

# Lecture 22: Sobolev Spaces

- Given our previous move toward weak solutions, we want to focus on spaces of functions with weak derivatives. However, it proves helpful to strengthen integrability requirements as well.

- Sobolev spaces based on  $L^2$  are defined by

$$H^m(\Omega) = \{u \in L^2(\Omega); D^\alpha u \in L^2(\Omega) \text{ for all } |\alpha| \leq m\}$$

for  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $D^\alpha$  the weak derivative. An extended family  $W^{m,p}$  is defined by replacing  $L^2$  with  $L^p$ .

$\leadsto$  Eg.  $H^1(\Omega)$  contains all piecewise linear functions, ~~so~~ for  $\Omega$  odd, so it is a good space to use to approximate solutions by simpler functions.

- The space  $H^m(\Omega)$  carries an inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{H^m} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \langle D^\alpha u, D^\alpha v \rangle$$

**Thm 10.8** For  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $H^m(\Omega)$  is a Hilbert space.  
(We will not prove here - an exercise in convergences)

- Recall that  $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$  is dense in  $L^2(\Omega)$  (for  $f \in L^2$ , there exists  $\{\varphi_n\} \subset C_c^\infty$ ,  $\{\varphi_n\} \rightarrow f$ ). This no longer holds in  $H^m$  with  $m \geq 1$ . In particular, we often consider

$$H_0^1(\Omega) = \{u \in H^1(\Omega); \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u - \varphi_n\|_{H^1} = 0 \text{ for } \varphi_n \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)\}$$

$$= \overline{C_c^\infty(\Omega)}$$

$\leadsto$  Notice  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  is also a Hilbert space, b/c it is closed.

- If  $\partial\Omega$  is  $C^1$ , we may define a restriction to the boundary of  $H^1$  functions. In this case,  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  consists of functions whose restriction vanishes, but the true theory behind this is beyond our course (see Evans, Ch. 5).

**10.9** If  $u \in H_0^1(a, b)$ , then  $u$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$  &  $u(a) = u(b) = 0$ .

**[Pf]** Suppose  $u \in H_0^1(a, b)$  and so  $\{\varphi_k\} \subset C^\infty(a, b)$   
 has  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_k - u\|_{H^1} = 0$

we pull a computational trick. Pick  $x \in (a, b)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_j(x) - \varphi_k(x) &= \int_a^x \varphi_j'(y) - \varphi_k'(y) dy \\ &\leq \|\varphi_j' - \varphi_k'\|_{L^2} \|\chi_{[a, x]}\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|\varphi_j - \varphi_k\|_{H^1} \sqrt{x-a} \leq \sqrt{b-a} \|\varphi_j - \varphi_k\|_{H^1} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varphi_j \rightarrow u$  in  $H^1$ ,  $\{\varphi_j\}$  is Cauchy in  $H^1$   
 and thus  $\{\varphi_j\}$  is Cauchy in  $L^\infty$  as above.

$$\|\varphi_j - \varphi_k\|_{L^\infty} \leq \sqrt{b-a} \|\varphi_j - \varphi_k\|_{H^1}$$

Let  $g = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_k$  in  $L^\infty$  so  $g \in C^0$  (b/c  $g$  is a uniform limit of  $C^\infty$  functions).

Since  $[a, b]$  is held,

$$\|\varphi_j - g\|_{L^2} \leq \sqrt{(b-a) \|\varphi_j - g\|_{L^\infty}}$$

and so  $\varphi_j \rightarrow g$  in  $L^2$ . We then must have  $g = u$   
 as  $\varphi_j \rightarrow u$  in  $L^2$ .

Lastly,  $\varphi_j(a) \rightarrow u(a)$  gives  $u(a) = 0$ , and similarly  $u(b) = 0$ .  $\square$

• This continuity of  $H^1$  functions doesn't generalize directly to higher dimensions. We will discuss this more shortly.

Lastly, we develop a tool for later use.

**Lemma 10.10** For  $\Omega \subset \tilde{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , the extension by 0 of an  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  function gives an element of  $H_0^1(\tilde{\Omega})$ .

**[Pf]** For  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , let  $\tilde{u}$  denote the extension-by-0 to  $\tilde{\Omega}$ . The weak gradient  $\nabla u \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$  may also be extended by 0 to  $\tilde{\Omega} \in L^2(\tilde{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^n)$

• We show that  $\tilde{\nabla} u$  is the weak gradient of  $\tilde{u}$ .

Indeed, pick  $\varphi_k \in C_c^\infty$ ,  $\{\varphi_k\} \rightarrow u$  in  $H^1$ . For  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})$

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \varphi \nabla \varphi_k dx = \int_{\Omega} \varphi \nabla \varphi_k dx = - \int_{\Omega} \varphi_k \nabla \varphi dx = - \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \varphi_k \nabla \varphi dx$$

$\Rightarrow$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \varphi \tilde{\nabla} u dx = \int_{\Omega} \varphi \nabla u dx = - \int_{\Omega} u \nabla \varphi dx = - \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \tilde{u} \nabla \varphi dx. \quad \square$$

## Sobolev Regularity

**Thm 10.11** Sobolev Embedding:

Suppose  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a bdd. domain. If  $m > k + n/2$

$$H^m(U) \subseteq C^k(U).$$

$\leadsto$  The proof is a series of calculations and approximations a bit beyond our course, but it ties deeply to the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities that focus on more general embeddings. For example, with appropriate assumptions,

$$H^m(U) \subseteq C^k(\bar{U}). \quad \text{for } k > 0.$$

$\leadsto$  Instead, we will take a route involving the connection between regularity & Fourier coefficients.

