Exploring Innovative Approaches to Poverty Alleviation: A Focus on Social Safety Nets

By Essenam Dorkenu

Introduction

Since the early 1990s, global efforts toward poverty alleviation, epitomized by the Millennium Development Goals (MDG 1) and later the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG 1), have underscored the paramount importance of this objective on the global agenda (Honorati et al., 2015). Key strategies in this pursuit include conditional cash transfers (CCTs), unconditional cash transfers (UCTs), and workfare programs, all of which have shown successes and limitations in various contexts. As development agencies like the World Bank seek sustainable solutions, the question arises: which of these approaches should be prioritized? This paper aims to recommend to the World Bank the most effective strategy among these three in reducing poverty and inequality, thus warranting investment in developing countries.

Conditional Cash Transfers (CCT's)

CCT's started in the late 1900s, is an anti-poverty program that is characterized by cash transfers to a group of people below the poverty line with behavioral conditions such as school attendance or vaccinations attached to it. Thus, participants receive the stipulated cash only if they perform the conditional actions attached. These conditions may or may not be related to poverty and equality thus it usually begs the question of which aspects of CCTs directly contribute to poverty alleviation, is it the conditions or the cash transfers? If the condition for cash transfer is vaccination, will that conditional cash transfer program be considered an initiative towards poverty alleviation? Somewhat when a longer causality map is done, one can argue that once people are vaccinated, they stand the chance to have a higher quality of life, in the case of polio, they are less likely to be disabled and hence have the capacity to work and earn a living and live above the poverty line. Researchers like de Groot et al (2017) have shown that cash transfers, in general, can be linked to increased home expenditure on food and reduction in malnutrition, again, healthy families mean a higher probability that they can work and earn more and in the long run live above poverty lines. Researchers like Araujo and Macours (2021) Have also shown that conditions like school enrollment and attendance attached to cash transfers, especially in the case of PROGRESA, have in the long run significantly shown a positive contribution to the children who participated in the program. Although the cash transfer and conditions attached to CCTs have shown contributions towards poverty alleviation, the impact is usually on individuals, however, the significant impact on the communities is yet to be studied. In cases like PROGRESA where participants moved out of their communities, as shown by Araujo and Marcours' assessment, although there is a possibility of return however, their absence in the community prevents the poverty alleviation and development of communities, especially since these programs, except PROGRESA, are usually short-term and thus only a few are impacted.

Unconditional Cash Transfers (UCT's)

In contrast to CCTs, UCTs entail unconditional cash transfers to individual or household beneficiaries, devoid of any behavioral conditions. This results in an immediate increase in household income. UCTs like CCTs can be structured as one-time transfers or monthly payments over a specified period, each yielding different impacts and behavioral responses. Research (Bastagli et al, 2016) indicates positive effects on

education, health, nutrition, income, employment, productive investments, psychological well-being, and female empowerment, but also highlights negative outcomes such as increased child labor, early marriages, domestic violence, and unwanted pregnancies.

Notably, while UCTs yield outcomes like CCTs in various areas, however, they hold an advantage in terms of cost-effectiveness due to the absence of targeting expenses. However, UCTs like CCTs tend to be short-term and focus on individuals or households, and have no proven impact on community-wide development and poverty alleviation. In areas where social progress is not ingrained in community values, issues like educational disparities and gender biases may persist despite cash transfers.

Work Fares

Workfares can be viewed as a variant of CCT, as they require individuals to work in exchange for cash transfers. However, in this analysis, we'll examine workfare as an independent developmental strategy aimed at poverty alleviation and equality. India's Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MNREGA), the world's largest public works program, offers invaluable insights due to its extensive duration and scale. Workfare initiatives, exemplified by MNREGA, typically entail the recruitment of unskilled labor for community development projects in rural areas. They are cost-effective and relatively swift to implement, akin to UCTs, yet with the added benefit of attracting only those below the poverty line due to the nature of the work offered (Cook & Shah, 2020).

MNREGA has demonstrated increases in community wages and unintended positive outcomes. Importantly, workfare programs are structured to discourage long-term dependence, allowing participants to earn income for various purposes, such as business investments, improved nutrition, and education. However, critics highlight concerns regarding the sustainability of wage effects and the limited transferability of skills, which may undermine the long-term impact on poverty and inequality.

Recommended Policy Direction

Universal Cash Transfers (UCTs), Conditional Cash Transfers (CCTs), and Workfare programs have all demonstrated significant potential in addressing poverty and promoting equality. However, for organizations like The World Bank, which allocates resources across numerous developing countries, prioritizing sustained poverty alleviation initiatives, such as workfare, is essential. Workfare programs offer cost-effective solutions that foster societal development, benefit entire communities, and elevate individual and communal standards of living. For instance, implementing a community-driven project like establishing a water distribution network to supply clean water to households not only ensures access to safe drinking water but also boosts household incomes through compensated labor. Hence, The World Bank should initially invest in comprehensive community development plans, integrating workfare initiatives within these frameworks. The recruitment process for workfare should encompass two phases: skilled and unskilled labor, with opportunities for unskilled workers to receive training for specialized tasks within the projects. These initiatives can incorporate elements of CCTs to incentivize skill development. Moreover, a transition strategy should be devised to transfer the responsibility for ongoing development and future workfare programs to local governments or private institutions.

References

Bastagli, F., Hagen-Zanker, J., Harman, L., Barca, V., Sturge, G. & Schmidt, T. (2016). Cash transfers: what does the evidence say? A rigorous review of programme impact and of the role of design and implementation features. Overseas Development Institute, London.

Cook, J. and Shah, M. (2020) Examining the macro effects of MNREGA, Ideas for India. Available at: https://www.ideasforindia.in/topics/poverty-inequality/examining-the-macro-effects-of-mnrega.html# (Accessed: 21 February 2024).

de Groot, R., Palermo, T., Handa, S., Ragno, L. P., & Peterman, A. (2017). Cash Transfers and Child Nutrition: Pathways and Impacts. Development policy review: the journal of the Overseas Development Institute, 35(5), 621–643. https://doi.org/10.1111/dpr.12255

Honorati, M., Gentilini, U. and Yemtsov, R. G. (2015), 'The state of social safety nets 2015', Washington, DC: World Bank Group.

Macours K. and Araujo M. C., Working paper, (2023), Education, Income and Mobility: Experimental Impacts of Childhood Exposure to Progresa after 20 Years" Voxdev talk: Podcast.