

Recall: • $f: U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is differentiable at x if $\exists Df(x) \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ st.

$$f(x+v) = f(x) + Df(x)v + o(|v|).$$

- $f \in C^1(U, \mathbb{R}^m)$ if everywhere differentiable and $Df: U \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ is continuous.
- as a matrix, entries in $Df(x)$ are partial derivatives $\partial f_i / \partial x_j$.
- operator norm: $\|Df(x)\| = \sup_{v \neq 0} \frac{|Df(x)v|}{|v|}$

• Usual rules of differentiation hold, in particular

Thm (chain rule): $\left\| \begin{array}{l} \text{if } g \text{ is differentiable at } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } f \text{ is differentiable at } g(x) \in \mathbb{R}^m, \\ \text{then } f \circ g \text{ is differentiable at } x \text{ and } D(f \circ g)(x) = Df(g(x)) \circ Dg(x) \end{array} \right\|$

Pf: $g(x+v) = g(x) + \underbrace{Dg(x)v + r(v)}_{=w}$ where $r(v) = o(|v|)$ (i.e. $\lim_{|v| \rightarrow 0} \frac{|r(v)|}{|v|} = 0$).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{so } f \circ g(x+v) &= f(g(x) + w) = f(g(x)) + Df(g(x))w + o(|w|) \\ &= f(g(x)) + Df(g(x)) \cdot Dg(x)v + o(|v|). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

- Mean value thm doesn't hold, eg. $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$
 $t \mapsto (\cos t, \sin t) \quad f(2\pi) = f(0) \neq f(0) + 2\pi f'(t) \quad \forall t \in [0, 2\pi].$

However we have the mean value inequality:

Thm: $\left\| \begin{array}{l} f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \text{ differentiable at every point of the line segment} \\ [a, b] = \{tb + (1-t)a \mid t \in [0, 1]\} \Rightarrow |f(b) - f(a)| \leq |b - a| \cdot \sup_{x \in [a, b]} \|Df(x)\|. \end{array} \right\|$

Pf: $u = \text{unit vector in direction of } f(b) - f(a)$, let $g(t) = \langle u, f(a+tv) \rangle$
 $v = \frac{b-a}{|b-a|}$

then $g'(t) = \langle u, Df(a+tv)v \rangle$ so $|g'(t)| \leq \|Df(a+tv)\|$. The result then follows from the single-variable mean value ineq. for g on $[0, |b-a|]$. \square

- Higher order derivatives: f is C^2 if $Df: U \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m) \simeq \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ is C^1 , etc.

The main important fact about higher partial derivatives is:

Prop: $\left\| \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right) \text{ exist and are continuous then } \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} \end{array} \right\|$

Pf: enough to consider the case of $f(x, y)$. For h and k small $\neq 0$, consider

$$\frac{1}{hk} (f(x+h, y+k) - f(x+h, y) - f(x, y+k) + f(x, y))$$

writing this in terms of $g(x, y) = \frac{f(x, y+k) - f(x, y)}{k}$, this is $\frac{1}{h} (g(x+h, y) - g(x, y))$

so by mean value thm for $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}$, $\exists h_1 \in (0, h)$ st. this equals

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x+h_1, y) = \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x+h_1, y+k) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x+h_1, y) \right). \quad (2)$$

In turn, by mean value thm for $\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right)$, $\exists k_1 \in (0, k)$ st. this equals $\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right)(x+h_1, y+k_1)$.

Doing the same calculation in opposite order shows $= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)(x+h_2, y+k_2)$ for some $h_2 \in (0, h)$, $k_2 \in (0, k)$.

Since these 2nd derivatives are continuous by assumption, taking limits as $h, k \rightarrow 0$ gives the result. \square

• Hence: the Hessian matrix $H = \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)$ is symmetric. and should be interpreted as a symmetric bilinear form on tangent vectors. If $f \in C^2$ then

$$f(x+v) = f(x) + Df(x) \cdot v + \frac{1}{2} H(x)(v, v) + o(|v|^2) \quad (\text{Use on, Taylor!}).$$

• Because of the local approximation $f(x+v) = f(x) + Df(x)v + r(v)$, the behavior of $Df(x)$ governs that of f near x . In particular:

→ if $Df(x)$ is injective then f is injective on a (suff. small) neighborhood of x .

→ if $Df(x)$ is surjective then f maps a neighborhood of x surjectively onto a nbd of $f(x)$.

When both hold, f is a local diffeomorphism, by the inverse function theorem.

Def: || a map $f: U \rightarrow V$ between open subsets of \mathbb{R}^n is a diffeomorphism if it is a homeomorphism and both f and f^{-1} are C^1 .

Thm: || Let $p \in E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open, $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ C^1 , suppose $Df(p): \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is an isomorphism (ie. $\det Df(p) \neq 0$). Then f is a local diffeomorphism at p , ie. $\exists U \ni p$ neighborhood st. f is a diffeomorphism between $U \subset E$ and $f(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

The proof uses two main ingredients:

1. mean value inequality: $\sup \|Df\| \leq M \Rightarrow |f(b) - f(a)| \leq M|b - a|$.

2. contraction mapping principle: X complete metric space, $\varphi: X \rightarrow X$ contraction ($d(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \leq \alpha d(x, y)$ for some $\alpha < 1$) $\Rightarrow \varphi$ has a unique fixed point.

(Proof: • existence: let $x_0 \in X$, set $x_{n+1} = \varphi(x_n)$, then $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$

so $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \alpha^n d(x_0, x_1)$ so (x_n) is Cauchy, hence converges to some $x \in X$.

Moreover $x_{n+1} = \varphi(x_n) \rightarrow \varphi(x)$, but $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$, hence $\varphi(x) = x$.

• uniqueness: if $\varphi(x) = x$ and $\varphi(y) = y$ then $d(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) = d(x, y) \leq \alpha d(x, y)$, so $x = y$.)

Pf. of inverse function theorem:

• After a linear change of variables, we can assume $p = 0$, $f(0) = 0$, $Df(0) = Id$.

• Since $f \in C^1$, Df is continuous, so \exists ball $B_r(0)$ st. $\|Df(x) - Id\| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for $|x| \leq r$.

(3)

• Now, given $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\varphi(x) = x + (y_0 - f(x))$.

"next guess using Newton's method to find x_0 st. $f(x_0) = y_0$, given $f(x)$, using $Df \sim I$."

key obs: $\varphi(x) = x$ iff $f(x) = y_0$, and for $|x| \leq r$ we have $\|D\varphi(x)\| = \|I - Df(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

- Assume $|y_0| < \frac{r}{2}$. Since $\varphi(0) = y_0$ and $\|D\varphi\| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for $|x| \leq r$, the mean value inequality gives for $|x_i| \leq r$, $|\varphi(x_1) - \varphi(x_2)| \leq \frac{1}{2} |x_1 - x_2|$.
and also $|\varphi(x)| \leq |y_0| + \frac{|x|}{2} < r$. (*) (by (*), $|x_0| = |\varphi(x_0)| < r$)

So φ is a contracting map from $\overline{B_r(0)}$ to itself, hence $\exists!$ fixed point $x_0 \in B_r(0)$.
Thus $\forall y_0 \in B_{\frac{r}{2}}(0)$, $\exists! x_0 \in B_r(0)$ st. $f(x_0) = y_0$. (**)

- Now let $V = B_{\frac{r}{2}}(0)$, $U = f^{-1}(V) \cap B_r(0)$, then U, V are open (f continuous) and $f|_U : U \rightarrow V$ is a bijection by (**). Let $g: V \rightarrow U$ the inverse map.

• Claim: g is differentiable and $Dg(y) = Df(x)^{-1}$ where $x = g(y)$ ($y = f(x)$)

PF: fix $y_0 \in V$, $x_0 = g(y_0) \in U$, let $\varphi(x) = x + (y_0 - f(x))$ as above, with $\varphi(x_0) = x_0$.

for $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ small (so $|y_0 + w| < \frac{r}{2}$), write $g(y_0 + w) = x_0 + v$, so $f(x_0 + v) = y_0 + w$.

Then $\varphi(x_0 + v) = (x_0 + v) + (y_0 - (y_0 + w)) = x_0 + v - w$, vs. $\varphi(x_0) = x_0$.

But we've shown φ is contracting, $|\varphi(x_0 + v) - \varphi(x_0)| = |v - w| \leq \frac{1}{2} |v|$.

Hence $|w| \geq \frac{1}{2} |v|$ by triangle inequality, ie. $|v| \leq 2|w|$.

