

Question 42.

Let  $M \subseteq \mathbf{R}^N$  be a smooth  $n$ -manifold (with or without boundary!).

- (a) Show that if  $n < N$ , then  $M$  is a *Lebesgue null set*.
- (b) Show that if  $n = N$  and  $M$  is closed and its boundary is nonempty, then  $\partial M$  coincides with the usual topological boundary (as defined on Handout #2).
- (c) Show that if  $M$  is compact and its boundary is nonempty, then  $M$  is Jordan measurable.

*Proof.*

(a):

We begin by proving a number of lemmas:

**Lemma 1:** An open cover of any subset  $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  has a countable subcover.

We know that  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is separable, so  $M$  is also separable. Let  $C$  be a countable dense subset of  $M$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open cover for  $M$ . We construct the countable subcover  $\hat{U}$  as follows. For each  $q \in C$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Q}$ , consider the open ball  $B(q, k)$ . If there exists a  $U_{qk} \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $B(q, k) \subseteq U_{qk}$ , include it in  $\hat{U}$ . Notice that  $\hat{U}$  is at most countable. We claim that it is also an open cover.

Let  $x \in M$ . Then it is contained in some open set  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . As well, we can find an open ball such that  $B(x, \delta) \subseteq U$ . Since  $C$  is dense, we can find  $q \in C$  such that  $q \in B(x, \frac{\delta}{4})$ . Let  $k \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\frac{\delta}{4} < k < \frac{\delta}{2}$ . Then  $x \in B(q, k) \subseteq B(x, \delta)$ , because for all  $y \in B(q, k)$ ,

$$\|x - y\| \leq \|x - q\| + \|q - y\| < \frac{\delta}{4} + \frac{\delta}{2} < \delta$$

It follows that  $B(q, k) \subseteq U$ , so it is guaranteed that some  $U_{qk}$  from our construction exists. Thus  $x \in U_{qk} \in \hat{U}$  so  $\hat{U}$  is indeed an open cover and we are done.

**Lemma 2:** A countable union of sets with Jordan measure 0 is a Lebesgue null set.

Let  $E = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} E_i$ , where  $\mu(E_i) = 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For each  $E_i$ , we can find a finite union of boxes  $B_i$  such that  $B_i \supseteq E_i$  and  $\text{vol}(B_i) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i}$ . We see that  $\bigcup_{i \geq 1} B_i$  is a countable union of boxes,  $E \subseteq \bigcup_{i \geq 1} B_i$ , and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{vol}(B_i) < \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2(1 - \frac{1}{2})} = \varepsilon$$

as desired.

**Lemma 3:** If  $K$  is a Jordan measurable set with a compact exhaustion  $K_n$ , then  $\mu(K) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(K_n)$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $K$  is Jordan measurable, we can find a closed polybox  $I \subseteq K^\circ$  such that

$$\mu(I) > \mu(K) - \varepsilon$$

Notice that for any  $x \in I$ ,  $x$  is contained in some  $K_n$ . It follows that  $x \in K_{n+1}^\circ$ , so  $I$  is covered by  $\{K_n^\circ\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Since  $I$  is compact, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $I \subseteq K_n$  for all  $n > N$ . Thus

$$\mu(K_n) > \mu(I) > \mu(K) - \varepsilon \implies |\mu(K) - \mu(K_n)| < \varepsilon$$



Question 34.

Let  $\Phi : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$  be a  $C^1$  mapping.

- (a) Suppose that  $n > m = 1$ . Show that  $\Phi$  cannot be injective.
- (b) Suppose that  $n < m$ . Show that if  $K \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$  is a compact set, then  $\Phi(K)$  is a Jordan measurable set, and has Jordan measure zero.

Previous submission was just a complete skill issue in part (b). This new submission hopefully provides a correct proof for part (b).

Changes: all of part (b) lol.

*Proof.*

(a):

Suppose for contradiction that  $n > m = 1$  and  $\Phi$  is a  $C^1$  injective function. Since  $\Phi$  cannot be a constant function, by the results of Big List #26, there is a  $p \in \mathbf{R}^n$  so that  $\nabla \Phi(p) \neq 0$ . In particular, we will say that  $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_j} \neq 0$ . Define  $\alpha : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by  $\alpha(x) = \Phi(x) - \Phi(p)$ . Injectivity is translation-invariant, so  $\alpha$  is injective. Notice that  $\alpha(p) = 0$ . We can apply the implicit function theorem to obtain an open set  $W \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$  that contains  $p' = (p_1, \dots, p_{j-1}, p_{j+1}, \dots, p_n)$  and a  $C^1$  function  $\Psi : W \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that for all  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in W$ ,

$$\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, \Psi(x), x_j, \dots, x_{n-1}) = 0$$

Then, since  $W$  is open and contains  $p'$ , we can find another distinct point  $q \in W$ . We have

$$\alpha(p_1, \dots, p_{j-1}, \Psi(p'), p_{j+1}, \dots, p_n) = 0 = \alpha(q_1, \dots, q_{j-1}, \Psi(q), q_j, \dots, q_{n-1})$$

which contradicts the fact that  $\alpha$  is injective.

(b):

Since  $K$  is compact, and thus bounded, we can enclose it in a closed box  $B = [-L, L]^n$ , for some positive  $L$ . It suffices to show that  $\Phi(B)$  has measure 0, as we can apply the monotonicity of measure to conclude that  $\Phi(K)$  has measure 0.

First, let  $\hat{\Phi} : \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$  be defined by  $\hat{\Phi}(x) = \Phi(\pi_{\mathbf{R}^n}(x))$ . That is,  $\hat{\Phi}$  first projects vectors in  $\mathbf{R}^m$  onto  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and then composes it with  $\Phi$ . Let  $\hat{B} = B \times \{0\}^{m-n}$ . Then note that  $\hat{\Phi}(\hat{B}) = \Phi(B)$ . Trivially,  $\hat{B}$  has measure 0. We now show that  $\Phi(B)$  also has measure 0.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\hat{\Phi}$  is  $C^1$ , its derivative is continuous. By the extreme value theorem, each component derivative attains a maximum on  $\hat{B}$ . Let  $\alpha$  a positive number greater than all the maximums. Since  $\hat{B}$  has measure 0, we can find a finite number of cubes  $B_1, \dots, B_k$  with side length  $d$  such that

$$\hat{B} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^k \text{vol}(B_i) < \frac{\varepsilon}{m^m \alpha^m}$$

Consider some cube  $B_i = \prod_{j=1}^m [a_{ij}, a_{ij} + d]$ . For each component  $\hat{\Phi}_j : \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , it must be true that  $\hat{\Phi}_j$  attains a maximum  $\hat{\Phi}_j(M_{ij})$  and minimum  $\hat{\Phi}_j(m_{ij})$ , for some  $M_{ij}, m_{ij} \in B_i$ .

