Find all solutions to the following complex equations.

1. 
$$(1+i)\overline{z} = i(2+8i)$$

2. 
$$z^3 = -8i$$

3. 
$$e^{\bar{z}} = -2 + 2i$$

Proof.

 $\overline{1. (1+i)\overline{z} = i(2+8i)}.$ 

Suppose that z is of the form z = a + bi, for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the equation becomes

$$(1+i)(a-bi) = i(2+8i) \implies a+b+(a-b)i = -8+2i.$$

Equating coefficients, we get

$$a + b = -8$$
 and  $a - b = 2$ .

Solving the system of equations gives us a = -3 and b = -5, so z = -3 - 5i.

$$2 z^3 = -8i$$

Suppose that z is of the form  $z = re^{i\theta}$ , for  $r, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the equation becomes

$$r^3e^{3i\theta} = -8i \implies r^3e^{3i\theta} = 8e^{-i\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + 2n\pi\right)}$$
, for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

Equating the coefficient and exponent gives us

$$r^{3} = 8 \text{ and } 3\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} + 2n\pi \implies r = 2, \ \theta = \frac{\pi}{6} + \frac{2n\pi}{3}$$

Therefore

$$z = 2e^{i\left(\frac{\pi}{6} + \frac{2n\pi}{3}\right)} = 2\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{6} + \frac{2n\pi}{3}\right) + 2i\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{6} + \frac{2n\pi}{3}\right).$$

We can convert this into the standard form by considering cases when n = 0, 1, 2, as any other value will give us a value of z that is already accounted for. Therefore

$$z = \sqrt{3} + i, -\sqrt{3} + i, -2i$$

3. 
$$e^{\overline{z}} = -2 + 2i$$

Let z = a + bi, for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Converting the right hand side of the equation into polar form, we get

$$e^a e^{bi} = 2\sqrt{2}e^{i\left(\frac{3\pi}{4} + 2n\pi\right)}$$
, where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

We can equate real and complex parts to get that

$$e^a = 2\sqrt{2}$$
 and  $b = \frac{3\pi}{4} + 2n\pi$ 

so

$$z = \frac{3}{2}\ln(2) + i\left(\frac{3\pi}{2} + 2n\pi\right)$$

Find all solutions to the following equations in  $\mathbb{Z}_9$ , or show that they have no solution.

(a) 
$$[4]x + [3] = [1]$$

(b) 
$$[6]x + [3] = [5]$$

(c) 
$$x^2 = [0]$$
.

*Proof.* (a) 
$$[4]x + [3] = [1]$$

Adding [6] to both sides of the equation yields

$$[4]x = [7].$$

Multiplying both sides by [7], we get

$$[28]x = [49]$$

$$\implies x = [4]$$

(b) 
$$[6]x + [3] = [5]$$

This equation has no solution. To show this, we first simplify the equation to [6]x = [2] by adding [6] to both sides. We can substitute x = [0], ..., [8] into the left hand side and see that it does not equal the right hand side:

$$[6][1] = [6], [6][2] = [3], [6][3] = [0], [6][4] = [6], [6][5] = [3], [6][6] = [0],$$

$$[6][7] = [6], [6][8] = [3]$$

As shown, the left hand side can never equal [5], so the equation has no solution.

(c) 
$$x^2 = [0]$$

We can solve this by substituting every element in  $\mathbb{Z}_9$  into the left hand side. We see that

$$[0]^2 = [0], [1]^2 = [1], [2]^2 = [4], [3]^2 = [0], [4]^2 = [7],$$

$$[5]^2 = [7], [6]^2 = [0], [7]^2 = [4], [8]^2 = [1].$$

Thus the solutions to this equation are x = [0], [3], [6].

Let  $\mathbb{Z}_3[i] = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_3\}$ , where we define operations  $+, \cdot$  by:

$$(a+bi) + (c+di) = (a+c) + (b+d)i$$

$$(a+bi)\cdot(c+di) = (ac-bd) + (ad+bc)i.$$

Set 1 = [1] + [0]i and 0 = [0] + [0]i

- (a) Using only the definition of the operations above, and the fact that  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  is a field, show that  $\mathbb{Z}_3[i]$  satisfies Axioms 1-4, as well as the existence of additive inverses.
- (b) Compute the multiplication table for  $\mathbb{Z}_3[i]$  to verify that multiplicative inverses exist, and hence conclude that  $\mathbb{Z}_3[i]$  is a field.
- (c) What is the characteristic of  $\mathbb{Z}_3[i]$ ? (See question #6 for the definition of characteristic of a field.)

