# **Functional Programming 101**

**Ethan Kent** 

2021-05-28

#### What is FP?

According to the internet, it has something to do with these things:

- Function composition
- Mapping values to values rather than updating state
- First-class functions
- Pure functions
- Avoidance of side effects
- Use of recursion
- Referential transparency

## What is FP? (continued)

- Use of higher-order functions
- Immutability
- Avoidance of shared state
- Based on mathematical functions
- Lazy evaluation
- Use of expressions rather than statements
- Declarative rather than imperative

## This is confusing What is FP?

I mean, what is OOP? What is imperative programming? Sort of a loose definition. These things are all relevant.

For the purposes of this presentation, FP is the paradigm we're discussing during this presentation. (This is our first example of recursion.)

## A half-baked dichotomy

A highly dubious series of contentions and oversimplifications: FP has two main "flavors"—

#### ML languages

- Really into types.
- The *M* word.

## A half-baked dichotomy (continued)

#### **LISP**



- Usually dynamic.
- Code is data, code is ASTs, etc.

## A half-baked dichotomy (continued)

We're going to be using fp-ts (and io-ts) for today's demo. That is Haskell influenced. Haskell is an ML language.

Annoyance-Driven Development. Let's define Functional Programming by trying stuff and getting frustrated.

# Function composition / piping

Consider \*nix:

```
$> cal | grep Su | sed 's/ //g'
# => SuMoTuWeThFrSa
```

#### Same idea, TypeScript version:

```
interface toStringable {
 toString: () => string;
const stringify = (anything: toStringable): string => anything.toString();
const toUpperCase = (myString: string): string => myString.toUpperCase();
const evenArrayElements = <T>(myArray: T[]): T[] =>
 myArray.filter(( , i) => i % 2 === 0);
const arrayFromString = (myString: string): string[] => [...myString];
const stringFromArray = (myArray: toStringable[]): string =>
 myArray.map(stringify).join("");
```

#### Same idea, TypeScript version (continued)

```
// Annoying
const everyOtherLetter = (myString: string): string =>
    stringFromArray(evenArrayElements(arrayFromString(myString)));

// Annoying
const output = everyOtherLetter(
    toUpperCase("Is a monad a burrito? We may never know."));

// => "I OA URT?W A EE NW"
```

#### **Pipe**

```
export function pipe<A>(a: A): A;
export function pipe<A, B>(a: A, ab: (a: A) => B): B;
export function pipe<A, B, C>(a: A, ab: (a: A) => B, bc: (b: B) => C): C;
export function pipe<A, B, C, D>(
  a: A,
  ab: (a: A) => B,
 bc: (b: B) \Rightarrow C,
  cd: (c: C) \Rightarrow D
): D;
export function pipe(
  a: unknown,
  ab?: Function,
  bc?: Function,
  cd?: Function
): unknown {
  switch (arguments.length) {
    case 1:
     return a;
    case 2:
     return ab!(a);
    case 3:
     return bc!(ab!(a));
    case 4:
      return cd!(bc!(ab!(a)));
  return;
```

#### Pipe, continued

```
// A little annoying
const everyOtherLetter = (myString: string) =>
   pipe(myString, arrayFromString, evenArrayElements, stringFromArray);

// A little annoying
const capitalizedEveryOtherLetter = (input: toStringable) =>
   pipe(stringify, toUpperCase, everyOtherLetter);
```

#### **Flow**

```
function flow<A extends ReadonlyArray<unknown>, B>(
  ab: (...a: A) \Rightarrow B
): (...a: A) => B;
function flow<A extends ReadonlyArray<unknown>, B, C>(
  ab: (...a: A) => B,
  bc: (b: B) => C
): (...a: A) => C;
function flow<A extends ReadonlyArray<unknown>, B, C, D>(
  ab: (...a: A) => B,
 bc: (b: B) => C,
  cd: (c: C) \Rightarrow D
): (...a: A) => D;
function flow(ab: Function, bc?: Function, cd?: Function): unknown {
  switch (arguments.length) {
    case 1:
      return ab;
    case 2:
      return function (this: unknown) {
        return bc!(ab.apply(this, arguments));
      };
    case 3:
      return function (this: unknown) {
        return cd!(bc!(ab.apply(this, arguments)));
      };
  return;
```

## Flow, continued

```
const capitalizedEveryOtherLetter = flow(
   stringify,
   toUpperCase,
   everyOtherLetter
);
```

# **Purity**

#### Wikipedia:

In computer programming, a pure function is a function that has the following properties:

- 1. The function return values are identical for identical arguments (no variation with local static variables, non-local variables, mutable reference arguments or input streams).
- 2. The function application has no side effects (no mutation of local static variables, non-local variables, mutable reference arguments or input/output streams).

# Purity: Why is it good?

- Easy to test
- Easier to reason about
- Easier to debug

# **Obstacles to purity**

Roughly: the fallen state of the world.



