## Solutions to Homework 10

**Math** 55B

- 1. Let  $p(z) = z^3 + z^n$  with  $n \ge 3$ . Prove that p(z) = 1 for some z with Re(z) < 0.
  - **Solution 1.** This is also true for n=2. By the **Gauss-Lucas theorem** proved in class (the critical points of a complex polynomial lie in the convex hull of its zeros),  $(z^3 + z^n 1)' = 3z^2 + nz^{n-1}$  shows that p(z) has a zero in  $\text{Re}(z) \leq 0$ . It remains to remark that, for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $z^3 + z^n 1$  has no zero on the imaginary axis Re(z) = 0: if z = it is a purely imaginary zero, equating the imaginary part in  $-it^3 + (it)^n = 1$  shows that n is odd (since  $t \neq 0$ ), but then  $-it^3 + (it)^n$  is purely imaginary, contradiction.
  - **Solution 2.** The sum of the zeros of  $z^3 + z^n 1$  is equal to the negative of the coefficient of the  $z^{n-1}$ -term, which is 0, if  $n \neq 2, 4$ , and -1 if n = 2, 4; in either case, it is a real number  $\leq 0$ , showing the sum of the real parts of the zeros of  $z^3 + z^n 1$  is  $\leq 0$ . The conclusion follows from the same remark that  $z^3 + z^n 1$  has no zero on the imaginary axis.
- 2. Give an expression for  $\sin(x+iy)$  in terms of the real-valued spherical and hyperbolical sines and cosines. Where are the zeros of the function  $\sin(z)$  on  $\mathbb{C}$ ?

The identity  $\sin(z) = (e^{iz} - e^{-iz})/2i = e^{-iz}/2i \cdot (e^{2iz} - 1)$  makes it manifest that the zeros of  $\sin(z)$  are the same as the zeros of  $e^{2iz} = 1$ , which are the integral multiples of  $\pi$ .

The required expression  $\sin(x+iy) = \sin x \cosh y + i \cos x \sinh y$  is a special case of the addition formula  $\sin x + y = \sin x \cos y + \cos x \sin y$ , upon noting that  $\cos iy = \cosh y$  and  $\sin iy = i \sinh y$ . Since  $\cosh y = (e^y + e^{-y})/2$  does not vanish (for  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ ) and  $\sinh y = (e^y - e^{-y})/2$  vanishes only at 0, this expression also shows that  $\sin(x+iy) = 0$  iff y = 0 and  $\sin x = 0$ ; that is,  $\sin z = 0$  iff  $z \in \pi \mathbb{Z}$ .

3. Suppose  $f(z) = \sum_{n\geq 0} a_n z^n$  is analytic in |z| < 1. Prove that for any |r| < 1, we have  $\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta = 2\pi \sum_{n\geq 0} |a_n|^2 r^{2n}$ .

By the uniform convergence of  $\sum_{n\geq 0} a_n z^n$  in  $|z| \leq r$  and the identity  $\bar{z} = r^2/z$  on |z| = r, we have  $|f(z)|^2 = f(z)\overline{f(z)} = \Big(\sum_{n\geq 0} a_n z^n\Big)\Big(\sum_{n\geq 0} \overline{a_n} \overline{z}^n\Big) = f(z)\overline{f(z)}$ 

 $\sum_k c_k z^k$  on |z|=r, where  $c_0=\sum_{n\geq 0}|a_n|^2r^{2n}$  and the  $c_k$  are certain coefficients. Integrating term by term on |z|=r (again justified by uniform convergence), and using  $\int_0^{2\pi}z^k=0$ , for  $k\neq 0$ , and  $2\pi$ , for k=0, proves the required identity.

4. Given  $J \in M_2(\mathbb{R})$ , let  $\mathbb{R}[J] \subset M_2(\mathbb{R})$  denote the set of matrices of the form aI + bJ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . (i) Prove that  $\mathbb{R}[J]$  is closed under addition and multiplication. (ii) When do these operations make  $\mathbb{R}[J]$  into a field?

