

Last time:  $V$  finite dim. over  $k$  alg. closed (eg.  $\mathbb{C}$ ),  $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$  linear operator  $\Rightarrow$

- $\exists$  basis st.  $M(\varphi)$  is upper triangular  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$
- $\varphi - \lambda I$  is invertible  $\Leftrightarrow \lambda \notin \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ , so the diagonal entries are the eigenvalues of  $\varphi$ !
- the eigenspaces  $\text{Ker}(\varphi - \lambda_i)$  are linearly independent, but need not span  $V$  (if they do:  $\exists$  basis of eigenvectors, hence  $\varphi$  is diagonalizable)
- To do better, we introduced the generalized eigenspaces

$$V_\lambda = \{v \in V \mid \exists m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ st. } (\varphi - \lambda I)^m v = 0\} = \text{gker}(\varphi - \lambda I) = \text{Ker}(\varphi - \lambda I)^n$$

(This is only nontrivial if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\varphi$ )

$\text{Ker}(\varphi - \lambda I) \subset \text{Ker}(\varphi - \lambda I)^2 \subset \dots$   
becomes constant in at most  $n = \dim V$  steps

Prop.1:  $V_\lambda = \text{Ker}(\varphi - \lambda I)^n$  and  $W_\lambda = \text{Im}(\varphi - \lambda I)^n$  are invariant subspaces of  $\varphi$ , and  $V = V_\lambda \oplus W_\lambda$ .

Prop.2: The subspaces  $V_\lambda \subset V$  are independent:  $\sum v_i = 0, v_i \in V_{\lambda_i} \Rightarrow v_i = 0 \forall i$ .

Thm: If  $k$  is alg. closed,  $V$  finite-dim. vect space over  $k$ ,  $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$ , then  $V$  decomposes into the direct sum of the generalized eigenspaces  $V_\lambda$  of  $\varphi$ ,  $V = \bigoplus V_\lambda$ .

Proof: By induction on  $\dim V$ ! (the result is clear for  $\dim V = 1$ ). Assume the result holds up to dimension  $n-1$ , and consider the case  $\dim V = n$ .

We've seen before:  $k$  alg. closed  $\Rightarrow \varphi$  has at least one eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$

$$\text{Let } V_{\lambda_1} = \text{gker}(\varphi - \lambda_1 I) = \text{Ker}((\varphi - \lambda_1 I)^n), U = W_{\lambda_1} = \text{Im}(\varphi - \lambda_1 I)^n.$$

By prop.1 above,  $V_{\lambda_1}$  and  $U$  are invariant subspaces, and  $V = V_{\lambda_1} \oplus U$ .

Since  $\dim U < \dim V$ , induction  $\Rightarrow U$  decomposes into generalized eigenspaces for  $\varphi|_U$ ,  
 $U = U_{\lambda_2} \oplus \dots \oplus U_{\lambda_\ell}$ ,  $\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell$  eigenvalues of  $\varphi|_U$  ( $\Leftrightarrow$  eigenvalues of  $\varphi$  with an eigenvector  $\in U$ )

$$U_{\lambda_j} = \text{Ker}(\varphi|_U - \lambda_j I)^n = \text{Ker}(\varphi - \lambda_j I)^n \cap U = V_{\lambda_j} \cap U$$

Moreover,  $\varphi|_U$  doesn't have  $\lambda_1$  as eigenvalue (since  $\text{Ker}(\varphi - \lambda_1 I)^n \cap U = 0$ ), so  $\lambda_1 \notin \{\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell\}$ .

Now:  $U_{\lambda_j} \subset \text{Ker}(\varphi - \lambda_j I)^n = V_{\lambda_j}$ , and  $V = V_{\lambda_1} \oplus U = V_{\lambda_1} \oplus U_{\lambda_2} \oplus \dots \oplus U_{\lambda_\ell}$ .

Since the genl eigenspaces  $V_{\lambda_j}$  contain  $U_{\lambda_j} \forall j \geq 2$ , we find that  $V_{\lambda_1}, \dots, V_{\lambda_\ell}$  span  $V$ ;

and they are independent by Prop.2, hence  $V = V_{\lambda_1} \oplus V_{\lambda_2} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{\lambda_\ell}$ .

