Lecture 05

White's test

- Does not rely upon a specific form of heteroskedasticity.
- It's closely related to the model: $\sigma_i^2 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 z_{2i} + \ldots + \alpha_p z_{pi}$;
 - It's a large sample LM test;
- Does not require normality of the errors.

Example

$$y_i=eta_1+eta_2x_{2i}+eta_3x_{3i}+u_i,\quad \mathrm{Var}(u_i)=\sigma_i^2$$

Model of the variance:

$$\sigma_i^2 = lpha_1 + lpha_2 x_{2i} + lpha_3 x_{3i} + lpha_4 x_{2i}^2 + lpha_5 x_{3i}^2 + lpha_6 x_{2i} x_{3i}$$

• All variables, all their squares and all the (unique) inner products.

$$H_0: \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = \alpha_5 = \alpha_6 = 0$$

 $H_1: \exists \alpha_i \neq 0 \quad (j \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6\})$

- Steps:
 - 1. Estimate the original model by OLS;
 - 2. Find the residuals: $\hat{u}_i = y_i \hat{eta}_1 \hat{eta}_2 x_{2i} \hat{eta}_3 x_{3i}$;
 - 3. Regress the variance model, using \hat{u}_i as an estimator for σ_i^2 ;
 - 4. Find the test statistic NR^2 which follows a χ^2 distribution:
 - $lacksquare NR^2 \sim \chi^2(p-1)$, where p-1 is the number of restrictions (in the example, it would be 5, after the five lpha's in H_0);
 - 5. Compare to the critical value for the given level of significance (for instance, $\chi^2_{0.05}(5)=11.07$):
 - If the test statistic is greater than the critical value, reject H_0 ;
 - Otherwise, we fail to reject H_0 .

Estimation

- 1. White's correction:
 - White's Heteroskedasticity-Consistent Covariance Matrix (HCCM)
 - Non-parametric test that re-weights the variable, regarding the variance (since OLS gives the variables with more variance more weight).
- 2. Generalized (or Weighted) Least Squares
 - Consists in re-weighting the observations.

Example

Weighted Least Squares

Linear model: $y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_{2i} + \beta_3 x_{3i} + u_i$;

Variance model: $\sigma_i^2 = \operatorname{Var}$ for error i .

Dividing both sides of the linear model by σ_i :

$$\frac{y_i}{\sigma_i} = \beta_1 \frac{1}{\sigma_i} + \beta_2 \frac{x_{2i}}{\sigma_i} + \beta_3 \frac{x_{3i}}{\sigma_i} + \frac{u_i}{\sigma_i}$$

Renaming it:

$$y_i^* = \beta_1 x_{1i}^* + \beta_2 x_{2i}^* + \beta_3 x_{3i}^* + u_i^*$$

• Because of heteroskedasticity, the OLS is not BLUE for the y_i model; it is BLUE, however, for the y_i^* model, in which there is no heteroskedasticity.

Why is it?

- Dividing both sides of the model by σ_i up-weights the observations with low variance (which would otherwise be underrated by OLS) and down-weights those with high variance (overrated by OLS).
- ullet Also, it was defined that $\mathrm{Var}(u_i^*) := \mathrm{Var}igg(rac{u_i}{\sigma_i}igg)$.
 - A property of the variance is $Var(a+bX)=b^2+Var(X)$, where a,b are constants and X, a random variable.
 - \circ Then, $\mathrm{Var}(u_i^*) := \mathrm{Var}igg(rac{u_i}{\sigma_i}igg) = rac{1}{\sigma_i^2}\mathrm{Var}(u_i)$, since σ_i^2 is a number (constant).
 - $\circ \;\;$ Given that $\mathrm{Var}(u_i) = \sigma_i^2$, then $\mathrm{Var}(u_i^*) = rac{1}{\sigma_i^2}\sigma_i^2 = 1.$
 - With $Var(u_i^*)$ being constant, the y_i^* model features homoskedasticity.
- Conclusion: by re-weighting the variables (dividing them by σ_i), it is possible to get rid of heteroskedasticity which makes OLS BLUE -, provided that σ_i is known.
 - It is possible to use the LM models for variance from Lecture 03 (*Breusch-Pagan*, *Glejser* or *Park*) to estimate σ_i and make the procedure work.

Matrix form

$$u = X\beta + u$$

where
$$m{y}=egin{bmatrix} y_1\\y_2\\y_3\\\vdots\\y_N \end{bmatrix}$$
 , $m{X}=egin{bmatrix} 1 & x_{21} & x_{31} & \cdots & x_{p1}\\1 & x_{22} & x_{32} & \cdots & x_{p2}\\1 & x_{23} & x_{33} & \cdots & x_{p3}\\\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots\\1 & x_{2N} & x_{3N} & \cdots & x_{pN} \end{bmatrix}$, $m{\beta}=egin{bmatrix} eta_1\\eta_2\\eta_3\\\vdots\\eta_p \end{bmatrix}$, $m{u}=egin{bmatrix} u_1\\u_2\\u_3\\\vdots\\u_N \end{bmatrix}$.

Then, the estimator is given by $\hat{m{\beta}}_{OLS} = \left(m{X}^T m{X} \right)^{-1} m{X}^T m{y}$ (normal equation).

- This is the vectorized, multivariate version for $\beta=rac{\sum (x_i-ar x)(y_i-ar y)}{\sum (x_i-ar x)^2}$.
- $\Omega = Var(\boldsymbol{u})$ is the variance-covariance matrix.

$$ullet \quad \Omega = oldsymbol{u} oldsymbol{u}^T = egin{bmatrix} u_1 \ u_2 \ dots \ u_N \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & \cdots & u_N \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & & \ & \ddots & \ & & \sigma_N^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- All the elements of Ω are inner products u_iu_j , so that $i,j\in\{1,2,\ldots,N\}$.
 - Those in the main diagonal are the variances for each observation.

