

# The line is part of a circle

William Troiani

November 26, 2022

## 1 Introduction

A mathematical object of interest  $X$  is sometime a subobject  $X \rightarrowtail Y$  where  $Y$  has structure which makes reasoning about  $X$  simpler. For example, the real open interval  $(0, 1)$  can be embedded inside the circle  $[0, 1]/(0 \sim 1)$ , which is a compact space although  $(0, 1)$  is not. Note also that the real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$  can be embedded into the complex numbers  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrowtail \mathbb{C}$  where  $\mathbb{C}$  is an algebraically closed field and  $\mathbb{R}$  is not. Today, we look at a categorical example of this phenomena, the *Yoneda embedding*.

## 2 Equivalences of categories and embeddings

Recall that a functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is **faithful** if for all pairs of objects  $(X, Y)$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  the function

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(FX, FY) \\ f &\longmapsto Ff \end{aligned}$$

is injective. Also, if this function is surjective then  $F$  is **full**.

Moreover, if for every object  $D \in \mathcal{D}$  there exists an object  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $FC \cong D$  then  $F$  is **essentially surjective**.

This was introduced in Lecture 3 and we mentioned that it provides sufficient conditions for  $F$  to be part of the data of an *equivalence of categories*. At the time, we did not have the language of natural transformations, and so we did not give the definition, now though we can.

**Definition 2.0.1.** An **equivalence of categories** is a pair  $(F, G)$  of functors

$$\begin{aligned} F : \mathcal{C} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \\ G : \mathcal{D} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \end{aligned}$$

along with a pair of natural transformations  $\eta : \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \Rightarrow GF$  and  $\epsilon : FG \Rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}}$ .

**Exercise 2.0.2.** Prove that  $(F, G)$  is an equivalence of categories if and only if  $F$  is a fully, faithful, essentially surjective functor.

**Exercise 2.0.3** (Hard exercise). Does your proof for the previous exercise hold in the first order theory of ZFC sets?

Thus, we can think of a fully, faithful functor (not necessarily essentially surjective) as an embedding of one category into another. The main result of today's lecture will exhibit such an embedding.

### 3 An introduction to universal properties

In life, it is more important how an object *behaves* than it is what the object *is*. For instance, when pegging in a tent peg, I might use a rock as a hammer. Since the rock in that moment *behaved* like a hammer, does it really matter that what I had was a rock and strictly speaking *not* a hammer?

Mathematically, we can take the same approach.

**Definition 3.0.1.** Let  $X, Y$  be two sets. A **product** of  $X, Y$  consists of a set  $X \times Y$  along with two functions  $\pi_X : X \times Y \longrightarrow X, \pi_Y : X \times Y \longrightarrow Y$  which together satisfy the following property: if  $f : U \longrightarrow X, g : U \longrightarrow Y$  are any two functions, then there exists a unique morphism  $h : U \longrightarrow X \times Y$  which makes the following diagram commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xleftarrow{\pi_X} & X \times Y & \xrightarrow{\pi_Y} & Y \\ & \nwarrow f & \uparrow h & \nearrow g & \\ & & U & & \end{array} \quad (1)$$

An example of a product is the cartesian product

$$(x, y) \in X \times Y \Leftrightarrow x \in X \text{ and } y \in Y \quad (2)$$

*Proof.* Let  $f : U \rightarrow X, g : U \rightarrow Y$  be arbitrary. First we prove uniqueness. Say an appropriate  $h : U \rightarrow X \times Y$  exists. Then for any  $u \in U$ , the first entry of  $h(u)$  is given by  $\pi_X h(u) = f(u)$ , and the second entry is given by  $\pi_Y h(u) = g(u)$ . This means  $h(u) = (f(u), g(u))$  which we note is independent of  $h$ . We notice that this proves existence too.  $\square$

We notice that Definition 3.0.1 never defined what the set  $X \times Y$  of a product *is*, but only defined a *property* of it.

This definition generalises to arbitrary categories immediately.

**Definition 3.0.2.** A **product** (if it exists) of two objects  $X, Y$  in a category  $\mathcal{C}$  consists of an object  $X \times Y$  along with a pair of morphisms  $\pi_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X, \pi_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$  which together satisfy the following property: if  $f : U \rightarrow X, g : U \rightarrow Y$  are any two functions, then there exists a unique morphism  $h : U \rightarrow X \times Y$  which makes the following diagram commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \xleftarrow{\pi_X} & X \times Y & \xrightarrow{\pi_Y} & Y \\
 & \searrow f & \uparrow h & \nearrow g & \\
 & & U & & 
 \end{array} \tag{3}$$