(and in fact  $V_{\lambda_j} = U_{\lambda_j} \forall j \geq 2$ ; in other terms,  $\text{Im}(\varphi - \lambda_i I)^n = \bigoplus_{j \neq i} \text{Ker}(\varphi - \lambda_j I)^n$ .)

□

\* The decomposition  $V = \oplus V_{\lambda_i}$  gives us bases in which  $\varphi$  is given by a block diagonal matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi|_{V_{\lambda_1}} & & 0 \\ & \varphi|_{V_{\lambda_2}} & \\ 0 & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

\* Moreover,  $\varphi|_{V_{\lambda_i}}$  can be represented by a triangular matrix

in a suitable basis for  $V_{\lambda_i}$  (having them seen last time), and since its only eigenvalue is  $\lambda_i$ , the diagonal entries are all  $\lambda_i$ ! So:  $\varphi \sim$

\* We can do more with the blocks  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_i & * \\ 0 & \lambda_i \end{pmatrix}$  but this

requires further study of nilpotent operators (note:  $\varphi|_{V_{\lambda_i}} - \lambda_i I$  nilpotent!)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & * & & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \lambda_l & * \\ & & & 0 & \lambda_l \end{pmatrix}$$

Def:  $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$  is nilpotent if  $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}$  st.  $\varphi^m = 0$  ie.  $\text{gKer}(\varphi) = V$ .  
( $\Leftrightarrow \varphi^n = 0$  for  $n = \dim V$ ).

Goal: find a "nice" basis of  $V$  for a nilpotent operator  $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$ .

(This works over any field, don't need to alg. closed).

Observe: if  $\dim V = 2$ , there are 2 cases: either  $\varphi = 0$ ; or  $\varphi^2 = 0$  but  $\varphi \neq 0$ .

In second case: let  $v \notin \text{Ker } \varphi$ , then  $\varphi(v) = u \in \text{Ker } \varphi$  so  $u, v$  are independent and form a basis, in which  $M(\varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Jordan's method generalizes this to higher dimensions:

Prop:  $\exists$  basis of  $V$ :  $\{\varphi^{m_1-1}(v_1), \dots, v_1, \dots, \varphi^{m_k-1}(v_k), \dots, v_k\}$  where  $\varphi^{m_i+1}(v_i) = 0 \quad \forall i$

in which  $M(\varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$

Block diagonal built from  
nilpotent Jordan blocks

(each basis element  $\mapsto$  previous one)  
first basis elt  $\mapsto 0$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof: Recall  $0 \subset \text{Ker } \varphi \subset \text{Ker } \varphi^2 \subset \dots \subset \text{Ker } \varphi^m = V$ . assume this is the smallest  $m$ , ie.  $\varphi^m = 0$  but  $\varphi^{m-1} \neq 0$ .

\* Claim: if a subspace  $U \subset \text{Ker}(\varphi^{k+1})$  satisfies  $\text{Ker}(\varphi^k) \cap U = \{0\}$  ( $k \geq 1$ ), then  $\varphi|_U$  is injective,  $\varphi(U) \subset \text{Ker}(\varphi^k)$ , and  $\text{Ker}(\varphi^{k-1}) \cap \varphi(U) = \{0\}$ .

Indeed:  $\forall v \in U \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \varphi^k(v) \neq 0 \\ v \neq 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \varphi^{k+1}(v) = 0$ . In particular  $\varphi(v) \neq 0$ , ie.  $\text{Ker}(\varphi|_U) = \{0\}$ , injective.  
Also,  $\varphi^k(\varphi(v)) = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi(v) \in \text{Ker } \varphi^k$   
and  $\varphi^{k-1}(\varphi(v)) = \varphi^k(v) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \varphi(v) \notin \text{Ker } \varphi^{k-1}$ .

\* First step: let  $U_m$  st.  $\text{Ker}(\varphi^m) = V = \text{Ker}(\varphi^{m-1}) \oplus U_m$

& pick a basis  $(v_{m,1}, \dots, v_{m,k_m})$  of  $U_m$

[these will yield Jordan blocks of size  $m$ !]

(eg: start from a basis of  $\ker \varphi^m$ , extend to basis of  $V$  by adding vectors  $v_{m,1}, \dots, v_{m,k_m}$ , and let  $U_m$  be their span. ③

Now by the claim,  $v_{m-1,1} = \varphi(v_{m,1}), \dots, v_{m-1,k_m} = \varphi(v_{m,k_m})$  are linearly independent, and their span is  $\subset \ker(\varphi^{m-1})$  but independent of  $\ker(\varphi^{m-2})$ .

