Quantitative genetics using the sommer package

Giovanny Covarrubias-Pazaran 2019-07-01

The sommer package was developed to provide R users a powerful and reliable multivariate mixed model solver for different genetic and non-genetic analysis in diploid and polyploid organisms. This package allows the user to estimate variance components for a mixed model with the advantage of specifying the variance-covariance structure of the random effects, specify heterogeneous variances, and obtain other parameters such as BLUPs, BLUEs, residuals, fitted values, variances for fixed and random effects, etc. The core algorithms of the package are coded in C++ using the Armadillo library to opmitime dense matrix operations common in the derect-inversion algorithms.

The package is focused on problems of the type p > n related to genomic prediction (hybrid prediction & genomic selection) and GWAS analysis, although any general mixed model can be fitted as well. The package provides kernels to estimate additive (A.mat), dominance (D.mat), and epistatic (E.mat) relationship matrices that have been shown to increase prediction accuracy under certain scenarios or simply to estimate the variance components of such. The package provides flexibility to fit other genetic models such as full and half diallel models as well.

Vignettes aim to provide several examples in how to use the sommer package under different scenarios. We will spend the rest of the space providing examples for:

- 1) Heritability (h^2) calculation
- 2) Specifying heterogeneous variances in mixed models
- 3) Using the pin calculator
- 4) Half and full diallel designs (using the overlay)
- 5) Genomic selection (predicting mendelian sampling)
 - GBLUP
 - rrBLUP
- 6) Single cross prediction (hybrid prediction)
- 7) Spatial modeling (using the 2-dimensional splines)
- 8) Multivariate genetic models and genetic correlations
- 9) Final remarks

Background

The core of the package the mmerfunction which solve the mixed model equations. The functions are an interface to call the NR Direct-Inversion Newton-Raphson or Average Information (Tunnicliffe 1989; Gilmour et al. 1995; Lee et al. 2016). Since version 2.0 sommer can handle multivariate models. Following Maier et al. (2015), the multivariate (and by extension the univariate) mixed model implemented has the form:

$$y_1 = X_1\beta_1 + Z_1u_1 + \epsilon_1 \ y_2 = X_2\beta_2 + Z_2u_2 + \epsilon_2 \dots \ y_i = X_i\beta_i + Z_iu_i + \epsilon_i$$

where y_i is a vector of trait phenotypes, β_i is a vector of fixed effects, u_i is a vector of random effects for individuals and e_i are residuals for trait 'i' (i = 1, ..., t). The random effects (u_1 ... u_i and e_i) are assumed to be normally distributed with mean zero. X and Z are incidence matrices for fixed and random effects respectively. The distribution of the multivariate response and the phenotypic variance covariance (V) are:

$$Y = X\beta + ZU + \epsilon_i$$

$$Y \sim MVN(X\beta, V)$$

$$\mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \dots \\ y_t \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & \dots & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \dots & \dots & X_t \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{V} = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 K \sigma_{g_1}^2 Z_1' + H \sigma_{\epsilon_1}^2 & \dots & Z_1 K \sigma_{g_1,t} Z_t' + H \sigma_{\epsilon_1,t} \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ Z_1 K \sigma_{g_1,t} Z_t' + H \sigma_{\epsilon_1,t} & \dots & Z_t K \sigma_{g_t}^2 Z_t' + H \sigma_{\epsilon_t}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where K is the relationship or covariance matrix for the kth random effect (u=1,...,k), and R=I is an identity matrix for the residual term. The terms $\sigma_{g_i}^2$ and $\sigma_{\epsilon_i}^2$ denote the genetic (or any of the kth random terms) and residual variance of trait 'i', respectively and $\sigma_{g_{ij}}$ and $\sigma_{\epsilon_{ij}}$ the genetic (or any of the kth random terms) and residual covariance between traits 'i' and 'j' (i=1,...,t, and j=1,...,t). The algorithm implemented optimizes the log likelihood:

$$log L = 1/2 * ln(|V|) + ln(X'|V|X) + Y'PY$$

where || is the determinant of a matrix. And the REML estimates are updated using a Newton optimization algorithm of the form:

$$\theta^{k+1} = \theta^k + (H^k)^{-1} * \frac{dL}{d\sigma_{\cdot}^2} |\theta^k|$$

Where, θ is the vector of variance components for random effects and covariance components among traits, H^{-1} is the inverse of the Hessian matrix of second derivatives for the kth cycle, $\frac{dL}{d\sigma_i^2}$ is the vector of first derivatives of the likelihood with respect to the variance-covariance components. The Eigen decomposition of the relationship matrix proposed by Lee and Van Der Werf (2016) was included in the Newton-Raphson algorithm to improve time efficiency. Additionally, the popular pin function to estimate standard errors for linear combinations of variance components (i.e. heritabilities and genetic correlations) was added to the package as well.

