

# Lecture 20 ~~Other~~ Maximum Principles

## Strong Principle For Subharmonic Functions

- A real-valued  $C^2$  function  $u$  satisfying  $-\Delta u \leq 0$  is called subharmonic. If  $-\Delta u \geq 0$ , it is superharmonic.

Replacing  $u$  with  $-u$  swaps between these cases

Recall:  $u(x_0) = \frac{1}{A_n R^{n-1}} \int_{\partial B(x_0, R)} u(x) dS + \int_{B(x_0, R)} G_R(x-x_0) \Delta u(x) dx$

- Consider the case that  $u$  is subharmonic and let  $B(x, r) \subseteq \Omega$  for some  $r$ ,  $x \in \Omega$  be a maximum of  $u$ . If  $B(x, r) \subseteq \Omega$

for some  $r$ ,

$$u(x) \leq \frac{1}{A_n r^{n-1}} \int_{\partial B(x, r)} u(y) dS(y),$$

but  $u(y) \leq u(x)$  gives that  $u(y) = u(x)$  for  $y \in \partial B(x, r)$ . Replacing this with

$$u(x) \leq \frac{n}{A_n r^n} \int_{B(x, r)} u(y) dy,$$

we see that  $u$  must be constant in  $B(x, r)$ . Let  $u \equiv M$  in  $B(x, r)$

- This gives us intuition from the MVE: there are no "peaks" of  $u$  on the interior of  $\Omega$ . We may extend this concept, using continuity and if we assume  $\Omega$  is connected.

Let  $E = \{y \in \Omega : u(y) < M\}$ . Let  $F = \{y \in \Omega : u(y) = M\}$ .

The argument above says  $F$  is open. We know  $E$  is open because  $u$  is continuous: If  $u(y_0) < M$ , pick

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}(M - u(y_0)) \text{ and for } |y - y_0| < \delta, |u(y) - u(y_0)| < \varepsilon \text{ means } u(y) < u(y_0) + \varepsilon < M.$$

Now, recall that a connected set  $\Omega$  cannot be written as a union of two open sets if the sets are disjoint. (try doing it to  $(0, 1)$  if this is new to you). and not empty

- Indeed, recall that we defined connected to mean for  $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$  there exists a continuous

$p: [0,1] \rightarrow \Omega$  so  $p(0) = x_1, p(1) = x_2$ .

If  $\Omega = E \cup F$ , and  $x_1 \in E, x_2 \in F$ ,

$p^{-1}(p([0,1]) \cap F) = \tilde{F}$  is open and in  $[0,1]$

$p^{-1}(p([0,1]) \cap E) = \tilde{E}$  is open and in  $[0,1]$ .

Since  $1 \in \tilde{F}$ , consider  $\alpha \in \sup \tilde{F}$ . Since  $\tilde{E}$  is

open  $\alpha \notin \tilde{E}$ . Further,  $\tilde{E} \cup \tilde{F} = [0,1]$ , so  $\alpha \in \tilde{F}$ .

~~But~~ However, then  $(\alpha - \varepsilon, \alpha + \varepsilon) \subseteq \tilde{F}$  for  
some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , so  $\sup(\tilde{E}) < \alpha$ ! Hence,

we have a contradiction.

This long argument tells us the following: either  $E$  or  $F$   
is empty, or...

**Th<sup>m</sup>** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a domain. If  $u \in C^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$   
is subharmonic, then

$$\max_{\bar{\Omega}} u = \max_{\partial\Omega} u$$

and the maximum is attained at an interior point of  $\bar{\Omega}$   
if and only if  $u$  is constant.

- For a superharmonic function, swapping signs gives  
the same statement with

$$\min_{\bar{\Omega}} u = \min_{\partial\Omega} u.$$

One of the most important results of the following:

**Corollary 9.6** Suppose  $u_1, u_2 \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$  are solutions of  $\Delta u = 0$  with

$$u_1|_{\partial\Omega} = g_1, \quad u_2|_{\partial\Omega} = g_2$$

for  $g_1, g_2 \in C^0(\partial\Omega)$ . Then

$$\max_{\bar{\Omega}} |u_2 - u_1| \leq \max_{\partial\Omega} |g_2 - g_1|$$

In particular, the solution to the Laplace Eqn. is determined uniquely by b.c. data.