## Purity in an impure world

```
// I am pure and need to be protected from the ugly truth of the world
const myPristineFunction = (input: string): string => input.toLowerCase(); //
// I am the jaded, world-weary stuff that knows the hard truths of reality
interface Success<A> { //
  success: A; //
 tag: " success"; // necessary for runtime checks
interface Failure<F> { //
  failure: F; //
 tag: "_failure"; // necessary for runtime checks
type TheUglyWorld<A, F> = Success<A> | Failure<F>; //
```

We want myPristineFunction to be delivered to the correct spot where it can do its job, never having to think of how or why it got there. It will be "lifted" into the nasty fallible world without having to get infected by it. How?

First a little housekeeping. For historical reasons, what we're toying with here is called an Either type in fp-ts (inspired, as it is, by Haskell).

- A left is an error (think left = sinister).
- A right is a success (think right = correct).

Also note the readonly s: functional programming likes immutability.

```
interface Left<E> {
   readonly _tag: "Left";
   readonly left: E;
}

interface Right<A> {
   readonly _tag: "Right";
   readonly _right: A;
}

type Either<E, A> = Left<E> | Right<A>;
```

```
// Constructors
const right = <E, A>(right: A): Either<E, A> =>
   ({ _tag: "Right", right });

const left = <E, A>(left: E): Either<E, A> =>
   ({ _tag: "Left", left });

// Type predicates
const isLeft = <E, A>(input: Either<E, A>): input is Left<E> => input._tag === "Left";
const isRight = <E, A>(input: Either<E, A>): input is Right<A> => input._tag === "Right";
```

```
const whoKnows: Either<string, number> =
  Math.random() > 0.5 ? right(42) : left("It's gone terribly wrong!");
// Farhenheit to celsius: first thing you do, subtract 32, five-ninths.
const naiveSubtractThirtyTwo = (input: number): number => input - 32;
const naiveFiveNinths = (input: number): number => (input * 5) / 9.0;
// Annoyance level: rising
const result = isRight(whoKnows)
  ? right(naiveFiveNinths(naiveSubtractThirtyTwo(whoKnows.right)))
  : whoKnows;
```

Let's do a little helper. We want to abstract this "take my function, 'lift' it up into that weird Either thingy, then apply it."

It's kind of like map ing over an array, (except it's just one thing), so let's call that helper function map.

```
const map = <E, A, B>(input: Either<E, A>, func: (a: A) => B): Either<E, B> =>
   isRight(input) ? right(func(input.right)) : input;

const subtracted = map(whoKnows, naiveSubtractThirtyTwo);
const finalAnswer = map(subtracted, naiveFiveNinths);

console.log(finalAnswer);
```

# That pipe thing would be nice, though

```
const naiveSubtractThirtyTwo = (input: number): number => input - 32;
const naiveFiveNinths = (input: number): number => (input * 5) / 9.0;

const map = <E, A, B>(input: Either<E, A>, func: (a: A) => B): Either<E, B> =>
   isRight(input) ? right(func(input.right)) : input;

// Argument of type 'Either<string, number>' is not assignable to parameter of type 'number'.
// Type 'Left<string>' is not assignable to type 'number'.(2345)
pipe(whoKnows, naiveSubtractThirtyTwo, naiveFiveNinths);
```

# Piping with Either, continued

It seems like we might need to use that map thing, but the type isn't right.

```
const naiveSubtractThirtyTwo = (input: number): number => input - 32;
const naiveFiveNinths = (input: number): number => (input * 5) / 9.0;

const map = <E, A, B>(input: Either<E, A>, func: (a: A) => B): Either<E, B> =>
    isRight(input) ? right(func(input.right)) : input;

// Argument of type 'Either<unknown, unknown>' is not assignable to parameter of type '(a: Either<string, number>) => unknown'.

// Type 'Left<unknown>' is not assignable to type '(a: Either<string, number>) => unknown'.

// Type 'Left<unknown>' provides no match for the signature '(a: Either<string, number>): unknown'.(2345)
pipe(whoKnows, map(naiveSubtractThirtyTwo), map(naiveFiveNinths));
```

# Piping with Either, continued

The problem is that in order to pass the result from one computation to the next, we need to switch to curried functions.

#### Curried functions, you say?

Yes.

```
const addThreeNumbers = (
  first: number,
  second: number,
  third: number
): number => first + second + third;

const curriedAddThreeNumbers =
  (first: number) => (second: number) => (third: number): number =>
    first + second + third;

console.log(curriedAddThreeNumbers(7)(10)(22)); // => 39
```

#### Okay, but why?

A curried function returns a "partially applied" function.

```
const addTwoNumbersToSeven = curriedAddThreeNumbers(7);
const addTo44 = addTwoNumbersToSeven(37);
console.log(addTo44(18)); // => 62
```

# Okay, but why?

Let's redefine map to do the following:

- 1. Take in a pure function (like before).
- 2. Curry it into a function that takes an Either.

So like before, it takes a pure function and an Either and eventually applies the pure function to the right side of the Either.