That  $\mathbb{R}[J]$  is closed under addition is obvious, and its closedness under multiplication follows upon noting that J satisfies its characteristic polynomial, which has degree 2, so that  $J^2 \in \mathbb{R}[J]$ ; this proves (i). For (ii),  $\mathbb{R}[J]$  is a field if and only if the characteristic polynomial of J is irreducible over  $\mathbb{R}$ , which is the case if and only if either  $J = \lambda I$  with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , or J has no real eigenvalue.

- 5. Prove that for any polynomial p(z) there exists a  $z \in S^1$  such that  $|\overline{z} p(z)| \ge 1$ .
  - **Proof 1.** This follows from the maximum principle, applied to the polynomial 1 zp(z).
  - **Proof 2.** Assuming otherwise, integrating 1/z p(z) over the unit circle leads to the contradictory inequality  $2\pi = \left| \int_{S^1} (1/z p(z)) \, dz \right| \le \int_{S^1} |\bar{z} p(z)| \, dz < 2\pi$ .
- 6. Let  $u \in C(\overline{\Delta})$  be a real-valued continuous function which is harmonic in  $\Delta$ . Prove that for every  $p \in \Delta$ ,  $u(p) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^1} \frac{1-|p|^2}{|z-p|^2} u(z) |dz|$ .

For p=0, this is just the mean value property  $u(0)=(1/2\pi)\int_{S^1}u(z)\,|dz|$  of harmonic functions. To reduce to this case, compose with a Möbius transformation moving 0 to p and preserving  $\Delta$ ; such is given by  $q(z):=(p-z)/(1-\overline{p}z)$ . The new function  $u\circ q^{-1}\in C(\overline{\Delta})$  is harmonic in  $\Delta$  and takes the value u(p) at z=0. It remains to compute that  $|q^*dz|=\frac{1-|p|^2}{|z-p|^2}\,|dz|$ .

7. Prove Hadamard's 3 circles theorem: if f(z) is analytic on the annulus  $R_1 < |z| < R_2$ , and  $M(r) := \sup_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$ , then  $\log M(e^s)$  is a convex function of  $s \in (\log R_1, \log R_2)$ .

For any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , the function  $\alpha \log |z| + \log |f(z)|$  is harmonic on the annulus  $A := \{R_1 < |z| < R_2\}$ , away from the zeros of f. Since  $\alpha \log |z| + \log |f(z)| \ll 0$  near a zero of f, the maximum principle shows that the function  $\alpha \log |z| + \log |f(z)| = \log |z^{\alpha}f(z)|$  attains its maximum on the boundary of A, which is the union of the circles  $|z| = R_1$  and  $|z| = R_2$ . Choose  $\alpha := \frac{\log M(R_1) - \log M(R_2)}{\log(R_2) - \log(R_1)}$ , so that  $\alpha \log R_1 + \log M(R_1) = \alpha \log R_2 + \log M(R_2)$ . Then the preceding observation gives, for all  $R_1 < R < R_2$ , the inequality  $\alpha \log R + \log M(R) \le \alpha \log R_1 + \log M(R_1)$ . By the choice of  $\alpha$ , a rearrangement gives  $\log M(R) \le \frac{\log R_2 - \log R}{\log R_2 - \log R_1} \log M(R) + \frac{\log R - \log R_1}{\log R_2 - \log R_1} \log M(R_2)$ . The conclusion follows:  $\log M(R)$  is a convex function of  $\log R$ .

8. Let u, v be smooth functions on  $\overline{\Delta}$  with  $u | \Delta$  harmonic and  $u |_{S^1} = v |_{S^1}$ . Show that  $\int_{\Delta} |\nabla v|^2 \ge \int_{\Delta} |\nabla u|^2$ .

