# **Artificial Intelligence—Spring 2022**

## Homework 3

Issued: March 21<sup>th</sup>, 2022 Due: Apr. 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2022

## **Problem 1**

#### Solutions:

According to the title, there are 9 digits unknown in this problem and each digits represents a different number except the carry digits. The normal digits are in the range of 0 to 9 and the carry digits have value of 0 or 1. Moreover, the leading digit should not be 0. When using the MRV and least-constraining-value heuristics, we have following solving process:

- 1. Choose  $C_3$  first, which can be either 0 or 1. Becasue F cannot be 0, we can determine  $C_3$  as 1;
- 2. Choose F, which has only one option that F = 1;
- 3. According to MRV, we consider  $C_2$  and  $C_1$ . Assume  $C_2$  equals to 0;
- 4. Then it is  $C_1$ 's turn to choose a value. Assume  $C_1 = 0$ ;
- 5. Now consider the constrains. Because  $C_3 = 0$ ,  $C_2 = C_1 = 0$ , we can know that O+O=R, W+W=U and T+T=O+10. Furthermore, R, U, O are no bigger than 9, so O is less than 5 and it is even, which makes O the most constrained digit;
- 6. Assume O = 2(it cannot be 0 because R will be 0 if we use the forward checking), so R = 4 and T = 6:
- 7. Consider U, which has two options as 0 or 8 while W has tow options as 0 or 3. If U = 8(U should not be 0 for differnt digits represent different numbers), then <math>W = 4, which disobeys the constrains. So trace back to step 6 and assume O = 4;
- 8. R and T both have one option, so R = 8 and T = 7;
- 9. Assume U = 6(it cannot be 2 or W will be 1), so W has only one option as 3, which can be a reasonable solution.

All in all, one solution for this question is T=7, W=3, O=4, F=1, U=6, R=8.

## **Problem 2**

## Solutions:

When using the AC-3 algorithm with the partial assignment  $\{WA = green, V = red\}$ , we can note the arcs WA—NT, WA—SA, NT—SA, NT—Q, SA—Q, SA—NSW, SA—V, Q—NSW, NSW—V as numbers from 1 to 9, and the process will be as follows:

- 1. pop arc 1, delete *green* in *NT*, enqueue arc 3 and 4;
- 2. pop arc 2, delete *green* in SA, enqueue arc 3、5、6、7;
- 3. pop arc 3, and no revision needed;
- 4. pop arc 4, and no revision needed;
- 5. pop arc 5, and no revision needed;
- 6. pop arc 6, and no revision needed;
- 7. pop arc 7, delete *red* in *SA*, enqueue arc 2、3、5、6;
- 8. pop arc 8, and no revision needed;
- 9. pop arc 9, delete red in NSW, enqueue arc 6 and 8;
- 10. pop arc 3, delete *blue* in *NT*, enqueue arc 4;
- 11. pop arc 4, delete *red* in Q, enqueue arc 5、6、8;
- 12. pop arc 3, and no revision needed;
- 13. pop arc 5, delete *blue* in *Q*, enqueue arc 4、6、8;
- 14. pop arc 6, delete *blue* in *NSW*, enqueue arc 8、9;
- 15. pop arc 7, and no revision needed;
- 16. pop arc 2, and no revision needed;
- 17. pop arc 3, and no revision needed;
- 18. pop arc 5, and no revision needed;
- 19. pop arc 6, and no revision needed;
- 20. pop arc 6, and no revision needed;
- 21. pop arc 8, delete *green* in Q, and no domain remained for Q. Inconsistency found!

### **Problem 3**

#### Solutions:

- **a.** This statement is correct. Because *False* has no model, which means it entails every sentence while *True* is true in all models, which shows it is entailed by every sentence.
- **b.** This statement is uncorrect. Because in every model where *True* is true, *False* is always false.
- **c.** This statement is correct. This is because  $A \wedge B$  is true iff A and B are both true. In this case,  $A \Leftrightarrow B$  is true as well.
- **d.** This statement is uncorrect. For example, if *A* and *B* are both false, we can conclude that  $A \Leftrightarrow B$  is true but  $A \lor B$  is false.
- **e.** This statement is correct. Because  $A \Leftrightarrow B$  is true iff  $A \Rightarrow B$  and  $B \Rightarrow A$  are both true and  $\neg A \lor B$  means  $A \Rightarrow B$ , every model makes the left-hand side true will make the right-hand side true as well.
- **f.** This statement is correct. This is because  $(A \land B) \Rightarrow C$  is equivalent to  $\neg A \lor \neg B \lor C$ , which is what  $(A \Rightarrow C) \lor (B \Rightarrow C)$  means too. Hence, every model that makes the left-hand side true will ensure the correctness of right-hand side.
- **g.** This statement is correct. Use the distributivity, we can know that left-hand side is equivalent to  $(\neg A \lor C) \land (\neg B \lor C)$ , which is definitely what right-hand side means.

### **Problem 4**

#### Solutions:

**a.** As the table shown below, the sentence is true for all the models, so it is valid.

Food	Drinks	Party	Food∧Drinks	Food=>Party	Drinks =>Party	(Food=>Party) ∨(Drinks =>Party)	(Food∧Drinks) =>Party	$[(Food => Party) \lor (Drinks => Party)] => [(Food \land Drinks) => Party]$
0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1
0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

#### **b.** The left-hand side:

(Food=>Party) v(Drinks=>Party)

(¬Food ∨Party) ∨(¬Drinks ∨Party)

¬Food vParty v¬Drinks vParty

¬Food vParty v¬Drinks

The right-hand side:

(Food ∧ Drinks)=>Party

¬(Food ∧ Drinks) ∨ Party

¬Food v¬Drinks vParty

It is obvious that the CNF of the both sides are the same, so the original sentence is equivalent to the form  $\alpha => \alpha$ , which is valid for any  $\alpha$ 

**c.** Negate the sentence, we have:

¬{[(Food=>Party) \(\rightarrow\)]=>[(Food \(\rightarrow\)]=\)

 $\neg \{\neg [(Food \Rightarrow Party)] \lor [(Food \land Drinks) \Rightarrow Party]\}$ 

[(Food=>Party)] \( \sqrt{Prinks} => Party \) \( \sqrt{Food} \( \sqrt{Drinks} \) => Party \]

[(¬Food ∨Party) ∨(¬Drinks ∨Party)] ∧[(Food ∧Drinks) ∧¬Party]

(¬Food ∨¬Drinks ∨Party) ∧Food ∧Drinks ∧¬Party

It is obvious that there will be a empty clause after resolution, so the sentence is true.