Lecture 02

Hypothesis testing

Classical hypothesis testing

- 1. Formulate two competing hypotheses;
- 2. Derive a test statistic and its sampling distribution;
- 3. Derive the decision rule to choose one of the hypotheses.

Example 1:

Does an increase in government spending (G) lead to an increase in the interest rate (i)?

Simple model:

$$i_t = eta_1 + eta_2 \cdot G_{t-1} + u_t$$

assuming that $u_t \sim N(0,\sigma^2)$.

• In advance, choose the model.

$$H_0:eta_2=0 \ H_1:eta_2
eq 0$$

- Then, find the test statistic:
 - \circ *t*-test, given that u_t follows a normal distribution, and consequently so does i_t .
- Find the rejection region:
 - The areas in which the value of the test statistic will lead to the rejection of the null hypothesis.

The regression will give you the estimated parameter $\hat{\beta}_2$ and the standard error (SE) for the estimator $\hat{\sigma}_{\hat{\beta}_2}$.

• The test statistic will then be:

$$t=rac{\hat{eta}_2-\hat{eta}_2^{H_0}}{\hat{\sigma}_{\hat{eta}_2}},$$

where $\hat{eta}_2^{H_0}$ is the hypothesized value for the estimated parameter (in this example, $\hat{eta}_2^{H_0}=0$).

- The *t* statistic measures how many standard deviations away the estimated values are from what was hypothesized.
 - o If that distance $\hat{\beta}_2 \hat{\beta}_2^{H_0}$ were normalized by the standard deviation, the statistic would follow a normal distribution;
 - Since it is divided by an estimate of the standard deviation ($\hat{\sigma}_{\hat{\beta}_2}$), it follows Student's t-distribution.
- If the test statistic that was calculated falls in the rejection region, the null hypothesis should be rejected; otherwise, one fails to reject the null hypothesis.
 - For instance, with N=25 (small sample, hence the t-test) and $\alpha=0.05$ i. e., a 95% confidence -, the null hypothesis should be rejected in case |t|>2.069:

■ 2.069 is the critical value for a two-tailed t-test, with N-k=23 df (25 minus the number of estimated parameters, β_1 and β_2).

Example 2:

Were the hypotheses:

$$H_0: \beta_2 = 0$$

 $H_1: \beta_2 > 0$

a one-tailed *t*-test should be performed.

ullet For the same lpha and N (and consequently, the same number of degrees of freedom), the critical value would be 1.714 .

Joint Hypotheses testing

(Unrestricted) Model:

$$y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 + \beta_4 x_4 + u_i$$

Hypotheses:

$$H_0: \qquad eta_3 = eta_4 = 0 \ H_1: \quad eta_3
eq 0 \ \lor \ eta_4
eq 0$$

Restricted Model [apply the null hypothesis]:

$$y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + u_i$$

- 1. Estimate the unrestricted model and save the sum of squared residuals ($RSS_{
 m UR}$);
- 2. Estimate the restricted model and save the sum of squared residuals ($RSS_{
 m R}$);
- 3. Calculate the test statistic:

$$F = rac{RSS_{
m R} - RSS_{
m UR}}{rac{RSS_{
m UR}}{N-k}}$$

- If the null hypothesis is indeed true, $RSS_{
 m R}-RSS_{
 m UR}=0$;
- The numerator is the average (normalization) of the sum of squared residuals per restriction;
- The distribution of the numerator is unknown; however, once it is divided by the denominator, it assumes a known distribution: *F*-distribution;
- The number of restrictions is the difference between the number of parameters (β_i 's) in the unrestricted and restricted models:
 - If there is only one restriction, it is also possible to perform a *t*-test;
- *k* in the denominator refers to the unrestricted model: it is the number of parameters in the unrestricted model;
- An alternative formula for the test statistic is:

$$F = rac{R_{
m UR}^2 - R_{
m R}^2}{rac{1 - R_{
m UR}^2}{N - k}}.$$

• The R^2 is <u>coefficient of determination</u> of each model;

- More info.
- 4. Find the critical value $F_{\rm crit}$, with the number of restrictions as the degrees of freedom for the numerator (ν_1) , and N-k as the degrees of freedom for the denominator (ν_2) ;
- 5. If $F > F_{\rm crit}$, reject the null hypothesis.

Example:

Another example of joint hypotheses test (also solvable with an F-test):

$$H_0: \quad \beta_2 + 2\beta_3 = 4 \ H_1: \quad \beta_2 + 2\beta_3 \neq 4$$

Imposing restriction:

$$\beta_2 = 4 - 2\beta_3$$
, under H_0

submitting into the model:

$$y_i = \beta_1 + (4 - 2\beta_3)x_2 + \beta_3x_3 + \beta_4x_4 + u_i$$

and isolating β_i 's:

$$y_i - 4x_2 = \beta_1 + \beta_3(x_3 - 2x_2) + \beta_4 x_4 + u_i$$

one obtains the restricted model.

Rewriting:

$$ilde{y}=eta_1+eta_3 ilde{x}+eta_4x_4+u \ ilde{y}=y-4x_2 \ ilde{x}=x_3-2x_2$$

Example:

Model:

$$y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_{2i} + \beta_3 x_{3i} + \beta_4 x_{4i} + u_i$$

Hypotheses:

The restricted model is obtained by submitting both conditions in the null hypothesis.

Then substitute:

$$\beta_1 = 1 + \beta_3 \qquad \beta_2 = 6 - 2\beta_4$$

and obtain the restricted model:

$$y_i = (1 + \beta_3) + (6 - 2\beta_4)x_{2i} + \beta_3 x_{3i} + \beta_4 x_{4i} + u_i$$

Rewriting:

Application:

Cobb-Douglas production function: $y_i = A L_i^{lpha} K_i^{eta} e^{u_i}$;

- y_i: output;
- *A*: technology;
- *L*: labor;
- *K*: capital.

When $\alpha+\beta=1 \ o$ constant returns to scale.

Putting to test the existence of constant returns to scale:

$$H_0: \quad \alpha + \beta = 1$$

 $H_1: \quad \alpha + \beta \neq 1$

Linearizing the model:

$$\ln y_i = \ln A + \alpha \ln L_i + \beta \ln K_i + u_i$$

Plugging in the condition in the null hypothesis ($\alpha=1-\beta$):

$$\ln y_i - \ln L_i = \ln A + \beta (\ln K_i - \ln L_i) + u_i,$$

whose parameters to estimate are $\ln A$ (which might as well be renamed) and β .

Succinctly: