Mall 55 a - Lecture 16 - Fri Oct 8 Monday no class: [Columbus, Indigenous Peoples] Day 1

Today: Hermitian inner products on complex vector spaces:

Def: A Hernitian inner product is a positive definite (conjugate-symmetric) Hernitian form. $H: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ $H(u,u) > 0 \quad \forall u \neq 0$; $H(u,v) = \overline{H(v,u)}$, $H(\lambda u,v) = \overline{\lambda} H(u,v)$. $H(u,\lambda v) = \lambda H(u,v)$.

(PH: V -) V* is now a complex autilinear map V -> V*! (p(2u) =] volul).

Many Kings can are from the red case:

- H positive definite \Rightarrow H nondegenrate (i.e. Ke- $\varphi_H = 0$)
- Given a subspace $W \subset V$, its attograd $W^{\perp} = \{v \in V \mid H(v, w) = 0 \mid Vw \in W \}$ is also a subspace, $V = W \oplus W^{\perp}$.

(C-autilinainty doesn't affect W king a C-subspace; positive definite > WnW== {0})

• Def: An orthonormal basis of V with a Kernitian inner product is a basis $\{e_i\}$ such that $H(e_i,e_j) = S_{ij} = \{1 \text{ if } i=j \ 0 \text{ else} \}$

Thin; Vadnits an athonormal basis

Same proof as in real case (by induction on dim V: first pick v_1 with $\|v_i\|^2 = H(v_1,v_1) = 1$, then take an orthonormal basis $v_2 \dots v_n$ of $\text{-pan}(v_1)^{\perp}$) (or $\text{Gram-Schnidt}\dots$).

Corollay: Every finite dim. He mitian inner product space is isomorphic to C^n with the standard Hermitian inner product, $H(z, \omega) = \sum_i \overline{z}_i \omega_i$.

In matrix form: $H(z, \omega) = \overline{z}$ w when $\overline{z} = \overline{z}^T = (\overline{z}_1 \dots \overline{z}_n)$ conjugate transpose.

Not-quite-example (Forcier seies) $V = C^{\infty}(S^1, \mathbb{C})$ infinitely differentiable functions $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$

def. $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{S^1} \overline{f}(f) g(f) df$ (\Leftarrow 1-perole function $R \to C$)

then $f_n(t) = e^{2\pi i n t}$ are orthogonal, $\langle f_n, f_n \rangle = S_{m,n}$.

{fn}n∈ 20 not a basis of V, their span WCV = space of trigonometric polynomials.

Con Mink of Forier seies as orthogonal prijection onto W.

(Will make more sense with some analysis ... or even Letter, Hilbert spaces)

```
- Def: V complex rectispace, H Hermitian inner product, T: V→V
              · the adjoint of T is T*: V-V st. H(T*v, w) = H(v, Tw) bu, w

· T is self-adjoint if T*=T, (➡) H(Tv, w) = H(v, T*w) by w
                         ie. H(v,Tw) = H(Tv,w) Yv,w EV
              · T is unitary if H(Tv, Tw) = H(v, w) \forall v, w \in V ie. T' = T^{-1}.
               · T is normal if TT = T T.
• Unitary operators form a subgroup U(V, H) \subset Aut(V) (U(n) \subset GL(n, C))
     Note U(1) = S1 (nullylication by any conglex number of morn 1).
 · Note: in an orknownal basis, \mathcal{M}(T^*) = \mathcal{M}(T)^* (= \overline{\mathcal{M}(T)^t}).
               This is because H(Tv, w) = (Mv)^* w = v^* M^* w = H(v, T^* w) v.
         So: self-adjoint complex operators are desuited by Hernitian natures, aij = aji.
   The complex spectral theorem:
         V finite d'm! complex vector space, H: VaV-1 C Henritian inne product,
         T: V -> V self-digoint (T = T) or unitary (T = T') or normal (TT = T'T)
              ⇒ her exists an orthonoral basis consisting of eigenvectors of T,
           ie. T is disjonalizable in an orthonormal basis.
            with eigenvalues ER if self-adjoint / ES1 (unit circle) if unitary.
    Proof: let v_1 \in V be an eigenvector (exists since C alg. closed), Tv_1 = \lambda_1 V_1, ||v_1|| = 1.
             Claim 1: V_1 is also an eigenvector of T^{\kappa}, T^{\kappa}v_1 = \overline{\lambda}_1 v_1
               Indeed, \|(T'-\overline{\lambda})v_1\|^2 = H((T'-\overline{\lambda})v_1, (T'-\overline{\lambda})v_2)
                                           =H(v_1, (T-\lambda)(T-\overline{\lambda})v_1)
                                                                                   (since (T-\lambda)^* = T - \overline{\lambda})
                                            =H(v_1,(T-\overline{\lambda})(T-\lambda)v_1)
                                                                                   (T,T' commute \Rightarrow so do T-\lambda, T'-\overline{\lambda}).
              Claim 2: W = span(V,) is invariant under T and T".
                 Indeed, we W \Rightarrow H(Tw, v_i) = H(w, T'v_i) = \overline{\lambda} H(w, v_i) = 0 \Rightarrow Twe W.
H(T^*w, v_i) = H(w, Tv_i) = \lambda H(w, v_i) = 0 \Rightarrow T^*we W.
                 and (T_{IW})^{*} = (T^{*})_{IW} (H(\omega_{1}, T\omega_{2}) = H(T^{*}\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}) \forall \omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in W \checkmark).
```

- prof by induction: take [v] U sombonound basis of W=v, d'asonalizing TIW }.