Please refer to the canonical papers listed in the Literature section to check how the algorithms work. We have tested widely the methods to make sure they provide the same solution when the likelihood behaves well but for complex problems they might lead to slightly different answers. If you have any concern please contact me at cova_ruber@live.com.mx.

In the following section we will go in detail over several examples on how to use mixed models in univariate and multivariate case and their use in quantitative genetics.

1) Marker and non-marker based heritability calculation

The heritability is one of the most popular parameters among the breeding and genetics community because of the insight that provides in the inheritance of the trait. The heritability is usually estimated as narrow sense (h^2 ; only additive variance in the numerator σ_A^2), and broad sense (H^2 ; all genetic variance in the numerator σ_G^2).

In a classical breeding experiment with no molecular markers, special designs are performed to estimate and disect the additive (σ_A^2) and non-additive (i.e. dominance σ_D^2) variance along with environmental variability. Designs such as generation analysis, North Carolina designs are used to disect σ_A^2 and σ_D^2 to estimate the narrow sense heritability (h^2). When no special design is available we can still disect the genetic variance (σ_G^2) and estimate the broad sense heritability. In this first example we will show the broad sense estimation

which doesn't use covariance structures for the genotipic effect (i.e. genomic or additive relationship matrices). For big models with no covariance structures, sommer's direct inversion is a bad idea to use but we will show anyways how to do it, but keep in mind that for very sparse models we recommend using the lmer function from the lme4 package or any other package using MME-based algorithms (i.e. asreml-R).

The following dataset has 41 potato lines evaluated in 5 locations across 3 years in an RCBD design. We show how to fit the model and extract the variance components to calculate the h^2 .

```
library(sommer)
data(DT_example)
head(DT)
##
                      Name
                               Env Loc Year
                                                 Block Yield
                                                                 Weight
## 33
       Manistee (MSL292-A) CA.2013
                                    CA 2013 CA.2013.1
                                                            4 -1.904711
## 65
               C002024-9W CA.2013
                                    CA 2013 CA.2013.1
                                                           5 -1.446958
                                    CA 2013 CA.2013.2
## 66
       Manistee (MSL292-A) CA.2013
                                                           5 -1.516271
## 67
                 MSL007-B CA.2011
                                    CA 2011 CA.2011.2
                                                           5 -1.435510
                MSR169-8Y CA.2013
## 68
                                   CA 2013 CA.2013.1
                                                           5 -1.469051
## 103
               ACO5153-1W CA.2013 CA 2013 CA.2013.1
                                                           6 -1.307167
ans1 <- mmer(Yield~1,
             random= ~ Name + Env + Env:Name + Env:Block,
             rcov= ~ units,
             data=DT)
## Version out of date. Please update sommer to the newest version using:
   install.packages('sommer') in a new session
##
    Use the 'date.warning' argument to disable the warning message.iteration
                                                                                    LogLik
                                                                                               wall
##
              -40.765
                         20:18:45
       1
                                        0
                                                    0
##
       2
              -30.2657
                          20:18:45
                                         0
                                                     0
##
       3
              -25.8227
                          20:18:45
                                         0
                                                     1
##
       4
              -24.7277
                          20:18:45
                                         0
                                                     1
##
       5
              -24.7203
                          20:18:45
                                                     1
       6
              -24.7202
                          20:18:45
##
                                         0
                                                     1
summary(ans1)$varcomp
##
                            VarComp VarCompSE
                                                   Zratio Constraint
## Name.Yield-Yield
                                     1.6959834 2.1924029
                           3.718279
                                                             Positive
## Env.Yield-Yield
                          12.008450 12.2771178 0.9781164
                                                            Positive
## Env:Name.Yield-Yield
                                     1.4923912 3.4526091
                           5.152643
                                                             Positive
## Env:Block.Yield-Yield
                           0.000000
                                     0.1156675 0.0000000
                                                            Positive
## units.Yield-Yield
                           4.366189
                                     0.6573086 6.6425245
                                                             Positive
(n.env <- length(levels(DT$Env)))</pre>
## [1] 3
pin(ans1, h2 \sim V1 / (V1 + (V3/n.env) + (V5/(2*n.env))))
##
       Estimate
```

cpu(

Recently with markers becoming cheaper, thousand of markers can be run in the breeding materials. When markers are available, an special design is not necessary to disect the additive genetic variance. The availability of the additive, dominance and epistatic relationship matrices allow us to estimate σ_A^2 , σ_D^2 and σ_I^2 , although given that A, D and E are not orthogonal the interpretation of models that fit more than A and D become cumbersome.

h2 0.6032715 0.1344582

Assume you have a population (even unreplicated) in the field but in addition we have genetic markers. Now we can fit the model and estimate the genomic heritability that explains a portion of the additive genetic variance (with high marker density $\sigma_A^2 = \sigma_q^2$)

```
data("DT_cpdata")
DT$idd <-DT$id; DT$ide <-DT$id
### look at the data
A <- A.mat(GT) # additive relationship matrix
D <- D.mat(GT) # dominance relationship matrix
E <- E.mat(GT) # epistatic relationship matrix
ans.ADE <- mmer(color~1,
                 random=~vs(id,Gu=A) + vs(idd,Gu=D),
                 rcov=~units,
                 data=DT)
## Version out of date. Please update sommer to the newest version using:
  install.packages('sommer') in a new session
    Use the 'date.warning' argument to disable the warning message.iteration
                                                                                   LogLik
                                                                                               wall
##
       1
              -123
                     20:18:48
##
       2
              -107.864
                          20:18:48
                                        0
                                                     0
                                                     0
##
       3
              -103.867
                          20:18:48
                                        0
##
       4
              -103.315
                          20:18:49
                                        1
                                                     0
              -103.294
                          20:18:49
                                                     0
##
       5
                                        1
##
       6
              -103.293
                          20:18:49
                                        1
                                                     0
(summary(ans.ADE)$varcomp)
##
                          VarComp
                                     VarCompSE
                                                  Zratio Constraint
## u:id.color-color 0.003662202 0.0012194130 3.003250
                                                           Positive
## u:idd.color-color 0.001820079 0.0007406216 2.457502
                                                           Positive
## units.color-color 0.002106929 0.0002864724 7.354736
                                                           Positive
pin(ans.ADE, h2 ~ (V1) / ( V1+V3) )
##
       Estimate
                         SF.
## h2 0.6347926 0.08840488
pin(ans.ADE, h2 \sim (V1+V2) / (V1+V2+V3))
##
       Estimate
                         SE
## h2 0.7223783 0.05563774
```

cpu(

In the previous example we showed how to estimate the additive (σ_A^2) , dominance (σ_D^2) , and epistatic (σ_I^2) variance components based on markers and estimate broad (H^2) and narrow sense heritability (h^2) . Notice that we used the vs() function which indicates that the random effect inside the parenthesis (i.e. id, idd or ide) has a covariance matrix (A, D, or E), that will be specified in the Gu argument of the vs() function. Please DO NOT provide the inverse but the original covariance matrix.

2) Specifying heterogeneous variances in univariate models

Very often in multi-environment trials, the assumption that genetic variance is the same across locations may be too naive. Because of that, specifying a general genetic component and a location specific genetic variance is the way to go.

We estimate variance components for GCA_2 and SCA specifying the variance structure.

```
data("DT_cornhybrids")
### fit the model
modFD <- mmer(Yield~1,</pre>
             random=~ vs(at(Location,c("3","4")),GCA2),
             rcov= ~ vs(ds(Location),units),
## Version out of date. Please update sommer to the newest version using:
  install.packages('sommer') in a new session
   Use the 'date.warning' argument to disable the warning message.iteration
                                                                       LogLik
                                                                                 wall
##
      1
            -190.104
                      20:18:50
                                  0
            -171.543
                      20:18:51
                                             0
##
      2
                                  1
##
      3
            -165.319
                      20:18:51
                                  1
                                             0
##
      4
            -164.691
                      20:18:52
                                  2
                                             0
            -164.684
                      20:18:52
                                  2
##
                                             0
##
      6
            -164.684
                      20:18:53
                                  3
summary(modFD)
##
          Multivariate Linear Mixed Model fit by REML
## **************** sommer 3.9 ************
  ______
##
                     AIC
                             BIC Method Converge
          logLik
## Value -164.6839 331.3677 335.3592
                                          TRUE
## Variance-Covariance components:
                    VarComp VarCompSE Zratio Constraint
##
## 3:GCA2.Yield-Yield
                      62.48
                               53.45 1.169
                                            Positive
                      97.99
## 4:GCA2.Yield-Yield
                               79.56 1.232
                                            Positive
## 1:units.Yield-Yield 216.82
                               30.77 7.047
                                            Positive
## 2:units.Yield-Yield 216.82
                               30.77 7.047
                                            Positive
## 3:units.Yield-Yield 493.05
                              77.27 6.381
                                            Positive
## 4:units.Yield-Yield 711.98
                              111.63 6.378
## Fixed effects:
    Trait
              Effect Estimate Std.Error t.value
## 1 Yield (Intercept)
                      138.1
                              0.9442
## =========
## Groups and observations:
##
        Yield
## 3:GCA2
           20
## 4:GCA2
           20
```

cpu(

In the previous example we showed how the at() function is used in the mmer solver. By using the at function you can specify that i.e. the GCA2 has a different variance in different Locations, in this case locations 3 and 4, but also a main GCA variance. This is considered a CS + DIAG (compound symmetry + diagonal) model.