Proof.

(a):

Let  $a, b, c, d, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ , so z = a + bi, w = c + di, and x = p + qi are elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_3[i]$ .

To show closure under addition and multiplication, we use the closure of  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  to see that  $a+c\in\mathbb{Z}_3$  and  $b+d\in\mathbb{Z}_3$ . It follows that  $z+w=(a+c)+(b+d)i\in\mathbb{Z}_3[i]$ .

As well, we also have that ac - bd,  $ad + bc \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ , so  $zw = (ac - bd) + (ad + bc)i \in \mathbb{Z}_3[i]$ .

To show the commutativity of addition and multiplication, we note that a + c = c + a and b + d = d + b, so

$$z + w = (a + c) + (b + d)i = (c + a) + (d + b)i = w + z$$

Likewise, since ac = ca, bd = db, ad = da, and bc = cb,

$$zw = (ac - bd) + (ad + bc)i = (ca - db) + (da + cb)i = wz$$

To show associativity, we again use the field properties of  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  to see that

$$(z+w) + x = ((a+c) + (b+d)i) + p + qi$$

$$= ((a+c) + p) + ((b+d) + q)i$$

$$= (a + (c+p)) + (b + (d+q))i$$

$$= a + bi + (c+p) + (d+q)i$$

$$= z + (w+x)$$
(associativity of  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ )

Finally, showing distributivity, we have

$$x \cdot (z+w) = (p+qi) \cdot ((a+c)+(b+d)i)$$

$$= p(a+c) - q(b+d) + (p(b+d)+q(a+c))i$$

$$= pa + pc - qb - qd + (pb+pd+qa+qc)i \qquad \text{(distributivity of } \mathbb{Z}_3\text{)}$$

$$= (pa-qb) + (pc-qd) + ((pb+qa)+(pd+qc))i$$

$$\qquad \qquad \text{(associativity & commutativity of } \mathbb{Z}_3\text{)}$$

$$= (pa-qb) + (pb+qa)i + (pc-qd) + (pd+qc)i$$

$$= (p+qi) \cdot (a+bi) + (p+qi) \cdot (c+di)$$

$$= x \cdot z + x \cdot w$$

We also see that additive inverses exist, because for  $z = a + bi \in \mathbb{Z}_3[i]$ , we know that -a and -b exist, so if we let -z = (-a) + (-b)i, we see that

$$z + (-z) = (a + bi) + (-a + (-b)i)$$
$$= (a + (-a)) + (b + (-b))i$$
$$= 0 + 0i$$
$$= 0$$

(b): Below is the multiplication table for  $\mathbb{Z}_3[i]$ 

	0+0i	1+0i	2 + 0i	0+1i	1+1i	2+1i	0+2i	1+2i	2+2i
0+0i	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1 + 0i	0	1+0i	2 + 0i	0+1i	1+1i	2+1i	0+2i	1+2i	2+2i
2 + 0i	0	2+0i	1 + 0i	0+2i	2+2i	1+2i	0 + 1i	2+1i	1+1i
0 + 1i	0	0+1i	0+2i	2+0i	2+1i	2+2i	1 + 0i	1+1i	1+2i
1+1i	0	1+1i	2+2i	2+1i	0+2i	1+0i	1+2i	2+0i	0 + 1i
2+1i	0	2+1i	1+2i	2+2i	1+0i	0+2i	1+1i	0+i	2+0i
0+2i	0	0+2i	0 + 1i	1+0i	1+2i	1+1i	2+0i	2+2i	2+1i
1+2i	0	1+2i	2+1i	1+1i	2+0i	0+i	2+2i	0+2i	1+0i
2+2i	0	2+2i	1+1i	1+2i	0+1i	2 + 0i	2+1i	1+0i	0+2i

Table 1: Multiplication table

As seen, every row and column not belonging to 0 contains 1 + 0i, which implies that for all  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_3[i] \setminus 0$ , there is a multiplicative inverse  $z^{-1}$ .