Given $\varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta$ st. $|v| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x_0 + v) - f(x_0) - Df(x_0)v| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} |v|$.

\Rightarrow for $|w| < \frac{\delta}{2}$, $|(y_0 + w) - y_0 - Df(x_0)v| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} |v| \leq \varepsilon |w|$.

Applying $Df(x_0)^{-1}$: for $|w| < \frac{\delta}{2}$, $|Df(x_0)^{-1}w - v| \leq \|Df(x_0)^{-1}\| |w - Df(x_0)v| < \varepsilon \|Df(x_0)^{-1}\| |w|$.

Recalling $v = g(y_0 + w) - g(y_0)$, this yields

$$g(y_0 + w) = g(y_0) + Df(x_0)^{-1}w + o(|w|).$$

□

- the continuity of $Dg = Df^{-1} \circ g$ then follows from the continuity of Df and of g itself. □

* Implicit Function Theorem:

$\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \supset E$ open, $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ differentiable.
(x, y) \mapsto f(x, y)

Write $Df(x, y): \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ as $Df_x \oplus Df_y$, $Df_x: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ first n variables
 $Df_y: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ last m variables

Assume $f(x_0, y_0) = 0$ and Df_y is invertible ($\det Df_y \neq 0$) at $(x_0, y_0) \in E$

Then $\exists U \ni x_0, V \ni y_0$ open st. $\forall x \in U \exists! y = g(x) \in V$ st. $f(x, y) = 0$.

Moreover, $g: U \rightarrow V$ defined by $f(x, g(x)) = 0 \forall x \in U$ is differentiable, and $Dg = -(Df_y)^{-1} Df_x$

This follows from the inverse function theorem by considering

$$F: \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \supset E \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$$

$$F(x, y) = (x, f(x, y)).$$

$$DF(x_0, y_0) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} I & 0 \\ \hline Df_x & Df_y \end{array} \right) \quad \text{invertible } \checkmark$$

This has an inverse G over a nbd. of $F(x_0, y_0) = (x_0, 0)$.

Near (x_0, y_0) , $f(x, y) = 0 \iff F(x, y) = (x, 0) \iff (x, y) = G(x, 0)$.

So we let $g(x) =$ second component of $G(x, 0)$. □

* Given a differentiable $f: \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, and a point at which DF is surjective, we can always find a subset of coordinates $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ ($I \subset \{1, \dots, n+m\}, |I| = m$) st. the corresponding part of DF is invertible \Rightarrow can apply implicit fⁿ theorem to describe the zero set of f by eq^s $(x_i)_{i \in I} = g(x_j, j \notin I)$.

In particular, a hypersurface $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n =$ closed subset which is locally the zero set of a differentiable real-valued function f with $DF \neq 0$. Using implicit fⁿ theorem, S can be locally described as the graph $x_j = g(x_i, i \neq j)$ of some diff^{able} $g: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
Eg. a diff^{able} curve in \mathbb{R}^2 is locally a graph $x = f(y)$ or $y = f(x)$.

Iterated and Riemann integrals in several variables

* f continuous function on an n -cell $I = [a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times [a_n, b_n] \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

\Rightarrow we can define $\int_I f = \int_I f \, dx_1 \dots dx_n = \int_I f \, |dx|$

\uparrow why? clearer after diff forms

either 1) as iterated integral:

$$\int_{a_1}^{b_1} \left(\int_{a_2}^{b_2} \dots \left(\int_{a_n}^{b_n} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \, dx_n \right) \dots dx_2 \right) dx_1 \quad \text{or any order}$$

2) as Riemann integral: split I into small cubes Q_i , and bound f between piecewise constant functions $s = s_i = \min f(Q_i)$ on $\text{int}(Q_i)$
 $S = S_i = \max f(Q_i)$ \rightarrow ---

$$\rightarrow \text{estimate } \sum s_i \, \text{vol}(Q_i) \leq \int_I f \, |dx| \leq \sum S_i \, \text{vol}(Q_i)$$

If f is continuous, hence uniformly continuous, then $\sup |S - s| \rightarrow 0$ as $\text{diam}(Q_i) \rightarrow 0$, so this defines the integral uniquely.

Fubini's thm says: for continuous f , the iterated integrals for different orders of integration are all equal.

* if f is only piecewise continuous, integrability still holds if the regions of I where f is continuous are sufficiently regular - eg. delimited by smooth hyper-surfaces.