

Review Session

Ch. 5: Heat Eqn. $\begin{cases} (\partial_t - \Delta)u = 0 & \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \\ u(0, x) = h(x) \end{cases}$

- We reduced the PDE to an ODE by the time vs. space scale argument

- This gave a solution $u(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H_t(x-z) h(z) dz$
for $H_t(x) = (4\pi t)^{-n/2} e^{-|x|^2/4t}$

\leadsto If $h \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $u(t, x) \in C^\infty((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n)$

\leadsto We also had infinite propagation speed

- On the inhomogeneous problem

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t - \Delta)u = f \\ u(0, x) = g \end{cases}$$

we saw a solution

$$u(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H_{t-s}(x-y) f(s, y) dy ds + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H_t(x-y) g(y) dy$$

Via Duhamel's method. (solve $\eta_s(t, x)$ so

$$\eta_s(s, x) = f(s, x) \quad \& \quad (\partial_t - \Delta)\eta_s(t, x) = 0, \quad u(t, x) = \int_0^t \eta_s(t, x) ds)$$

- Recall Dirichlet + Neumann B.C., interpretations on a metal rod (2D Heat Eqn)
& connection to general Diffusion

Ch. 7: Function Spaces

- We defined inner products, norms, and limits in vector spaces. We used this to talk about Cauchy Sequences & Completeness, giving us Hilbert & Banach spaces.
- In Hilbert spaces, we talked about orthonormal bases: $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ so $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ and for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$,
$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f, e_i \rangle e_i$$

for $S_n[f] = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle f, e_i \rangle e_i$, we said $\{e_i\}$ is a basis iff. $S_n[f] \rightarrow f$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$.
- For an arbitrary orthonormal set $\{c_i\}$, we established Bessel's Inequality:
$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\langle v, c_j \rangle|^2 \leq \|v\|^2$$

with equality iff. $S_n[v] \rightarrow v$
This also gave us a characterization of a basis via orthogonality: $\{e_i\}$ is a basis iff. the only $v \in \mathcal{H}$ s.t. $\langle v, e_i \rangle = 0$ for all i is $v=0$.
- We also established some basic measure theory with the goal of reaching L^p spaces
$$L^p(\Omega) = \{f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ measurable} : (\int_{\Omega} |f|^p dx)^{1/p} = \|f\|_p < \infty\}$$

which were Banach spaces under $\|\cdot\|_p$. In particular, $L^2(\Omega)$ was a Hilbert space.

- Recall that L^p spaces only "care" about objects up to a set of measure 0
- We also had that for any $f \in L^p(\Omega)$, $\exists \{\psi_k\} \subset C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\psi_k \rightarrow f$ in the L^p norm.
- Lastly, we looked at cases where the Laplacian was self-adjoint in L^2 ($\langle \Delta u, v \rangle = \langle u, \Delta v \rangle$)

Ch. 8: Fourier Series

- We motivated a search for a heat eqn. solution by a sum of product-solutions

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{-\lambda_n t} \phi_n(x)$$

for $\phi_n(x)$ Helmholtz solutions (on $(0, \pi)$, these looked like $\sin(kx)$)

~> Since these $\phi_n(x)$ looked like eigenfunctions of Δ , and could be made normal, the $\phi_n(x)$ were an orthonormal set which we wished to show was a basis

- The periodicity of these functions led us to consider $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, and $L^2(\mathbb{T})$. This gave $\phi_n(x) = e^{ik_n x}$

We then looked at $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k e^{ikx}$

$$\text{for } a_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) e^{-ikx} dx, \quad a_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi}$$

- In particular, we considered pointwise convergence $\sum a_k e^{ikx} = f(x)$ if $\text{ess-sup}_{y \in (x-\varepsilon, x)} \left| \frac{f(x) - f(x-y)}{y} \right| < \infty$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

~> This followed an argument writing $S_n[f](x) = (f * D_n)(x)$ for the Dirichlet kernel D_n

- Next, we looked at Uniform convergence

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{T}} |f(x) - S_n[f](x)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and established that this held if $f \in C^1(\mathbb{T})$

⇒ Also recall that if $\{f_n\} \subseteq C^0(\Omega)$ & $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly, then $f \in C^0(\Omega)$.

- Lastly, we considered L^2 convergence, which held for all $f \in L^2$ (i.e. $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ikx}$ gave a basis for $L^2(\mathbb{T})$).

⇒ This gave Parseval's Identity $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |C_k[f]|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \|f\|_{L^2}^2$.
for $C_k[f] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f e^{-ikx} dx$

- We also extracted information about the regularity of $f(x)$ from the Fourier coefficients:

$$f \in C^m(\mathbb{T}) \iff \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} k^{2m} |C_k[f]|^2 < \infty$$

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |k|^m |C_k[f]| < \infty \Rightarrow f \in C^m(\mathbb{T})$$

- This gave that for "nice" initial data $h(x) \in C^1(\mathbb{T})$,

$$u(t, x) = \sum C_k[h] e^{-ik^2 t} e^{ikx}$$

Solved $(\partial_t - \partial_x^2)u = 0$ on \mathbb{T} with
 $u(0, x) = h(x), \quad u \in C^\infty((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}).$

