

Faculty of Engineering

Computer and Systems Engineering Department

CSE 371: Control Systems (1)

Instructor: Prof. Wahied Gharieb Ali

Micro-Project

PID Controller

Submitted by

Eslam Samir Ali Abu El-Ala [ID: 1200259]

Mohamed Ahmed Anwer Abdelhalim [ID: 1101803]

Nourhan Essam Ahmed Shiba El-Hamd [ID: 1201605]

Shaza Ismail Kaoud [ID: 1200717]

Fall (2015-2016)

Table of Contents


LIST	OF F	IGURES	iii			
LIST	OF T	ABLES	iii			
1.	PRO	BLEM FORMULATION	. 1			
2.	BAC	KGROUND	. 1			
3.	SIM	ULATION	3			
3.	.1.	Closed Loop System Simulation	3			
3.	.2.	Decreasing Settling Time				
3.	.3.	Disturbance Effect on the System	. 4			
3.	.4.	Phase and Gain Margin for Open Loop System				
3.	.5.	Phase and Gain Margin for Closed Loop System	. 7			
4.	CON	NCLUSION				
5.	REF	ERENCES	9			
Арр	Appendix A: Matlab code					
	Appendix B: Simulink Model					

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 Closed loop system response	3
Figure 2 System response before changing the parameters (zoomed in)	3
Figure 3 System response after decreasing Ki value	4
Figure 4 Closed loop system response with disturbance	4
Figure 5 Closed loop system response without disturbance (without PID)	5
Figure 6 Closed loop system response with disturbance (without PID)	5
Figure 7 Using Matlab to calculate Pm and Gm of the open loop system	6
Figure 8 Bode plot of the open loop system	7
Figure 9 Using Matlab to calculate Pm and Gm of the closed loop system	7
Figure 10 Matlab results of the closed loop system	8
Figure 11 Bode plot of the closed loop system.	8
Figure 12 SIMULINK Model	11
LIST OF TABLES	
Table 1 The Effect of the PID Controllers on the System	2

1. PROBLEM FORMULATION

For the given system,



- a) Simulate the closed loop system using the MATLAB/SIMULINK for a unit step input u(t)
- b) Explain, which parameter in the PID block has to be modified to decrease the settling time.
- c) Study the effect of a constant disturbance input = ± 0.1 u(t) on the system response.
- d) Compute the gain margin and phase margin for the open loop without PID controller and closed loop system with PID controller.

2. BACKGROUND

The PID is the most popular feedback controller algorithm used. It is a robust easily understood algorithm that can provide excellent control performance despite the varied dynamic characteristics of processes.

The PID algorithm consists of three basic modes: the Proportional mode, the Integral mode & the Derivative mode.

In the s-domain, the PID controller may be represented as:

$$U(s) = (K_p + \frac{K_i}{s} + K_d s)E(s)$$

In the time domain:

$$u(t) = K_p e(t) + K_i \int_0^t e(t)dt + K_d \frac{de(t)}{dt}$$

u(t): The PID output

e(t): The error between the output and the set point.

K_p: Proportional Gain

K_i: Integral Gain

K_d: Derivative Gain

While varying each element of the PID controller, the system responses differently. So, the effect of each of these elements on the system must be analyzed in order to get the desired response of the system using the PID controller.

The proportional controller's main responsibility is to force the system to go to the desired value fast, hence, decreases the rise time, but overshoot and settling time increases. Also, steady-state error never reach zero.

When adding integral controller's effect, its main advantage is eliminating the steady-state error. On the other hand, it increases overshoot and settling time also.

When the effect of derivative controller is added, overshoot and settling time decrease while rise time increases.

To sum up, to control the system, P, I, and D values must be chosen with care.

Table 1 The Effect of the PID Controllers on the System

	Rise time	Maximum overshoot	Settling time	Steady-state error
P	Decrease	Decrease	Small change	Decrease
I	Decrease	Increase	Increase	Eliminate
D	Small change	Decrease	Decrease	Small

3. SIMULATION

3.1. Closed Loop System Simulation




Figure 1 Closed loop system response

3.2. Decreasing Settling Time




Figure 2 System response before changing the parameters (zoomed in)




Figure 3 System response after decreasing Ki value

• Note: Decreasing the integrator controller gain decreases the settling time for this system Because Ki increases the maximum overshoot, hence increases the settling time.

3.3. Disturbance Effect on the System




Figure 4 Closed loop system response with disturbance

Note: Disturbance does not affect the system's response as the PID controller is very
powerful that it omits the effect of the disturbance on the system.
 When we simulate the system without PID controller, we can observe disturbance's

effect on the system.




Figure 5 Closed loop system response without disturbance (without PID)




Figure 6 Closed loop system response with disturbance (without PID)

3.4. Phase and Gain Margin for Open Loop System

```
x1 = tf([1],[1 0]);
x2 = tf([1],[1 1]);
x3 = tf([1],[1 5]);
sys = x1*x2*x3
bode(sys)
grid on;
[Gm1,Pm1,Wgm1,Wpm1] = margin(sys)
```

Figure 7 Using Matlab to calculate Pm and Gm of the open loop system

Figure 6 Matlab results of the open loop system




Figure 8 Bode plot of the open loop system

3.5. Phase and Gain Margin for Closed Loop System

```
y1 = 39.42/(3.077*tf('s'));
y2 = 39.42*0.7692*tf('s');
y3 = 39.42;
PID = y1+y2+y3;
G = PID*sys;
closedLoopSys = feedback(G,1)
bode(closedLoopSys)
margin(closedLoopSys)
grid on;
[Gm2,Pm2,Wgm2,Wpm2] = margin(closedLoopSys)
```

Figure 9 Using Matlab to calculate Pm and Gm of the closed loop system

Figure 10 Matlab results of the closed loop system




Figure 11 Bode plot of the closed loop system

4. CONCLUSION

PID is a very powerful controller. One must choose the values for proportional, integral, and derivative controllers with care to have the desired performance of the system as each of them has positive and negative impact on the system.

5. REFERENCES

- [1] "Modern Control Engineering", 5th edition, Katsuhiko Ogata.
- [2] Lecture Slides: "Topic#11 PID Controller Design", Dr. Wahid Gharib, 2015.

Appendix A: MATLAB Code

```
x1 = tf([1],[1\ 0]);
x2 = tf([1],[1\ 1]);
x3 = tf([1],[15]);
sys = x1*x2*x3;
bode(sys)
margin(sys)
grid on;
[Gm1,Pm1,Wgm1,Wpm1] = margin(sys)
y1 = 39.42/(3.077*tf('s'));
y2 = 39.42*0.7692*tf('s');
y3 = 39.42;
PID = y1+y2+y3;
G = PID*sys;
closedLoopSys = feedback(G,1)
bode(closedLoopSys)
margin(closedLoopSys)
grid on;
[Gm2,Pm2,Wgm2,Wpm2] = margin(closedLoopSys)
```

Appendix B: SIMULINK Model




Figure 12 SIMULINK Model