Use the '\$' sign to access results and parameters

In addition, other functions can be added on top to fit models with covariance structures, i.e. the Gu argument from the vs() function to indicate a covariance matrix (A, pedigree or genomic relationship matrix)

```
data("DT_cornhybrids")
GT[1:4,1:4]
```

```
##
           A258
                   A634
                             A641
## A258 2.23285528 -0.3504778 -0.04756856 -0.32239362
## A634 -0.35047780 1.4529169 0.45203869 -0.02293680
## A680 -0.32239362 -0.0229368 -0.09896791 1.65221984
### fit the model
modFD <- mmer(Yield~1,</pre>
          random=~ vs(at(Location,c("3","4")),GCA2,Gu=GT),
          rcov= ~ vs(ds(Location), units),
          data=DT)
## Version out of date. Please update sommer to the newest version using:
## install.packages('sommer') in a new session
  Use the 'date.warning' argument to disable the warning message.iteration LogLik
                                                                      wall
                                                                            cpu(
##
     1
          -191.286
                   20:18:53
                              0
          -172.247
                   20:18:54
##
     2
                              1
                                       0
##
     3
          -165.948 20:18:54
                                       0
                             1
##
     4
          -165.248 20:18:55
                             2
                                       0
##
     5
          -165.23
                  20:18:55
                             2
                                      0
          -165.229
##
     6
                  20:18:56
                             3
                                       0
##
     7
          -165.229
                  20:18:56
                              3
summary(modFD)
Multivariate Linear Mixed Model fit by REML
## ************** sommer 3.9 ************
logLik
##
                  AIC
                         BIC Method Converge
## Value -165.2286 332.4571 336.4486
                               NR
                                     TRUE
## Variance-Covariance components:
                 VarComp VarCompSE Zratio Constraint
##
## 3:GCA2.Yield-Yield 26.64
                          26.16 1.0185
                                      Positive
                  37.51
## 4:GCA2.Yield-Yield
                          37.78 0.9927
                                      Positive
## 1:units.Yield-Yield 216.77 30.75 7.0489
                                     Positive
## 2:units.Yield-Yield 216.77
                          30.75 7.0489
                                      Positive
## 3:units.Yield-Yield 503.62
                          77.87 6.4673
                                      Positive
## 4:units.Yield-Yield 738.86
                          114.17 6.4715
## Fixed effects:
   Trait
            Effect Estimate Std.Error t.value
## 1 Yield (Intercept) 138.1 0.9147
## Groups and observations:
##
       Yield
## 3:GCA2
          20
## 4:GCA2
          20
```

Use the '\$' sign to access results and parameters

3) Using the pin calculator

Sometimes the user needs to calculate ratios or functions of specific variance-covariance components and obtain the standard error for such parameters. Examples of these are the genetic correlations, heritabilities, etc. Using the CPdata we will show how to estimate the heritability and the standard error using the pin function that uses the delta method to come up with these parameters. This can be extended for any linear combination of the variance components.

```
data("DT_cpdata")
### look at the data
A <- A.mat(GT) # additive relationship matrix
ans <- mmer(color~1,
                random=~vs(id,Gu=A),
                rcov=~units,
                data=DT)
## Version out of date. Please update sommer to the newest version using:
   install.packages('sommer') in a new session
##
    Use the 'date.warning' argument to disable the warning message.iteration
                                                                                    LogLik
                                                                                               wall
##
       1
              -137.304
                          20:18:57
                                        0
                                                     0
       2
                          20:18:58
                                                     0
##
              -115.507
                                        1
##
       3
              -111.236
                          20:18:58
                                                     0
                                        1
##
       4
              -110.755
                          20:18:58
                                        1
                                                     0
##
       5
              -110.741
                          20:18:58
                                        1
                                                     0
##
              -110.741
                          20:18:59
                                        2
                                                     0
(summary(ans.ADE)$varcomp)
##
                          VarComp
                                     VarCompSE
                                                  Zratio Constraint
## u:id.color-color 0.003662202 0.0012194130 3.003250
                                                           Positive
## u:idd.color-color 0.001820079 0.0007406216 2.457502
                                                           Positive
## units.color-color 0.002106929 0.0002864724 7.354736
                                                           Positive
pin(ans, h2 ~ (V1) / ( V1+V2) )
##
       Estimate
                         SE
## h2 0.6512157 0.06107574
```

cpu(

The same can be used for multivariate models. Please check the documentation of the pin function to see more examples.

4) Half and full diallel designs (use of the overlay)

When breeders are looking for the best single cross combinations, diallel designs have been by far the most used design in crops like maize. There are 4 types of diallel designs depending if reciprocate and self cross (omission of parents) are performed (full diallel with parents n^2 ; full diallel without parents n^2 ; half diallel with parents n^2 ; half diallel without parents n^2 . In this example we will show a full diallel design (reciprocate crosses are performed) and half diallel designs (only one of the directions is performed).

In the first data set we show a full diallel among 40 lines from 2 heterotic groups, 20 in each. Therefore 400 possible hybrids are possible. We have pehnotypic data for 100 of them across 4 locations. We use the data available to fit a model of the form:

$$y = X\beta + Zu_1 + Zu_2 + Zu_S + \epsilon$$

We estimate variance components for GCA_1 , GCA_2 and SCA and use them to estimate heritability. Additionally BLUPs for GCA and SCA effects can be used to predict crosses.

```
data("DT_cornhybrids")
modFD <- mmer(Yield~Location,</pre>
                random=~GCA1+GCA2+SCA,
                rcov=~units,
                data=DT)
## Version out of date. Please update sommer to the newest version using:
  install.packages('sommer') in a new session
    Use the 'date.warning' argument to disable the warning message.iteration
##
                                                                                       LogLik
                                                                                                   wall
##
       1
               -149.436
                           20:18:59
                                          0
##
       2
               -136.475
                           20:19:0
                                                      1
                                         1
       3
               -132.852
                           20:19:0
##
                                         1
                                                      1
##
       4
               -132.625
                           20:19:1
                                         2
                                                      1
##
       5
               -132.596
                           20:19:1
                                         2
                                                      1
##
       6
               -132.59
                          20:19:1
                                        2
                                                     1
##
       7
               -132.589
                           20:19:2
                                         3
                                                      1
##
       8
               -132.589
                           20:19:2
                                         3
                                                      1
(suma <- summary(modFD)$varcomp)</pre>
##
                          VarComp VarCompSE
                                                  Zratio Constraint
## GCA1.Yield-Yield
                         0.000000
                                   16.50337
                                              0.0000000
                                                            Positive
## GCA2.Yield-Yield
                                   18.94200
                         7.412226
                                              0.3913116
                                                            Positive
## SCA.Yield-Yield
                       187.560303
                                   41.59428
                                                            Positive
                                              4.5092817
## units.Yield-Yield 221.142463
                                   18.14716 12.1860656
                                                            Positive
Vgca <- sum(suma[1:2,1])</pre>
Vsca <- suma[3,1]</pre>
Ve <- suma[4,1]</pre>
Va = 4*Vgca
Vd = 4*Vsca
Vg <- Va + Vd
(H2 \leftarrow Vg / (Vg + (Ve)))
## [1] 0.7790856
(h2 <- Va / (Vg + (Ve)))
```

cpu(

[1] 0.02961832

Don't worry too much about the small h2 value, the data was simulated to be mainly dominance variance, therefore the Va was simulated extremely small leading to such value of narrow sense h2.

In this second data set we show a small half diallel with 7 parents crossed in one direction. n(n-1)/2 crosses are possible 7(6)/2 = 21 unique crosses. Parents appear as males or females indistictly. Each with two replications in a CRD. For a half diallel design a single GCA variance component for both males and females can be estimated and an SCA as well (σ_G^2CA and σ_S^2CA respectively), and BLUPs for GCA and SCA of the parents can be extracted. We would show first how to use it with the mmer function using the overlay() function. The specific model here is:

```
y = X \beta + Z u_g + Z u_s + \epsilon data("DT_halfdiallel") head(DT)
```

```
rep geno male female
##
                                sugar
## 1
           12
                         2 13.950509
                  1
       1
## 2
       2
           12
                  1
                         2 9.756918
## 3
           13
                         3 13.906355
       1
                  1
## 4
       2
           13
                  1
                            9.119455
           14
## 5
       1
                  1
                            5.174483
## 6
       2
           14
                            8.452221
DT$femalef <- as.factor(DT$female)</pre>
DT$malef <- as.factor(DT$male)</pre>
DT$genof <- as.factor(DT$geno)
#### model using overlay
modh <- mmer(sugar~1,</pre>
             random=~vs(overlay(femalef,malef))
              + genof,
             data=DT)
## Version out of date. Please update sommer to the newest version using:
   install.packages('sommer') in a new session
##
    Use the 'date.warning' argument to disable the warning message.iteration
                                                                                      LogLik
                                                                                                 wall
               -10.425
##
       1
                         20:19:3
                                       0
                                                    0
       2
               -6.487
                                      0
                                                   0
##
                        20:19:3
               -5.732
       3
                        20:19:3
                                      0
                                                   0
##
##
       4
               -5.67494
                          20:19:3
                                        0
                                                     0
##
       5
               -5.67441
                          20:19:3
                                                     0
summary(modh)$varcomp
##
                           VarComp VarCompSE
                                                 Zratio Constraint
## u:femalef.sugar-sugar 5.507899 3.5741151 1.541052
                                                           Positive
## genof.sugar-sugar
                           1.815784 1.3629575 1.332238
                                                           Positive
## units.sugar-sugar
                           3.117538 0.9626094 3.238632
                                                           Positive
```

cpu(

Notice how the overlay() argument makes the overlap of incidence matrices possible making sure that male and female are joint into a single random effect.

