

# Lecture 14

## Simultaneity bias

Consider the model:

$$\begin{cases} y_t = \alpha x_t + u_t \\ x_t = \beta y_t + v_t \end{cases}$$

Substituting the second equation into the first one, we get the reduced-form equation:

$$y_t = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha\beta}(\alpha v_t + u_t)$$

Similarly:

$$x_t = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha\beta}(\beta u_t + v_t)$$

Since  $x_t$  is a function of  $y_t$  which, in turn, has  $u_t$ , there is correlation between to variable in the RHS (first equation).

- The same goes for the second equation of the system:  $y_t$  is a function of  $x_t$  which contains  $v_t$ .
- The simultaneity then introduces bias.

**Is the estimate consistent if we regress  $y$  on  $x$ ?**

- We have already seen that  $\hat{\alpha} = \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$ .
- As  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\text{plim } \hat{\alpha} = \frac{\text{Cov}(x, y)}{\text{Var}(x)}$ .
  - Since  $y = \alpha x + u$ , then:
$$\frac{\text{Cov}(x, y)}{\text{Var}(x)} = \frac{\text{Cov}(x, \alpha x + u)}{\text{Var}(x)} = \frac{\alpha \text{Cov}(x, x) + \text{Cov}(x, u)}{\text{Var}(x)} = \alpha + \frac{\text{Cov}(x, u)}{\text{Var}(x)}.$$
  - Thus,  $\text{plim } \hat{\alpha} = \alpha + \frac{\text{Cov}(x, u)}{\text{Var}(x)}$ .
    - We know that  $x = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha\beta}(\beta u + v)$ .
    - Then,  $\text{Cov}(x, u) = \text{Cov}\left(\frac{1}{1 - \alpha\beta}(\beta u + v), u\right)$ .
    - Since we assume  $u \perp v$  (uncorrelated),
$$\text{Cov}(x, u) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha\beta} \left[ \beta \text{Cov}(u, u) + \text{Cov}(v, u) \right] = \frac{\beta}{1 - \alpha\beta} \text{Var}(u).$$
    - Also,  $\text{Var}(x) = \left(\frac{1}{1 - \alpha\beta}\right)^2 \left[ \text{Var}(\beta u, v) \right]$ .
    - Then,  $\text{Var}(x) = \left(\frac{1}{1 - \alpha\beta}\right)^2 \left[ \text{Var}(\beta u) + \text{Var}(v) + 2\text{Cov}(\beta u, v) \right]$ .
    - Again,  $u \perp v \rightarrow \text{Var}(x) = \left(\frac{1}{1 - \alpha\beta}\right)^2 \left[ \beta^2 \text{Var}(u) + \text{Var}(v) \right]$ .

- Plugging those back in and simplifying:  $\text{plim } \hat{\alpha} = \alpha + \beta(1 - \alpha\beta) \frac{\text{Var}(u)}{\beta\text{Var}(u) + \text{Var}(v)}$ .
- 1.  $\hat{\alpha}$  is inconsistent;
- 2. Unless  $\beta = 0$ ,  $\hat{\alpha}$  is biased.

**Example:**

$$\begin{cases} P = \beta_1 + \beta_2 W + u_P \\ W = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 P + \alpha_3 \text{UN} + u_W \quad (\alpha_3 < 0) \end{cases}$$

where:

- $P$ : price growth (inflation),  $W$ : wage growth (endogenous variables).
- $\text{UN}$ : unemployment (exogenous variable).
- $u_P$  and  $u_W$ : stochastic noise associated with  $P$  and  $W$ , respectively.

Substituting the second equation into the first one, we obtain the reduced-form equation for  $P$ :

$$P = \frac{1}{1 - \beta_2 \alpha_2} \left[ (\beta_1 + \beta_2 \alpha_1) + \beta_2 \alpha_3 \text{UN} + (\beta_2 u_W + u_P) \right].$$

Similarly:

$$W = \frac{1}{1 - \beta_2 \alpha_2} \left[ (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_1) + \alpha_3 \text{UN} + (\alpha_2 u_P + u_W) \right].$$

We can see above that the reduced form of  $W$  has  $u_P$  in it. Then, there is correlation between  $W$  and  $u_P$  and, consequently,  $\hat{\beta}_2$  will be biased.

- $\text{plim } \hat{\beta}_2 = \beta_2 + \frac{\text{Cov}(W, u_P)}{\text{Var}(W)}$ .
  - $\text{Cov}(W, u_P) = \frac{\alpha_2}{1 - \beta_2 \alpha_2} \text{Var}(u_P)$ .
  - $\text{Var}(W) = \frac{1}{(1 - \beta_2 \alpha_2)^2} \left[ \alpha_3^2 \text{Var}(\text{UN}) + \alpha_2^2 \text{Var}(u_P) + \text{Var}(u_W) \right]$ .
  - Then,  $\text{plim } \hat{\beta}_2 = \beta_2 + \alpha_2 \left( 1 - \beta_2 \alpha_2 \right) \frac{\text{Var}(u_P)}{\alpha_3^2 \text{Var}(\text{UN}) + \alpha_2^2 \text{Var}(u_P) + \text{Var}(u_W)}$ .
- If  $\alpha_2$  were equal to zero, there would be no simultaneity bias.
  - Note that, were  $\alpha_2$  equal to zero,  $W$  would not be a function of  $P$ , it would rather only be a function of the exogenous variable  $\text{UN}$ .

## Identification problem

**Model 1:**

$$\begin{cases} Q^D = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 P + u \\ Q^S = \beta_0 + \beta_1 P + v \\ Q^D = Q^S \equiv Q \end{cases}$$

Reduced form:

$$P = \frac{\beta_0 - \alpha_0}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} + \frac{1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} (v - u)$$

- $P = \lambda_0 + \varepsilon_P$ :
  - $\lambda_0 = \frac{\beta_0 - \alpha_0}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1}$ .

$$\circ \varepsilon_P = \frac{1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1}(v - u).$$

$$Q = \frac{\alpha_1\beta_0 - \alpha_0\beta_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} + \frac{1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1}(\alpha_1 v + \beta_1 u)$$

- $Q = \gamma_0 + \varepsilon_Q$ :
  - $\gamma_0 = \frac{\alpha_1\beta_0 - \alpha_0\beta_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1}$ .
  - $\varepsilon_Q = \frac{1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1}(\alpha_1 v + \beta_1 u)$ .

To estimate the reduced form we would regress  $P$  and  $Q$  on constants,  $\lambda_0$  and  $\gamma_0$ , respectively.

- $\hat{\lambda}_0 = \bar{P}$ ,  $\hat{\gamma}_0 = \bar{Q}$ .

However, we have 4 structural parameters (unknowns) -  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \beta_0, \beta_1$  -, while running the regression will produce two pieces of information ( $\hat{\lambda}_0$  and  $\hat{\gamma}_0$ ).

- That means that  $\begin{cases} \hat{\lambda}_0 = \frac{\beta_0 - \alpha_0}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \\ \hat{\gamma}_0 = \frac{\alpha_1\beta_0 - \alpha_0\beta_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \end{cases}$  is an unidentified system (it has more unknowns than equations).

This model is said to be under-identified

#### Model 2:

$$\begin{cases} Q^D = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 P + \alpha_2 Y + u \\ Q^S = \beta_0 + \beta_1 P + v \\ Q^D = Q^S \equiv Q \end{cases}$$

Reduced form:

$$P = \frac{\beta_0 - \alpha_0}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} - \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} Y + \frac{1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1}(v - u).$$

- $P = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 Y + \varepsilon_P$ .
  - $\lambda_0 = \frac{\beta_0 - \alpha_0}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1}$ .
  - $\lambda_1 = -\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1}$ .
  - $\varepsilon_P = \frac{1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1}(v - u)$ .
- $Q = (\beta_0 + \beta_1 \lambda_0) + \beta_1 \lambda_1 Y + \varepsilon_Q = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 Y + \varepsilon_Q$ .

Running the regression on the reduced-form equations we obtain four estimates:  $\hat{\lambda}_0, \hat{\lambda}_1, \hat{\gamma}_0, \hat{\gamma}_1$ .

- Can we thus retrieve the structural parameters  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_0, \beta_1$ ?
  - Generally, no, because, once again, we have more unknowns than equations!
  - But we can identify a subset of those structural parameters:
    - $\gamma_1 = \beta_1 \lambda_1 \Rightarrow \hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\hat{\gamma}_1}{\hat{\lambda}_1}$ .
    - $\gamma_0 = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \lambda_0 \Rightarrow \hat{\beta}_0 = \hat{\gamma}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 \hat{\lambda}_0$ .

The system is then partially identified, due to the presence of the variable  $Y$  which discriminates the demand curve ( $Q^D$ ) and allows us to identify a particular supply curve ( $Q^S$ ) for a given value of  $Y$ .

TO BE CONTINUED...