

Question 43.

**Transverse intersection.** Let  $M, N$  be two smooth surfaces in  $\mathbf{R}^3$ . We say that  $M$  and  $N$  intersect transversally if  $T_p M \neq T_p N$  for all  $p \in M \cap N$ .

- (a) Prove that if  $M, N$  intersect transversally, then  $M \cap N$  is a smooth curve in  $\mathbf{R}^3$ .
- (b) Show by example that the conclusion of (a) fails without the assumption of transverse intersection.

*Proof.*

(a):

Suppose that  $M$  and  $N$  intersect transversally. We will show that  $M \cap N$  is a smooth 1-manifold in  $\mathbf{R}^3$ . Let  $p \in M \cap N$ . Then there is some relatively open neighborhood  $U$  of  $M$  and  $V$  of  $N$  that is the zero set of some smooth functions  $f, g : \mathbf{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , that is,  $U = Z(f)$  and  $V = Z(g)$ . Let  $\Phi : \mathbf{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$  be defined by  $\Phi = (f, g)$ . We claim that there exists a chart containing  $p$  by showing that  $U \cap V$  is the zero set of  $\Phi$ , and  $J\Phi(q)$  is surjective for all  $q \in U \cap V$ . We first start by verifying that  $U \cap V$  is relatively open to  $M \cap N$ . This is quick, as we know that  $U$  and  $V$  are relatively open to  $M$  and  $N$  respectively, for each point in  $U \cap V$ , we can choose two open balls with radii  $r_1, r_2$  that stays within  $M$  and  $N$  respectively. We then take the lesser of the radii as our radius.

Moving on, we see that  $\Phi$  is smooth, and  $Z(\Phi) = U \cap V$ . It remains to show that  $J\Phi(q)$  has rank 2 for all  $q \in U \cap V$ . The Jacobian of  $\Phi$  is a  $2 \times 3$  matrix given by

$$J\Phi(q) = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla f(q) \\ \nabla g(q) \end{pmatrix}.$$

It necessarily has rank at most 2 and at least 1 (because  $\nabla f, \nabla g \neq 0$ ). Suppose for contradiction that  $\text{rank } J\Phi(q) = 1$ . Then  $\nabla f(q) = c\nabla g(q)$  for some non-zero constant  $c$ . We know that the tangent space  $T_q M$  is given by the set of tagged vectors orthogonal to  $\nabla f(q)$ . Likewise,  $T_q N$  consists of tagged vectors orthogonal to  $\nabla g(q)$ . But notice that for  $v \in T_q M$ , we have  $\nabla f(q) \cdot v = 0$  but also  $c\nabla f(q) \cdot v = \nabla g(q) \cdot v = 0$ . If we additionally apply the same argument to  $u \in T_q N$ , we can see that  $T_q M = T_q N$  which is a contradiction. Thus  $J\Phi(q)$  must have rank 2. From here, it follows that  $\Phi^{-1}(\{0\}) = U \cap V$  is a smooth manifold with dimension 1, so we can conclude that  $M \cap N$  is a smooth curve.

(b):

Let  $M$  be the  $xy$ -plane, and let  $N$  be the graph of  $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$ . Then  $M \cap N$  is simply the origin, which is not a smooth curve.

□

Question 44.

Suppose that  $M$  is a smooth manifold, and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an open cover of  $M$  by pairwise consistently oriented charts. Let  $\mathcal{A}^+$  be the collection of all charts on  $M$  which are positively oriented with  $\mathcal{A}$ ; likewise, let  $\mathcal{A}^-$  be the collection of all charts on  $M$  which are negatively oriented with  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Now suppose that  $\mathcal{B}$  is some other open cover of  $M$  by charts, such that any two (overlapping) charts in  $\mathcal{B}$  are consistently oriented. Prove that if  $M$  is connected, then either  $\mathcal{B}$  is completely contained in  $\mathcal{A}^+$ , or else it is completely contained in  $\mathcal{A}^-$ .

*Proof.* First, we will prove a form of transitivity for manifold charts.

**Lemma.** From a manifold  $M$ , take two charts  $(U, \varphi)$ ,  $(V, \psi)$  that are consistently oriented with each other. Then  $(U, \varphi)$  is positively oriented with  $\mathcal{A}$  if  $(V, \psi)$  is positively oriented with  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Suppose that  $(U, \varphi)$  is positively oriented with  $\mathcal{A}$ . Consider the chart  $(U \cap V, \varphi|_{U \cap V})$  that is consistently oriented with some chart  $(W, \gamma)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . We will show that  $(V, \psi)$  is consistently oriented with  $(W, \gamma)$ . Notice that

$$\psi \circ \gamma^{-1} = (\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}) \circ (\varphi \circ \gamma^{-1})$$

so

$$J(\psi \circ \gamma^{-1}) = J(\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}) \cdot J(\varphi \circ \gamma^{-1}) \text{ and } \det J(\psi \circ \gamma^{-1}) > 0$$

as needed.

Next, we prove the main result. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be an open cover of  $M$  by charts. Pick a chart  $(U, \varphi)$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ , and say for convenience that it is contained in  $\mathcal{A}^+$ . If the chart picked was in  $\mathcal{A}^-$  the argument is analogous. We claim that all other charts are also contained in  $\mathcal{A}^+$ . Let  $(V, \psi) \in \mathcal{B}$ . Take any two points  $p \in U$  and  $q \in V$ . Since  $M$  is connected, we can find a continuous function  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  such that  $\gamma(0) = p$  and  $\gamma(1) = q$ . Consider  $C = \gamma[0, 1]$ . Since it is compact, we can find a finite number of charts  $\{(U_n, \varphi_n)\}_{n \leq N}$  that cover  $C$ , such that the open cover includes  $(U, \varphi)$  and  $(V, \psi)$ . As well, we can always find a sequence of  $m$  charts  $(U_{n_k})_{1 \leq k \leq m}$  such that

$$U \cap U_{n_1} \neq \emptyset, U_{n_1} \cap U_{n_2} \neq \emptyset, \dots, U_{n_m} \cap V \neq \emptyset.$$

Suppose if not. Then consider  $\mathcal{C}$ , the collection of charts in the finite subcover that can be reached from  $U$  using a chain of charts. Let  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$  be the complement; the collection of charts that cannot be reached from  $U$ . Then the charts of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$  are pairwise disjoint from one another, and  $V \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ . We have that  $\mathcal{C} \cup \overline{\mathcal{C}}$  cover  $\gamma[0, 1]$ , but  $\bigcup_{C_i \in \mathcal{C}} C_i$  is disjoint from  $\bigcup_{D_i \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}} D_i$ , which contradicts the fact that  $\gamma[0, 1]$  is connected, so such a sequence of charts does indeed exist. Since  $(U, \varphi)$  and  $(U_{n_1}, \varphi_{n_1})$  are consistently oriented with each other and  $(U, \varphi)$  is positively oriented with  $\mathcal{A}$ , by the lemma,  $(U_{n_1}, \varphi_{n_1})$  is positively oriented with  $\mathcal{A}$ . We can apply this argument inductively to conclude that  $V$  is also positively oriented with  $\mathcal{A}$  and thus  $V \in \mathcal{A}^+$ .

Therefore, if a single chart in  $\mathcal{B}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{A}^+$ , then in fact every chart in  $\mathcal{B}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{A}^+$ . The same applies for the case where there is a chart that is contained in  $\mathcal{A}^-$ .

□