**Lemma 3.0.3.** *If a product  $(X \times Y, \pi_X, \pi_Y)$  exists, then it is unique up to unique isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Let  $(X \hat{\times} Y, \rho_X, \rho_Y)$  be another product. Construct the following diagram, considering only the solid arrows for now.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & X \times Y & & \\
 & \swarrow \pi_X & & \searrow \pi_Y & \\
 X & & & & Y \\
 & \swarrow \rho_X & & \searrow \rho_Y & \\
 & & X \hat{\times} Y & & 
 \end{array} \tag{4}$$

The pairs of morphisms  $\pi_X, \pi_Y$  and  $\rho_X, \rho_Y$  each satisfy the other product's universal property. Thus we obtain two induced morphisms  $h : X \times Y \rightarrow X \hat{\times} Y, j : X \hat{\times} Y \rightarrow X \times Y$  which makes the above diagram commute, considering all arrows now.

Figure 1: Yoneda Lemma core idea

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Hom}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(A, f)} & \text{Hom}(A, X) \\
 \downarrow \Phi_A & & \downarrow \Phi_X \\
 F(A) & \xrightarrow{Ff} & F(X)
 \end{array}$$

$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{id}_A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & f \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ u & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (Ff)u = \Phi_X(f) \end{array}$

The composition  $hj$  makes the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \xleftarrow{\pi_X} & X \times Y & \xrightarrow{\pi_Y} & Y \\
 & \nwarrow f & \uparrow h & \nearrow hj & \\
 & & X \times Y & & 
 \end{array} \tag{5}$$

and so does the identity morphism  $\text{id}_{X \times Y}$ . By uniqueness of such morphisms, we have  $hj = \text{id}_{X \times Y}$ . A similar argument shows that  $jh = \text{id}_{X \times Y}$ .  $\square$

## 4 The Yoneda Lemma

The following Lemma has been referred to as the only theorem in category theory.

**Lemma 4.0.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a small category (that is, a category whose collection of objects is a set), and let  $P : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Set}}$  be a functor. For any object  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  there is a natural bijection*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Nat}(\text{Hom}(C, \_), P) &\cong P(C) \\
 \eta &\longmapsto \eta_C(\text{id}_C)
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The core idea is the diagram shown in Figure 1. We notice that this proves injectivity and surjectivity.  $\square$

**Exercise 4.0.2.** Finish the proof of Lemma 4.0.1 by proving naturality. If you need a hint see [1].

**Definition 4.0.3.** A **contravariant functor**  $F : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is an assignment of an object  $FC \in \mathcal{D}$  to every object  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  along with a function for each pair of objects  $(X, Y)$  in  $\mathcal{C}$

$$Ff : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(FY, FX) \quad (6)$$

(Note the change of order of  $X, Y$ ), subject to the following conditions:

- For any pair of morphisms  $f : X \longrightarrow Y, g : Y \longrightarrow Z$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  we have  $F(g \circ f) = F(f) \circ F(g)$ ,
- For any object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  we have  $F(\text{id}_X) = \text{id}_{FX}$ .

**Exercise 4.0.4.** Show that the data of a contravariant functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is equivalent to the data of a functor  $F : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ .

**Exercise 4.0.5.** Show that there is a “contravariant” version of Yoneda’s Lemma too. That is, prove the following.

**Lemma 4.0.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a small category and  $P : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$  a contravariant functor. For any object  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  there is a natural bijection*

$$\text{Nat}(\text{Hom}(\_, C), P) \cong P(C)$$

In the special case where  $P = \text{Hom}(\_, D)$  for some object  $D \in \mathcal{C}$ , Yoneda’s lemma implies the following natural isomorphism.

$$\text{Nat}(\text{Hom}(\_, C), \text{Hom}(\_, D)) \cong \text{Hom}(C, D) \quad (7)$$

That is, there is an embedding of categories:

$$\mathcal{C} \rightsquigarrow \underline{\text{Set}}^{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}} \quad (8)$$

Facts which we will not prove:

- $\underline{\text{Set}}^{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}$  admits all products.
- $\underline{\text{Set}}^{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}$  admits all coproducts.
- $\underline{\text{Set}}^{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}$  admits all limits and colimits.

- $\underline{\text{Set}}^{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}$  admits all exponential objects and a subobject classifier. In fact,  $\underline{\text{Set}}^{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}$  is a *topos*.

All of these holds whether  $\mathcal{C}$  has any of this structure or *none*. In fact, even more can be said, we know what the excess in  $\underline{\text{Set}}^{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}$  is:

**Proposition 4.0.7.** *Every object  $P \in \underline{\text{Set}}^{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}$  is a colimit of elements in the image of  $\mathcal{C}$  under the yoneda embedding.*

Suggestion: somebody makes a talk out of this.

## References

- [1] W. Troiani, *Course notes for the Séminaire étudiant de théorie des catégories*, <https://williamtroiani.github.io/CategoryTheory/Lecture6.pdf>