HYBRIDS
DD <- kronecker(A.dent, A.flint, make.dimnames=TRUE)
hybs <- data.frame(sca=rownames(DD), yield=NA, matter=NA, gcad=NA, gcaf=NA)
hybs$yield[match(pheno$hy, hybs$sca)] <- pheno$GY
hybs$matter[match(pheno$hy, hybs$sca)] <- pheno$GM
hybs$gcad <- as.factor(gsub(":.*","",hybs$sca))
hybs$gcaf <- as.factor(gsub(".*:","",hybs$sca))
head(hybs)
##
        sca yield matter gcad gcaf
## 1 513:316 10.02
                   -2.05
                          513
                               316
## 2 513:323 6.97
                   -3.78
                          513
                               323
## 3 513:330
               NA
                      NA
                          513
                               330
## 4 513:336
                               336
               NA
                      NA
                          513
## 5 513:340
               NA
                      NA
                          513
                               340
## 6 513:341
               NA
                      NA
                          513
                               341
# RUN THE PREDICTION MODEL
y.trn <- hybs
vv1 <- which(!is.na(hybs$yield))</pre>
vv2 <- sample(vv1, 100)</pre>
y.trn[vv2,"yield"] <- NA
anss2 <- mmer2(yield~1,</pre>
              random=~g(gcad) + g(gcaf),
              rcov=~units.
              G=list(gcad=A.dent, gcaf=A.flint),
              method="NR", silent=TRUE, data=y.trn)
summary(anss2)
## ===
      Multivariate Linear Mixed Model fit by REML
##
## *********** sommer 3.0 *********
##
          logLik
                       AIC
                                 BIC Method Converge
```

```
## Value 121.6303 -241.2605 -236.2095
                                        TRUE
  _____
  Variance-Covariance components:
##
                  VarComp VarCompSE Zratio
## g(gcad).yield-yield
                    16.18
                           2.6079
                                 6.206
## g(gcaf).yield-yield
                    11.27
                           2.1500
                                 5.242
## units.yield-yield
                    17.65
                           0.8068 21.878
  _____
  Fixed effects:
##
##
##
  $yield
##
           Estimate Std. Error
                             t value
##
  Intercept 0.1245167 0.2035401 0.6117551
##
##
  Groups and observations:
         Observ Groups
##
                 123
## g(gcad)
          1154
## g(gcaf)
          1154
                 86
## Use the '$' sign to access results and parameters
cor(anss2$fitted.y[vv2], hybs$yield[vv2])
```

[1] 0.8797644

P003

In the previous model we only used the GCA effects (GCA1 and GCA2) for practicity, although it's been shown that the SCA effect doesn't actually help that much in increasing prediction accuracy and increase a lot the computation intensity required since the variance covariance matrix for SCA is the kronecker product of the variance covariance matrices for the GCA effects, resulting in a 10578x10578 matrix that increases in a very intensive manner the computation required.

A model without covariance structures would show that the SCA variance component is insignificant compared to the GCA effects. This is why including the third random effect doesn't increase the prediction accuracy.

7) Multivariate genetic models and genetic correlations

0

Sometimes is important to estimate genetic variance-covariance among traits, multi-reponse models are very useful for such task. Let see an example with 3 traits (color, Yield, and Firmness) and a single random effect (genotype; id) although multiple effects can be modeled as well. We need to use a variance covariance structure for the random effect to be able to obtain the genetic covariance among traits.

```
data(CPdata)
### look at the data
head(CPpheno); CPgeno[1:5,1:4]
          id Row Col Year
                                 color Yield FruitAver Firmness Rowf Colf
##
## P003 P003
                    1 2014 0.10075269 154.67
                                                  41.93
                                                          588.917
                                                                           1
## P004 P004
                                                          640.031
               4
                    1 2014 0.13891940 186.77
                                                  58.79
                                                                           1
## P005 P005
               5
                    1 2014 0.08681502 80.21
                                                  48.16
                                                          671.523
                                                                     5
                                                                           1
## P006 P006
               6
                    1 2014 0.13408561 202.96
                                                  48.24
                                                          687.172
                                                                           1
## P007 P007
               7
                    1 2014 0.13519278 174.74
                                                  45.83
                                                          601.322
                                                                     7
                                                                           1
## P008 P008
                    1 2014 0.17406685 194.16
                                                  44.63
                                                         656.379
                                                                           1
```

0

0

scaffold_50439_2381 scaffold_39344_153 uneak_3436043 uneak_2632033

```
## P004
## P005
                      0
                                       -1
                                                    0
                                                                1
## P006
                      -1
                                       -1
                                                   -1
                                                                0
## P007
                       0
                                       0
                                                    Λ
                                                                1
## fit a model including additive effects
A <- A.mat(CPgeno) # additive relationship matrix
####=======####
#### ADDITIVE MODEL ####
####======####
ans.A <- mmer2(cbind(color, Yield)~1,
             random=~us(trait):g(id),
             rcov=~us(trait):units,
             G=list(id=A),
             data=CPpheno, silent = TRUE)
summary(ans.A)
##
      Multivariate Linear Mixed Model fit by REML
## ********** sommer 3.0 ********
## -----
##
          logLik AIC
                             BIC Method Converge
## Value -286.6437 577.2875 586.4626
## Variance-Covariance components:
##
                    VarComp VarCompSE Zratio
## g(id).color-color 5.116e-03 1.037e-03 4.9332
## g(id).color-Yield 3.544e-01 4.303e-01 0.8236
## g(id).Yield-Yield 6.497e+02 3.235e+02 2.0082
## units.color-color 2.738e-03 2.994e-04 9.1477
## units.color-Yield 2.151e-01 2.268e-01 0.9484
## units.Yield-Yield 4.020e+03 3.429e+02 11.7223
## Fixed effects:
## $color
             Estimate Std. Error t value
## Intercept -0.7887671 0.002746644 -287.1748
##
## $Yield
           Estimate Std. Error t value
## Intercept 135.1766 3.327663 40.62208
##
## Groups and observations:
       Observ Groups
##
                363
## g(id)
          363
## Use the '$' sign to access results and parameters
Now you can extract the BLUPs using the 'randef' function or simple accessing with the '$' sign and pick
'u.hat'. Also, genetic correlations and heritabilities can be calculated easily.
## genetic variance covariance
gvc <- ans.A$var.comp$`g(id)`</pre>
## extract variances (diagonals) and get standard deviations
```

```
sd.gvc <- as.matrix(sqrt(diag(gvc)))
## get possible products sd(Vgi) * sd(Vgi')
prod.sd <- sd.gvc %*% t(sd.gvc)
## genetic correlations cov(gi,gi')/[sd(Vgi) * sd(Vgi')]
(gen.cor <- gvc/prod.sd)

## color Yield
## color 1.0000000 0.1943594
## Yield 0.1943594 1.0000000

## heritabilities
(h2 <- diag(gvc) / diag(cov(CPpheno[,names(diag(gvc))], use = "complete.obs")))

## color Yield</pre>
```

Keep in mind that sommer uses direct inversion (DI) algorithm which can be very slow for large datasets. The package is focused in problems of the type p > n (more random effect levels than observations) and models with dense covariance structures. For example, for experiment with dense covariance structures with low-replication (i.e. 2000 records from 1000 individuals replicated twice with a covariance structure of 1000×1000) sommer will be faster than MME-based software. Also for genomic problems with large number of random effect levels, i.e. 300 individuals (n) with 100,000 genetic markers (p). For highly replicated trials with small covariance structures or n > p (i.e. 2000 records from 200 individuals replicated 10 times with covariance structure of 200×200) asreml or other MME-based algorithms will be much faster and we recommend you to opt for those software.

Literature

0.7699759 0.1439014

Covarrubias-Pazaran G. 2016. Genome assisted prediction of quantitative traits using the R package sommer. PLoS ONE 11(6):1-15.

Bernardo Rex. 2010. Breeding for quantitative traits in plants. Second edition. Stemma Press. 390 pp.

Gilmour et al. 1995. Average Information REML: An efficient algorithm for variance parameter estimation in linear mixed models. Biometrics 51(4):1440-1450.

Henderson C.R. 1975. Best Linear Unbiased Estimation and Prediction under a Selection Model. Biometrics vol. 31(2):423-447.

Kang et al. 2008. Efficient control of population structure in model organism association mapping. Genetics 178:1709-1723.

Lee et al. 2015. MTG2: An efficient algorithm for multivariate linear mixed model analysis based on genomic information. Cold Spring Harbor. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/027201.

Searle. 1993. Applying the EM algorithm to calculating ML and REML estimates of variance components. Paper invited for the 1993 American Statistical Association Meeting, San Francisco.

Yu et al. 2006. A unified mixed-model method for association mapping that accounts for multiple levels of relatedness. Genetics 38:203-208.

Abdollahi Arpanahi R, Morota G, Valente BD, Kranis A, Rosa GJM, Gianola D. 2015. Assessment of bagging GBLUP for whole genome prediction of broiler chicken traits. Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics 132:218-228.

Tunnicliffe W. 1989. On the use of marginal likelihood in time series model estimation. JRSS 51(1):15-27.