## Question 1. Define the predicates

P(n): For any set A, if |A| = n then  $|\mathcal{P}(A)| = 2^n$ 

$$Q(A, n): |A| = n \Longrightarrow |\mathcal{P}(A)| = 2^n$$

a) Prove  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n)$ .

*Proof.* Base Case. To show P(0), consider any set A such that |A| = 0. Then  $A = \emptyset$  and its only subset is  $\emptyset$ . Thus  $\mathcal{P}(A) = \{\emptyset\} \implies |\mathcal{P}(A)| = 1 = 2^0$ , verifying that P(0) is true.

**Induction Step.** Suppose P(k) holds for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now P(k+1) will be proven to hold. Let A be a set such that |A| = k+1. k+1 is at least 1, so A possesses at least one element, which will be denoted as a.

Consider the set  $A \setminus \{a\}$ . Since  $|A \setminus \{a\}| = k$ , by the induction hypothesis,

$$|\mathcal{P}(A \setminus \{a\})| = 2^k$$

Notice that  $\mathcal{P}(A \setminus \{a\})$  contains all the subsets of A that do not contain a. The remaining subsets must all contain a. The remaining subsets of A can be obtained by taking every individual element in  $\mathcal{P}(A \setminus \{a\})$  and unioning it with  $\{a\}$ . Thus A contains twice as many subsets as  $A \setminus \{a\}$ . In mathematical terms,

$$|\mathcal{P}(A)| = 2 \cdot |\mathcal{P}(A \setminus \{a\})| = 2 \cdot 2^k = 2^{k+1}$$

It has been shown that P(k+1) holds.

By the principle of simple induction,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n)$ .

b) Prove that for every set  $A, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, Q(n)$ . This method does not work. Here is the attempt at the proof:

*Proof.* Fix a set A. Proceed with using simple induction.

**Base Case.** Let n = 0. To show Q(A, n) holds, suppose that |A| = 0. Then  $A = \emptyset$ . Thus  $\mathcal{P}(A) = \{\emptyset\} \implies |\mathcal{P}(A)| = 1 = 2^0$ .

Thus Q(A, 0).

**Induction Step.** Suppose that Q(A, k) holds for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . To show Q(A, k+1), suppose |A| = k+1. However, this is where the problem arises.

The induction hypothesis cannot be utilised since our assumption requires |A| = k + 1, while the condition to use the induction hypothesis is |A| = k.

Thus the proof by induction cannot be continued.

**Question 2.** Let  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let A, B be arbitrary finite sets of size m and n respectively.

a) How many fuctions are there with domain A and co-domain B? It can be shown using simple induction on m that the answer to this question is  $n^m$ .

*Proof.* First, particular edge cases will be examined. For  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , define the predicate

P(m): For every positive natural n, there are  $n^m$  functions with finite domain of size m

and finite co-domain of size n

Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Base Case.** Let m = 0. There are no functions that can map to nothing, therefore the number of functions is  $0^n = 0$ .

**Induction Step.** Suppose that P(n,k) holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , but only for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let A, B be finite sets such that |A| = k + 1 and |B| = n. A contains at least one element a. Consider the set  $A \setminus \{a\}$ . By the induction hypothesis, there are  $n^k$  functions with domain  $A \setminus \{a\}$  and co-domain B. For every such function  $f_k$  and some  $b \in B$ , define a new function

$$f_b(x) = \begin{cases} f_k(x), & \text{if } x \in A \setminus \{a\}; \\ b, & \text{if } x = a; \end{cases}$$

Every function that maps elements from A to B can be written in this form. Thus there are  $n^k * n = n^{k+1}$  fuctions that map from A to B.

b) Use part (a) to prove the original statement in Q1 directly without the use of induction.

*Proof.* Let A be a set such that |A| = n. Every subset A' of A can be defined as a function f that maps elements of A to  $\{0,1\}$ :

For any 
$$a \in A$$
, if  $a \in A'$ ,  $f(a) = 1$ . Otherwise,  $f(a) = 0$ 

From the previous part, there are  $2^n$  different functions with domain A and co-domain  $\{0,1\}$ , which also means that there are  $2^n$  subsets of A, which implies that  $\mathcal{P}(A) = 2^n$ .

**Question 3.** In propositional logic, you have seen the connectives  $\neg, \land, \lor, \rightarrow$ , and  $\leftrightarrow$ . Prove using structural induction that any proposition built using these connectives is equivalent to a proposition built only using  $\neg, \rightarrow$ .

*Proof.* The proof will be done using structural induction.

For a proposition P, Define the predicate

Q(P): P is equivalent to some proposition built only using  $\neg$ ,  $\rightarrow$ 

**Base Case.** For all  $P_i(x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, \dots, x_{j_k})$ , they are equivalent to a proposition built only using  $\neg, \rightarrow$ , which are themselves. Thus  $Q(P_i(x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, \dots, x_{j_k}))$  holds.

**Induction Step.** Let A, B be propositions such that Q(A) and Q(B) hold. It follows that  $A \equiv C, B \equiv D$ , where C, D are propositions built from only  $\neg$ ,  $\rightarrow$ . 5 recursive cases will be considered.

- 1.  $\neg A \equiv \neg C$ , thus  $Q(\neg A)$  holds
- 2.  $A \implies B \equiv C \implies D, Q(A \implies B)$  holds
- 3.  $A \wedge B \equiv C \wedge D$ . It will be shown using a truth table that  $C \wedge D \equiv \neg (C \implies \neg D)$ .

Since  $A \wedge B \equiv \neg(C \implies \neg D)$ , which is a proposition built from only  $\neg, \implies$ . Thus  $Q(A \wedge B)$  holds.

4.  $A \lor B \equiv C \lor D$ . It will be shown using a truth table that  $C \lor D \equiv \neg(\neg C \implies D)$ .

Since  $A \vee B \equiv \neg(\neg C \implies D)$ , which is a proposition built from only  $\neg$ ,  $\implies$ . Thus  $Q(A \vee B)$  holds.

5.  $A \iff B \equiv C \iff D$ . It will be shown using a truth table that  $C \iff D \equiv \neg((C \implies D) \implies \neg(D \implies C))$ .

Since  $C \iff D \equiv \neg((C \implies D) \implies \neg(D \implies C))$ , which is a proposition built from only  $\neg$ ,  $\implies$ . Thus  $Q(A \iff B)$  holds.

By the principle of structural induction, Q(P) holds for all propositions P.

CSC236 UTM Fall 2024 Assignment 1 Due Sept 30

**Question 4.** Consider the following two-pointer style Python program which finds whether a given string s is a palindrome or not:

```
def check_if_palindrome(s):
left = 0
right = len(s) - 1
while left < right:
    if s[left] != s[right]:
        return False
    left += 1
    right -= 1
return True</pre>
```

Prove correctness and termination. Clearly state the loop variant and the loopinvariant, and use induction properly.