

Relative perversity

Dave Hansen

Joint with Peter Scholze

Starting point: Naive question

Is there some "relative" variant of perverse sheaves?

Setup: fix a prime ℓ , always work w/ $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\ell}]$ -schemes. (All schemes qcqs)

Three scenarios we consider:

- A) Λ an ℓ -torsion ring, $D_{\text{ét}}(X, \Lambda) =$ left-completion of $D(X_{\text{ét}}, \Lambda)$
 $= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^{\geq n}$
- B) Λ as in A), but look at $D_{\text{ans}}(X, \Lambda) \subset D_{\text{ét}}(X, \Lambda)$.
- C) take L/\mathcal{O}_L an alg ext'n, look at $D_{\text{ans}}(X, L \otimes \mathcal{O}_L) =$ objects which
become dualizable
 $\subset D_{\text{proét}}(X, \Lambda)$
on a constructible
stratification of X

Just write $D(X)$ for the relevant category in each scenario.

Theorem (H.-Scholze) Let $X \rightarrow S$ be a finitely presented map. Let $D(X)$ be as in scenarios A)-C). (In scenario C, assume that all const. subsets of S have finitely many irreducible comp.) Then there is a t-structure $P/S D^{\leq 0}(X), P/S D^{\geq 0}(X)$ on $D(X)$ characterized by the condition that $A \in D(X)$ lies in $P/S D^{\leq 0}$ resp. $P/S D^{\geq 0}$
iff $\forall \bar{s} \rightarrow S$, h^*A lies in $P D^{\leq 0}(X_{\bar{s}})$ resp. $P D^{\geq 0}(X_{\bar{s}})$.
w/ fiber $X_{\bar{s}} \xrightarrow{h} X$ (h^* for both !!!)

This t -structure interpolates between two extremes

- i) If $X \rightarrow S = X$ is the identity, just get the standard t -str. on $D(X)$
- ii) If $S = \text{Spec } k$ is a pt, get the usual perverse t -structure on $D(X)$.

In general, this t -structure has no good "finite length" properties.

However, it does have good properties along these lines after imposing another condition namely the condition of being ULA.

Fix $X \xrightarrow{t} S$, $A \in D(X)$.

Intuition: A is universally locally acyclic (ULA) w.r.t. t if the coh. of $A|$ slices of a small ball in X is constant as the slices vary.

Def'n A is ULA w.r.t. t if $\forall \bar{x} \rightarrow X$, \bar{t} is a specialization, the nat'l map $R\Gamma(X_{\bar{x}}, A) \rightarrow R\Gamma(X_{\bar{x}} \times_{S_{\bar{x}}} \bar{t}, A)$ is an isom, and

likewise after any base change.

Key things: 1) $X \rightarrow S$ smooth, A is ULA.

2) In reasonable situations, "any" sheaf is ULA over a dense open in the target.
(Deligne)

Then fix $X \xrightarrow{t} S$ as before.

2) If $A \in D(X)$ is t -ULA, then all relative perverse truncations of A are t -ULA

- $\Rightarrow \text{Perf}(X/S) = \text{heart of rel. t-struct. on } D(X) \text{ comes w/ full subcat}$
 $\text{Perf}^{\text{ULA}}(X/S).$
- $\Rightarrow \text{Perf}^{\text{ULA}}(X/S)$ is stable under relative Verdier duality (i.e. $R\mathbb{H}\text{om}(-, f^!A)$)
 \leadsto Eg. in case $C \cong A = L$, $\text{Perf}^{\text{ULA}}(X/S)$ is noetherian and artinian.
- $\Rightarrow \text{Perf}^{\text{ULA}}(X/S)$ stable under subquotients.

Key special case of main thm:
 $S = \text{Spec } V$, V a rank one valuation ring w/ unique non-zero prime ideal
 $\xleftarrow{\text{valuation ring w/ unique non-zero prime ideal}}$
 $\xleftarrow{\text{alg. closed fraction field}}$
 $\xleftarrow{\text{"AIC" valuation ring}}$
 \xleftarrow{p}
 $\xleftarrow{\text{absolutely integrally closed}}$

$$|S| = \underset{[HA 1.4.4.11]}{\sim} j \quad X \rightarrow S \quad \text{as before} \quad . \quad j: X_\eta \rightarrow X, \quad i: X_S \rightarrow X$$

By a result of Lurie, always can define a t-structure whose connective part
 consists of sheaves which lie in $P_{D^{\leq 0}}$ (every fiber).

$$\text{In the present case, get } P/S D^{\leq 0}(X) = \left\{ A \in D(X) \text{ s.t. } j^* A \in P_{D^{\leq 0}}(X_\eta) \right. \\ \left. \text{and } i^* A \in P_{D^{\leq 0}}(X_S) \right\}$$

\Rightarrow By general nonsense, $A \in P/S D^{\geq 0}$ if $j^* A \in P_{D^{\geq 0}}(X_\eta)$
 and $i^! A \in P_{D^{\geq 0}}(X_S)$.

Claim. The latter pair of conditions is equiv. to: $j^* A, i^* A \in P_{D^{\geq 0}}$.

Key idea: look at the exact triangle

$$i^! A \rightarrow i^* A \rightarrow \underbrace{i^* j_* j^* A}_{PD \geq 0} \rightarrow$$

Assume $j^* A \in PD \geq 0$, then $i^* j_* : D(X_\eta) \rightarrow D(X_S)$ is the nearby cycle functor, which in particular is perverse t-exact.

Thm. of Gabber. (Illusie 1974)

The idea in general case is to reduce to this (very!) special case by descent arguments. For this, we need very fine topologies.

<u>Recall</u>	v -topology	\subset top. of universal submersions	\subset arc-topology
	$X \rightarrow Y$ locn if	$X \rightarrow Y$ univ. submersion	Same as v -topology,
$V \text{ Spec } V \rightarrow Y$, V val. ring	can lift after replacing	if $ X \rightarrow Y $ is a qt map	but only test w/a
	V by some V'/V faithfully flat	after any base change	rank (≤ 1) val. rings
	$\exists X \xleftarrow{\quad \text{Spec } V \quad} \text{Spec } V'$	$\text{Spec } V \xleftarrow{\quad \text{Spec } V' \quad}$	

Thm (Bhatt - Mathew, Gabber) In each of scenarios A) - c), $X \mapsto D(X)$ is a ^{hyper} v -sheaf of stable ∞ -cats. In scenarios B), c), it is a sheaf for arc-topology. (Bhatt - Mathew)

In scenario A), it is a universally submersible sheaf. (Gabber)

Idea of pt 1) $S = \text{Spec } V$ rk 1 AIC val. ring, ok.

2) $S = \text{Spec } V$ AIC val. ring, reduce to the previous case by approx. & descent.

3) S has each conn'd comp. $\simeq \text{Spec } V$, V AIC val. ring.

reduce to previous case by pure topology +

Lemma. "the perverse coh. amplitude is a constructible func. on the base".

4) General S . Key pt: can pick a v -hypercover $S_v \rightarrow S$ w/ all S_n as in 3).

Then already have t-str. you want $\overset{\text{act}}{\circ} D(X_S^v S_n)$, and ~~to~~ the pullbacks $D(X_S^v S_n) \rightarrow D(X_{S_n}^v S_n)$ are rel. pern t-exact.

\Rightarrow Formal to get the desired t-str. on $D(X) = \varinjlim_{n \in \Delta} D(X_S^v S_n)$.

$\overbrace{\quad}$

S conn'd, $\bar{S} \rightarrow S$, S \mathbb{Q} -scheme or \bar{S} dominates generic pt

$\text{Perv}^{\text{ULN}}(X/S) \rightarrow \text{Perv}(X_{\bar{S}})$ fully faithful.

