Literature Survey - Web Phishing Detection

Team Members:

Abhinand G (2019115003) Aruna Srikamakshi R (2019115020) Roshni Balasubramanian (2019115083) Suba Varshini V (2019115107)

| S.No | Title of The Paper | Methodology Used | Observations | Results + Conclusion | Limitations |
|------|--|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | An Optimized Stacking Ensemble Model for Phishing Websites Detection (2021) | The optimisation was carried out using a genetic algorithm (GA) to tune the parameters of several ensemble machine learning methods, including random forests, AdaBoost, XGBoost, Bagging, GradientBoost, and LightGBM | Higher accuracies than currently proposed models | The detection accuracy reached 97.16%, 98.58%, and 97.39% for Dataset 1, Dataset 2, and Dataset 3 | Does not take into account the weightage of features |
| 2 | Phishing Detection using Machine Learning based URL Analysis: A Survey (2021) | Conducted a literature survey of all the top publications, along with a summary of the different features that are extracted | The top features used are Address bar features, abnormal based features, HTML based features and Domain based features | 97.36% is the highest reported accuracy on the UCI dataset, where Random Forest is the most robust | Doesn't go into detail with neural network approaches |
| 3 | Detection of phishing websites by using machine learning-based URL analysis (2020) | Utilizing 48 characteristics across 3 distinct datasets, 8 different methods are used. | On each of the three datasets, Random Forest has the highest accuracy. Also desired is Artificial Neural Network. | First Dataset - 94.59% accuracy Second Dataset - 90.5% accuracy Third Dataset - 91% accuracy | The accuracies are very less for the other two datasets |

| S.No | Title of The Paper | Methodology Used | Observations | Results + Conclusion | Limitations |
|------|--|---|---|---|---|
| 4 | Phishing websites detection using a novel multipurpose dataset and web technologies features (2022) | Uses a public dataset - PILWD-134K and classification using LightGBM | 54 Features can be extracted | 97.95% accuracy observed from the LightBGM Classifier | Doesn't use brand and logo recognition methods for more efficient classification |
| 5 | Phishing attacks detection using machine learning approach (2020) | Uses PCA-style feature selection techniques before applying the RF and DT classifiers | Since RF has less variance, it might manage the overfitting issue. | Random Forest delivers an accuracy of 97% | Doesn't explore a wide variety of features that aren't restricted to the domain |