

www.EtherAuthority.io audit@etherauthority.io

# SMART CONTRACT

**Security Audit Report** 

Project: OmniTensor Website: omnitensor.io

Platform: Ethereum

Language: Solidity

Date: September 18th, 2024

# **Table of contents**

Introduction	4
Project Background	4
Audit Scope	. 5
Claimed Smart Contract Features	6
Audit Summary	8
Technical Quick Stats	. 9
Business Risk Analysis	. 10
Code Quality	. 11
Documentation	11
Use of Dependencies	11
AS-IS overview	12
Severity Definitions	14
Audit Findings	. 15
Conclusion	. 21
Our Methodology	22
Disclaimers	. 24
Appendix	
Code Flow Diagram	25
Slither Results Log	26
Solidity static analysis	29
Solhint Linter	30

THIS IS SECURITY AUDIT REPORT DOCUMENT AND WHICH MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION WHICH IS CONFIDENTIAL. WHICH INCLUDES ANY POTENTIAL VULNERABILITIES AND MALICIOUS CODES WHICH CAN BE USED TO EXPLOIT THE SOFTWARE. THIS MUST BE REFERRED INTERNALLY AND ONLY SHOULD BE MADE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC AFTER ISSUES ARE RESOLVED.

#### Introduction

EtherAuthority was contracted by OmniTensor to perform the Security audit of the OmniTensor smart contract code. The audit was performed using manual analysis and automated software tools. This report presents all the findings regarding the audit performed on September 18th, 2024.

#### The purpose of this audit was to address the following:

- Ensure that all claimed functions exist and function correctly.
- Identify any security vulnerabilities that may be present in the smart contract.

# **Project Background**

This Solidity code defines a smart contract named 'OmniTensor', which implements the ERC20 token standard with additional functionalities, including owner-based controls, token trading via Uniswap, and tax mechanisms. Here is an overview of its components:

#### **Key Features:**

#### ERC20 Token Basics:

- The contract implements the standard ERC20 interface (`IERC20`), providing methods such as `balanceOf`, `transfer`, `approve`, and `transferFrom`.
- The token is named "OmniTensor" (`OMNIT`) with 18 decimals and a total supply of 1 billion tokens.
- Ownership Control: The `Ownable` contract provides functionality for managing ownership, including transferring ownership or renouncing it. The owner has exclusive rights to modify certain contract behavior.

#### Uniswap Integration:

- The contract interacts with the Uniswap V2 protocol via `IUniswapV2Factory`
  and `IUniswapV2Router02` interfaces. It allows adding liquidity in ETH and
  enables token swaps through the DEX.
- The `startTrading` function activates trading by creating a Uniswap pair and adding liquidity.

#### Taxation Mechanism:

 A buy/sell fee (initially set to 30%) is applied to transactions involving Uniswap. The tax amount is deducted from transfers, except for addresses that are marked as excluded. The contract collects the tax in tokens, which can later be swapped for ETH and distributed to various wallets (`OmegaWallet`, `GammaWallet`, `BetaWallet`, `AlphaWallet`).

#### Limits and Controls:

- The contract enforces limits on transaction size (`maxTxValue`) and wallet holdings (`maxWalletHoldings`).
- Trading can be paused and started by the owner. Additionally, the contract provides the ability to remove transaction and wallet limits.

#### Emergency Functions:

- The owner can withdraw accumulated ETH or recover any remaining tokens in the contract.
- Manual token swaps for ETH can also be triggered by the owner.

This contract is designed with a flexible fee structure, controlled trading features, and strong ownership functionality, making it well-suited for projects aiming to integrate liquidity and token swapping through Uniswap.

# **Audit scope**

Name	Code Review and Security Analysis Report for OmniTensor Smart Contract
Platform	Ethereum / Solidity
File	OMNIT.sol
Smart Contract Link	0x08b4ae856698f30477c5a3aea631233d647c3c16
Audit Date	September 18th, 2024

# **Claimed Smart Contract Features**

Claimed Feature Detail	Our Observation
Tokenomics:      Name: OmniTensor     Symbol: OMNIT     Decimals: 18     Total Supply: 1 billion	YES, This is valid.
<ul> <li>Transaction Limits:</li> <li>Maximum transaction value: 5 million tokens.</li> <li>Maximum wallet holdings: 10 million tokens.</li> <li>The owner can disable these limits.</li> </ul>	YES, This is valid.
<ul> <li>Tax and Fee Mechanism:</li> <li>Buy and sell transactions are subject to a 30% fee by default.</li> <li>The tax rate can be adjusted (only downward) by the contract owner.</li> <li>During the first three blocks post-launch, a 30% fee applies to all transactions.</li> <li>Taxes collected from transactions are converted to ETH, which is distributed to the designated wallets: OmegaWallet, GammaWallet, BetaWallet, and AlphaWallet.</li> </ul>	YES, This is valid.