5) Genomic selection

In this section we will use wheat data from CIMMYT to show how is genomic selection performed. This is the case of prediction of specific individuals within a population. It basically uses a similar model of the form:

```
y = X\beta + Zu + \epsilon
```

and takes advantage of the variance covariance matrix for the genotype effect known as the additive relationship matrix (A) and calculated using the A.mat function to establish connections among all individuals and predict the BLUPs for individuals that were not measured. The prediction accuracy depends on several factors such as the heritability (h^2) , training population used (TP), size of TP, etc.

```
data("DT_wheat");
colnames(DT) <- paste0("X",1:ncol(DT))
DT <- as.data.frame(DT);DT$id <- as.factor(rownames(DT))
# select environment 1
rownames(GT) <- rownames(DT)
K <- A.mat(GT) # additive relationship matrix
colnames(K) <- rownames(K) <- rownames(DT)
# GBLUP pedigree-based approach
set.seed(12345)</pre>
```

```
y.trn <- DT
vv <- sample(rownames(DT),round(nrow(DT)/5))</pre>
y.trn[vv,"X1"] <- NA
head(y.trn)
##
               Х1
                           X2
                                       ХЗ
                                                  Х4
                                                        id
## 775
                NA -1.72746986 -1.89028479 0.0509159 775
## 2167 0.3418151 -0.64862633 -0.79955921 -1.0535691 2167
## 2465
               NA 0.09394919 0.57046773 0.5517574 2465
## 3881
               NA -0.28248062 1.61868192 -0.1142848 3881
## 3889 2.3360969 0.62647587 0.07353311 0.7195856 3889
## GBLUP
ans \leftarrow mmer(X1~1,
           random=~vs(id,Gu=K),
            rcov=~units,
            data=y.trn) # kinship based
## Version out of date. Please update sommer to the newest version using:
## install.packages('sommer') in a new session
   Use the 'date.warning' argument to disable the warning message.iteration
                                                                               LogLik
                                                                                           wall
                                                                                                   cpu(
##
             -202.344
                        20:19:5
      1
                                     1
                                                  0
##
       2
             -198.717
                        20:19:7
                                      3
                                                  0
             -197.634
                        20:19:8
                                                  0
##
       3
                                      4
##
       4
             -197.51
                       20:19:9
                                     5
                                                 0
##
                        20:19:9
       5
             -197.508
                                      5
                                                  0
##
       6
             -197.508
                        20:19:10
                                      6
ans$U$`u:id`$X1 <- as.data.frame(ans$U$`u:id`$X1)</pre>
rownames(ans$U$`u:id`$X1) <- gsub("id","",rownames(ans$U$`u:id`$X1))</pre>
cor(ans$U$`u:id`$X1[vv,],DT[vv,"X1"], use="complete")
## [1] 0.4885674
## rrBLUP
ans2 <- mmer(X1~1,
            random=~vs(list(GT)),
            rcov=~units,
            data=y.trn) # kinship based
## Version out of date. Please update sommer to the newest version using:
## install.packages('sommer') in a new session
   Use the 'date.warning' argument to disable the warning message.iteration
##
                                                                                LogLik
                                                                                           wall
                                                                                                   cpu(
##
             -343.082
                        20:19:13
      1
                                       2
                                                   0
##
       2
             -243.965
                        20:19:13
                                       2
                                                   0
##
       3
             -208.257
                        20:19:14
                                       3
                                                   0
##
       4
             -197.982
                        20:19:14
                                      3
                                                   0
##
             -197.519
                        20:19:15
                                       4
                                                   0
       5
##
              -197.508
                        20:19:16
                                      5
                                                   0
                        20:19:17
             -197.508
       7
                                      6
u <- GT %*% as.matrix(ans2$U$`u:GT`$X1) # BLUPs for individuals
rownames(u) <- rownames(GT)</pre>
cor(u[vv,],DT[vv,"X1"]) # same correlation
```

[1] 0.4885716

6) Single cross prediction

When doing prediction of single cross performance the phenotype can be dissected in three main components, the general combining abilities (GCA) and specific combining abilities (SCA). This can be expressed with the same model analyzed in the diallel experiment mentioned before:

```
y = X\beta + Zu_1 + Zu_2 + Zu_S + \epsilon with:

u_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, K_1\sigma_u^2 1)

u_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, K_2\sigma_u^2 2)

u_s \sim \mathcal{N}(0, K_3\sigma_u^2 s)
```