Start from a basis of  $\ker(\varphi^{m-2})$ , add  $v_{m-1,1}, \dots, v_{m-1,k_m}$  and complete to a basis of  $\ker(\varphi^{m-1})$  by adding some other vectors  $v_{m-1,k_m+1}, \dots, v_{m-1,k_{m-1}}$  (if needed: could have  $k_{m-1} = k_m$ ). (these will yield blocks of size  $m-1$ ).

Let  $U_{m-1} = \text{span}(v_{m-1,1}, \dots, v_{m-1,k_{m-1}})$ . Then  $\ker(\varphi^{m-1}) = \ker(\varphi^{m-2}) \oplus U_{m-1}$ .

And so on: given  $U_j = \text{span}(v_{j,1}, \dots, v_{j,k_j})$  with  $\ker \varphi^j = \ker \varphi^{j-1} \oplus U_j$ , take  $v_{j-1,i} = \varphi(v_{j,i})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k_j$  and extend by adding vectors as needed to build  $U_{j-1}$ . This eventually gives a basis of  $V = U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_m$ , and rearranging it as  $(v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{m,1}, v_{1,2}, \dots)$  we get the result.  $\square$

We now combine our results to arrive at the

Jordan normal form:

$V$  finite dim. vector space over  $k$  alg. closed,  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$  eg.  $\mathbb{C}$   
 $\Rightarrow \exists$  basis of  $V$  in which the matrix of  $\varphi$  is block-diagonal, with each block a Jordan block  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ .

Remarks: size 1 Jordan blocks:  $(\lambda)$ , size 2:  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ , ...  $\varphi$  is diagonalizable  $\Leftrightarrow$  all the blocks have size 1.

- the values of  $\lambda$  that appear are exactly the eigenvalues of  $\varphi$ . There may be several blocks with the same  $\lambda$ ; their direct sum is the generalized eigenspace  $V_\lambda$ .
- proof: we've seen  $V = \bigoplus V_\lambda$  generalized eigenspaces; now  $\varphi|_{V_\lambda} - \lambda I$  is nilpotent, so can be decomposed into nilpotent Jordan blocks  $\varphi|_{V_\lambda} - \lambda I = \bigoplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ & \ddots \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , so  $\varphi|_{V_\lambda} = \bigoplus \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ & \ddots \\ & & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ .

\* Characteristic polynomial, minimal polynomial:

let  $k$  be algebraically closed,  $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$ ,  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l V_{\lambda_i}$   $V_{\lambda_i}$  generalized eigenspaces

Call •  $n_i = \dim V_{\lambda_i}$  the multiplicity of  $\lambda_i$  ( $\sum n_i = \dim V$ )

•  $m_i =$  nilpotence order of  $(\varphi|_{V_{\lambda_i}} - \lambda_i I)$  ie. smallest  $m_i$  st.  $V_{\lambda_i} = \ker(\varphi - \lambda_i I)^{m_i}$

From the above:  $m_i \leq n_i$ , and  $V_{\lambda_i}$  is diagonalizable iff all  $m_i = 1$ .

Def: • The characteristic polynomial of  $\varphi$  is  $\chi_\varphi(x) = \prod_{i=1}^l (x - \lambda_i)^{n_i}$

The usual definition, once we have defined determinant, is:  $\chi_\varphi(x) = \det(xI - \varphi)$ .

Manifestly, in a basis where  $M(\varphi)$  is triangular (or Jordan),  $M(xI - \varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} x - \lambda_1 & * \\ & \ddots \\ & & x - \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$  ④  
and this is the same thing. (but can we any basis to calculate  $\det$ ).

The significance is: given matrix of  $\varphi$  in any basis,  $A$ , we can calculate

$$\chi(x) = \det(xI - A) \in k[x], \text{ and solve for roots} = \text{eigenvalues}$$

multiplicities =  $\dim$  of gen<sup>d</sup> eigenspaces.

(This also works over non alg. closed  $k$ , without any guarantee that  $\chi(x)$  has any roots.)

Def: || The minimal polynomial of  $\varphi$  is  $\mu_\varphi(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (x - \lambda_i)^{m_i}$ .