#### The owner has control over the following functions:


- Allows the owner to start trading by setting up a Uniswap pair and enabling liquidity.
- Allows the owner to exclude or include an account from fee calculations or limits.
- Removes transaction and wallet holding limits by the owner.
- Adjusts the buy and sell tax rates by the owner.
- Withdraws ETH from the contract by the owner.
- Transfers the remaining tokens in the contract to the owner.
- Swaps a percentage of the contract's tokens for ETH by the owner.
- The current owner can transfer ownership of the contract to a new account.
- Deleting ownership will leave the contract without an owner, removing any owner-only functionality.

YES, This is valid.
We suggest renouncing ownership once the ownership functions are not needed. This is to make the smart contract 100%

decentralized.

# **Audit Summary**

According to the standard audit assessment, Customer's solidity-based smart contracts are "secured". This token contract does contain owner control, which does not make it fully decentralized.



We used various tools like Slither, Solhint, and Remix IDE. At the same time, this finding is based on a critical analysis of the manual audit.

All issues found during automated analysis were manually reviewed and applicable vulnerabilities are presented in the Audit Overview section. The general overview is presented in the AS-IS section and all identified issues can be found in the Audit overview section.

We found 0 critical, 0 high, 0 medium, 3 low, and 5 very low-level issues.

We confirm that all the issues are acknowledged.

**Investor Advice:** A technical audit of the smart contract does not guarantee the ethical nature of the project. Any owner-controlled functions should be executed by the owner with responsibility. All investors/users are advised to do their due diligence before investing in the project.

# **Technical Quick Stats**

Main Category	Subcategory	Result
Contract	The solidity version is not specified	Passed
Programming	The solidity version is too old	Passed
	Integer overflow/underflow	Passed
	Function input parameters lack check	Passed
	Function input parameters check bypass	Passed
	Function access control lacks management	Passed
	Critical operation lacks event log	Moderated
	Human/contract checks bypass	Passed
	Random number generation/use vulnerability	N/A
	Fallback function misuse	Passed
	Race condition	Passed
	Logical vulnerability	Passed
	Features claimed	Passed
	Other programming issues	Moderated
Code	Function visibility not explicitly declared	Passed
Specification	Var. storage location not explicitly declared	Passed
	Use keywords/functions to be deprecated	Passed
	Unused code	Passed
Gas Optimization	"Out of Gas" Issue	Passed
	High consumption 'for/while' loop	Passed
	High consumption 'storage' storage	Passed
	Assert() misuse	Passed
Business Risk	The maximum limit for mintage is not set	Passed
	"Short Address" Attack	Passed
	"Double Spend" Attack	Passed

**Overall Audit Result: PASSED** 

# **Business Risk Analysis**

Category	Result
Buy Tax	30%
Sell Tax	30%
Cannot Buy	No
Cannot Sell	No
Max Tax	30%
Modify Tax	Yes
Fee Check	No
Is Honeypot	Not Detected
Trading Cooldown	Not Detected
Can Pause Trade?	No
Pause Transfer?	Not Detected
Max Transaction amount?	Yes
Is it Anti-whale?	Not Detected
Is Anti-bot?	Not Detected
ls it a Blacklist?	Not Detected
Blacklist Check	No
Can Mint?	No
Is it a Proxy?	No
Can Take Ownership?	Yes
Hidden Owner?	Not Detected
Self Destruction?	Not Detected
Auditor Confidence	High

**Overall Audit Result: PASSED** 

**Code Quality** 

This audit scope has 1 smart contract. Smart contracts contain Libraries, Smart contracts,

inherits, and Interfaces. This is a compact and well-written smart contract.

The libraries in the OmniTensor are part of its logical algorithm. A library is a different type

of smart contract that contains reusable code. Once deployed on the blockchain (only

once), it is assigned a specific address and its properties/methods can be reused many

times by other contracts in the OmniTensor.

