Security Audit and Code Hardening Report

Project: Java Authentication and Session Management System

Student name: Eskandar Atrakchi

Student Number: x23137517

Date: 20th/Oct/2025

Type of document: Report

GitHub link: https://github.com/EskandarAtrakchi/Java-

Authentication-and-Session-Management-System

PART A – Security audit

1. Plain text password storage: user passwords were stored as a string in memory which violates confidentiality

```
static class User {
  String password; // Stored in plain text
```

2. User enumeration by early return: because longing in method returned immediately when a username doesn't exist, which allows attackers to know valid usernames based on timing responses which violates defense in depth and confidentiality

```
if (!users.containsKey(username)) {
   return null; // Early return reveals valid users
```

- 3. Timing attack on password comparison: use of string.equals() means the system will make time differences during measurements which enable potential side channel attacks, and this violates integrity and confidentiality
- 4. Unlimited attempts: the security flaw violates availability and integrity
- 5. Session tokens issues: session identifiers were generated from username and timestamps which can be hijacked, violates confidentiality and integrity
- 6. Session validation weak: only checks for simple prefix which lacks secure token verification, this violates defense in depth

PART B – Remediation and security enhancements

- 1. Secure password storage: I have replaced plaintext storage with slated password hashing using PBKDF2WithHmacSHA256 with 360,000 iterations and 16-byte random salt
 - Justification: PBKDF2 is secured protects passwords even if hashes are leaked, and the high iteration number is to increase the efforts for the hackers, but it won't affect users private static final int Iterations = 360000; // reasonable modern cost
- 2. User enumeration mitigation: the system performs identical cryptographic operations whether the user is valid or invalid using fake salt and random comparisons before
 - returning any feedback

 Justification: consistent execution is important to prevent attackers from guessing the
 - Justification: consistent execution is important to prevent attackers from guessing the existence usernames through timing or responses

```
// compare to another random value in constant time
byte[] randomCompare = new byte[fakeHash.length];
SecureRandomGenerator.nextBytes(randomCompare);
```

- Timing attack on password comparison: MessageDigest.isEqual() for password and hash verification
 - Justification: this prevents timing-based side channels attacks that may reveal partial hash matches

```
private static boolean constantTimeEquals(byte[] a, byte[] b) {
   if (a == null || b == null) return false;
   return MessageDigest.isEqual(a, b);
```

Unlimited attempts: variable account lockout after two attempts after failing twice then 6
minutes lockout knowing all lockouts counters will reset after successful login
authentication

private static final int maxAttempts = 2; private static final long lockoutDurationMS = 6 * 60 * 1000L; // 6 minutes

- 5. Session tokens issues: generated 256bit tokens for session using SecureRandom and stored as SHA-256 hashes, each session includes a 30 minutes expiration and stored in server (means as long as the code is running) is also validated by hash lookup Justification: this method will prevent token prediction and re-tries attacks, and storing it in server side will lower the risk of memory data exposure sessions.put(tokenHash, new Session(username, now + sessionTimeInMinutes));
- Session validation weak: replaced HashMap with ConcurrentHashMap for concurrent access control Justification: to make sure shared data stays correct and safe, even if multiple tasks are running together (multi-threaded environments)

```
private final Map<String, User> users = new ConcurrentHashMap<>();
// store SHA-256(sessionToken) -> Session
private final Map<String, Session> sessions = new ConcurrentHashMap<>();
```

PART C - Design Trade-Off Discussion

One trade-off was security vs usability that is related to lockout user account. While it is true that temporary lockouts stop attacks, it can also lockout a user account if the attackers attack a known account multiple time. The balanced measurements that have been taken are 2 tries with 6 minutes from reasonable user perspective login to strong attacks on a known account. If this project is deployed for production we can have more based components, for example, CAPTCHA, or IP based throttling can also help improve this balance