More precisely, the following identity takes place:

$$\int_{\Delta} |\nabla v|^2 = \int_{\Delta} |\nabla u|^2 + \int_{\Delta} |\nabla (v - u)|^2.$$

To prove it, we need to show  $\int_{\Delta} \nabla v \cdot \nabla(v - u) = 0$ . Its proof reduces to the divergence theorem. Since  $\nabla(\nabla u) = \Delta u = 0$ , we have  $\nabla((v - u)\nabla u) = \nabla(v - u) \cdot \nabla u$ , and the divergence theorem gives  $\int_{\Delta} \nabla v \cdot \nabla(v - u) = \int_{\Delta} \nabla((v - u)\nabla u) = \int_{S^1} (v - u)\nabla u \, |dz| = 0$ , the last equality holding by the assumption  $v - u|_{S^1} \equiv 0$ .

**Remark.** Conversely, if u minimizes the **Dirichlet energy integral**  $E(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Delta} |\nabla u|^2$  among the functions on the disk with a given boundary condition, then u is harmonic. This is proven in exactly the same way, with the following **calculus of variations** twist. For a test function  $\varphi$  vanishing on  $S^1$ , analyze the function  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t \mapsto E(u + t\varphi)$ . If E(u) is a minimum among the functions restricting to  $u|_{S^1}$  on  $S^1$ , this (smooth) function attains a minimum at t=0, showing  $\frac{d}{dt}E(u+t\varphi)=0$ . Differentiating under the integral and applying the divergence theorem in exactly the same way, this yields  $\int_{\Delta} \varphi \cdot \Delta u = 0$ . Since this is to hold for all functions  $\varphi$  vanishing on  $S^1$ , it follows that  $\Delta u = 0$ , i.e. u is harmonic.

9. Let  $\sum a_n z^n$  be the Laurent series for  $1/(e^z - 1)$  near z = 0. Find  $a_n$  for  $n \leq 3$ . What is the radius of convergence of this series?

Expand in geometric series  $1/(e^z-1) = \frac{1}{z} \frac{z}{e^z-1} = \frac{1}{z} \frac{1}{1+(z/2+z^2/6+z^3/24+z^4/120+o(z^4))} = \frac{1}{z} \sum_{n \geq 0} (-z/2-z^2/6-z^3/24-z^4/120)^n + o(z^4) = \frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{12}z - \frac{1}{720}z^3 + o(z^3).$  The radius of convergence if the series is  $2\pi$ , because the singularity of the meromorphic function  $1/(e^z-1)$  of minimal absolute value is the pole  $2\pi\sqrt{-1}$ .

The coefficients  $a_n$  in the expansion of  $1/(e^z - 1)$  near 0 are called the **Bernoulli numbers**, and have important arithmetical properties. They also arise in a formula expressing  $\sum_{j \le n} j^k$  as a polynomial in n of degree k+1: it is obtained by comparing coefficients in  $(e^{(k+1)z} - e)/(e^z - 1) = 1 + e^z + e^{2z} + \cdots + e^{kz}$ . But note that  $1/(e^z - 1)$  cannot be expanded directly in geometric series (even formally), because  $e^z - 1$  has a zero at z = 0.

10. Let  $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  be an entire function satisfying f(x+y) = f(x)f(y). Prove that either f(z) = 0, or  $f(z) = \exp(\alpha z)$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ .

The functional equation f(x+y)=f(x)f(y) implies f'(x)=f'(0)f(x), hence  $f^{(k)}(x)=\alpha^k f(x)$ , where  $\alpha:=f'(0)$ . Also  $f(0)^2=f(0)$ , showing f(0) is either 1 or 0. In the latter case, f(z)=0. In the former case,  $f^{(k)}(0)=\alpha^k f(0)=\alpha^k$ , showing that the Taylor expansion near 0 of the entire function f(z) is  $\sum_{k>0}\alpha^k z^k/k!=\exp(\alpha z)$ , as required.