The OmniTensor team has not provided scenario and unit test scripts, which would help to

determine the integrity of the code in an automated way.

Code parts are well commented on in the smart contracts. Ethereum's NatSpec

commenting style is used, which is a good thing.

**Documentation** 

We were given an OmniTensor smart contract code in the form of an <a href="etherscan.io">etherscan.io</a> weblink.

As mentioned above, the code parts are well commented on. And the logic is

straightforward. So, it is easy to understand the programming flow and complex code logic

quickly. Comments are very helpful in understanding the overall architecture of the

protocol.

Use of Dependencies

As per our observation, the libraries used in this smart contract infrastructure are based on

well-known industry standard open-source projects.

Apart from libraries, its functions are not used in external smart contract calls.

# **AS-IS** overview

#### **Functions**

SI.	Functions	Туре	Observation	Conclusion
1	constructor	write	Hardcoded addresses	Refer Audit
				Findings
2	name	write	Passed	No Issue
3	symbol	write	Passed	No Issue
4	decimals	write	Passed	No Issue
5	totalSupply	write	Passed	No Issue
6	getFeeRates	external	Passed	No Issue
7	balanceOf	read	Passed	No Issue
8	transfer	write	Passed	No Issue
9	allowance	read	Passed	No Issue
10	approve	write	Passed	No Issue
11	transfer from	write	Passed	No Issue
12	_setAllowance	write	Passed	No Issue
13	startTrading	external	Centralization risk, Hardcoded addresses, The startTrading needs the Coin and token balance of the token contract	Refer Audit Findings
14	setExcludedAccount	external	access only owner	No Issue
15	disableLimits	external	Critical operation lacks an event log, Limits cannot be enabled once disabled	Refer Audit Findings
16	adjustTaxRates	external	Critical operation lacks event log	Refer Audit Findings
17	_executeTokenTransfer	write	Passed	No Issue
18	_executeTransfer	write	Passed	No Issue
19	withdrawEth	external	Critical operation lacks an event log, The owner can withdraw all the coin and token balance of the contract, Transfer 0 coin	Refer Audit Findings
20	recoverTokens	external	Critical operation lacks an event log, The owner can withdraw all the coin and token balance of the contract	Refer Audit Findings

21	executeManualSwap	external	Critical operation	Refer Audit
	·		lacks event log	Findings
22	exchangeTokensForEth	write	Passed	No Issue
23	receive	write	Passed	No Issue
24	owner	read	Passed	No Issue
25	onlyOwner	modifier	Passed	No Issue
26	transferOwnership	write	access only owner	No Issue
27	_updateOwnership	internal	Passed	No Issue
28	renounceOwnership	write	access only owner	No Issue

# **Severity Definitions**

Risk Level	Description
Critical	Critical vulnerabilities are usually straightforward to exploit and can lead to token loss etc.
High	High-level vulnerabilities are difficult to exploit; however, they also have a significant impact on smart contract execution, e.g. public access to crucial
Medium	Medium-level vulnerabilities are important to fix; however, they can't lead to tokens lose
Low	Low-level vulnerabilities are mostly related to outdated, unused, etc. code snippets, that can't have a significant impact on execution
Lowest / Code Style / Best Practice	Lowest-level vulnerabilities, code style violations, and info statements can't affect smart contract execution and can be ignored.

# **Audit Findings**

#### **Critical Severity**

No critical severity vulnerabilities were found.

#### **High Severity**

No high-severity vulnerabilities were found.

#### Medium

No medium-severity vulnerabilities were found.

#### Low

(1) Centralization risk:

```
0,
        owner(),
        block.timestamp
);

IERC20(uniswapV2Pair).approve(address(_uniswapV2Router),
type(uint).max);
    _isTradingActive = true;
    _launchBlock = block.number;
}
```

When trading starts, added liquidity will be transferred to the Owner's wallet. If the private key of the owner's wallet is compromised, then it will create a problem.

**Resolution:** The owner can accept this risk and handle the private key very securely.

Status: Acknowledged

(2) Critical operation lacks event log:

Some events do not have a log which can lead to tracking issues of the variable update.