•) Set  $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / (2\pi\mathbb{Z})^n$  to be the  $n$ -torus. We again may define, for  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$

$$c_k[f] = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} e^{-ik \cdot x} f(x) dx$$

•) Arguing as we did in 1-D,

**Thm 10.12** For  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} c_k[f] e^{ik \cdot x}$  converges to

$f$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ .

•) This directly implies  
for  $f, g \in L^2$

$$\langle f, g \rangle = (2\pi)^n \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} c_k[f] \overline{c_k[g]}$$

• Because  $\mathbb{T}^n$  is periodic, we may test by functions in  $C^\infty(\mathbb{T})$  instead of  $C_c^\infty$ . Otherwise,  $D^\alpha f$  the weak derivative of  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{T})$  is defined to be the function so

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \psi D^\alpha f dx = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_0^{2\pi} f D^\alpha \psi dx$$

for all  $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ .

• Notice  $D^\alpha(e^{ik \cdot x}) = (ik)^\alpha e^{ik \cdot x}$  for  $(ik)^\alpha = i^{|\alpha|} k_1^{\alpha_1} \dots k_n^{\alpha_n}$ .

Then,  $C_k[D^\alpha f] = (ik)^\alpha C_k[f]$  for  $|\alpha| \leq m$ ,  $f \in H^m(\mathbb{T})$ .

**Thm 10.13** A function  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$  lies in  $H^m(\mathbb{T})$  for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  iff  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |k|^{2m} |C_k[f]|^2 < \infty$ .

**[Pf]** If  $D^\alpha f \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |(ik)^\alpha C_k[f]|^2 < \infty$  by Bessel's inequality.

If  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$  has  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |k|^{2m} |C_k[f]|^2 < \infty$ , define

for each  $|\alpha| \leq m$   
 $g_\alpha(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} (ik)^\alpha C_k[f] e^{ik \cdot x}$  has  $g_\alpha \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$

and for  $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g_\alpha, \psi \rangle &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} (2\pi)^n (ik)^\alpha C_k[f] \overline{C_k[\psi]} \\ &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} (2\pi)^n \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} C_k[f] \overline{C_k[D^\alpha \psi]} \\ &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle f, D^\alpha \psi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

which is the same as saying  $g_\alpha = D^\alpha f$ .  $\square$



# Thm 10.14 Periodic Sobolev Embedding

If  $m > q + n/2$ ,

$$H^m(\mathbb{T}^n) \subset C^q(\mathbb{T}^n).$$

[Pl] Recall  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ , the Hilbert space of functions  $\mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with inner product  $\langle \beta, \gamma \rangle = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \beta(k) \overline{\gamma(k)}$

Consider  $\beta(k) = (1+|k|)^{-2m}$  where

$$\|\beta\|_{\ell^2}^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} (1+|k|)^{-2m} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|x|)^{-2m} dx = A_n \int_0^\infty (1+r)^{-2m} r^{n-1} dr$$

which is finite if  $2m > n$  (in which case

$$\beta \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^n)).$$

Let  $f \in H^m(\mathbb{T}^n)$  for  $m > n/2$ . Define  $\gamma(k) = (1+|k|)^m c_k[f]$  which is in  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^n)$  by the prev. thm.

$$\text{and } \langle \beta, \gamma \rangle_{\ell^2} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |c_k[f]| \leq \|\beta\|_{\ell^2} \|\gamma\|_{\ell^2} < \infty$$

such that  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} c_k[f] e^{ikx} \rightarrow f$  uniformly and  $f \in C^0(\mathbb{T}^n)$ .

To apply to higher derivatives,  $f \in H^m(\mathbb{T}^n)$  for  $m > q + n/2$  means that for  $|\alpha| \leq q$ ,  $D^\alpha f \in H^{m-|\alpha|}(\mathbb{T}^n) \subset C^0(\mathbb{T}^n)$ .  $\square$

Finally, we prove thm 10.11

Suppose  $u \in H^m(U)$ ,  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $x_0 \in U$ , so for some small  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $B(x_0, \varepsilon) \subset U$ .

Find  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(B(x_0, \varepsilon))$  so  $\varphi \equiv 1$  on  $B(x_0, \varepsilon/2)$ . Assuming  $\varepsilon < 2\pi$ ,  $u\varphi \in H^m(U)$  may be extended to a function in  $H^m(\mathbb{T}^n)$  by periodicity.

Since  $u = u\varphi$  in  $B(x_0, \varepsilon/2)$ , the prev. thm. shows  $u \in C^q(B(x_0, \varepsilon/2))$  for  $m > q + n/2$ . Repeat for each

$x_0 \in U$ .  $\square$