## Homework 5

## Due March 15, 2017

Let  $(\Omega, F, P)$  be a probability space and  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  sub- $\sigma$ -fields of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  are **independent**, written  $\mathcal{A}_1 \perp \mathcal{A}_2$ , if and only if

$$P(A_1 \cap A_2) = P(A_1)P(A_2)$$

for all  $A_1 \in A_1$  and  $A_2 \in A_2$ . Notice that this definition is also equivalent to the condition

$$E(X_1X_2) = E(X_1)E(X_2)$$

for all  $X_1 \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}_1)$  and  $X_2 \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}_2)$  (where  $\mathcal{N}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}_i)$  denotes the set of non-negative extended random variables).

Conditional independence of  $\sigma$ -fields is an important concept for studying Markov properties of random fields. Two  $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  are said to be **conditionally independent** given a sub- $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ , written  $\mathcal{A}_1 \perp_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A}_2$ , if and only if

$$E(X_1X_2|\mathcal{B}) = E(X_1|\mathcal{B})E(X_2|\mathcal{B})$$

for all  $X_1 \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}_1)$  and  $X_2 \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}_2)$ . Also let  $\mathcal{C} \vee \mathcal{D}$  denote  $\sigma \langle \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \rangle$  when  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are two collections of events on  $\Omega$ 

Exercise 1. Show the following

- 1.  $A_1 \perp_{\mathcal{B}} A_2 \iff E(I_{A_1}I_{A_2}|\mathcal{B}) \stackrel{a.e.}{=} E(I_{A_1}|\mathcal{B})E(I_{A_2}|\mathcal{B}) \text{ for all } A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1 \text{ and } A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2.$
- 2.  $A_1 \perp_{\mathcal{B}} A_2 \iff E(X_2|\mathcal{A}_1 \vee \mathcal{B}) \stackrel{a.e.}{=} E(X_2|\mathcal{B}) \text{ for all } X_2 \in \mathscr{N}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}_2).$
- 3.  $\mathcal{A}_1 \perp \mathcal{A}_2 \iff E(X_2|\mathcal{A}_1) \stackrel{a.e.}{=} E(X_2) \text{ for all } X_2 \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}_2).$
- 4.  $A_1 \perp_{\mathcal{B}} A_2 \iff (A_1 \vee \mathcal{B}) \perp_{\mathcal{B}} (A_2 \vee \mathcal{B}).$
- 5.  $(A_1 \vee B) \perp A_2 \iff B \perp A_2 \text{ and } A_1 \perp_{\mathcal{B}} A_2$ .

Hint for 2.  $(\Longrightarrow)$ , it suffices (why?) to consider the case where  $X_2$  is integrable; apply  $\pi$ -system results for conditional expected value with  $\mathcal{P} = \{A_1 \cap B \colon A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1 \text{ and } B \in \mathcal{B}\}.$