#### **Events are:**

- withdrawEth
- recoverTokens
- executeManualSwap
- adjustTaxRates
- disableLimits

**Resolution:** We suggest adding an event log will help to track the methods and some variables' state.

Status: Acknowledged

(3) The owner can withdraw all the coin and token balance of the contract:

```
/**
    * Withdraws ETH from the contract.
    */
    function withdrawEth() external onlyOwner {
        (bool success, ) = owner().call{value:
        address(this).balance}("");
```

```
require(success, "Rescue ETH failed");
}

/**
    * Transfers the remaining tokens in the contract to the owner.
    */
function recoverTokens() external onlyOwner {
        uint256 contractTokenBalance = balanceOf(address(this));
        require(contractTokenBalance > 0, "No tokens to rescue");

        _executeTokenTransfer(address(this), owner(),
contractTokenBalance, 0);
}
```

The owner can drain all the coin and token balance of the contract. If the private key of the owner's wallet is compromised, then it will create a problem.

**Resolution:** The owner can accept this risk and handle the private key very securely.

Status: Acknowledged

### Very Low / Informational / Best practices:

(1) Transfer 0 coin:

```
/**
    * Withdraws ETH from the contract.
    */
    function withdrawEth() external onlyOwner {
        (bool success, ) = owner().call{value:
        address(this).balance}("");
        require(success, "Rescue ETH failed");
    }
}
```

In the withdrawEth function, there is no check for the contract balance. This can execute a transaction to transfer even for 0 coins which is just a waste of gas.

**Resolution:** We suggest checking the contract balance before transfer to the owner.

Status: Acknowledged

(2) Hardcoded addresses:

This is a private and confidential document. No part of this document should be disclosed to third party without prior written permission of EtherAuthority.

```
/**
    * Constructor initializes wallets and assigns the total token
supply to the contract deployer.
    */

constructor() {
        OmegaWallet = 0x38106d5664EfAf7aD02E3e5169b1F79591aFc71D; //
must change > Reserve
        GammaWallet = 0xBd92bF5d4f7d1E4E8f3B3E99F99738B9aEEfCC55;
        BetaWallet = 0x38106d5664EfAf7aD02E3e5169b1F79591aFc71D; //
must change > BB
        AlphaWallet = 0x2F6D0A6B2bC5e219eb9a288F63048b911648B9Aa;

function startTrading() external onlyOwner {
    require(!_isTradingActive. "Trading is already enabled"):
        __uniswapV2Router = IUniswapV2Router02(0xD99D1c33F9fC3444f8101754aBC46c52416550D1);
    uniswapV2Pair = IUniswapV2Factory(_uniswapV2Router.factory()).createPair(address(this) _ setAllowance(address(this), address(_uniswapV2Router), _totalSupply);
```

For routers and some wallets, a hardcoded address is used which cannot be changeable.

**Resolution:** We suggest confirming these addresses before deployment.

Status: Acknowledged

(3) Variables can be immutable:

```
address OmegaWallet; // Wallet for specific allocation
address GammaWallet;
address BetaWallet;
address AlphaWallet;
```

Variables that are defined within the constructor but further remain unchanged should be marked as immutable to save gas and to ease the reviewing process of third-parties.

**Resolution:** We suggest defining them as Immutable to reduce some gas.

Status: Acknowledged

(4) Limits cannot be enabled once disabled:

This is a private and confidential document. No part of this document should be disclosed to third party without prior written permission of EtherAuthority.

```
/**
    * Removes transaction and wallet holding limits.
    */
    function disableLimits() external onlyOwner {
        maxTxValue = _totalSupply;
        maxWalletHoldings = _totalSupply;
}
```

A limit for maxTxValue and maxWalletHoldings once disabled then no option to enable it.

**Resolution:** We suggest including an option to enable it if necessary.

**Status: Acknowledged** 

(5) The startTrading needs the Coin and token balance of the token contract:

Before startTrading, the Owner has to transfer tokens and coins to the contract manually.

**Resolution:** We suggest either adding direct transfer in the code or the Owner has to take care of these steps before startTrading

**Status: Acknowledged** 

#### Centralization

This smart contract has some functions that can only be executed by the Admin (Owner). If the admin wallet's private key is compromised, then it usually creates trouble. The following are Admin functions:

#### OMNIT.sol

- startTrading: Allows the owner to start trading by setting up a Uniswap pair and enabling liquidity.
- setExcludedAccount: Allows the owner to exclude or include an account from fee calculations or limits.
- disableLimits: Removes transaction and wallet holding limits by the owner.
- adjustTaxRates: Adjusts the buy and sell tax rates by the owner.
- withdrawEth: Withdraws ETH from the contract by the owner.
- recoverTokens: Transfers the remaining tokens in the contract to the owner.
- executeManualSwap: Swaps a percentage of the contract's tokens for ETH by the owner.

