

		Question	Marks (20)	Marks (15)	Marks (10)	Marks (05)
Sr. No			Excellent	Very Good	Good	Poor
1	Organization of Content	Do research paper is organised with proper sections and relevant content ?	If paper includes all heads including: 1) abstract, 2) introduction, 3) objectives, 4) methodology, 5) experimental plan, 6) result and discussion, 7) conclusions, 8) future scope. 9) References	If paper includes any 8 topics out of 1) If paper includes any 7 topics out of 1) abstract 2) introduction, 3) objectives, 4) methodology, 5) experimental plan, 6) result and discussion, 7) conclusions, 8) future scope. 9) References	If paper includes any 6-7 topics out of 1) abstract, 2) If paper includes any 5-6 topics out of 1) abstract, 2) introduction, 3) objectives, 4) methodology, 5) experimental plan, 6) result and discussion, 7) conclusions, 8) future scope. 9) References	If paper includes any 5 topics out of 1) abstract, 2) introduction, 3) objectives, 4) methodology, 5) experimental plan, 6) result and discussion, 7) conclusions, 8) future scope. 9) References
2	Correct Content with respect to Grammar and language	Do the research paper written in scientific language which clearly define the research work done?	The writing is Compelling. Sentences are well-phrased and varied in length and structure. Content are grammatically correct. Word choice is consistently precise and accurate.	The writing is generally engaging, but has some dry spots. Sentences are well phrased and there is some variety in length and structure. Word choice is generally good.	The writing is dull and un engaging. Some sentences are awkwardly Constructed so that the reader is occasionally distracted. Word choice is merely adequate, and the range of words is limited.	The writing loses interest in the reader. Errors in sentence structure are frequent enough to be a major distraction to the reader. Many words are used inappropriate
3	Design, Development and Implementation	Does research paper have proposed model, flowcharts, results of implementation and analysis?	All 4 parameters met: 1) Modern Tool Usage 2) Feasibility 3) User friendliness 4) Application	Any 3 parameters met: 1) Modern Tool Usage 2) Feasibility 3) User friendliness 4) Application	Only 2 parameters met: 1) Modern Tool Usage 2) Feasibility 3) User friendliness 4) Application	Only 1 parameter met: 1) Modern Tool Usage 2) Feasibility 3) User friendliness 4) Application
4	Presentation and Team Work	Does paper presentation team exhibit communication skill and co-operation while giving presentation?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Student demonstrates full knowledge, answering all queries with explanations. • Movements seem smooth and help the audience visualize. • Diverse talents are present in team with different skill set 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Student is at ease with information and answers all queries without elaboration. • Made movements or gestures that enhance articulation. • Team is concentrated with only one type of skill set. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Student is Uncomfortable with information and is able to answer only basic queries. • Very little movement or descriptive gestures. • Team members are not contributing much for multifaceted development of idea 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Student does not have grasp of Information and can't answer queries about subject. • No movement or descriptive gestures. • Team members are passive • only one person is taking some efforts
5	Qualification towards Quality of Paper and research claims	Does the research paper have novelty, mathematical models, result and with proper conclusion consisting of project claim with proper verification, validation, and diagnostics?	Paper has novelty, mathematical models, result and its analysis with proper conclusion consisting of project claim with proper verification, validation, and diagnostics?	Paper has 1) novelty, 2) mathematical models, 3) Research claim and result analysis with some diagrammatic representation	Paper has 1) novelty, 2) mathematical models, 3) result analysis without any validation and verification	Paper has: 1) novelty, 2) mathematical models, 3) result analysis and claim is not clear.

Note: Examiner can put ✓ (Tick) wherever applicable and put X (cross) if not applicable
Overall Remark (Review Paper/Technical Paper/Poster/Case Study)

Name and Signature of Evaluator: