

Homework 5

Due Tuesday, November 8, 2016

We are now moving beyond our old friend, the binary digit coin flips, to more general random variable theory. As a short farewell, take a look at the paper titled *Statistical independence in probability, analysis and number theory*, by Mark Kac. Mark was a probabilist working in the 1950s who wrote the famous article *Can One Hear the Shape of a Drum* and developed much of probabilistic number theory with Erdős. In that paper, Mark develops a lot of the same theory for Rademacher functions we developed but at a time before probability theory was a major branch of mathematics. Reading this article, you can tell that Mark was being very careful to frame the probabilistic statements in measure theoretic terms.

In Homework 2 we introduced the notion of inner-regularity of a measure on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$. When working with a probability measure P on a general metric space Ω it is somewhat more common to use the term *tight* as a synonym for *inner-regular*. In particular, a probability measure P on $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega))$ is **tight**, equivalently **inner-regular**, if $P(B) = \sup\{P(K) : K \subset B, K \text{ compact}\}$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ where a set $K \subset \Omega$ is compact if each open cover of K has a finite sub-cover. Here is another definition of a tight probability measure, equivalent for probability measures, that is more convenient for our use case.

Definition 1. Suppose Ω is a metric space. A probability measure P on $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega))$ is **tight** if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a compact $K \subset \Omega$ such that $P(K) > 1 - \epsilon$.

The fact that this is an equivalent definition follows, by similar arguments done for Exercise 6 (in Homework), since an extension of Theorem 1 (in Homework 2) holds for probability measures on $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega))$. This new definition can now be extended to a collection of probability measures.

Definition 2. Suppose Ω is a metric space and \mathcal{P} is a collection of probability measures on $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega))$. \mathcal{P} is said to be **tight** if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a compact $K \subset \Omega$ such that $P(K) > 1 - \epsilon$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$.

The significance of tightness is seen in Prohorov's Theorem which says that when Ω is separable and complete (i.e. a Polish space) \mathcal{P} is tight if and only if \mathcal{P} is relatively compact (in the sense that for every sequence of elements in \mathcal{P} there exists a subsequence which converges in distribution to a probability measure, not necessarily in \mathcal{P}). We will get into this later. For now, you should think of a sequence of probability measures P_1, P_2, \dots as being **tight** if there is no "mass escaping to infinity". The following exercises explore this intuition.

Definition 3. Suppose X and Y are two random variables where X is defined on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) and Y is defined on $(\Omega', \mathcal{F}', Q)$. Y is said to be **stochastically larger** than X if $P[X \leq x] \geq Q[Y \leq x]$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Exercise 1. Suppose X and Y are random variables and that Y is stochastically larger than X . Show there exists random

variables X^* and Y^* defined on a common probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) such that $X^* \sim X$, $Y^* \sim Y$ and $X^*(\omega) \leq Y^*(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$.

Let \mathcal{I} be an arbitrary index set and let $X_i, i \in \mathcal{I}$ be a family of random variables where each X_i is defined on a probability space $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, P_i)$. Let $F_i(x) := P_i(X_i \leq x)$ be the distribution function of X_i . The X_i 's are said to be **stochastically dominated** by a random variable X if X is stochastically larger than $|X_i|$ for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$. The X_i 's are said to be **pointwise dominated** by X if all the random variables X, X_i , for $i \in \mathcal{I}$, are defined on the same probability space and $|X_i(\omega)| \leq X(\omega)$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$ and for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$.

Exercise 2. Let $X_i, i \in \mathcal{I}$, be a family of random variables where each X_i is defined on a probability space $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, P_i)$. Show that the following are equivalent

1. The collection of induced measures $\{P_i X_i^{-1}\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ are tight;
2. The X_i 's are stochastically dominated by some random variable;
3. $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \sup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} F_i(x) = 0$ and $\lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{i \in \mathcal{I}} F_i(y) = 1$;
4. There exists random variables $X_i^*, i \in \mathcal{I}$, all defined on a common probability space such that $X_i^* \sim X_i$ for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$ and the X_i^* 's are pointwise dominated by some random variable.