Rmk: We may also prove uniqueness by Energy Methods:

$$\int_{\Omega} \|\nabla u\|^2 dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} dS = 0 \quad (\text{for } \Delta u = 0)$$

$$\text{If } u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad \nabla u = 0 \Rightarrow u \equiv 0.$$

## Weak Principle For Elliptic Equations

• On a domain  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , let

$$L = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \quad (E)$$

for  $a_{ij}, b_i \in C^0(\bar{\Omega})$

let  $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$  and, for each  $x \in U$

let  $[a_{ij}(x)] = A(x)$  be a positive ~~semi~~ definite matrix.

~~By definition, this says~~

For a maximum principle, we take an assumption of uniformity to the positive-definite matrix called uniform ellipticity:

there exists  $k > 0$  so

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) v_i v_j \geq k \|v\|^2 \quad \text{for } v \in \mathbb{R}^n, \text{ ~~forall~~ } x \in U$$

**Thm 9.7** Suppose  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a bdd. domain and  $L$  is an operator of the form (E) which is uniformly elliptic on  $U$ . If  $u \in C^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$  satisfies  $L u \leq 0$  in  $U$ , then

$$\max_{\bar{U}} u = \max_{\partial U} u$$

**[Pf]** Let ~~u be arbitrary~~;  $w \in C^2(U; \mathbb{R})$  be arbitrary. Suppose  $w$  has a local max at  $x_0$ . Then,  $\nabla w = 0$  at  $x_0$ , and

$$Lw = - \sum a_{ij} \partial_i \partial_j w$$

Since  $L$  is unit. elliptic and  $[\partial_i \partial_j w]$  is negative-definite at  $x_0$  (second derivative test), we will show that

$$Lw(x_0) \geq 0.$$

Indeed, let  $A = [a_{ij}(x_0)]$ ,  $B = [-2x_i \partial_{x_j} w(x_0)]$ . Notice

$A$  has a positive minimum eigenvalue  $\lambda_0$ , and we assume  $A$  to be diagonal (by a change-of-basis).

$$\text{Then, } \text{tr}(AB) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j b_{jj} \geq \lambda_0 \text{tr}(B) \geq 0$$

Since  $B$  is positive-~~definite~~ semidefinite.

$$\text{As } \text{tr}(AB) = Lw(x_0), \quad Lw(x_0) \geq 0.$$

Apply this to ~~u~~  $u(x)$ . If we assume  $Lw < 0$  on  $U$ , we notice a contradiction and obtain  $\max_{\bar{U}} u = \max_{\partial U} u$ .

Thus, we only need to relax our assumption to the case  $Lw \leq 0$ .

To do so, we alter  $u$  by a small approximation.

Pick  $M > 0$  and set  $h(x) = e^{Mx}$ . So

$$Lh = [-a_{ii} M^2 + b_{ii} M] h$$

As  $a_{ii} \geq \eta$  (uniform ellipticity), choosing  $M > \frac{1}{\eta} \max_{\bar{U}} b_{ii}$ , gives  $Lh < 0$ .

Now,  $L(u + \epsilon h) < 0$  for  $\epsilon > 0$ , so that

$$\max_{\bar{U}} u + \epsilon h = \max_{\partial U} u + \epsilon h.$$

$$\text{For } h \geq 0, \quad \max_{\bar{U}} u \leq \max_{\bar{U}} u + \epsilon h$$

Since  $u$  is hdd,  $h(x) \leq e^{MR}$  for some large  $R > 0$

$$\text{and } \max_{\bar{U}} u \leq \left[ \max_{\partial U} u \right] + \epsilon e^{MR} \leq \max_{\bar{U}} u + \epsilon e^{MR}$$

As  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain our goal.  $\square$



## Application to the Heat Equation

• We noticed previously that heat tends to dissipate from a spatial maximum, suggesting that maxima either occur on the boundary at the earliest known time.

• There is a case of a mean value formula, though it is more opaque than for Laplace's Equation. Instead, we approach as we did for general elliptic operators.

• First, let us define a "heat boundary"

$$\partial_h[(0, \tau) \times U] = (\{t=0\} \times U) \cup ([0, \tau] \times \partial U)$$

and  $C^{\text{heat}}(U) = \{ u \in C^0([0, \infty) \times \bar{U}; \mathbb{R}) ; u(\cdot, x) \in C^1((0, \infty)), u(t, \cdot) \in C^2(U) \}$

**Thm 9.8** Suppose  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a bdd. domain and  $u \in C^{\text{heat}}(U)$  satisfies  $(\partial_t - \Delta)u \leq 0$  on  $(0, \tau) \times U$ . Then,

$$\max_{[0, \tau] \times \bar{U}} u = \max_{\partial_h[(0, \tau) \times U]} u$$

**Pl** Suppose  $u$  attains a max at  $(t_0, x_0) \in (0, \tau) \times U$ . Then,

$$\partial_t u|_{(t_0, x_0)} = 0, \quad \nabla u(t_0, x_0) = 0 \quad \text{so that}$$

$$-\Delta u(t_0, x_0) \leq 0 \quad \text{by the heat equation.}$$

Since  $\partial^2 u / \partial x_j^2(t_0, x_0) \leq 0$  (local max),

$$-\Delta u(t_0, x_0) \geq 0.$$

If this were strict, we'd be done. As above, we approximate this.