- o If the errors are uncorrelated, every u_iu_j where $i \neq j$ (that is, all elements outside the main diagonal) are equal to zero.
- o If there is homoskedasticity, then all the element in the main diagonal are the same (i. $e., \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = \cdots = \sigma_N^2$).

"So, the problems you can run into are twofold: either the elements on the diagonal of your variance-covariance matrix are not the same (heteroskedasticity), or there is correlation among your errors, which is what we call autocorrelation."

- Ω is a positive-definite matrix (more on PDMs <u>here</u>):
 - \circ As every positive-definite matrix, it can be decomposed in the form $\Omega = Q\Lambda Q^T$ where the columns of Q are the eigenvectors of Ω and the diagonal entries of matrix Λ , the respective eigenvalues (more here).
 - Under that condition, it is possible to write $\Omega = QIQ^T$ (where I is the identity matrix), without loss of generality.
 - \circ A correction technique consists in transforming the model so that, instead of having Ω as the variance-covariance matrix, we have I.

$$egin{array}{cccc} oldsymbol{Q}^{-1}\Omega(Q^{-1})^T = I & \Rightarrow & Q^{-1}oldsymbol{u}oldsymbol{u}^T(Q^{-1})^T = I \,. \ egin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \ 0 & \sigma_2^2 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{array}$$

$$m{E}(m{u}m{u}^T) = egin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \ 0 & \sigma_2^2 & \cdots & 0 \ dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sigma_N^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$Q=Q^T=egin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \ 0 & \sigma_2 & \cdots & 0 \ dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sigma_N \end{bmatrix}$$
 . Thus, $Q^{-1}=egin{bmatrix} rac{1}{\sigma_1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \ 0 & rac{1}{\sigma_2} & \cdots & 0 \ dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ 0 & 0 & \cdots & rac{1}{\sigma_N} \end{bmatrix}$.

- ullet Then, the model is re-weighted: $Q^{-1}oldsymbol{y}=Q^{-1}ig(oldsymbol{X}oldsymbol{eta}+oldsymbol{u}ig)$.
 - \circ In the case shown in the previous section (WLS), the diagonal of Q would formed by the variances (with zero elsewhere). Consequently, the diagonal of Q^{-1} would be filled by the reciprocals of those variances: $(\sigma_i^2)^{-1}$.
 - \circ Then, pre-multiplying both sides of the model by Q^{-1} has the same effect as dividing both sides by the variances.
- The form $m{eta} = m{(X^T\Omega^{-1}X)}^{-1}m{(X^T\Omega^{-1}y)}$ derived from the normal equation is called Generalized Least Squares (GLS) estimator.
 - \circ If there is no heteroskedasticity nor autocorrelation, $\Omega=I$ and the GLS is equal to the normal equation.
 - Otherwise, the model is re-weighted by the variance-covariance matrix.

Model:
$$y_i = eta_1 + eta_2 x_{2i} + eta_3 x_{3i} + u_i$$
 , $\operatorname{Var}(u_i) = \sigma_i^2$.

Method: Re-weight by
$$\frac{1}{\sigma_1}$$
 .

Problem: We do not know σ_i .

Solution: Use a model of variance as a way to estimate σ_i (that is, use $\hat{\sigma}_i$ instead of σ_i).

• Simplest case: ${
m Var}(u_i)=\sigma^2 Z_i^2\equiv\sigma_i^2$, where Z_i^2 is known data.

$$\circ$$
 Then, $\sigma_i = \sigma Z_i$;

$$\circ \ \ \text{We divide the model by } Z_i: \frac{y_i}{Z_i} = \beta_1 \frac{1}{Z_i} + \beta_2 \frac{x_{2i}}{Z_i} + \beta_3 \frac{x_{3i}}{Z_i} + \frac{u_i}{Z_i} \ .$$

$$\circ$$
 Then, we re-write it: $y_i^*=eta_1x_{1i}^*+eta_2x_{2i}^*+eta_3x_{3i}^*+u_i^*$.

Feasible Generalized Least Squares (FGLS)

Variance models:

(a)
$$\sigma_i^2 = lpha_1 + lpha_2 z_{2i} + \ldots + lpha_p z_{pi}$$
 ;

(b)
$$\sigma_i = lpha_1 + lpha_2 z_{2i} + \ldots + lpha_p z_{pi}$$
 ;

(c)
$$\ln \sigma_i^2 = lpha_1 + lpha_2 z_{2i} + \ldots + lpha_p z_{pi}$$
 .

Steps:

- 1. Regress y on constant, x_2,\ldots,x_k : get $\hat{\beta}_{OLS}$;
 2. Compute $\hat{u}_i=y_i-\hat{\beta}_1-\hat{\beta}_2x_{2i}-\ldots-\hat{\beta}_kx_{ki}$;
 3. (a) Regress \hat{u}^2 on constant, z_2,\ldots,z_p : get $\hat{\alpha}$ and apply to $\sigma_i^2=\hat{\alpha}_1+\hat{\alpha}_2z_{2i}+\ldots+\hat{\alpha}_pz_{pi}$;
- 4. Divide the linear model by $\hat{\sigma}_i$: $\frac{y_i}{\hat{\sigma}_i} = \beta_1 \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_i} + \beta_2 \frac{x_{2i}}{\hat{\sigma}_i} + \ldots + \beta_k \frac{x_{ki}}{\hat{\sigma}_i} + \frac{u_i}{\hat{\sigma}_i}$.

Problems:

• There is no guarantee that $\hat{\sigma}_i^2>0$: use absolute value.