(c): 
$$\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{Z}_3[i]) = 3$$
, as

$$1+1+1 = ([1] + [0]i) + ([1] + [0]i) + ([1] + [0]i)$$

$$= ([1] + [1] + [1]) + ([0] + [0] + [0])i$$

$$= [0] + [0]i$$

$$= 0$$

We introduce a new definition in this question:

**Definition:** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field. We say a subset  $\mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathbb{F}$  is a **subfield** of  $\mathbb{F}$  if  $\mathbb{K}$  is also a field, using the same operations as  $\mathbb{F}$ .

For example:  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{R}$ .  $\mathbb{R}$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$ .  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  is not a subfield of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , since  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  is not a subset of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

- (a) Let  $\mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathbb{F}$  be a subfield. Let  $0_{\mathbb{F}}$ ,  $1_{\mathbb{F}}$  denote the additive and multiplicative identities in  $\mathbb{F}$ . Similarly, we denote by  $0_{\mathbb{K}}$ ,  $1_{\mathbb{K}}$  the identities in  $\mathbb{K}$ . Prove that  $0_{\mathbb{F}} = 0_{\mathbb{K}}$  and  $1_{\mathbb{F}} = 1_{\mathbb{K}}$ . (Hint: Prove that in a field, the only solution to the equation  $x^2 = x$  are x = 0, x = 1.)
- (b) Let  $\mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathbb{F}$  be a subfield. Prove that for all  $x \in \mathbb{K}$ , we have  $-x \in \mathbb{K}$ , and that for all  $x \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$  we have  $x^{-1} \in \mathbb{K}$ . (Here -x is the additive inverse of x treated as an element of  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $x^{-1}$  is the multiplicative inverse of x treated as an element of  $\mathbb{F}$ .)
- (c) Prove that a subset  $\mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathbb{F}$  is a subfield if and only if the following conditions are met:
  - (i)  $0, 1 \in \mathbb{K}$
  - (ii) For all  $x, y \in \mathbb{K}$ , we have  $x + y, x \cdot y \in \mathbb{K}$ .
  - (iii) For all  $x \in \mathbb{K}$ , we have  $-x \in \mathbb{K}$ .
  - (iv) For all  $x \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$ , we have  $x^{-1} \in \mathbb{K}$ .

(Hints: For the  $\implies$  direction: this is "part c" for a reason. For the  $\iff$  direction, you only need one or two short sentences to argue why addition and multiplication in  $\mathbb{K}$  satisfy Axioms 1-3. Axioms 4 and 5 should also have fairly short proofs. If you find yourself with a very long argument, you should rethink your argument.)

Proof.

(a):

Fix  $x \in \mathbb{K}$ . Then because  $x \in \mathbb{F}$ ,

$$0_{\mathbb{F}} + x = x = 0_{\mathbb{K}} + x$$
 (existence of additive identity in  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $\mathbb{K}$ )

Similarly for multiplication,

$$1_{\mathbb{F}} \cdot x = x = 1_{\mathbb{K}} \cdot x \implies 1_{\mathbb{F}} = 1_{\mathbb{K}}$$

(b):

Let  $x \in \mathbb{K}$ . Since  $\mathbb{K}$  is a field, x has an additive inverse  $-x_{\mathbb{K}}$ . Note that  $-x_{\mathbb{K}} \in \mathbb{F}$  as well, so  $-x_{\mathbb{K}}$  is an inverse for x in  $\mathbb{F}$ . By the uniqueness of additive inverses in  $\mathbb{F}$ , we have that  $-x_{\mathbb{K}} = -x$ .

Similarly, x has a multiplicative inverse  $x_{\mathbb{K}}^{-1}$  in  $\mathbb{K}$ , which is also an inverse of x with respect to  $\mathbb{F}$ . It follows by uniqueness of inverses that  $x_{\mathbb{K}}^{-1} = x^{-1}$ .

(c):

Suppose that  $\mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathbb{F}$  is a subfield. We prove each point in order:

- (i) By part (a),  $0, 1 \in \mathbb{K}$ .
- (ii) This is simply the axiom of closure, which is immediate by assumption.
- (iii) This is true from part (b).
- (iv) This is true from part (b).

Conversely, suppose that the 4 conditions hold.

Since K has property (ii), it satisfies the axiom of closure

To argue commutativity and associativity of elements in  $\mathbb{K}$ , notice that every element of  $\mathbb{K}$  is also an element of  $\mathbb{F}$ , so they follow the axioms of commutativity, associativity, and distributivity of the field  $\mathbb{F}$ .

