

Geometric Satake for affine Lie algebras

Hiraku Nakajima

§1. Brauerman - Finkelberg conjecture.

$Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$ valued quiver without edge loops.

$\leadsto \mathfrak{g}^\vee$: symmetrizable Kac-Moody Lie algebra.

\mathfrak{g} = Langlands dual.

V, W : Q_0 -graded vector spaces

$\lambda = \sum \dim W_i \cdot \lambda_i$, $\mu = \lambda - \sum \dim V_i \cdot \alpha_i$
dominant weight another weight.

[BFN, 2016] $\mathcal{M}_c \equiv \mathcal{M}_c(\lambda, \mu)$: Coulomb branch

$$G_i := \prod GL(V_i)$$

N = rep at G_i given by V, W .

Gr_G : affine Grassmannian = $G(K)/G(\mathcal{O})$. $K = \mathbb{C}((z))$, $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C}[[z]]$

$\hookrightarrow \mathcal{R} = \text{variety of triples} = \{ (g(z), s(z)) \in G(K) \times^{G(\mathcal{O})} N(\mathcal{O}) : g(z)s(z) \in N(\mathcal{O}) \}$

$H_*^{G(\mathcal{O})}(\mathcal{R})$ + convolution product \leadsto commutative algebra

$$\mathcal{M}_c := \text{Spec } H_*^{G(\mathcal{O})}(\mathcal{R})$$

Fact [BFN]

Q : finite type and μ dominant

$\mathcal{M}_c(\lambda, \mu)$ = slice in the affine Grassmannian for G^\vee . (adjoint)

$$\overline{\text{Gr}}_a^\lambda \supset \text{Gr}_a^M \rightarrow \text{diagram}$$


appears in the usual geometric Satake

$\mathcal{M}_c(\lambda, \mu) =$ moduli space of singular monopoles on \mathbb{R}^3 .

Q: affine case

expected $\mathcal{M}_c(\lambda, \mu) =$ moduli space of instantons on $\mathbb{R}^4 / \mathbb{Z}/\ell$,
(proved for type A [N. - Takayama]) $\ell =$ level of λ .

[BF] proposed geometric Satake for affine Lie algebra using $\mathcal{M}_c(\lambda, \mu)$.

$$\begin{aligned} a_\mu &= \{z^\mu\} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_c(\lambda, \mu) \\ &\uparrow \text{unique } T^\vee\text{-fixed point in } \mathcal{M}_c(\lambda, \mu). \text{ (if exists)} \\ &\quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} \pi_1(G)^\vee &= \text{Pontryagin dual of } \pi_1(G) \end{aligned} \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{IC}_\mu^\lambda &= \text{intersection cohomology complex of } \mathcal{M}_c(\lambda, \mu) \\ &= \text{IC}(\mathcal{M}_c(\lambda, \mu)), \end{aligned}$$

Conj (1) $H^*(a_\mu^\lambda; \text{IC}_\mu^\lambda)$ (costalk) vanish in odd degrees.

(2) Euler characteristic = weight multiplicity = $\dim V_\mu(\lambda)$.

$V(\lambda)$: integrable h.w. rep. of \mathfrak{g}

(3) tensor product
= convolution product.

Remark. affine type A, $\mathcal{M}_c(\lambda, \mu) \xrightarrow{\exists} \mathcal{M}_c^X(\lambda, \mu)$ simpl. resolution

One can also consider $H_* (\underbrace{\pi^{-1}(z^\mu)}_{\text{Lagrangian subvar.}})$
Poincaré?

Thm [N. 2008] True for affine type A.

