



Structure Quality Analysis for NAME

Analyses performed for DAOP well-defined residues.

Procheck analysis, RMSD calculation and structure superimposition are based on DAOP with $S(\phi)+S(\psi)\geq 1.8$: 15A-22A, 27A-83A, 85A-94A

NESG ID: NAME

PDB ID:

Deposition date:

Common Name:

Class:

Length (a.a.): 95

Organism:


SwissProt /

TrEMBL ID:

models: 20

Oligomerization: monomer

Molecular weight: 10342



Secondary Structure Elements:

alpha helices: 40A-51A, 79A-82A

beta strands: 28A-35A, 15A-22A, 89A-94A, 58A-64A, 67A-71A

FIDs deposited in the BMRB? no

Comparison of core atoms:

DAOP > 1.8 Å : A:15..A:22, A:27..A:52, A:55..A:82, A:85..A:94

FindCore2 : A:13..A:95

CYRANGE : 14..95

RMSD	All residues	Ordered residues ²	Selected residues ³
All backbone atoms	3.6 Å	0.4 Å	0.4 Å
All heavy atoms	4.0 Å	0.7 Å	0.7 Å

Ramachandran Plot Summary for selected residues³ from Procheck

Most favoured regions	Additionally allowed regions	Generously allowed regions	Disallowed regions
90.9%	8.0%	1.1%	0.0%

Ramachandran Plot Summary for selected residues³ from Richardson Lab's Molprobit



Structure Quality Analysis for NAME

Most favoured regions *Allowed regions* *Disallowed regions* [View plot](#) [View model summary](#)
94.2% 5.1% 0.7%

Global quality scores

Program	Verify3D	ProsaII (-ve)	Procheck (phi-psi) ³	Procheck (all) ³	MolProbity Clashscore
Raw score	0.17	0.38	-0.16	0.05	14.22
Z-score ¹	-4.65	-1.12	-0.31	0.30	-0.91

Close Contacts and Deviations from Ideal Geometry (from PDB validation software)

Number of close contacts (within 1.6 Å for H atoms, 2.2 Å for heavy atoms): 9


RMS deviation for bond angles: 1.7 °

RMS deviation for bond lengths: 0.019 Å

¹ With respect to mean and standard deviation for a set of 252 X-ray structures < 500 residues, of resolution ≤ 1.80 Å, R-factor ≤ 0.25 and R-free ≤ 0.28; a positive value indicates a 'better' score

² Ordered residues (DAOP): 15A-22A, 27A-83A, 85A-94A


³ Selected residues DAOP with S(phi)+S(psi) ≥ 1.8 : 15A-22A, 27A-83A, 85A-94A






Structure Quality Analysis for NAME

S(psi) v/s Residue number




Procheck G-factor for phi-psi






Procheck G-factor for all dihedral angles





Verify3D score over window of 7 residues






Structure Quality Analysis for NAME






Structure Quality Analysis for NAME



Residue Plot of Ramachandran analysis(based on data from Richardson Lab's Molprobity)

References:

1. Bhattacharya A, Tejero R and Montelione GT, "Evaluating protein structures determined by structural genomics consortia". Proteins 2007, 66:778-795
2. Tejero R, Snyder D, Mao B, Aramini JM and Montelione GT, "PDBStat: a universal restraint converter and restraint analysis software package for protein NMR". J Biomol NMR 2013, 56:337-351
3. Luthy R, Bowie JU and Eisenberg D, "Assessment of protein models with three-dimensional profiles". Nature 1992, 356:83-85
4. Bowie JU, Luthy R and Eisenberg D, "A Method to identify protein sequences that fold into a known three-dimensional structure". Science 1991, 253:164-169
5. Sippl MJ, "Recognition of errors in three-dimensional structures of proteins". Proteins 1993, 17:355-362
6. Sippl MJ, "Calculation of conformation ensembles from potentials of mean force". J Mol Biol 1990, 213:859-883
7. Laskowski RA et al, "AQUA and PROCHECK_NMR: programs for checking the quality of proteins structures solved by NMR". J Biomolec NMR 1996, 8:477-486
8. Laskowski RA et al "PROCHECK: a program to check the stereochemical quality of protein structures". J Appl Cryst 1993, 26:283-291
9. Word JM et al, "Exploring steric constraints on protein mutations using MAGE / PROBE". Prot Sci 2000, 9:2251-2259
10. Word JM et al, "Asparagine and glutamine: using hydrogen atom contacts in the choice of side-chain amide orientation". J Mol Biol 1999, 285:1735-1747
11. Word JM et al, "Visualizing and quantifying molecular goodness-of-fit: small-probe contact dots with explicit hydrogens". J Mol Biol 1999, 285:1711-1733
12. Luthy R, McLachlan AD and Eisenberg D, "Secondary structure-based profiles: use of structure-conserving scoring tables in searching protein sequence databases for structural similarities". Proteins 1991, 18:229-239
13. Richardson DC, Richardson JS, "The kinemage: a tool for scientific communication". Prot Sci 1992, 1(1):3-9
14. Gunttert P, Mumenthaler, C & Wüthrich, K "Torsion angle dynamics for NMR structure calculation with the new program DYANA". J. Mol. Biol 1997, 273:283-298
15. Lovell SC et al, "Structure validation by Calpha geometry: phi,psi and Cbeta deviation". Proteins 2003, 50:437-450
16. Kabsch W, Sander C, "Dictionary of protein secondary structure: pattern recognition of hydrogen-bonded and geometrical features". Biopolymers 1983, 22:2577-2637
17. Bagaria A, Jaravine, V, Huang YJ, Montelione, GT, and Guntert, P "Protein structure validation by generalized linear model root-mean-square deviation prediction". Protein Sci 2012) 21:229-238.



Protein Structure Validation Suite (PSVS)





Software Environment

Software for structure quality evaluation:

DSSP	DsspCMBI-April-2000
pdostat	PdbStat-5.20.8 Version
AutoAssign	Version 2.4.0 (uses only AVS scripts)
RPF analysis	ASDP-2.3
PDB validation	Version 8.061
Verify3D	Version 1.0 corrected by Aneerban
ProsaII	Prosa2003
PROCHECK	Version 3.5.4

MolProbit programs:

cluster	1999
clashlistcluster	1999 (corrected by Aneerban)
mage	Version 6.35.040409
prekin	Version 6.35.040406
reduce	Version 2.14
probe	Version 2.6

Other Software:

PERL	Version 5.16.3
convert	ImageMagick 6.7.8
ps2pdf	Ghostscript 9.25
htmldoc	v1.8.28
gnuplot	Version 4.6.2
jpegtopnm	netpbm-progs 10.79.00
pnmcrop	netpbm-progs 10.79.00
pnmtojpeg	netpbm-progs 10.79.00

Information updated on October, 2021. RTT