Existence of inverses comes directly from (iii) and (iv), and existence of identity element is exactly (i).

Since  $\mathbb{K}$  satisfies all the field axioms,  $\mathbb{K}$  is indeed a subfield of  $\mathbb{F}$ .

Let  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-2}] = \{a + b\sqrt{-2} | a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ . Prove that if  $\mathbb{K}$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\sqrt{-2} \in \mathbb{K}$ , then  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-2}] \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathbb{K}$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{K}$ . Fix  $z \in \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-2}]$ . Then  $z = a + b\sqrt{-2}$ , for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ . First, we will show that for all  $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{K}$ .

Letting  $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ , we can write  $c = \frac{p}{q}$ , where  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ . By the existence of the additive identity, we have that  $1 \in \mathbb{K}$ , and we can repeatedly use the closure of addition to see that

$$\underbrace{1+\ldots+1}_{q \text{ times}} = q \in \mathbb{K} \text{ and } \underbrace{1+\ldots+1}_{p \text{ times}} = p \in \mathbb{K}.$$

By the existence of inverses in  $\mathbb{K}$ , we know that  $\frac{1}{q} \in \mathbb{K}$ , and by closure under multiplication, we have that

$$p \cdot \frac{1}{q} = c \in \mathbb{K}$$

as needed.

This implies that  $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$  as well. Since  $\sqrt{-2} \in \mathbb{K}$ , we use closure again to conclude that  $b\sqrt{-2} \in \mathbb{K}$ , and therefore  $z = a + b\sqrt{-2} \in \mathbb{K}$ , so  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-2}] \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ , proving the statement.

Ш

In this exercise we introduce a new definition:

\*\*Definition:\*\* Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field. The smallest non-negative integer n so that  $\underbrace{1+1+\cdots+1}_{}=$ 

0 is called the characteristic of  $\mathbb{F}$ . If no such n exists, then we say  $\mathbb{F}$  has characteristic 0. We denote this non-negative integer by  $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F})$ .

For example:  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  has characteristic 3 because 1+1+1=0 in  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ , but  $1+1\neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ . So n=3 is the smallest integer so that  $1+1+\cdots+1=0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ .

However,  $\mathbb{Q}$  has characteristic 0, because for any n we have  $\underbrace{1+1+\cdots+1}_{n \text{ times}}=n\neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

- (a) Prove that  $char(\mathbb{Z}_p) = p$ .
- (b) Prove that  $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F})$  must either be prime or 0. (Hint: For the case that  $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F})$  is non-zero, use contradiction.)

In this question we introduce a new definition:

\*\*Definition:\*\* Let  $f, g \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{F})$ . We say that a polynomial  $d \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{F})$  is a \*\*greatest common divisor\*\* of f and g if:

- d is a divisor of both f and g, and;
- for any other divisor d' of f and g, we have  $\deg d \geq \deg d'$ .
- (a) Prove that if d is a common divisor of f and g, then for all  $a \in \mathbb{F}$ , the polynomial ad is also a common divisor for f and g. Explain why this shows that there is no "unique" greatest common divisor for f and g like there is for integers.
- (b) Prove that if  $d_1, d_2$  are both greatest common divisors for f and g, then  $d_1 = ad_2$  for some non-zero field element a.
- (c) Prove that we can compute a greatest common divisor for f and g like we do for integers: repeatedly apply long division until the remainder is 0, then the last non-zero remainder is a greatest common divisor for f and g.
- (d) Deduce from (c) that if d is a greatest common division for f and g, then we can write d = pf + qg for some polynomials p, q.

Apply the procedures in Question 7 to compute a greatest common divisor for the polynomials  $f(x) = x^4 + x^2 + 1$ ,  $g(x) = x^4 + 2x^3 + x^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{Q})$ , and express this divisor as a combination of f and g.

(In particular, you should not try to factor f, g to find the greatest common divisor, and doing so will not receive any credit.)

Let  $p \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C})$  be a polynomial with real coefficients. Prove that if a is a root of p, then  $\bar{a}$  is a root of p. (Hint: Write down an equation that means "a is a root of p". Conjugate this equation.)

Using Question 9 and the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, prove that the only irreducible polynomials over  $\mathbb{R}$  are linear and quadratics with no real roots. Use this to deduce our Theorem from class (Week 2) about the factorization of real polynomials.