[1] 0.8234584

And we can specify the K matrices. The main difference between this model and the full and half diallel designs is the fact that this model will include variance covariance structures in each of the three random effects (GCA1, GCA2 and SCA) to be able to predict the crosses that have not ocurred yet. We will use the data published by Technow et al. (2015) to show how to do prediction of single crosses.

```
data("DT technow")
# RUN THE PREDICTION MODEL
y.trn <- DT
vv1 <- which(!is.na(DT$GY))</pre>
vv2 <- sample(vv1, 100)
y.trn[vv2,"GY"] \leftarrow NA
anss2 <- mmer(GY~1,
                random=~vs(dent,Gu=Ad) + vs(flint,Gu=Af),
                rcov=~units,
                data=y.trn)
## Version out of date. Please update sommer to the newest version using:
   install.packages('sommer') in a new session
    Use the 'date.warning' argument to disable the warning message.iteration
                                                                                     LogLik
                                                                                                 wall
##
               93.142
                        20:19:29
                                       9
       1
       2
               135.18
                        20:19:37
                                       17
                                                     0
##
       3
##
               145.517
                         20:19:45
                                        25
                                                      0
##
       4
               147.085
                         20:19:52
                                        32
                                                      0
##
       5
               147.178
                         20:20:0
                                       40
                                                     0
##
       6
               147.184
                         20:20:10
                                        50
                                                      0
##
       7
               147.184
                         20:20:19
                                        59
                                                      0
summary(anss2)$varcomp
                   VarComp VarCompSE
                                         Zratio Constraint
## u:dent.GY-GY 16.93639 2.6917284
                                       6.292012
                                                   Positive
## u:flint.GY-GY 12.47174 2.3248074 5.364634
                                                   Positive
## units.GY-GY
                  16.75020 0.7662471 21.860045
                                                   Positive
zu1 <- model.matrix(~dent-1,y.trn) %*% anss2$U$`u:dent`$GY</pre>
zu2 <- model.matrix(~flint-1,y.trn) %*% anss2$U$`u:flint`$GY
u <- zu1+zu2+anss2$Beta[1,"Estimate"]
cor(u[vv2,], DT$GY[vv2])
```

cpu(

In the previous model we only used the GCA effects (GCA1 and GCA2) for practicity, altough it's been shown that the SCA effect doesn't actually help that much in increasing prediction accuracy and increase a lot the computation intensity required since the variance covariance matrix for SCA is the kronecker product of the variance covariance matrices for the GCA effects, resulting in a 10578x10578 matrix that increases in a very intensive manner the computation required.

A model without covariance structures would show that the SCA variance component is insignificant compared to the GCA effects. This is why including the third random effect doesn't increase the prediction accuracy.

7) Spatial modeling (using the 2-dimensional spline)

We will use the CPdata to show the use of 2-dimensional splines for accommodating spatial effects in field experiments. In early generation variety trials the availability of seed is low, which makes the use of unreplicated design a necessity more than anything else. Experimental designs such as augmented designs and partially-replicated (p-rep) designs become every day more common this days.

In order to do a good job modeling the spatial trends happening in the field special covariance structures have been proposed to accommodate such spatial trends (i.e. autoregressive residuals; ar1). Unfortunately, some of these covariance structures make the modeling rather unstable. More recently other research groups have proposed the use of 2-dimensional splines to overcome such issues and have a more robust modeling of the spatial terms (Lee et al. 2013; Rodríguez-Álvarez et al. 2018).

In this example we assume an unreplicated population where row and range information is available which allows us to fit a 2 dimensional spline model.

```
data("DT_cpdata")
### mimic two fields
A <- A.mat(GT)
mix <- mmer(Yield~1,
          random=~vs(id, Gu=A) +
            vs(Rowf) +
            vs(Colf) +
            vs(spl2D(Row,Col)),
          rcov=~vs(units),
          data=DT)
## Version out of date. Please update sommer to the newest version using:
  install.packages('sommer') in a new session
##
   Use the 'date.warning' argument to disable the warning message.iteration
                                                                     LogLik
                                                                               wall
##
      1
            -154.198
                     20:20:25
                                  1
                                            0
##
      2
            -152.064
                     20:20:25
                                  1
                                            0
                                  2
##
      3
            -151.265
                     20:20:26
                                            0
##
      4
            -151.202
                                  2
                                            0
                     20:20:26
##
      5
            -151.201
                     20:20:27
                                  3
                                            0
summary(mix)
##
  ______
          Multivariate Linear Mixed Model fit by REML
  ***************** sommer 3.9 **************
  _____
##
##
          logLik
                    AIC
                            BIC Method Converge
## Value -151.2011 304.4021 308.2938
                                   NR
                                         TRUE
  ______
## Variance-Covariance components:
```

cpu(

VarComp VarCompSE Zratio Constraint

##

```
## u:id.Yield-Yield
                    783.4
                             319.3 2.4536
                                         Positive
## u:Rowf.Yield-Yield
                    814.7
                            390.5 2.0863
                                         Positive
                            129.7 1.4053
                                         Positive
## u:Colf.Yield-Yield
                    182.2
## u:Row.Yield-Yield
                    513.6
                             694.7 0.7393
                                         Positive
## u:units.Yield-Yield 2922.6
                             294.1 9.9368
                                         Positive
## Fixed effects:
##
    Trait
             Effect Estimate Std.Error t.value
## 1 Yield (Intercept)
                     132.1
                             8.791
                                    15.03
  ______
## Groups and observations:
##
        Yield
## u:id
          363
## u:Rowf
          13
## u:Colf
          36
## u:Row
          168
## =======
## Use the '$' sign to access results and parameters
```

Notice that the job is done by the spl2D() function that takes the Row and Col information to fit a spatial kernel.

