# Question 1

Use row operations on the matrix  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & -2 & 6 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 & -6 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$  to obtain an upper triangular

matrix, then use Theorem 59 to find  $\det A$ . (You will get no credit for using a row/column expansion.)

We have

$$\det A = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & -2 & 6 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 & -6 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 4 & -10 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -12 & -6 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= -6 \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= 6 \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= 6 \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= 6 \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= 6 \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= 6(1)(2)(2) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= 12$$

# Question 2

Let 
$$T = T_A : \mathbb{Q}^5 \to \mathbb{Q}^5$$
 where  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & -2 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & -2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

(a) Find  $C_T$  and the eigenvalues of T.

$$C_{T}(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - T)$$

$$= \det\begin{pmatrix} \lambda - 1 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ -3 & \lambda & -1 & 0 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 & \lambda & -2 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & \lambda - 1 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 & -1 & 2 & \lambda + 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= -\lambda \det\begin{pmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ -2 & \lambda & -2 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 & \lambda - 1 & 2 \\ -2 & -1 & 2 & \lambda + 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= -\lambda \det\begin{pmatrix} \lambda + 1 & 0 & 0 & -\lambda - 1 \\ -2 & \lambda & -2 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 & \lambda - 1 & 2 \\ -2 & -1 & 2 & \lambda + 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= -\lambda \left( (\lambda + 1) \det\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & \lambda - 1 & 2 \\ -2 & -1 & 2 & \lambda + 1 \end{pmatrix} + (\lambda + 1) \det\begin{pmatrix} -2 & \lambda & -2 \\ -2 & 0 & \lambda - 1 \\ -2 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$= \lambda(\lambda + 1) \left( -(\lambda - 1)(\lambda(\lambda + 1) + 2) + 2(2\lambda - 2) + -2(2\lambda - 2) + (\lambda - 1)(2 + 2\lambda) \right)$$

$$= \lambda(\lambda + 1) \left( (\lambda - 1)(2 - \lambda - \lambda^{2} - 2 + 2\lambda) \right)$$

$$= \lambda(\lambda + 1)(\lambda - 1)(\lambda - \lambda^{2})$$

$$= -\lambda^{2}(\lambda - 1)^{2}(\lambda + 1)$$

The eigenvalues are the roots of  $C_T$ , which are  $\lambda = 0, 1, -1$ .

(b) For each eigenvalue, find a basis for the corresponding eigenspace. For  $\lambda = 0$  we solve the equation Ax = 0 via row reduction:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & -2 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & -2 & -1 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 6 & -2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 5 & -2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We get that  $x_1 = 0, x_4 = 0, x_3 + x_5 = 0$ . We parametrize  $x_2 = t, x_3 = s$  and get

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ t \\ s \\ 0 \\ -s \end{pmatrix} = te_2 + s \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus a basis for  $E_0(T)$  is  $\left\{ e_2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ .

For  $\lambda = 1$ , to solve (A - I)x = 0, we get the system

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 3 & -1 & 1 & 0 & -2 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -2 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & -2 & -2 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 & -2 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -4 & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We parametrize  $x_4 = t, x_5 = s$  to get

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} s \\ 2t + s \\ 2t \\ t \\ s \end{pmatrix} = s \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + t \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus a basis for 
$$E_1(T)$$
 is  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1\\0\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0\\2\\2\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ .

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccc}
 & (1/& 0/) \\
 & \text{solve } (A+I)x = 0: \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & | & 0 \\
 3 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -2 & | & 0 \\
 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & -2 & | & 0 \\
 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & -2 & | & 0 \\
 2 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix}
 & \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & | & 0 \\
 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & -2 & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & -2 & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix}
 & \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & -2 & | & 0 \\
 2 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & -1 & 4 & -2 & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & -2 & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & -2 & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & -2 & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & -2 & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & -2 & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & -2 & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & -2 & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & -2 & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & -2 & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & -2 & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & -2 & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & -2 & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix}
 & \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & -2 & | & 0 \\
 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & -2 & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & -2 & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix}
 & \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & | & 0 \\
 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\
 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\
 0 & 0 &$$

Let  $x_5 = t$ . The general solution is

$$x = t \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

So a basis for  $E_{-1}(T)$  is  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0\\2\\0\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ .

(c) Determine if T is diagonalizable, and if so, find a basis  $\beta$  so that  $[T]_{\beta}$  is diagonal. Since the dimension of each eigenspace matches the algebraic multiplicity of each corresponding eigenvalue, T is diagonalizable and the basis  $\beta$  that makes  $[T]_{\beta}$  diagonal is exactly the basis consisting of the basis vectors of each eigenspace. In particular,

$$\beta = \left\{ e_2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

# Question 3

- (a) Read the proof of Theorem 58 from the additional file in the Week 10 Readings on the course page.
- (b) Prove Part 1 of Theorem 59 using a strategy similar to the proof of Theorem 58. (You cannot use other parts of Theorem 59 in this proof.)

Let  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$  with  $n \geq 2$ . If A has a row of 0's, then det A = 0.