The difference is that you give it the pure function and you get back a new function that takes an Either:

```
const map = <E, A, B>(func: (param: A) => B) => (
  input: Either<E, A>
): Either<E, B> => (isRight(input) ? right(func(input.right)) : input);
```

#### **OKAY, BUT WHY?**

Here's one way to apply it:

```
const naiveSubtractThirtyTwo = (input: number): number => input - 32;
const whoKnows: Either<string, number> =
   Math.random() > 0.5 ? right(42) : left("It's gone terribly wrong!");
const subtracted = map(naiveSubtractThirtyTwo)(whoKnows);
```

But that also means we can do this:

```
const subtracted = pipe(whoKnows, map(naiveSubtractThirtyTwo));
```

# Piping with Either, continued

pipe(whoKnows, map(naiveSubtractThirtyTwo), map(naiveFiveNinths));

# Piping with Either, continued

Or we could compose naiveSubtractThirtyTwo and naiveFiveNinths with flow, then use that in our map.

```
pipe(
  whoKnows,
  map(
    flow(naiveSubtractThirtyTwo, naiveFiveNinths),
  ),
);
```

#### **Option**

Where an Either represents a fallible operation, an Option represents a nullable value.

```
interface Some<A> {
   _tag: "Some";
   some: A;
}
interface None {
   _tag: "None";
}
type Option<A> = Some<A> | None;
```

#### Option, continued

And the related functions:

```
// Type constructors
const some = <A>(some: A): Option<A> => ({ _tag: "Some", some });
const none: Option<never> = { _tag: "None" };

// Type predicates
const isSome = <A>(input: Option<A>): input is Some<A> => input._tag === "Some";
const isNone = (input: Option): input is None => input._tag === "None";
const map = <A, B>(func: (param: A) => B) => (input: Option<A>): Option<B> => isSome(input) ? some(func(input.some)) : none;
```

# An example with Either and Option

Imagine we make a database request that could error, and it's also possible the ID isn't found. Note at this point we're switching to the real fp-ts library.

```
// "Server"
const databaseLookup = (id: number): 404 | Record<string, string> = ({
  1: {name: "Peter Sagan", status: "Fading"},
  2: {name: "Tadej Pogačar", status: "Rising"},
  4: {nome: "Lance Armstrong", status: "Shameless"},
 7: {name: "Marianne Vos", status: "GOAT"},
}[id] || 404);
const networkEndpoint = (
  id: number
): 404 | Record<string, string> | undefined =>
  Math.random() < 0.67 ? databaseLookup(id) : undefined;</pre>
```

```
// Client-side networking
interface RiderData {
  name: string;
  status: string;
type RequestResult = E.Either<string, 0.Option<RiderData>>;
const requestData: (id: number) => RequestResult = flow(
  networkEndpoint,
  E.fromNullable("Gremlins stuck in the machine"),
  E.map(0.fromPredicate((data) => data !== 404))
) as (id: number) => RequestResult;
```

```
// Client-side rendering
const handle200 = (data: RiderData) => {
  console.log(`Found ${data.name}, status: ${data.status}`);
};
const handle404 = () => {
  console.log("Result not found");
};
const handle500 = (error: string) => {
  console.error(`Server error: ${error}`);
};
// WOW LOOK AT THIS SNAZZY CODE!
const render: (data: RequestResult) => void =
  E.match(handle500, O.match(handle404, handle200));
pipe(4, requestData, render);
```

#### Uh-oh...

\$> ts-node server.ts
Found undefined, status: Shameless

#### We didn't validate

```
import * as t from "io-ts";
const RiderData = t.type({ name: t.string, status: t.string });
type RiderData = t.TypeOf<typeof RiderData>;
type RequestResult = E.Either<string | t.Errors, 0.Option<RiderData>>;
const requestData: (id: number) => RequestResult =
  flow(
    networkEndpoint,
    E.fromNullable("Gremlins stuck in the machine"),
    E.chainW((response) =>
      response === 404
        ? E.of(O.none)
        : pipe(response, RiderData.decode, E.map(0.of))
const handle500 = (error: unknown) => {
  console.error(`Server error: ${JSON.stringify(error, null, 2)}`);
};
```

# Something something category theory?

The stuff we've already looked at has this category theory stuff in it. Roughly speaking:

- Things that let you do that map bit are functors.
- Things that let you fold or match are semigroups.
  - Things that let you fold or match without having to pass in a starting value are monoids.

#### Umm, what about monads?

Remember where we mapped a validation function (that takes a value and returns an Either) and kind of map ed it onto that possible server error...

But that server error was already an Either ...

And so we could have wound up with Either<Either< ... >> ?

But because we used chain instead of map, it "smashed" the two Either s
together? (Some languages call that flatMap, by the way.)

#### Yes I remember that. What are monads?

A monad is a thing like Either or Option that lets you:

- Do that flat map thing: lift a function up and smash the nested output.
- Stick a value into the Either or Option in the first place, i.e. E.right(42) for example.
- Plus follow some rules about how it's implemented.

## Whoa, why those things?

So you can "lift" something up into a context like fallibility (with Either), nullability (with Option), async (with Task), side effects (with IO), fallible async (with TaskEither), dependency injection (with Reader), fallible async with dependency injection (with ReaderTaskEither), etc...

And then keep plugging in functions that don't know about that context...

And have the computations continue on the happy path but sensible error handling on the sad path...

And make all of that be enforced by the language and type system...