Analyses performed for DAOP well-defined residues.

Procheck analysis, RMSD calculation and structure superimposition are based on DAOP with S(phi)+S(psi)>=1.8:63A-157A

**NESG ID:** NAME

PDB ID:

**Deposition date:** 

**Common Name:** 

Class:

**Length (a.a.):** 108


Organism: SwissProt / TrEMBL ID:

# models: 20

Oligomerization: monomer

Molecular

weight:



**Secondary Structure Elements:** 

12441

alpha helices: 64A-78A, 82A-94A, 97A-100A, 109A-118A, 123A-142A

beta strands:

FIDs deposited in the BMRB? no

**Comparison of core atoms:** 

**DAOP > 1.8 Å:** A:63..A:157 **FindCore2:** A:61..A:161 **CYRANGE:** 63..157

RMSD All residues Ordered residues<sup>2</sup> Selected residues<sup>3</sup>

 All backbone atoms
 2.9 Å
 0.5 Å
 0.5 Å

 All heavy atoms
 3.5 Å
 1.0 Å
 1.0 Å

Ramachandran Plot Summary for selected residues<sup>3</sup> from Procheck

Most favoured regions Additionally allowed regions Generously allowed regions Disallowed regions

94.0% 5.9% 0.1% 0.0%

Ramachandran Plot Summary for selected residues<sup>3</sup> from Richardson Lab's Molprobity

07/29/22

Most favoured regions	Allowed regions	Disallowed regions	View plot View model summary
98.5%	1.2%	0.3%	


#### Global quality scores


Program	Verify3D	ProsaII (-ve)	Procheck (phi-psi) <sup>3</sup>	$Procheck\ (all)^3$	MolProbity Clashscore
Raw score	0.07	0.65	0.27	0.16	18.83
Z-score <sup>1</sup>	-6.26	0.00	1.38	0.95	-1.71

#### Close Contacts and Deviations from Ideal Geometry (from PDB validation software)

Number of close contacts (within 1.6 & Aring for H atoms, 2.2 & Aring for heavy atoms): 13 RMS deviation for bond angles: 0.6  $^{\circ}$  RMS deviation for bond lengths: 0.004 Å

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Selected residues DAOP with S(phi)+S(psi)>=1.8:63A-157A







07/29/22


 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  With respect to mean and standard deviation for a set of 252 X-ray structures < 500 residues, of resolution <= 1.80 Å, R-factor <= 0.25 and R-free <= 0.28; a positive value indicates a 'better' score

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ordered residues (DAOP): 63A-157A

















## Procheck G-factor for all dihedral angles
















Residue Plot of Ramachandran anlysis(based on data from Richardson Lab's Molprobity)

#### References:

- 1. Bhattacharya A, Tejero R and Montelione GT, "Evaluating protein structures determined by structural genomics consortia". Proteins 2007, 66:778-795
- 2. Tejero R, Snyder D, Mao B, Aramini JM and Montelione GT, "PDBStat: a universal restraint converter and restraint analysis software package for protein NMR". J Biomol NMR 2013, 56:337-351
- 3. Luthy R, Bowie JU and Eisenberg D, "Assessment of protein models with three-dimensional profiles". Nature 1992, 356:83-85
- 4. Bowie JU, Luthy R and Eisenberg D, "A Method to identify protein sequences that fold into a known three-dimensional structure". Science 1991, 253:164-169
- 5. Sippl MJ, "Recognition of errors in three-dimensional structures of proteins". Proteins 1993, 17:355-362
- 6. Sippl MJ, "Calculation of conformation ensembles from potentials of mean force". J Mol Biol 1990, 213:859-883
- 7. Laskowski RA et al, "AQUA and PROCHECK\_NMR: programs for checking the quality of proteins structures solved by NMR". J Biomolec NMR 1996, 8:477-486
- $8. \ Laskowski\ RA$  et al "PROCHECK: a program to check the stereochemical quality of protein structures". J Appl Cryst 1993, 26:283-291
- 9. Word JM et al, "Exploring steric constrains on protein mutations using MAGE / PROBE". Prot Sci 2000, 9:2251-2259 10. Word JM et al, "Asparagine and glutamine: using hydrogen atom contacts in the choice of side-chain amide orientation". J Mol Biol 1999, 285:1735-1747
- 11. Word JM et al, "Visualizing and quantifying molecular goodness-of-fit: small-probe contact dots with explicit hydrogens". J Mol Biol 1999, 285:1711-1733
- 12. Luthy R, McLachlan AD and Eisenberg D, "Secondary structure-based profiles: use of structure-conserving scoring tables in searching protein sequence databases for structural similarities". Proteins 1991, 18:229-239
- 13. Richardson DC, Richardson J S, "The kinemage: a tool for scientific communication". Prot Sci 1992, 1(1):3-9
- 14. Guntërt P, Mumenthaler, C & Wüthrich, K "Torsion angle dynamics for NMR structure calculation with the new program DYANA". J. Mol. Biol 1997, 273:283-298
- 15. Lovell SC et al, "Structure validation by Calpha geometry: phi,psi and Cbeta deviation". Proteins 2003, 50:437-450
- 16. Kabsch W, Sander C, "Dictionary of protein secondary structure: pattern recognition of hydrogen-bonded and geometrical features". Biopolymers 1983, 22:2577-2637
- 17. Bagaria A, Jaravine, V, Huang YJ, Montelione, GT, and Guntert, P "Protein structure validation by generalized linear model root-mean-square deviation prediction". Protein Sci 2012) 21:229-238.



# Protein Structure Validation Suite (PSVS)





## **Software Environment**

## Software for structure quality evaluation:

DSSP DsspCMBI-April-2000 pdbstat PdbStat-5.20.8 Version

AutoAssign Version 2.4.0 (uses only AVS scripts) RPF analysis ASDP-2.3

RPF analysis ASDP-2.3
PDB validation Version 8.061

Verify3D Version 1.0 corrected by Aneerban

ProsaII Prosa2003
PROCHECK Version 3.5.4

## **MolProbity programs:**

cluster 1999

clashlistcluster 1999 (corrected by Aneerban)

reduce Version 2.14 probe Version 2.6

## Other Software:

PERL Version 5.16.3 convert ImageMagick 6.7.8 ps2pdf Ghostscript 9.25

htmldoc v1.8.28

gnuplot Version 4.6.2

jpegtopnm netpbm-progs 10.79.00
pnmcrop netpbm-progs 10.79.00
pnmtojpeg netpbm-progs 10.79.00

Information updated on October, 2021. RTT