

## MAT257 – Week 1 Homework

- 9/13 1. Let  $A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots$  be a sequence of countable sets. Prove that  $\bigcup_{i \geq 1} A_i$  is countable. My previous submission did not take into account the fact that the sets could have been not disjoint. This resubmission should resolve that issue.

**Changelog:**

1. Fixed the argument to address the non-disjoint case.

*Proof.* Notice that

$$\bigcup_{i \geq 1} A_i = A_1 \cup (A_2 \setminus A_1) \cup (A_3 \setminus (A_1 \cap A_2)) \cup \dots$$

We define a new collection of sets  $\{B_i\}$  as follows:

$$B_1 = A_1$$
$$B_k = A_k \setminus \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^{k-1} A_i \right), \quad k > 1$$

Since  $A_i$  is countable, denote  $A_{ij}$  to be the  $j$ th element of set  $A_i$ . Noting that  $\bigcup_{i \geq 1} A_i = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} B_i$ , Define  $f : \bigcup_{i \geq 1} B_i \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  as

$$f(A_{ij}) = 2^i 3^j$$

We will show that  $f$  is injective. Let  $A_{pq}, A_{rs} \in \bigcup_{i \geq 1} B_i$ . Suppose that  $f(A_{pq}) = f(A_{rs})$ . Then

$$2^p 3^q = 2^r 3^s$$

Since every integer has a unique prime factorisation, it follows that  $p = r, q = s$ . Thus  $A_{pq} = A_{rs}$ .

Now, define the injection  $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \bigcup_{i \geq 1} A_i$  to be  $g(n) = A_{1n}$ .

Therefore by the Schröder–Bernstein theorem,  $|\bigcup_{i \geq 1} A_i| = |\mathbb{N}|$ . Thus the set is countable.

□

9/13 2. Let  $X, Y, Z$  be three vector spaces. Prove that  $L^2(X, Y; Z)$  is isomorphic to  $L(X, L(Y, Z))$ .

(For two vector spaces  $X, Y$ , we use  $L(X, Y)$  to denote the space of linear mappings from  $X$  to  $Y$ . For three vector spaces  $X, Y, Z$ , and a function  $\beta : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ , we let  $\beta(\cdot, y_0)$  denote the function  $X \rightarrow Z$  given by  $x \mapsto \beta(x, y_0)$ , where  $y_0 \in Y$  is some fixed vector; this is called the  $y_0$ -**slice** of  $\beta$ . The  $x_0$ -**slice**  $\beta(x_0, \cdot)$  is defined similarly.

*Proof.* For a bilinear map  $T \in L^2(X, Y; Z)$ ,  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ , Define  $\phi : L^2(X, Y; Z) \rightarrow L(X, L(Y, Z))$  such that

$$\phi(T)(x, y) = T(x, \cdot)(y)$$

where  $T(x, \cdot)$  is the  $x$ -slice of  $T$ . We claim that this transformation is an isomorphism.

First, let  $\phi(T) = 0$ . Then  $\forall x \in X, T(x, \cdot)(y) = 0$ , from which it follows that  $T(x, y) = 0$ , meaning  $\phi$  is injective.

Next, fix  $U \in L(X, L(Y, Z))$ . Let  $\beta$  be the basis for  $X$ . Let  $T \in L^2(X, Y; Z)$  be the linear transformation such that  $T(x, \cdot) = U(x)$ , for all  $x \in \beta$ . We see that  $\forall x, y \in X \times Y$ ,

$$\phi(T)(x, y) = T(x, \cdot)(y) = U(x)(y)$$

making  $\phi$  surjective.

Thus  $\phi$  is an isomorphism, and we get that  $L^2(X, Y; Z) \cong L(X, L(Y, Z))$  as desired.  $\square$

9/13 3. Let  $I = (a, b)$  and  $J = (c, d)$  be two open intervals on the real line. Let  $f : I \rightarrow J$  be an increasing function such that  $f(I)$  is dense in  $J$ . Prove that  $f$  is continuous.

(For two sets  $D, S \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  we say that  $D$  is **dense** in  $S$  if  $D \cap (s - \varepsilon, s + \varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $s \in S$  and all  $\varepsilon > 0$ .)

*Proof.* Fixing an  $a \in I$ , let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We can assume without loss of generality that  $\varepsilon$  is small enough that  $(f(a) - \varepsilon, f(a) + \varepsilon) \subseteq J$ . Since  $f(I)$  is dense in  $J$ , we can always find an  $y_1 \in (f(a) - \varepsilon, f(a))$  and  $y_2 \in (f(a), f(a) + \varepsilon)$  such that  $y_1, y_2 \in f(I)$ , which means  $y_1 = f(x_1)$  and  $y_2 = f(x_2)$  for some  $x_1, x_2 \in I$ .

Take  $\delta = \min\{|a - x_1|, |a - x_2|\}$ . Let  $x \in I$ . Suppose that  $|x - a| \leq \delta$ . If  $x = a$ , clearly  $|f(x) - f(a)| < \varepsilon$ . Consider when  $x < a$ .

We see that due to the choice of  $\delta$ , we have that  $x_1 < x < a$ . Using the fact that  $f$  is increasing, we obtain

$$f(a) - \varepsilon < y_1 = f(x_1) < f(x) < f(a) \implies -\varepsilon < f(x) - f(a) < 0 \implies f(a) - f(x) = |f(x) - f(a)| < \varepsilon$$

The argument for the case when  $x < a$  is almost the exact same, except for the use of  $x_2$  and  $y_2$  instead of  $x_1$  and  $y_1$ , as well as the inequalities being swapped.

With this, we can conclude that  $f$  is continuous.  $\square$