#### Ownable.sol

- renounceOwnership: Deleting ownership will leave the contract without an owner,
   removing any owner-only functionality.
- transferOwnership: Current owner can transfer ownership of the contract to a new account.

To make the smart contract 100% decentralized, we suggest renouncing ownership in the smart contract once its function is completed.

Conclusion

We were given a contract code in the form of an etherscan.io weblink, and we used all

possible tests based on the given objects. We have observed 3 low and 5 very low

severity issues. We confirm that all smart contract issues are acknowledged. So, the

smart contract is ready for mainnet deployment.

Since possible test cases can be unlimited for such smart contracts protocol, we provide

no such guarantee of future outcomes. We have used all the latest static tools and manual

observations to cover the maximum possible test cases to scan everything.

Smart contracts within the scope were manually reviewed and analyzed with static

analysis tools. Smart Contract's high-level description of functionality was presented in the

As-is overview section of the report.

The audit report contains all found security vulnerabilities and other issues in the reviewed

code.

The security state of the reviewed smart contract, based on standard audit procedure

scope, is "Secured".

**Our Methodology** 

We like to work with a transparent process and make our reviews a collaborative effort.

The goals of our security audits are to improve the quality of the systems we review and

aim for sufficient remediation to help protect users. The following is the methodology we

use in our security audit process.

Manual Code Review:

In manually reviewing all of the code, we look for any potential issues with code logic, error

handling, protocol and header parsing, cryptographic errors, and random number

generators. We also watch for areas where more defensive programming could reduce the

risk of future mistakes and speed up future audits. Although our primary focus is on the

in-scope code, we examine dependency code and behavior when it is relevant to a

particular line of investigation.

**Vulnerability Analysis:** 

Our audit techniques included manual code analysis, user interface interaction, and white

box penetration testing. We look at the project's website to get a high-level understanding

of what functionality the software under review provides. We then meet with the

developers to gain an appreciation of their vision of the software. We install and use the

relevant software, exploring the user interactions and roles. While we do this, we

brainstorm threat models and attack surfaces. We read design documentation, review

other audit results, search for similar projects, examine source code dependencies, skim

open issue tickets, and generally investigate details other than the implementation.

#### **Documenting Results:**

We follow a conservative, transparent process for analyzing potential security vulnerabilities and seeing them through successful remediation. Whenever a potential issue is discovered, we immediately create an Issue entry for it in this document, even though we have not yet verified the feasibility and impact of the issue. This process is conservative because we document our suspicions early even if they are later shown to not represent exploitable vulnerabilities. We generally follow a process of first documenting the suspicion with unresolved questions, then confirming the issue through code analysis, live experimentation, or automated tests. Code analysis is the most tentative, and we strive to provide test code, log captures, or screenshots demonstrating our confirmation. After this we analyze the feasibility of an attack in a live system.

#### Suggested Solutions:

We search for immediate mitigations that live deployments can take, and finally we suggest the requirements for remediation engineering for future releases. The mitigation and remediation recommendations should be scrutinized by the developers and deployment engineers, and successful mitigation and remediation is an ongoing collaborative process after we deliver our report, and before the details are made public.

## **Disclaimers**

#### EtherAuthority.io Disclaimer

EtherAuthority team has analyzed this smart contract in accordance with the best industry practices at the date of this report, in relation to: cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in smart contract source code, the details of which are disclosed in this report, (Source Code); the Source Code compilation, deployment, and functionality (performing the intended functions).


Due to the fact that the total number of test cases is unlimited, the audit makes no statements or warranties on the security of the code. It also cannot be considered as a sufficient assessment regarding the utility and safety of the code, bug-free status, or any other statements of the contract. While we have done our best in conducting the analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report only. We also suggest conducting a bug bounty program to confirm the high level of security of this smart contract.

#### **Technical Disclaimer**

Smart contracts are deployed and executed on the blockchain platform. The platform, its programming language, and other software related to the smart contract can have their own vulnerabilities that can lead to hacks. Thus, the audit can't guarantee the explicit security of the audited smart contracts.