8) Multivariate genetic models and genetic correlations

Sometimes is important to estimate genetic variance-covariance among traits, multi-reponse models are very useful for such task. Let see an example with 3 traits (color, Yield, and Firmness) and a single random effect (genotype; id) although multiple effects can be modeled as well. We need to use a variance covariance structure for the random effect to be able to obtain the genetic covariance among traits.

```
## Version out of date. Please update sommer to the newest version using:
   install.packages('sommer') in a new session
##
    Use the 'date.warning' argument to disable the warning message.iteration
                                                                                     LogLik
                                                                                                 wall
##
       1
               -375.872
                          20:20:35
                                                      0
                                         6
##
       2
               -291.932
                          20:20:42
                                         13
                                                       0
##
       3
              -258.273
                          20:20:47
                                         18
                                                       0
##
       4
               -253.459
                          20:20:54
                                         25
                                                       0
##
               -253.291
                                                       0
       5
                          20:20:59
                                         30
       6
               -253.278
                                                      0
##
                          20:21:4
                                        35
       7
##
               -253.277
                          20:21:9
                                                      0
                                        40
               -253.277
                                                       0
                          20:21:16
```

Now you can extract the BLUPs using the 'randef' function or simple accessing with the '\$' sign and pick 'u.hat'. Also, genetic correlations and heritabilities can be calculated easily.

```
cov2cor(ans.m$sigma$`u:id`)
## Yield color
```

```
## Yield 1.0000000 0.1234441
## color 0.1234441 1.0000000
```

9) Final remarks

Keep in mind that sommer uses direct inversion (DI) algorithm which can be very slow for large datasets. The package is focused in problems of the type p > n (more random effect levels than observations) and models with dense covariance structures. For example, for experiment with dense covariance structures with low-replication (i.e. 2000 records from 1000 individuals replicated twice with a covariance structure of 1000x1000) sommer will be faster than MME-based software. Also for genomic problems with large number of random effect levels, i.e. 300 individuals (n) with 100,000 genetic markers (p). For highly replicated trials with small covariance structures or n > p (i.e. 2000 records from 200 individuals replicated 10 times with covariance structure of 200x200) asreml or other MME-based algorithms will be much faster and we recommend you to opt for those software.

Literature

Covarrubias-Pazaran G. 2016. Genome assisted prediction of quantitative traits using the R package sommer. PLoS ONE 11(6):1-15.

Covarrubias-Pazaran G. 2018. Software update: Moving the R package sommer to multivariate mixed models for genome-assisted prediction. doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/354639

Bernardo Rex. 2010. Breeding for quantitative traits in plants. Second edition. Stemma Press. 390 pp.

Gilmour et al. 1995. Average Information REML: An efficient algorithm for variance parameter estimation in linear mixed models. Biometrics 51(4):1440-1450.

Henderson C.R. 1975. Best Linear Unbiased Estimation and Prediction under a Selection Model. Biometrics vol. 31(2):423-447.

Kang et al. 2008. Efficient control of population structure in model organism association mapping. Genetics 178:1709-1723.

Lee, D.-J., Durban, M., and Eilers, P.H.C. (2013). Efficient two-dimensional smoothing with P-spline ANOVA mixed models and nested bases. Computational Statistics and Data Analysis, 61, 22 - 37.

Lee et al. 2015. MTG2: An efficient algorithm for multivariate linear mixed model analysis based on genomic information. Cold Spring Harbor. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/027201.

Maier et al. 2015. Joint analysis of psychiatric disorders increases accuracy of risk prediction for schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and major depressive disorder. Am J Hum Genet; 96(2):283-294.

Rodriguez-Alvarez, Maria Xose, et al. Correcting for spatial heterogeneity in plant breeding experiments with P-splines. Spatial Statistics 23 (2018): 52-71.

Searle. 1993. Applying the EM algorithm to calculating ML and REML estimates of variance components. Paper invited for the 1993 American Statistical Association Meeting, San Francisco.

Yu et al. 2006. A unified mixed-model method for association mapping that accounts for multiple levels of relatedness. Genetics 38:203-208.

Abdollahi Arpanahi R, Morota G, Valente BD, Kranis A, Rosa GJM, Gianola D. 2015. Assessment of bagging GBLUP for whole genome prediction of broiler chicken traits. Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics 132:218-228.

Tunnicliffe W. 1989. On the use of marginal likelihood in time series model estimation. JRSS 51(1):15-27.