Proof. Write  $A = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ \vdots \\ r_n \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $r_j$  represents the rows of A. Suppose that  $r_i = \vec{0}$ . If i = 1,

the result is immediate by cofactor expansion. Otherwise, if i > 1, we do induction on n. Let n = 2. The only possibility is i = 2, so denote

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

From here, it is easy to see that  $\det A = 0$ .

Now, suppose that this is true for some n. We will show that it is true for n+1. Define  $\tilde{r}_{j,k}$  to be the row obtained by deleting the kth entry of  $r_j$ . Using cofactor expansion along the first row, we have

$$\det A = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} A_{1k} \det \tilde{A}_{1k}$$

Observe that  $\tilde{A}_{1k}$  are  $n \times n$  matrices, and since the *i*th row was 0 in the original matrix (and i > 1), the i-1th row in  $\tilde{A}_{1k}$  is 0, so by the induction hypothesis det  $\tilde{A}_{1k} = 0$ , thus det A = 0 and we are done.

# Question 4

Assume that Parts 1 and 2 of Theorem 59 have been proved. You cannot use Parts 4 through 7 of Theorem 59 in the following problem.

- (a) Prove Part 3 using induction on n. (Check n=1,2 by hand, then in the inductive step assume  $n+1\geq 3$ .)
- (b) Prove Part 4 using row-swapping matrices and properties of determinants.

Proof.

(a):

We prove Part 3 using induction on n.

Let n=1. The statement is vacuously true, as A cannot have 2 identical rows.

Let n=2. Then it must be true that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ a & b \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for } a, b \in \mathbb{F}.$$

Then  $\det A = ab - ab = 0$  as expected.

Now, suppose that this statement is true for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where n > 1. We will show it also holds for n + 1. Let  $r_i, r_j$  be the identical rows. Since n + 1 > 2, we are guaranteed to have one other row  $r_k$  that is not  $r_i$  or  $r_j$ . We perform a row k expansion of det A and see that

$$\det A = \sum_{l=1}^{n+1} A_{kl} \det \tilde{A}_{kl}$$

Notice that  $A_{kl}$  is a  $n \times n$  matrix, and contain both  $r_i$  and  $r_j$  with the *l*th entry deleted. But these rows are still identical because the same entry got deleted. By the induction hypothesis,

$$\det A = \sum_{l=1}^{n+1} A_{kl} 0 = 0$$

which was what we wanted.

(b):

Suppose that B is obtained from A by swapping row i and row j. Denote these rows as  $r_i, r_j$  respectively. Using linearity in one row of the determinant, and the previous result we proved,

$$0 = \det \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ \vdots \\ r_i + r_j \\ \vdots \\ r_n \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ \vdots \\ r_i \\ \vdots \\ r_n \end{pmatrix} + \det \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ \vdots \\ r_j \\ \vdots \\ r_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = \det \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ \vdots \\ r_i \\ \vdots \\ r_n \end{pmatrix} + \det \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ \vdots \\ r_i \\ \vdots \\ r_n \end{pmatrix} + \det \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ \vdots \\ r_j \\ \vdots \\ r_n \end{pmatrix} + \det \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ \vdots \\ r_j \\ \vdots \\ r_n \end{pmatrix} + \det \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ \vdots \\ r_j \\ \vdots \\ r_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = \det A + 0 + \det B + 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \det B = -\det A$$

as needed.

O ..... F

Prove that if  $U \in M_{n \times n}(F)$  is upper triangular, then  $\det U = \prod_{i=1}^n U_{ii}$ .

*Proof.* We proceed using induction on n. If n = 1, the result is immediate. Suppose that the statement holds for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will show the same is the case for n + 1. Let  $U \in M_{n+1}(\mathbb{F})$  be upper triangular. We have

$$\det U = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} U_{1j} \det \tilde{U}_{1j}.$$

For  $j \neq 1$ , notice that the entries of the first column of  $\tilde{U}_{1j}$  are 0, as  $(\tilde{U}_{1j})_{i1} = U_{(i+1)1} = 0$ . Thus

$$\det \tilde{U}_{1j} = \det \tilde{U}_{1j}^t = 0$$

as the transpose has a row of 0's. The cofacter expansion of  $\det U$  reduces to

$$\det U = U_{11} \det \tilde{U}_{11}$$

but  $\tilde{U}_{11} \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$  is upper triangular, so

$$\det U = U_{11} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{U}_{ii} = U_{11} \prod_{i=2}^{n+1} U_{ii} = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} U_{ii}.$$

which completes the proof.

Let V be a vector space over F, and  $T: V \to V$  a linear map. If  $W \subseteq V$  is a T-invariant subspace, then we can restrict T to W, to obtain a map  $T_W: W \to W$ . We call  $T_W$  the restriction map.

- (a) Let  $\beta_W$  be a basis for W. In HW#3 we proved that if  $\beta = \beta_W \beta_1$  is an extension of  $\beta_W$  to a basis for V, then  $[T]_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ \hline O & C \end{pmatrix}$ . Prove that  $A = [T_W]_{\beta_W}$ .
- (b) Let  $M = \left(\frac{A \mid B}{O \mid C}\right)$ . Prove that  $\det M = \det A \det C$ .