# **Appendix**

#### **Code Flow Diagram - OmniTensor**



This is a private and confidential document. No part of this document should be disclosed to third party without prior written permission of EtherAuthority.

# **Slither Results Log**

#### Slither Log >> OMNIT.sol

#### INFO:Detectors:

Reentrancy in OmniTensor.\_executeTransfer(address,address,uint256) (OMNIT.sol#265-297):

External calls sending eth:

- \_exchangeTokensForEth(contractTokenBalance) (OMNIT.sol#290)
  - (success) = OmegaWallet.call{value: OmegaFund}() (OMNIT.sol#350)
  - (success, None) = GammaWallet.call{value: GammaFund}() (OMNIT.sol#351)
  - (success, None) = BetaWallet.call{value: BetaFund}() (OMNIT.sol#352)
  - (success, None) = AlphaWallet.call{value: AlphaFund}() (OMNIT.sol#353)

State variables written after the call(s):

- \_executeTokenTransfer(from,to,amount,taxRate) (OMNIT.sol#296)
  - \_balances[from] -= amount (OMNIT.sol#255)
  - \_balances[to] += transferAmount (OMNIT.sol#256)
  - \_balances[address(this)] += taxAmount (OMNIT.sol#257)

OmniTensor.\_balances (OMNIT.sol#100) can be used in cross function reentrancies:

OmniTensor.\_executeTokenTransfer(address,address,uint256,uint256)

#### OMNIT.sol#251-260)

- OmniTensor.balanceOf(address) (OMNIT.sol#163-165)
- OmniTensor.constructor() (OMNIT.sol#126-137)

#### Reference:

https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#reentrancy-vulnerabilities

OmniTensor.startTrading() (OMNIT.sol#204-222) ignores return value by

\_uniswapV2Router.addLiquidityETH{value:

address(this).balance}(address(this),balanceOf(address(this)),0,0,owner(),block.timestamp) (OMNIT.sol#210-217)

IERC20(uniswapV2Pair).approve(address(\_uniswapV2Router),type()(uint256).max)

(OMNIT.sol#219)

Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#unused-returr INFO:Detectors:

OmniTensor.adjustTaxRates(uint256,uint256) (OMNIT.sol#242-246) should emit an event for:

- buyFeeRate = newBuyTaxRate (OMNIT.sol#244)
- sellFeeRate = newSellTaxRate (OMNIT.sol#245)

#### Reference:

https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#missing-events-arithmetic INFO:Detectors:

Reentrancy in OmniTensor.startTrading() (OMNIT.sol#204-222):

External calls:

- uniswapV2Pair =

Event emitted after the call(s):

- Approval (owner, spender, amount) (OMNIT. sol#198)

This is a private and confidential document. No part of this document should be disclosed to third party without prior written permission of EtherAuthority.

(OMNIT.sol#208) INFO:Detectors: Pragma version 0.8.20 (OMNIT. sol #3) necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.8.18. Reference: INFO:Detectors: Low level call in OmniTensor.withdrawEth() (OMNIT.sol#302-305): - (success) = owner().call{value: address(this).balance}() (OMNIT.sol#303) - (success, None) = GammaWallet.call{value: GammaFund}() (OMNIT.sol#351) - (success, None) = BetaWallet.call{value: BetaFund}() (OMNIT.sol#352) - (success, None) = AlphaWallet.call{value: AlphaFund}() (OMNIT.sol#353) NFO:Detectors: Function IUniswapV2Router02.WETH() (OMNIT.sol#82) is not in mixedCase Constant OmniTensor.\_totalSupply (OMNIT.sol#98) is not in JPPER\_CASE\_WITH\_UNDERSCORES Constant OmniTensor.\_minSwapTokens (OMNIT.sol#104) is not in JPPER\_CASE\_WITH\_UNDERSCORES

Variable OmniTensor.OmegaWallet (OMNIT.sol#116) is not in mixedCase

Variable OmniTensor.GammaWallet (OMNIT.sol#117) is not in mixedCase

Variable OmniTensor.BetaWallet (OMNIT.sol#118) is not in mixedCase

Variable OmniTensor.AlphaWallet (OMNIT.sol#119) is not in mixedCase

INFO:Detectors:

OmniTensor.slitherConstructorVariables() (OMNIT.sol#94-360) uses literals with too many digits:

- \_maxSwapTokens = 5000000 \* 10 \*\* \_tokenDecimals (OMNIT.sol#105)