Ch. 9: Maximum Principles

we began by looking at the Laplace Eqn $\begin{cases} -\Delta u = 0 \\ u|_{\partial D} = f \end{cases}$

- On $D = B(0,1) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, we showed that a solution existed via

$$u(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P_r(\theta - \eta) f(\eta) d\eta$$

with $P_r(\theta) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} r^{|k|} e^{ik\theta}$ the Poisson kernel

- Next, we considered a general bdd. domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. with

$$G_R(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln(1/R) & n=2 \\ \frac{1}{(n-2)A_n} \left[\frac{1}{R^{n-2}} - \frac{1}{|x|^{n-2}} \right] & n>2 \end{cases}$$

we established the Mean Value Formula

$$u(x_0) = A_n \frac{1}{R^{n-1}} \int_{\partial B(x_0, R)} u(x) dS + \int_{B(x_0, R)} G_R(x-x_0) \Delta u(x) dx$$

Then, we saw

$$-\Delta u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \Leftrightarrow u(x_0) = A_n \frac{1}{R^{n-1}} \int_{\partial B(x_0, R)} u(x) dS$$

$$\Leftrightarrow u(x_0) = \frac{n}{A_n R^n} \int_{B(x_0, R)} u(x) dx$$

for all $x_0 \in \Omega$, $\overline{B(x_0, R)} \subset \Omega$

- Next, we used this to derive the Strong maximum principle:

If $-\Delta u \leq 0$ on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a bdd domain and

$u(x_0) = \max_{\overline{\Omega}} u$ for $x_0 \in \Omega$, then u is constant.

This implied the weak maximum principle

$$\max_{\overline{\Omega}} u = \max_{\partial\Omega} u$$

and that the Laplace eqn's solution is unique (if it exists)

We extended this to 2 cases:

1.) If $L = -\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \partial_i \partial_j + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \partial_j$

for $a_{ij}, b_j \in C^0(\bar{\Omega})$, $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$, and

$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) v_i v_j \geq \eta \|v\|^2$ for some $\eta > 0$, all x, v , then

$Lu \leq 0$ on hold domain $\Omega \Rightarrow \max_{\bar{\Omega}} u = \max_{\partial\Omega} u$

2.) If $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u \leq 0$ on $[0, \tau] \times \Omega$, then $\max_{[0, \tau] \times \bar{\Omega}} u$ occurs at (t_0, x_0) with either $t_0 = 0$ or $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$.

\Rightarrow 2.) A.) If $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ and u is hold on any $[0, \tau] \times \mathbb{R}^n$, $\tau > 0$, then $\max_{(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n} u \leq \max_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(0, x)$

This gave some uniqueness to heat solutions.

Ch. 10: Weak Solutions

• we looked at weak derivatives $u' \in L^1_{loc}$ & weakly if

$\int_{\Omega} f \varphi dx = - \int_{\Omega} u \varphi' dx$ for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$

$\Rightarrow u \in L^1_{loc}$

• if weak derivative is continuous, $u \in C^1$ & it is a strong/normal derivative.

• This supplied a way to "weakly solve"

by $\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} = 0 & (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \\ u|_{t=0} = g \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}) \end{cases}$

$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} u \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + \varphi \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} dx dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} g \varphi|_{t=0} dx = 0$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$

- In the case g was piecewise continuous, we set u to be piecewise defined by g

$$u = \begin{cases} u_- & x < G(t) \\ u_+ & x > G(t) \end{cases}$$

and derived that $G'(t) = \frac{g(u_+) - g(u_-)}{u_+ - u_-}$ the RH-condition.

→ Shock & Rarefaction Solutions

- Next, we defined Sobolev Spaces
 $H^m(\Omega) = \{u \in L^2(\Omega); \partial^\alpha u \in L^2(\Omega) \text{ weakly for } |\alpha| \leq m\}$
 and $H_0^m(\Omega) = \overline{C_c^\infty(\Omega)}$ which encoded "trace on boundary \emptyset "

- Via Fourier Coefficients, we noted
 $H^m(\Omega) \subset C^k(\Omega)$ if $m > k + n/2$, $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$

- Lastly, we gave weak formulations of our main 3 equations

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda u + f \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \\ \text{weakly} \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \\ \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi - \lambda u \varphi - f \varphi dx = 0 \\ \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 - \Delta)u = 0 \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \\ u|_{t=0} = f \\ \partial_t u|_{t=0} = g \\ \text{weakly} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & u(t, \cdot) \in H_0^1(\Omega), \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{H^1} \text{ integrable in } t \\ & \Leftrightarrow \int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega} u \partial_t^2 \varphi + \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi dx dt = \int_{\Omega} h \varphi|_{t=0} dx \\ & \quad - \int_{\Omega} g \partial_t \varphi|_{t=0} dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t - \Delta)u = 0 \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \\ u|_{t=0} = h \\ \text{weakly} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & u(t, \cdot) \in H_0^1(\Omega), \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{H^1} \text{ int. in } t \\ & \Leftrightarrow \int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega} -u \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi dx dt = \int_{\Omega} h \varphi|_{t=0} dx \end{aligned}$$

→ Note, $u \in H_0^1([0, \infty) \times \Omega)$ is also sufficient? However, it encodes different data.