

MULTICOLLINEARITY

If our regression equation is represented as:

$$Y = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \varepsilon$$

X_1 provides us with some information about Y and X_2 provides us with some more information of Y

For multiple regression we assume that the Xs are independent of each other.

If they are correlated (more than two) with each other then the problem of multicollinearity occurs.

Remember

β_1 = is the change in Y with every unit change in X_1 keeping X_2 constant. This is for controlling/adjusting for confounding. It is the partial derivative X_1 to obtain partial Y keeping X_2 constant.

β_2 = is the change in Y with every unit change in X_2 keeping X_1 constant. This is for controlling/adjusting for confounding. It is the partial derivative X_2 to obtain partial Y keeping X_1 constant.

When there is multicollinearity exists then this is not true

β_1 is the change in Y with every unit change in X_1 keeping X_2 constant. This is for controlling/adjusting for confounding.

β_2 is the change in Y with every unit change in X_2 keeping X_1 constant. This is for controlling/adjusting for confounding.

The impact of X_1 on Y is impacted by X_2 and the impact of X_2 on Y is impacted by X_1 .

For multiple regression we are actually trying to identify the combined effect of X_1 and X_2 on Y but we might also be looking at the unique impact of X_1 and X_2 on Y.

Consequences of Multicollinearity:

- 1) The variances (square of standard errors) of the estimators of regression coefficients of β that is B will be inflated. We know that we calculate the t statistics by the formula

$$t = \frac{B}{SE(B)}$$

Therefore as $SE(B)$ increases, the t value decreases and p value increases and this might change a significant explanatory variable into a nonsignificant variable. This might cause us to not reject Null when actually we should.

2. Magnitude of B might be different from what we are expecting.

3. The sign of B might be opposite of what we are expecting.
4. Adding or removing one or more explanatory variable X causes large changes in B
5. Removing some data might change B drastically
6. Some times F is significant but t for the B coefficient is not significant .

Detection of Multicollinearity:

Variance Inflation Factor

Variance Inflation factor is a more rigorous way to check for multicollinearity as compared to Correlation Coefficient.

$$VIF = \frac{1}{1 - R_i^2}$$

VIF for X_1 can be obtained by regressing X_1 on X_2 and X_3

$$X_1 = a_0 + a_1 X_2 + a_2 X_3$$

If VIF is too high then there is multicollinearity.

If VIF=1 then no collinearity

If VIF>5 then there is multicollinearity. If it is 5 then the variance of B is 5 times what it actually should be if there was no correlation.

Solutions for multiCollinearity

1. Drop the variable causing collinearity. If there are many explanatory variables then stepwise regression can be applied. Drop the least significant variable : has highest pvalue amongst the correlated variable.
2. If the number of variables are small then the solution is to not mention the impact of X_1 and X_2 on Y since the they are correlated.

$$Y = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \varepsilon$$

We can still conclude the combined impact of the variables on Y but not the individual impacts.

3. Stepwise regression

```

> lm_one = lm(prestige ~ education+income , data=Prestige)
> summary(lm_one)

Call:
lm(formula = prestige ~ education + income, data = Prestige)

Residuals:
    Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
-16.9367  -4.8881   0.0116   4.9690  15.9280

Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) -7.6210352  3.1162309  -2.446   0.0163 *
education    4.2921076  0.3360645  12.772 < 2e-16 ***
income       0.0012415  0.0002185   5.682 1.45e-07 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 7.45 on 95 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared:  0.814,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.8101
F-statistic: 207.9 on 2 and 95 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16

> vif(lm_one)
education    income
 1.491621    1.491621
> |

```

```

> lm_two = lm(prestige ~ education+income+type , data=Prestige)
> summary(lm_two)

Call:
lm(formula = prestige ~ education + income + type, data = Prestige)

Residuals:
    Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
-14.9529  -4.4486   0.1678   5.0566  18.6320

Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) -0.6229292   5.2275255  -0.119   0.905
education    3.6731661   0.6405016   5.735 1.21e-07 ***
income       0.0010132   0.0002209   4.586 1.40e-05 ***
typeprof     6.0389707   3.8668551   1.562   0.122
typewc      -2.7372307   2.5139324  -1.089   0.279
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 7.095 on 93 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared:  0.8349,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.8278
F-statistic: 117.5 on 4 and 93 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16

> vif(lm_two)
            GVIF Df GVIF^(1/(2*Df))
education 5.973932  1      2.444163
income    1.681325  1      1.296659
type      6.102131  2      1.571703
> |

```

```
> lm_three<- lm(prestige ~ education+type+education:type, data=Prestige)
> summary(lm_three)
```

Call:

```
lm(formula = prestige ~ education + type + education:type, data = Prestige)
```

Residuals:

	Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
	-19.7095	-5.3938	0.8125	5.3968	16.1411

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	-4.2936	8.6470	-0.497	0.621
education	4.7637	1.0247	4.649	1.11e-05 ***
typeprof	18.8637	16.8881	1.117	0.267
typewc	-24.3833	21.7777	-1.120	0.266
education:typeprof	-0.9808	1.4495	-0.677	0.500
education:typewc	1.6709	2.0777	0.804	0.423

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 7.827 on 92 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.8012, Adjusted R-squared: 0.7904

F-statistic: 74.14 on 5 and 92 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

```
> vif(lm_three)
```

	GVIF	Df	GVIF^(1/(2*Df))
education	12.56332	1	3.544477
type	11059.20462	2	10.254889
education:type	16806.89241	2	11.386018

```
> |
```

```

> lm_four<- lm(prestige ~ education+income+type+education:type+income:type, data=Prestige)
> summary(lm_four)

Call:
lm(formula = prestige ~ education + income + type + education:type +
    income:type, data = Prestige)

Residuals:
    Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
-13.462  -4.225   1.346   3.826  19.631

Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)    2.276e+00  7.057e+00   0.323   0.7478
education       1.713e+00  9.572e-01   1.790   0.0769 .
income          3.522e-03  5.563e-04   6.332 9.62e-09 ***
typeprof        1.535e+01  1.372e+01   1.119   0.2660
typewc         -3.354e+01  1.765e+01  -1.900   0.0607 .
education:typeprof 1.388e+00  1.289e+00   1.077   0.2844
education:typewc  4.291e+00  1.757e+00   2.442   0.0166 *
income:typeprof  -2.903e-03  5.989e-04  -4.847 5.28e-06 ***
income:typewc    -2.072e-03  8.940e-04  -2.318   0.0228 *
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 6.318 on 89 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared:  0.8747,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.8634
F-statistic: 77.64 on 8 and 89 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16

> vif(lm_four)
              GVIF Df GVIF^(1/(2*Df))
education      16.82500  1      4.101829
income         13.44351  1      3.666539
type          11278.44113  2     10.305339
education:type 21307.65754  2     12.081864
income:type    188.92503  2      3.707425

> step(lm_four, direction="backward")
start: AIC=369.87
prestige ~ education + income + type + education:type + income:type

              Df Sum of Sq    RSS   AIC
<none>                 3552.9 369.87
- education:type      2    238.40 3791.3 372.24
- income:type         2    951.77 4504.6 389.13

Call:
lm(formula = prestige ~ education + income + type + education:type +
    income:type, data = Prestige)

Coefficients:
(Intercept)      education      income      typeprof      typewc
  2.275753      1.713275      0.003522     15.351896    -33.536652
education:typeprof education:typewc income:typeprof income:typewc
  1.387809      4.290875     -0.002903     -0.002072

```

AIC is to Akaike's Information Criterion: lower pvalues are better.