OmniTensor.slitherConstructorVariables() (OMNIT.sol#94-360) uses literals with too many digits:

- maxTxValue = 5000000 \* 10 \*\* \_tokenDecimals (OMNIT.sol#107)

OmniTensor.slitherConstructorVariables() (OMNIT.sol#94-360) uses literals with too many digits:

- maxWalletHoldings = 10000000 \* 10 \*\* \_tokenDecimals (OMNIT.sol#108)

OmniTensor.slitherConstructorConstantVariables() (OMNIT.sol#94-360) uses literals with too

OmniTensor.slitherConstructorConstantVariables() (OMNIT.sol#94-360) uses literals with too

- \_minSwapTokens = 100000 \* 10 \*\* \_tokenDecimals (OMNIT.sol#104)

NFO:Detectors:

OmniTensor.\_maxSwapTokens (OMNIT.sol#105) should be constant

This is a private and confidential document. No part of this document should be disclosed to third party without prior written permission of EtherAuthority.

https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#state-variables-that-could-be-dec ared-constant

INFO:Detectors:

OmniTensor.AlphaWallet (OMNIT.sol#119) should be immutable

OmniTensor.BetaWallet (OMNIT.sol#118) should be immutable

OmniTensor.GammaWallet (OMNIT.sol#117) should be immutable

OmniTensor.OmegaWallet (OMNIT.sol#116) should be immutable

Reference:

https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#state-variables-that-could-be-decl ared-immutable

INFO:Slither:OMNIT.sol analyzed (6 contracts with 93 detectors), 40 result(s) found

This is a private and confidential document. No part of this document should be disclosed to third party without prior written permission of EtherAuthority.

## **Solidity Static Analysis**

#### OMNIT.sol

#### Block timestamp:

Use of "block.timestamp": "block.timestamp" can be influenced by miners to a certain degree. That means that a miner can "choose" the block.timestamp, to a certain degree, to change the outcome of a transaction in the mined block.

Pos: 341:12:

#### Low level calls:

Use of "call": should be avoided whenever possible. It can lead to unexpected behavior if return value is not handled properly. Please use Direct Calls via specifying the called contract's interface.

Pos: 353:22:

#### Gas costs:

Gas requirement of function OmniTensor.withdrawEth is infinite: If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed. Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage (this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)

Pos: 302:4:

#### Gas costs:

Gas requirement of function OmniTensor.executeManualSwap is infinite: If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed. Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage (this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)

Pos: 320:4:

#### Similar variable names:

OmniTensor.\_executeTransfer(address,address,uint256): Variables have very similar names "\_minSwapTokens" and "\_maxSwapTokens". Note: Modifiers are currently not considered by this static analysis.

Pos: 287:45:

#### Guard conditions:

Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.

Pos: 356:8:

#### Data truncated:

Division of integer values yields an integer value again. That means e.g. 10 / 100 = 0 instead of 0.1 since the result is an integer again. This does not hold for division of (only) literal values since those yield rational constants.

Pos: 348:28:

#### **Solhint Linter**

#### **OMNIT.sol**

```
Compiler version 0.8.20 does not satisfy the ^{\circ}0.5.8 semver
requirement
Explicitly mark visibility in function (Set ignoreConstructors to
Pos: 9:53
Function name must be in mixedCase
Contract has 16 states declarations but allowed no more than 15
Pos: 1:93
Pos: 5:103
Variable name must be in mixedCase
Pos: 5:115
Explicitly mark visibility of state
Variable name must be in mixedCase
Pos: 5:116
Explicitly mark visibility of state
Pos: 5:117
Variable name must be in mixedCase
Pos: 5:117
Explicitly mark visibility of state
Pos: 5:118
Variable name must be in mixedCase
Pos: 5:118
Explicitly mark visibility in function (Set ignoreConstructors to
Error message for require is too long
Error message for require is too long
Pos: 17:275
Avoid making time-based decisions in your business logic
Pos: 13:340
Variable name must be in mixedCase
Pos: 9:346
Variable name must be in mixedCase
Code contains empty blocks
Pos: 32:358
```

#### Software analysis result:

This software reported many false positive results and some are informational issues. So, those issues can be safely ignored.

This is a private and confidential document. No part of this document should be disclosed to third party without prior written permission of EtherAuthority.



This is a private and confidential document. No part of this document should be disclosed to third party without prior written permission of EtherAuthority.