Set  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $u_\varepsilon = u + \varepsilon |x|^2$ . Recall  $\Delta |x|^2 = 2n$

So that  $(\partial_t - \Delta)u_\varepsilon = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u - 2n\varepsilon < 0$ .

Then,  $u_\varepsilon$  attains its max on  $\partial_h[(0, T) \times U] \cup (\{T\} \times U)$ .

Let this point be  $(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon)$ .

First, suppose  $t_\varepsilon = T$  and  $x_\varepsilon \in U$ . We have

$$u_\varepsilon(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon) = u_\varepsilon(T, x_\varepsilon) \geq u_\varepsilon(t, x_\varepsilon) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]$$

so  $\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t}(T, x_\varepsilon) \geq 0$  and so

$$\Delta u_\varepsilon(T, x_\varepsilon) > 0, \quad \text{a contradiction.}$$

Thus,  $(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon) \in \partial_h[(0, T) \times U]$ . Pick  $R$  so  $|x|^2 < R$  on  $U$  and

$$\max_{[0, T] \times \bar{U}} u \leq \max_{[0, T] \times \bar{U}} u_\varepsilon \leq \left( \max_{\partial_h[(0, T) \times U]} u \right) + \varepsilon R$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\max_{[0, T] \times \bar{U}} u \leq \max_{\partial_h[(0, T) \times U]} u$$

as required  $\square$

**Corollary 9.9** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bdd domain. A solution of the heat equation  $u_t = \text{heat}(u)$  is uniquely determined by  $u|_{\partial U}$  and  $u|_{t=0}$ .

**[pf]** Since  $u$  and  $-u$  satisfy  $(\partial_t - \Delta)(u) \leq 0$  and  $(\partial_t - \Delta)(-u) \leq 0$

$$\min_{\partial_h[(0, T) \times U]} u \leq u \leq \max_{\partial_h[(0, T) \times U]} u$$

Let  $u_1, u_2$  be two solutions and  $w = u_1 - u_2$  has  $w|_{\partial_h[(0, T) \times U]} = 0$ . The above gives  $w \equiv 0$  on  $[0, T] \times \bar{U}$ .

- We can extend this result in two ways. First, we may reach  $\partial_t u - Lu = a$  for general elliptic operators. Second, we may find uniqueness on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , which we do explicitly.

**Corollary 9.10** Suppose that  $u$  is a classical solution to the heat equation  $\partial_t u - \Delta u = a$   $u|_{t=0} = g$

on  $[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , and that  $u$  is bounded on  $[0, \tau] \times \mathbb{R}^n$  for  $\tau > 0$ . Then,

$$\max_{[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n} u \leq \max_{\mathbb{R}^n} g$$

**[Pf]** Assume  $u(t, x) \leq M$  on  $[0, \tau] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . For  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , set

$$v(t, x) = u(t, x) - \underbrace{\varepsilon(\tau - t)^{-n/2}}_{\text{Heat-kernel-ish}} e^{\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(\tau-t)}}$$

We may directly check  $(\partial_t - \Delta)v = 0$  on  $(0, \tau) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Pick  $R > 0$ , and by the previous thm,

$$\max_{(0, \tau) \times B(y, R)} v \leq \max_{\partial_h((0, \tau) \times B(y, R))} v$$

By construction,  $v(0, x) \leq g(x)$  and for  $x \in \partial B(y, R)$ ,  $v(t, x) \leq M - \varepsilon \tau^{-n/2} e^{R^2/4\tau}$

then, for large  $R$ ,  $v(t, x)$  can't attain a max on  $\partial B(y, R)$  so

$$\max_{(0, \tau) \times B(y, R)} v \leq \max_{B(y, R)} g \leq \max_{\mathbb{R}^n} g$$

or

$$u(t, y) \leq \max_{\mathbb{R}^n} g + \varepsilon(\tau - t)^{-n/2}$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,  $u(t, y) \leq \max_{\mathbb{R}^n} g$  on  $(0, \tau) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then, take

$\tau \rightarrow \infty$ .

□