- M_c = a quiver variety of affine type A
- Use I. Frenkel's level-rank duality

One remaining statement of [BF]

Poincaré polynomial

$$P_\mu^\lambda(q) = \sum q^{\frac{i}{2}} \dim H^i(q_\mu^! I c_\mu^\lambda)$$

For Q : finite type,

$$\text{Lusztig: } P_\mu^\lambda(q) = c_\mu^\lambda(q)$$

↑ combinatorial polynomial

given by Kostant partition function,

Hall-Littlewood poly.

$$\text{Moreover, } c_\mu^\lambda(q) = \sum q^i \dim g_{r_i}^F V_\mu(\lambda) \quad (\text{Brylinski, Bruin, Lusztig})$$

F = Brylinski-Kostant filtration.

$$F^i V(\lambda) = \{v \in V(\lambda) : e^{i+1} v = 0\}, \quad e = \text{principal nilpotent.}$$

ex.

$$\mathbb{C}^1 / \mathbb{Z}/2 \leftarrow \left(\bigcirc \right) \xrightarrow{\text{strict transf. of axis.}} T^* \mathbb{P}^1$$

$\pi^{-1}(\beta^\mu) \cong \mathbb{P}^1.$

$$\text{Conj. (4)} \quad P_\mu^\lambda(q) \stackrel{a)}{=} c_\mu^\lambda(q) \\ \stackrel{b)}{=} \sum q^i \dim g_{r_i}^F V_\mu(\lambda)$$

Slafstra 2010

$$\textcircled{a} \text{ is not correct. } \tilde{F}_\bullet V(\lambda) = \{v \in V(\lambda) : x^{i+1} v = 0\}$$

↑

$\forall x \in \text{principal nilpotent} \cap \mathfrak{u}.$

but true if we replace

(affine Lie algebra case)

F by

Thm [Muthiah - N.]

⑥ with F replaced by \tilde{F} is true for affine type A

(We do not compute $P_{\mu}^{\lambda}(q)$)

§2. Arkhipov - Bezrukavnikov - Ginzburg $Gr_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ v.s. $T^*(\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{B})$

② ← proof used $T^*(\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{B})$ + fixed pt. formula.

Slotson, similar $T^*(\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{B})$ for affine Lie group (secretly)

but algebraic approach. for rigorous treatment.

Thm [ABG]. \mathbb{A} finite, μ dominant,

$$H^*(T^*(\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{B}), \mathcal{O}(\mu)) \cong \bigoplus V(\lambda)^* \otimes H^*(\alpha_{\mu}^! \mathbb{I} \mathbb{C}_{\mu}^{\lambda})$$

\uparrow μ dominant $\hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ -action \longleftrightarrow cohomological grading.
 μ -equivariant graded isomorphism

Moreover, it is compatible with "product".

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}(\mu_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mu_2) \\ \simeq \mathcal{O}(\mu_1 + \mu_2) \end{aligned} \quad \longleftrightarrow \text{convolution.}$$

One can regard this statement as construction of $T^*\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{B}$ from the topology

of affine Grassmannian slices.

← similar to the construction of Coulomb branch.

cf. [BFN 2019. King object ...]

$$\hookrightarrow D_{\mathbb{A}(0)}(Gr_{\mathbb{A}})$$

$\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{A}^{\vee}]$ King object in $\text{Rep}(\mathbb{A}^{\vee})$

\uparrow geometric Satake

$$\chi_R = \bigoplus V(\lambda)^* \otimes \mathbb{I} \mathbb{C}_{(\alpha_R^{\vee})}^{\text{King object in } \text{Perv}_{\mathbb{A}(0)}(Gr_{\mathbb{A}})}$$

i.e. RHS of $[ABG]$ $H^*(A_R)$

4. Gaiotto-Witten

$T^*(G/B) =$ Coulomb branch of 3d $N=4$ theory $T(G^\vee)$
(resolved by flavor symmetry)

type A $T(G^\vee) = \underbrace{(n-1)}_{[n]} - (n-2) - \dots - 1$

$[MN]$: will be $[ABG]$ for affine loop group.

$T^*(G/B) = ?$ Coulomb branch of $T(G^\vee)$ for $G^\vee =$ loop group

[Lukov - ...]