* Back to (not neces definite) mondeyerente symmetric bilinear forms: Suppose V is a finite dimensional vector space over k and B: UxV -> k is a nondezenrate symmetriz bibnear form. Can we classify such B? (Rmk; Q(v) = B(v,v); V - k is something called a quadratic form Can recove B from Q if char(k) $\neq 2$; $B(u,v) = \frac{1}{2}(Q(u+v)-Q(u)-Q(u))$ Clasification approach: find some vector v st. $B(v,v) \neq 0$, and then look at span(v) $(\operatorname{span}(v)^{\perp} = \ker(\varphi_{B}(v) : V \rightarrow k), \text{ so } V = \operatorname{span}(v) \oplus \operatorname{span}(v)^{\perp} \text{ when } B(v,v) \neq 0)$ Then study B1 span(v) L ... (2) Herritan forms are what most morned people care about, however. Prop: Over C, any nodegenerate symmetric bilinear firm admits a basis $e_1 \cdots e_n$ st- $B(e_i, e_j) = S_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq j \\ 1 & i = j \end{cases}$ \underline{Poof} : • since $B(u,v) \neq 0 \Rightarrow$ one of B(u,u), B(v,v), B(u+v,u+v) nonzero, B nonzer implies the existence of $v = st \cdot B(v,v) \neq 0$. • let $e_1 = B(v,v)^{-1/2} v$. Then consider span(e,) = W. $Span(e_i) \cap Span(e_i)^{\perp} = \{0\}$ since $B(e_i, e_i) \neq 0$, and din W = din Ker B(e,.) = din V-1 => V=span(e) @ W. · The restriction of B to W is nondegenerate because the matrix of B in basis {e, some basis of W} is $(0 | B_{U})$ invertible (rank n-1). · Complete the prof by intertion on dimension (assuming roult holds in din- n-1, take e, + bais of W st. B/W (ej, ek) = Sjk). Prop: Over IR, any nondegenerate symmetric bilinear firm admits a basis st. $B(e_i,e_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq j \\ \frac{1}{2} & i = j \end{cases}$ ie. Can assume $B\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i e_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i e_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i y_i - \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} x_i y_i$. We say B has signature (k, n-k). (Case (n,0) = def. positive).

(k = max din. of a subspace s.t. B|LI definite positive, n-k = -... - def-negative). Proof some as in complex case, except can't always scale to $B(e_1,e_1) = 1$, instead we can only fire $B(e_1,e_1) = \pm 1$.

$$Ex:$$
 $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

$$Q(v) = B(v, v) = v_1^2 + v_2^2$$

· Ove Q, things get much harder - number theory enters!

$$\not\exists v = (v_1, v_2) \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \text{ st}. \quad \mathbb{B}(v_1, v) = v_1^2 + v_2^2 = 3$$

Us clearing described by
$$n_1^2 + n_2^2 = 3m^2$$

 $n_1, n_2, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ no common factor (esp not all even)
However $n_1^2 + n_2^2 \equiv 0,1,2 \mod 4$ $3n_1^2 \equiv 0,3 \mod 4$

whereas
$$B'=\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 due have $\exists v \text{ st. } B'(v,v)=3 \quad (v=(1,1))$

o What about the skew-symmetric case? (suppose char(k) $\neq 2$)

We can still find a "standard basis" for V finite dim. vect. space with

B: VeV - k non degenerate skew-synnahic bilhear form (a.ka: symplechic form)

but the process is slightly different since B(v,v)=0 $\forall v \in V$.

Istead: pick any nonzero e₁ ∈ V; since B is non degenerate, B(e₁,.): V → k

is nonzer => $\exists f_1 \in V$ st. $B(e_1, f_1) \neq 0$, can make it =1 by scaling f_1 .

Now we find span(e,f,) \cap span(e,f,) $^{\perp}$ = {0} (if $v=ae_i+bf_i$ has so V= span(e,f,) \oplus span(e,f,) $^{\perp}$, $B(v,e_i)=B(v,f,)=0 \Rightarrow a=b=0$)

and study the retriction of B to the latter subspace (induction on dim.).

=> Prop: V finite din e over k, char(k) +2,

B nondegueste strensymmetric bilinear form VaV-sk

is even, and V has a basis (e,f,...,en,fn) st.

 $\mathbb{B}(e_i,e_j) = \mathbb{B}(f_i,f_j) = 0, \quad \mathbb{B}(e_i,f_j) = S_{ij} = -\mathbb{B}(f_j,e_i).$

The group of linear transformations presering B is called the symplectic group $S_{p}(V, B) \simeq S_{p}(2n, k)$

Next time: tensor product & multilinear algebra. This gives us a way to think of bilinear (or multilinear) maps $V_1 \times V_2 \rightarrow W$ as linear maps from a new vector space $V_1 \otimes V_2$.