

### 3 Week 3 Homework

**3.1 Exercise.** Let  $S \subseteq C[0, 1]$ . Consider the following two statements:

- $S$  is an open subset of  $(C[0, 1], \|\cdot\|_1)$ .
- $S$  is an open subset of  $(C[0, 1], \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ .

Determine if the first statement implies the second, and vice-versa. Supply proof or counterexample as appropriate.

*Proof.* We claim that the first statement implies the second, but not the converse.

Suppose that  $S$  is an open subset of  $(C[0, 1], \|\cdot\|_1)$ . For any  $g \in S$ , there is an open ball with respect to the 1-norm centered around  $g$  with radius  $\varepsilon$  such that  $B_1(g, \varepsilon) \subseteq S$ . We proceed to show that  $B_\infty(g, \varepsilon) \subseteq B_1(g, \varepsilon)$ . Let  $f \in B_\infty(g, \varepsilon)$ . Then

$$\|f - g\|_1 = \int_0^1 |f - g| \leq \int_0^1 \sup\{|f - g|\} = \|f - g\|_\infty < \varepsilon$$

Thus  $B_\infty(g, \varepsilon) \subseteq B_1(g, \varepsilon) \subseteq S$ . Thus  $S$  is an open subset of  $(C[0, 1], \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ .

Now we show that the converse is not necessarily true. Let  $S = B_\infty(0, 1)$ . This is an open subset of  $(C[0, 1], \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ . Consider  $f(x) = 0 \in B_\infty(0, 1)$ . For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can always find  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ . Let  $g(x) = x^{n-1}$ . Since  $\int_0^1 g(x)dx = \frac{1}{n} < \varepsilon$ ,  $g(x) \in B_1(0, \varepsilon)$ . But  $g(1) = 1$ , which means that  $g(x) \notin B_\infty(0, 1)$ . Thus  $f$  is not an interior point of  $B_\infty(0, 1)$  with respect to the 1-norm, which means that  $B_\infty(0, 1)$  is not an open subset of  $(C[0, 1], \|\cdot\|_1)$ . □

**3.2 Exercise.** Let  $X$  be any set. The **diagonal** of  $X \times X$  is the following set:

$$\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}.$$

Prove that if  $(X, d)$  is a metric space, then  $\Delta$  is a closed subset of  $X \times X$  (with respect to the product metric).

**3.3 Exercise.** Can linear subspaces be open and/or closed?

- (a) Let  $C^\infty[0, 1]$  denote the set of infinitely differentiable functions  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ . Prove that  $C^\infty[0, 1]$  is not a closed subset of  $(C[0, 1], \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ .

*Proof.* To show that this set is not closed, we just need to find a limit point that is not an element of the set. Let  $f(x) = |x - \frac{1}{2}|$ . Clearly  $f \notin C^\infty[0, 1]$ . To prove  $f$  is a limit point of  $C^\infty[0, 1]$ , first let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and consider the open ball  $B(f, \varepsilon)$ . There exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n + 1 > \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \implies asdfasfas$ . □

- (b) Let  $C$  be the set of **convergent** sequences of real numbers. Prove that  $C$  is a closed subset of  $(\ell^\infty, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a limit point of  $C$ . Suppose for contradiction that  $(a_n)$  diverges. For any  $\hat{\varepsilon} > 0$ , there exists a convergent sequence  $(b_n)_{n \geq 1} \in B((a_n), \hat{\varepsilon})$ . Say that  $(b_n)$  converges to  $L$ . Then since  $(a_n)$  diverges,

$$\exists \tilde{\varepsilon} > 0 \text{ such that } \forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \exists \tilde{n} \geq N \text{ such that } |a_{\tilde{n}} - L| \geq \tilde{\varepsilon} \geq \min\{\tilde{\varepsilon}, \hat{\varepsilon}\}$$

Let  $\varepsilon = |\hat{\varepsilon} - \tilde{\varepsilon}|$ . Since  $(b_n)$  converges,  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq N \implies |b_n - L| < \varepsilon$ . If we take  $\tilde{n}$  for this  $N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varepsilon} &\leq |a_{\tilde{n}} - L| = |a_{\tilde{n}} - b_{\tilde{n}} + b_{\tilde{n}} - L| \leq |a_{\tilde{n}} - b_{\tilde{n}}| + |b_{\tilde{n}} - L| \\ &|a_{\tilde{n}} - b_{\tilde{n}}| + |b_{\tilde{n}} - L| < \hat{\varepsilon} + |\hat{\varepsilon} - \tilde{\varepsilon}| \end{aligned}$$

If  $\hat{\varepsilon} < \tilde{\varepsilon}$ ,

$$\hat{\varepsilon} + |\hat{\varepsilon} - \tilde{\varepsilon}| = \tilde{\varepsilon}$$

If  $\hat{\varepsilon} \geq \tilde{\varepsilon}$ ,

$$\hat{\varepsilon} + |\hat{\varepsilon} - \tilde{\varepsilon}| = 2\hat{\varepsilon} - \tilde{\varepsilon} \leq \hat{\varepsilon}$$

regardless, this implies that

$$\tilde{\varepsilon} < \tilde{\varepsilon}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus  $(a_n)$  is convergent, which means that it is in  $C^\infty$ . Since every limit point is in the set itself,  $C^\infty$  is closed. □

- (c) Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed vector space, and let  $M$  be a **linear subspace** of  $X$ . Prove that  $M$  is an open set if and only if  $M = X$ .