2005.05347

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \subset & G(X) \times^{G(0)} N(0) \\ & \searrow p & \downarrow \\ & & Gr_G \end{array}$$

ω_R dualizing sheaf

$p! \omega_R$: a rig object in $D_{G(0)}(Gr_G)$

§3. Hinzburg-Kiche.

$$T^*(G/B) = G \times^B (g/b)^*$$

$$H^0(T^*(G/B), \mathcal{O}(\mu)) = \text{Ind}_B^G \mathbb{C}[(g/b)^* \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\mu}]$$

$$H^*(a_\mu^! \mathbb{I} \mathbb{C}_\mu^*) = (V(\lambda) \otimes \text{Ind}_B^G (\mathbb{C}[(g/b)^* \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\mu}]))^G$$

$$\approx \left(\text{Res}_B^G V(\lambda) \otimes \mathbb{C}[(g/b)^*] \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\mu} \right)^B$$

↑
algebraic, make sense for KM.

equivariant cohomology version

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}_{loop}^x \times T & \xrightarrow{\sim} & M_c(\lambda, \mu) \\ \uparrow & \parallel & \\ \text{cohomological} & \pi_1(G)^\wedge & \\ \text{degree} & & \end{array}$$

$$H_T^*(a_\mu^! IC_\mu^\lambda) \cong \left(\text{Res}_B^G (V(\lambda)) \otimes \mathbb{C}[(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n})^*] \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-p} \right)^B$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$\mathbb{C} \text{ add } x \in \mathbb{C}_{loop}^x$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^*(G/B) & \subset & \widetilde{T^*(G/B)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ o & \in & t \end{array}$$

quantization by

universal Verma module

hyperbolic restriction (cf. Mirkovic-Vilonen's approach to geometric Satake)

$$\chi: \mathbb{C}^x \rightarrow T^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} M_c(\lambda, \mu)$$

regular dominant cocharacter

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{Z^\mu\} & \xrightarrow{a_\mu} & M_c(\lambda, \mu) \\ \uparrow & \nwarrow p_\mu & \nearrow j_\mu \\ T^\vee\text{-fixed pt} & A_\chi & \text{(inclusion)} \\ & \downarrow & \\ & \text{attracting set} & \\ & \text{for } \chi & \end{array}$$

hyp. restr. $p_\mu^* j_\mu^! IC_\mu^\lambda$

Conjecture (refinement of [BFJ])

(a) $p_\mu^* j_\mu^! IC_\mu^\lambda$ is concentrated in deg. 0

(b) $\oplus_i \mathbb{C} \cdot e_i \cdot t_i \cong V(\lambda)$

realized by coinduced hyperbolic restriction

w.r.t. χ_i - regular dominant cocharacter
 χ_i - root hyperplane for α_i

$$H_{T \times \mathbb{A}^1}^*(a_{\mu}^! \mathrm{IC}_{\mu}^{\lambda}) \stackrel{?}{\cong} (\mathrm{Res}_B^{\mathbb{A}} V(\lambda) \otimes M(\mu))^B$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$H_{T \times \mathbb{A}^1}^*(p_{\mu*} \delta_{\mu}^! \mathrm{IC}_{\mu}^{\lambda}) \cong V_{\mu}(\lambda) \otimes H_{T \times \mathbb{A}^1}^*(pt)$$

cf. W-algebra rep.

universal Verma module



universal Wakimoto module

$W \subset \mathrm{Heis.}$

$\stackrel{?}{\cong}$ exists over $\mathrm{Frac} H_{T \times \mathbb{A}^1}^*(pt)$

Enough to check that there are no poles.

↑
appear at root hyperplanes

[GR]. only real root hyperplanes appear

↑
W. simple roots

affine case: need to study

χ_{δ}

↑
character contained in $\delta = 0$

imaginary root.

$\mathcal{M}_C(\lambda, \mu)^{\chi_{\delta}} = \text{Coulomb branch for Jordan quiver}$

$(n) \circ$

$$\cong S^n(\mathbb{C}^2 / (\mathbb{Z}/\ell))$$

↑
 (ℓ)



homog. Heisenberg alg.