

Today we'll look at rep's of S_5 and A_5 , for extra practice with characters + to motivate discussion of restriction & induction of representations between G & subgroups. One can start building the character table of S_5 the usual way: start with known rep's.

First we have U (trivial) and U' (alternating), and V (standard rep, dim 4).

Use: $V \oplus U \cong$ permutation rep. \mathbb{C}^5 , so $\chi_{V \oplus U}(\sigma) = \#\{i: \sigma(i)=i\}$, $\chi_V = \chi_{U \oplus V} - 1$.

	1	10	20	30	24	15	20
	e	(12)	(123)	(1234)	(12345)	(12)(34)	(123)(45)
U	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
U'	1	-1	1	-1	1	1	-1
V	4	2	1	0	-1	0	-1
$V' = V \oplus U'$	4	-2	1	0	-1	0	1

Then we need more. Since $|S_5| = 120 = \sum \dim^2$, we're still missing 3 irreducibles with $\sum \dim^2 = 86$; the most effective way to find them is to keep building tensor products - namely look at $V \otimes V$ (dim. 16), or rather its two pieces $\text{Sym}^2 V$ (dim. 10) and $\Lambda^2 V$ (dim. 6).

* Observe: if $g: V \rightarrow V$ has eigenvalues λ_i ($gv_i = \lambda_i v_i$, $1 \leq i \leq r$) then the corresponding map on $\text{Sym}^2 V$ has eigenvalues $\lambda_i \lambda_j$, $1 \leq i \leq j \leq r$ (recall: (v_i) basis of $V \Rightarrow (v_i \cdot v_j)$ basis of $\text{Sym}^2 V$)
 $\Lambda^2 V$ has eigenvalues $\lambda_i \lambda_j$, $1 \leq i < j \leq r$ ($(v_i \wedge v_j)$ basis of $\Lambda^2 V$)

$$\text{Now, } \left. \begin{aligned} \sum_{i < j} \lambda_i \lambda_j &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\sum \lambda_i \right)^2 - \sum \lambda_i^2 \right) \\ \sum_{i \leq j} \lambda_i \lambda_j &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\sum \lambda_i \right)^2 + \sum \lambda_i^2 \right) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \text{so } \begin{aligned} \chi_{\Lambda^2 V}(g) &= \frac{1}{2} (\chi_V(g)^2 - \chi_V(g^2)) \\ \chi_{\text{Sym}^2 V}(g) &= \frac{1}{2} (\chi_V(g)^2 + \chi_V(g^2)). \end{aligned}$$

(this is true for any rep²).

This formula lets us calculate $\chi_{\Lambda^2 V}$ and $\chi_{\text{Sym}^2 V}$ for the standard rep. of S_5 .

	1	10	20	30	24	15	20
	e	(12)	(123)	(1234)	(12345)	(12)(34)	(123)(45)
V	4	2	1	0	-1	0	-1
$\Lambda^2 V$	6	0	0	0	1	-2	0
$\text{Sym}^2 V$	10	4	1	0	0	2	1

Observe: $H(\chi_{\Lambda^2 V}, \chi_{\Lambda^2 V}) = \frac{1}{120} (6^2 + 24 + 15 \cdot 2^2) = 1$, so $\Lambda^2 V$ is irreducible!

whereas $H(\chi_{\text{Sym}^2 V}, \chi_{\text{Sym}^2 V}) = \frac{1}{120} (\underbrace{10^2 + 10 \cdot 4^2 + 20 + 15 \cdot 2^2 + 20}_{360}) = 3$

so $\text{Sym}^2 V$ splits into 3 irreducible summands.

(2)

$$\langle \chi_U, \chi_{\text{Sym}^2 V} \rangle = \frac{1}{120} (10 + 10 \cdot 4 + 20 + 15 \cdot 2 + 20) = 1 \Rightarrow \text{one copy of } U$$

similar calculations $\Rightarrow \text{Sym}^2 V$ also contains V with mult. 1; not U' or V' .

Hence $\text{Sym}^2 V = U \oplus V \oplus W$ for some irred. 5-dim^l representation W .

Subtracting, we find χ_W - and one more, $W' = W \otimes U'$, which complete the list.

	1	10	20	30	24	15	20
	e	(12)	(123)	(1234)	(12345)	(12)(34)	(123)(45)
U	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
U'	1	-1	1	-1	1	1	-1
V	4	2	1	0	-1	0	-1
$V' = V \otimes U'$	4	-2	1	0	-1	0	1
$\Lambda^2 V$	6	0	0	0	1	-2	0
$(U \oplus V \oplus W = \text{Sym}^2 V)$	10	4	1	0	0	2	1
W	5	1	-1	-1	0	1	1
$W' = W \otimes U'$	5	-1	-1	1	0	1	-1

Remark: the standard repⁿ V and its exterior powers $\Lambda^2 V$, $\Lambda^3 V \simeq V'$, and $\Lambda^4 V \simeq U'$ are all irreducible! This is in fact a general property - $\forall 0 \leq k \leq n-1$, the exterior powers $\Lambda^k V$ of the standard rep. of S_n are all irreducible (see Fulton-Harris §3.2).

- Next, move on to A_5 . Starting point = restrict irreducible representations of S_5 to A_5 and see which ones remain irreducible or decompose. Of course different irred. reps. of S_5 can become isomorphic after restriction - namely elements of A_5 act by id on U' so U' becomes trivial and the restrictions of V and $V' = V \otimes U'$ become isomorphic, similarly W . The character table for S_5 gives, after restriction:

	1	20	12	12	15
	e	(123)	(12345)	(12354)	(12)(34)
U	1	1	1	1	1
V	4	1	-1	-1	0
W	5	-1	0	0	1
$\Lambda^2 V$	6	0	1	1	-2

Calculating $\langle \chi, \chi \rangle$ we find that U, V, W are irreducible, while $\langle \chi_{\Lambda^2 V}, \chi_{\Lambda^2 V} \rangle = 2$ so $\Lambda^2 V$ breaks into the direct sum of 2 distinct irreducibles. Also $\Lambda^2 V$ doesn't contain U, V or W , so $\Lambda^2 V = Y \oplus Z$ the last two irreducible rep's of A_5 .

From $\sum \dim^2 = |A_5| = 60$ we find $\dim Y = \dim Z = 3$. How do we find χ_Y and χ_Z ? ③

Using orthogonality and $\chi_Y + \chi_Z = \chi_{A^2V}$, so $\chi_Y - \chi_Z \in \text{span}(\chi_U, \chi_V, \chi_W, \chi_{A^2V})^\perp$

Hence $\chi_Y - \chi_Z = (0, 0, a, -a, 0)$, where $H(\chi_Y - \chi_Z, \chi_Y - \chi_Z) = 2 \Rightarrow 24a^2 = 120$, $a = \pm\sqrt{5}$.

Thus:

	1 e	20 (123)	12 (12345)	12 (12354)	15 (12)(34)
U	1	1	1	1	1
V	4	1	-1	-1	0
W	5	-1	0	0	1
Y	3	0	$\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$	$\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$	-1
Z	3	0	$\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$	$\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$	-1

What are Y and Z?? Recall: $A_5 = \text{rotational symmetries of an icosahedron in } \mathbb{R}^3$.

So: $A_5 \hookrightarrow \text{SO}(3) \subset \text{GL}(3, \mathbb{R}) \subset \text{GL}(3, \mathbb{C})$. (Y and Z differ by an outer automorphism of A_5 : conjugation by transposition inside S_5)

(The fact that the character takes irrational values implies that there does not exist a regular icosahedron (or dodecahedron) in \mathbb{R}^3 whose vertices all have rational coordinates! Otherwise we'd get that the representation factors through $\text{GL}(3, \mathbb{Q})$, and $\text{tr}(g) \in \mathbb{Q} \forall g$.)

* More systematic approach: if G is a finite group and $H \subset G$ a subgroup, then we have a restriction operation $\text{Res}_H^G: \text{rep}^G \text{ of } G \longrightarrow \text{rep}^H \text{ of } H$

This is actually a functor $\text{Rep}(G) \longrightarrow \text{Rep}(H)$ [objects = rep of G , of H
mor = homomorphisms of rep^s]

How about the opposite direction?

Suppose V is a rep. of G , and $W \subset V$ is invariant under H (but not all of G).

Now for $g \in G$, the subspace $gW \subset V$ depends only on the coset gH ,

and each gW is a rep^s of gHg^{-1} , with

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{GL}(W) \\ c_g \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \text{conj. by } g \\ gHg^{-1} & \longrightarrow & \text{GL}(gW) \end{array}$$

The simplest possible scenario: $V = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G/H} \sigma W$.

($\Rightarrow \dim V = |G/H| \cdot \dim W$)

[in general there is no reason for this to hold]

If this happens, then the rep. of G is completely determined by that of H .

Indeed, choose representatives $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k \in G$ of the cosets of H (each coset \ni one σ_i)

Given $g \in G$, $g\sigma_i \in \sigma_j H$ for some j , so there exists $h \in H$ s.t. $g = \sigma_j h \sigma_i^{-1}$.

then g acts by mapping $\sigma_i W$ to $\sigma_j W$, with $g(\sigma_i w) = \sigma_j h(w)$.

Def: A representation V of G , with a subspace $W \subset V$ which is invariant under the subgroup $H \subset G$ (ie. a subrep. of $\text{Res}_H^G V$), is said to be induced by $W \in \text{Rep}(H)$ if, as a vector space, $V = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G/H} \sigma W$. Write $V = \text{Ind}_H^G W$. (4)

ie. fixing one element in each coset, $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k \in G$, we can write each $v \in V$ uniquely as $v = \sigma_1 w_1 + \dots + \sigma_k w_k$ for $w_1, \dots, w_k \in W$.

Thm: Given a representation W of H , the induced representation $V = \text{Ind}_H^G W$ exists and is unique up to isomorphism of G -rep^s

Pf:

- Uniqueness: given $V \in \text{Rep}(G)$ and $W \subset V$ invariant under H s.t. $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \sigma_i W$, necessarily $g \in G$ acts by mapping $\sigma_i W$ to $\sigma_j W$, where j is such that $g\sigma_i \in \sigma_j H$, ie. $h = \sigma_j^{-1} g \sigma_i \in H$, and necessarily $g(\sigma_i w) = \sigma_j h w \in \sigma_j W$. This determines the G -action uniquely.
- Existence: build $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \sigma_i W$ where the σ_i are now formal symbols (ie. the direct sum of $k = |G/H|$ copies of W), and make $g \in G$ act as above. \square

Examples:

- 1) The permutation rep. associated to the left action of G on G/H is induced by the trivial representation of H . Indeed V has a basis $\{e_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in G/H}$; the basis element e_H (for the coset H) is fixed by H , so $W = \text{span}(e_H)$ is invariant under H , and $gW = \text{span}(e_{gH})$, with

$$V = \bigoplus_{gH \in G/H} \text{span}(e_{gH}) = \bigoplus_{gH \in G/H} gW.$$

- 2) The regular rep. of G is induced by the regular rep. of H :
here $W = \text{span}\{e_h, h \in H\} \subset V = \text{span}\{e_g, g \in G\}$.

• Fact: $\text{Ind}_H^G(W \oplus W') = \text{Ind}_H^G(W) \oplus \text{Ind}_H^G(W')$, but $\text{Ind}(W \otimes W') \neq \text{Ind}(W) \otimes \text{Ind}(W')$.

On the other hand, if U is a rep. of G and W a rep. of H , then

$$\text{Ind}(\text{Res}(U) \otimes W) = U \otimes \text{Ind}(W).$$

(indeed: $\text{Ind}(W) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G/H} \sigma W$, so $U \otimes \text{Ind}(W) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G/H} (U \otimes \sigma W) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G/H} \sigma(U \otimes W)$,

where $U \otimes W \subset U \otimes \text{Ind}(W)$ is invariant under H and $= \text{Res}(U) \otimes W$ as H -repⁿ).

in particular: $\text{Ind}(\text{Res}(U)) = U \otimes \text{Ind}(\text{trivial}) = U \otimes (\text{permut. rep. } G/H)$.