### 3.4 Exercise. The Bolzano–Weierstrass Theorem.

- (a) Prove that every bounded sequence in  $(\mathbf{R}^d, \|\cdot\|_2)$  has a convergent subsequence.

*Proof.* We take the Bolzano–Weierstrass Theorem in  $\mathbb{R}$  for granted and use this to prove it for  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We will do this using induction on  $d$ . When  $d = 1$ , it follows trivially from the theorem in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Now suppose that the claim is true for some  $d = k$ , for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a bounded sequence in  $(\mathbf{R}^k, \|\cdot\|_2)$ . Define another sequence  $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{k-1}$  such that  $b_i$  is the first  $k - 1$  components of  $a_i$ . From our assumption,  $b_i$  has a convergent subsequence  $(b_{n_i})_{i \geq 1}$ . Consider another sequence  $(c_{n_i})_{i \geq 1}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , where  $c_{n_i}$  is equal to the last component of  $a_{n_i}$ . By the Theorem in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $(c_{n_i})_{i \geq 1}$  has a convergent subsequence  $(c_{n_{m_i}})_{i \geq 1}$ . Suppose that  $(b_{n_{m_i}})_{i \geq 1}$  converges to  $B = (B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{k-1})$  and  $(c_{n_{m_i}})_{i \geq 1}$  converges to  $C$ . We claim that  $(a_{n_{m_i}})_{i \geq 1}$  is our desired subsequence, which converges to  $(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{k-1}, C)$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{k-1})$  and  $(c_{n_{m_i}})_{i \geq 1}$  converge,

$$\exists N_b, N_c > 0 \text{ such that } n_b > N_b \implies \|b_{n_b} - B\|_2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ and } n_c > N_c \implies |c_{n_c} - C| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

Let  $N = \max\{N_b, N_c\}$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n > N$ . Then

$$\|a_n - (B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{k-1}, C)\|_2 = \sqrt{(a_1 - B_1)^2 + (a_2 - B_2)^2 + \dots + (a_{k-1} - B_{k-1})^2 + (a_k - C)^2}$$

Using an inequality that I don't know the name of, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{(a_1 - B_1)^2 + (a_2 - B_2)^2 + \dots + (a_{k-1} - B_{k-1})^2 + (a_k - C)^2} \\ & \leq \sqrt{(a_1 - B_1)^2 + (a_2 - B_2)^2 + \dots + (a_{k-1} - B_{k-1})^2} + \sqrt{(a_k - C)^2} = \|b_n - B\|_2 + |c_n - C|_2 \\ & < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(a_{n_{m_i}})_{i \geq 1}$  converges, which means that  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  does indeed have a convergent subsequence. By the principle of induction, the Bolzano–Weierstrass Theorem holds in  $(\mathbb{R}^d, \|\cdot\|_2)$  and we are done. □

- (b) Give an example of a normed vector space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  containing a **(bounded?)** sequence  $(x_n)$  which has no convergent subsequences.

*Proof.* Consider the normed vector space  $(\ell^\infty, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ . Let  $(\vec{x}_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence in  $(\ell^\infty, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  defined by  $\vec{x}_{ik} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = i; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Clearly  $(\vec{x}_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is bounded. Let  $(\vec{x}_{n_i})_{i \geq 1}$  be a subsequence of  $(\vec{x}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ . We will show that  $(\vec{x}_{n_i})_{i \geq 1}$  diverges.

For any  $(L_k)_{k \geq 1} \in \ell^\infty$  Let  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ . Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . If there are no values of  $p \geq N$  so that  $\|(\vec{x}_p)_k - L_k\|_\infty < \varepsilon$ , we are done. Now suppose the opposite, that there is a  $p \geq N$  such that  $\|(\vec{x}_p)_k - L_k\|_\infty < \varepsilon$ . Let  $n = p + 1$ . By the reverse triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\vec{x}_n)_k - L_k\|_\infty &= \|(\vec{x}_n)_k - (\vec{x}_p)_k + (\vec{x}_p)_k - L_k\|_\infty \geq \|(\vec{x}_n)_k - (\vec{x}_p)_k\|_\infty - \|(\vec{x}_p)_k - L_k\|_\infty \\ &= |1 - \|(\vec{x}_p)_k - L_k\|_\infty| \geq 1 - \varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(\vec{x}_{n_i})_{i \geq 1}$  diverges.

□