Proof.

(a):

Let  $n = \dim V$ ,  $k = \dim W$ . Denote  $\beta = \{w_1, ..., w_n\}$ . Then the *j*th column of  $\left(\frac{A}{O}\right)$  is  $[T(w_j)]_{\beta}$ , so

$$T(w_j) = \sum_{i=1}^k A_{ij} w_i.$$

But since  $w_j \in W$ , we have

$$T_W(w_j) = T(w_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} A_{ij} w_i.$$

which implies that  $[T_W(w_j)]_{\beta_W}$  is exactly the jth column of A, from which we can conclude that  $[T_W]_{\beta_W} = A$ .

(b):

We will use the following 2 lemmas:

**Lemma 1:** Let k < n. For matrices  $B \in M_{k \times (n-k)}(\mathbb{F})$ ,  $C \in M_{(n-k) \times (n-k)}(\mathbb{F})$ , Let  $M = \left(\frac{I_k \mid B}{O \mid C}\right) \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ . Then det  $M = \det C$ .

Proceed using induction on k. If k = 1, then

$$\det M = \det C + \sum_{j=2}^{n} M_{1j} \det \tilde{M}_{1j}.$$

For j > 1,  $\tilde{M}_{1j}$  has a column full of 0's, so det  $\tilde{M}_{1j} = 0$  and the result follows. Now suppose that the lemma is true for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Using a similar argument,

$$\det M = \sum_{j=1}^{n} M_{1j} \det \tilde{M}_{1j} = \det \tilde{M}_{11} + \sum_{j=k+2}^{n} M_{1j} \det \tilde{M}_{1j} = \det \tilde{M}_{11}$$

Notice that  $\tilde{M}_{11}$  satisfies our assumption in the induction hypothesis, so det  $M = \det \tilde{M}_{11} = \det C$ .

**Lemma 2:**  $\det \left( \frac{A \mid O}{O \mid I} \right) = \det A.$ 

The proof is analogous to the proof of Lemma 1, so we omit it. We now proceed with the main result.

First, consider the case where A is not invertible. Then its columns are linearly dependent. But this means that M also has linearly dependent columns, so  $\det M = 0 = \det A \det C$ .

Otherwise, if A is invertible, define  $N = \begin{pmatrix} A^{-1} & O \\ \hline O & I \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $MN = \begin{pmatrix} I & B \\ \hline O & C \end{pmatrix}$  and we get

$$\det C = \det MN = \det M \det N = \det M \det A^{-1} = \frac{\det M}{\det A}$$

$$\implies \det M = \det A \det C$$

and we are done.

# Question 7

Deduce from Question 6 that if W is a T-invariant subspace, then  $C_{T_W}$  divides  $C_T$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that W is a T-invariant subspace. Fix a basis  $\beta$  such that  $[T]_{\beta} = \left(\frac{[T_W]_{\beta_W}}{O} \mid \frac{B}{C}\right)$ . Then

$$C_T(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - T) = \det\left(\frac{\lambda I_k - [T_W]_{\beta_W}}{O} \left| \frac{-B}{\lambda I_{n-k} - C} \right) = \det(\lambda I_k - T_W) \det(I_{n-k} - C)\right)$$

$$C_T(\lambda) = C_{T_W}(\lambda) \det(I_{n-k} - C)$$

as expected.

# Question 8.

Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over a field F, and  $W_1, W_2 \subseteq V$  such that  $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$ . Define the projection maps  $P_i : V \to V$  by  $P(x) = x_i$  where  $x = x_1 + x_2$  with  $x_1 \in W_1$  and  $x_2 \in W_2$ .

- 1. Prove that  $P_i$  is linear.
- 2. Prove that  $P_i^2 = P_i$ .
- 3. Prove that each  $W_i$  is  $P_i$ -invariant.
- 4. Determine if  $P_i$  is diagonalizable and justify your answer.

## Question 9.

Define the direct sum for more than two subspaces. Let  $W_1, \ldots, W_k \subseteq V$  be subspaces such that  $V = W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_k$ .

- 1. Prove that every basis  $\beta$  for V gives a direct sum decomposition  $V = W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_n$  where dim  $W_i = 1$ .
- 2. Prove the converse: If  $V = W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_n$  with dim  $W_i = 1$ , then choosing non-zero  $w_i \in W_i$  forms a basis for V.
- 3. Let  $T: V \to V$  be linear. Show that  $[T]_{\beta}$  is block diagonal.

### Question 10

Let  $W_1, \ldots, W_k \subseteq V$  with bases  $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k$ . Prove that  $V = W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_k$  if and only if  $\beta = \beta_1 \cup \cdots \cup \beta_k$  is a basis for V.

### Ouestion 11

Determine whether the following statements are true or false. Justify your answers.

- 1. If  $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$  and  $T_{W_1}, T_{W_2}$  are diagonalizable, then T is diagonalizable.
- 2. If  $W_i \cap W_j = \{0\}$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $V = W_1 + W_2 + W_3$ , then  $V = W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus W_3$ .
- 3. If dim V = 7, dim N(T) = 3, and rank(T I) = 4, then T is diagonalizable.