Significance:  $(\varphi - \lambda_i)^k = 0$  on the gen. eigenspace  $V_{\lambda_i}$  iff  $k \geq m_i$   
& invertible on the other gen<sup>d</sup> eigenspaces.

So  $\mu_\varphi(\varphi) =$  simplest polynomial expression in  $\varphi$  that is zero  
on all  $V_{\lambda_i}$ 's, hence on  $\bigoplus V_{\lambda_i} = V$ .

Hence: ||  $\mu_\varphi(\varphi) = 0$ , and  $\forall p \in k[x], p(\varphi) = 0 \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$  iff  $\mu_\varphi$  divides  $p$ .

Since nilpotence order  $m_i$  is always  $\leq \dim V_{\lambda_i} = n_i$ ,  $\mu_\varphi$  divides  $\chi_\varphi$ , so:

Thm (Cayley-Hamilton) ||  $\chi_\varphi(\varphi) = 0$ .

(This is also true over non alg. closed  $k$ , by passing to alg. closure; see below for an example)

---

• A word about operators on finite dim.  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector spaces:

Let  $V$  real vector space ( $\dim = n$ ),  $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$  linear operator.

Since  $\mathbb{R}$  is not alg. closed,  $\varphi$  might not have eigenvalues, and we can't put  $\varphi$  in triangular or Jordan form.

Yet: || every real operator has an invariant subspace of  $\dim. 1$  or  $2$

Approach: work over  $\mathbb{C}$  which is alg. closed. How do we do this?

Def: || The complexification of  $V$  is  $V_{\mathbb{C}} = V \times V = \{v + iw \mid v, w \in V\}$ ,  
with addition  $(v_1 + iw_1) + (v_2 + iw_2) = (v_1 + v_2) + i(w_1 + w_2)$   
scalar mult.  $(a + ib)(v + iw) = (av - bw) + i(bv + aw)$   
 $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$

• This is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of dimension  $n$ : if  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  is a basis of  $V$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  
then  $e_1 (= e_1 + i0), \dots, e_n$  is also a basis of  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

- Given  $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$   $\mathbb{R}$ -linear, we can extend it to  $\varphi_{\mathbb{C}}: V_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow V_{\mathbb{C}}$   $\mathbb{C}$ -linear ⑤  
 simply by  $\varphi_{\mathbb{C}}(v+iw) = \varphi(v) + i\varphi(w)$ . Choosing a basis  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  as above,  
 the matrix of  $\varphi_{\mathbb{C}}$  is the same as that of  $\varphi$  ( $\varphi_{\mathbb{C}}(e_j + i0) = \varphi(e_j) + i0$ ).

But now...  $\varphi_{\mathbb{C}}$  is guaranteed to have an eigenvector!

(and gen<sup>d</sup> eigenspaces, and Jordan form, ...)

Let  $\psi = v+iw$  be an eigenvector of  $\varphi_{\mathbb{C}}$  for eigenvalue  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\varphi_{\mathbb{C}}(\psi) = \lambda\psi$ .

There are two cases:

- if  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\varphi_{\mathbb{C}}(v+iw) = \varphi(v) + i\varphi(w) = \lambda v + i\lambda w$

$\Rightarrow v = \operatorname{Re}(\psi)$  and  $w = \operatorname{Im}(\psi)$  are eigenvectors of  $\varphi$  with the same eigenvalue  $\lambda$  (if they are nonzero; one of them is).

( $\triangleq$  the multiplicity of  $\lambda$  for  $\varphi$  has no reason to be even).

- if  $\lambda = a+ib \notin \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\varphi_{\mathbb{C}}(v+iw) = (a+ib)(v+iw)$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi_{\mathbb{C}}(v-iw) = (a-ib)(v-iw) \quad (\text{compare real and imaginary parts!})$$

ie.  $\bar{\psi} = v-iw$  is an eigenvector of  $\varphi_{\mathbb{C}}$  with eigenvalue  $\bar{\lambda}$ .

It follows that  $v$  and  $w$  are linearly independent, and span a 2-dimensional invariant subspace  $U \subset V$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(v) &= av - bw \\ \varphi(w) &= bv + aw \end{aligned} \quad \mathcal{M}(\varphi|_U, (v, w)) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

(One could further study block triangular decompositions of  $\varphi$  etc. starting from  $\varphi_{\mathbb{C}}$ ).