Lecture 18: Regularity & Fourier Coefficients · In our theorem on Uniform Convergence, we computed $C_M[f'] = ils C_M[f]$ for fGC'(T). Repeating this gives Kemma 8.9) For FECM(I), CH[F(m)] = Cik) m CH[F] we will notice that regularity is tied to the decay of the . Coefficients Indeed the above says & I (in) MCH[f] 2 < 20. Thus, we introduce some notation. For dER, an = ock4) means lim land = 0 an: O(Kd) means 19x1 = C|K|d for large 15 (or lim lan/144) (0)

and some (>0 independent of K.

The 8.10 For &G em(I) with mG/No, & K^{2m} | (M[f])² La.

[Pf] Since &G(m(I), Bessel's Inequality Applies on &(m)

PFT Since & GCM(II), Bessel's Inequality Applies on & Company of the since & I (ik) MCH[F] | 2 E K2m | CH[F] | 2 Company of the since & I (ik) MCH[F] | 2 E K2m | CH[F] | 2 Company of the since & I (ik) MCH[F] | 2 E K2m | CH[F] | 2 Company of the since & I (ik) MCH[F] | 2 E K2m | CH[F] | 2 Company of the since & Chipm)

Rmu: This Shows CIA[6] = O(15-m)

For h(x): 31x2-7x3 on (0,71), we computed Cu[h] = { T13/2 14:0 -24/7144 11088 38 2665h30 (N[h] C O(N-4). Since hix) is 12 m II (the extension to II as an even function), we only are quaranteed CIASh) CO(14-2), So this is better than expected. • If we wish to apply these tools to PDEs, we aim for a converse that tells us when a function is C. in many ways In essence, vapid decay of CK[f] gives Sn[f]-> & correspondent Since Sn[f] & Coo, the type of Convergence tells us about the vacability of f the regularity of f. Thm 8.12 Suppose f & 2° (II) has hell Info (A)

for mallo. Then, fe ("(I). TF IR m=0, EMEZ ICH[f] / LOS gives Sn[f]->f uniformly, Bo Pace as we proved before. Consider m=1 and Set fn(x) = Sn[f](x). Notice Fn(x) = En incheimx Fn Cm = Cm[f] Further, by the m=0 case, F_n' converges uniformly to some $g \in C^0(\mathbb{T})$. We show g = f'. To see this, notice $\frac{f(x+t)-f(x)}{t}-g(x)=\left[\frac{f_{n}(x+t)-f_{n}(x)}{t}-f_{n}(x)\right]+\left(f_{n}'(x)-g(x)\right)$ + Rackagi Rulx, +) as t->0, the first term $\rightarrow 0$. As now, the second->0, Lastly, $Rn(x,t) = \sum_{||A|>n} C_{|A|} \frac{e^{iH(x+t)} - e^{iHx}}{t}$ which converges for $t \neq 0$ by (A), and converges absolutely.

Since | Rn(x,+) | \ \[\langle E S [CHIO IK] (Taylor Approx.) $|R_n(x,t)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by (A). To formalize the Convergence, pick & 20. Pick large n so IRm(x,+)1 < E/3 and IRm(x) - g(x)1 < E/3 Pich 8>0 50 for 141<8, \frac{f_n(x+t)-f_n(x)}{t}-f_n'(t)\left\\ \frac{\xi_3}{2}. Then, for such 14/16, $|\frac{f(x+y)-f(x)}{x}-g(x)| < \epsilon$. Hence, & = g and & C ((II). Repeating this gives
higher m. I · We also now have the tools to prove what we originally desired. The For he Co(I), the hear equation { \lim u(t,x) = h(x) \\ t-20}

Almiss a solution are now? admits a solution MG(co,00) x II) defined for too by ultix) = E en[h] e - K2 e i Kx [Pf] For too, CK[h] decay more slowly than CK[h]e-M2E

Pl For t>0, $C_{N}[h]$ decay more slowly than $C_{N}[h]$ e $S_{N}[h]$ of $C_{N}[h]$ is defined and in $C_{N}[h]$. The same applies to $C_{N}[h]$ e $C_{N}[h]$ e

and we may define $g = \lim_{n \to \infty} \partial U_{n} \chi$. If we fix $\ell > 0$ and focus on $t \ge \ell$, the Convergence is Uniform. and so g is Continuous for $t \ge \ell$. Hence, let $\ell > 0$ and $g \in C^{0}(\ell_{1}, \infty) \times \overline{U}$.

Exactly as in the previous thm, we may argue $g = \frac{\partial U}{\partial t}$, and acting on higher derivatives, $U \in C^{\infty}(c_0, \omega) \in Q^{\infty}(c_0, \omega)$ $X = \frac{\partial U}{\partial t}$, and acting on higher derivatives, $U \in C^{\infty}(c_0, \omega) \in Q^{\infty}(c_0, \omega)$ $X = \frac{\partial U}{\partial t}$, since each $U = \frac{\partial U}{\partial t}$ and $U = \frac{\partial$

To Show the limit, we need the Focusier Transform (later). []

-D Rescaling [0,1]-> [0,7i] & extending functions
Connects [0,2] to T