

## Math 55b: Honors Advanced Calculus and Linear Algebra

Homework Assignment #11 (21 April 2003):  
Fourier III and last

[This argument] will only carry conviction to those who believe that the infinite sum of small things is always small (except when it is large).<sup>1</sup>

Discrete Fourier analysis provides a good framework for “Gauss sums” and “Jacobi sums” which are ubiquitous in number theory. For our purposes we shall define them as follows. Fix a prime number  $p$ , and let  $\zeta$  be the primitive  $p$ -th root of unity  $e^{2\pi i/p}$ . A *character* is a homomorphism from the multiplicative group  $(\mathbf{Z}/p)^* = (\mathbf{Z}/p) - \{0\}$  of order  $p - 1$  to the  $(p - 1)$ -st roots of unity. The *Gauss sum* associated to a character  $\psi$  is

$$G(\psi) := \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \zeta^n \psi(n).$$

The *Jacobi sum* associated to a pair  $\psi_1, \psi_2$  of characters is defined by

$$J(\psi_1, \psi_2) := \sum_{n=2}^{p-1} \psi_1(n) \psi_2(1 - n).$$

For instance, if  $p = 5$  and  $\psi$  takes  $\pm 1$  to 1 and  $\pm 2$  to  $-1$  then  $G(\psi) = \zeta - \zeta^2 - \zeta^3 + \zeta^4 = \sqrt{5}$  and  $J(\psi, \psi) = -1 + 1 - 1 = -1$ .

1. Let  $\psi$  be a nontrivial character (the “trivial character” sends every element of  $(\mathbf{Z}/p)^*$  to 1), and extend it to a complex-valued function on  $\mathbf{Z}/p$  by defining  $\psi(0) = 0$ . The Gauss sum  $G(\psi)$  is one value of the discrete Fourier transform of this function. Determine its discrete Fourier transform at all elements of  $\mathbf{Z}/p$ .

2. Prove that

$$J(\psi_1, \psi_2) = G(\psi_1)G(\psi_2)/G(\psi_1\psi_2)$$

provided none of  $\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_1\psi_2$  is the “trivial character” sending every element of  $(\mathbf{Z}/p)^*$  to 1. What happens if one or more of these characters is trivial? [Hint: remember our formula for  $B(x, y)$  and its proof.]

---

<sup>1</sup>Körner, *Fourier Analysis*, p.296 (in Chapter 60). By now I hope none of our 55b class believes this; keep in mind (also for the take-home final) that I do not believe it either!

3. Prove that  $|G(\psi)|^2 = p$  for all nontrivial  $\psi$ . If moreover  $\psi$  is “real” (sends each element of  $(\mathbf{Z}/p)^*$  to either  $+1$  or  $-1$ ), show that  $G(\psi) = \pm\sqrt{p}$  if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $G(\psi) = \pm i\sqrt{p}$  if  $p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ . Numerically compute  $G(\psi)$  for nontrivial real characters  $\psi \pmod{p}$  for enough values of  $p$  that you detect a pattern in the choices of sign.

I do not ask you to prove this pattern; this sign problem occupied Gauss for years! Our last problem on these sums may suggest one way to solve it:

4. Let  $N$  be any positive integer and  $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/N}$ . Let  $M$  be the  $N \times N$  matrix whose  $(a, b)$  entry is  $\zeta^{ab}$ ; that is,  $M$  is the matrix for the discrete Fourier transform mod  $N$ . Show that  $M^4 = N^2 I_n$ . Deduce that each eigenvalue of  $M$  is  $\pm N^{1/2}$  or  $\pm i N^{1/2}$ . Conclude that there exist integers  $r_N, s_N$  such that  $\sum_{a=1}^N \zeta^{a^2} = (r_N + i s_N) \sqrt{N}$ . Again, compute  $r_N, s_N$  for enough small  $N$  until you can guess a pattern. How much of this pattern can you prove?

Here’s one of many applications of Poisson summation:

5. Fix  $c > 0$  and define  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by  $f(x) = 1/(x^2 + c^2)$ . For  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  define  $F(t) := \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} f(t + 2\pi n)$ . Prove that  $F$  is a differentiable function of period  $2\pi$ , and thus can be regarded as a differentiable function on  $\mathbf{T}$ . Determine its Fourier series (NB we know  $\hat{f}$ ), and deduce the value of  $F(0) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} f(2\pi n)$ . [Your answer should agree with your result for the first problem of the tenth assignment.] Generalize.<sup>2</sup>

The final batch of problems develops a proof of the memorable theorem of Müntz, which answers the following question: Fix an increasing sequence  $n_0 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots$  of nonnegative real numbers and let  $V$  be the  $\mathbf{R}$ -vector space of functions generated by  $t^{n_i}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . For what  $\{n_i\}$  is  $V$  dense (A) in  $L_2([0, 1])$ , (B) in  $\mathcal{C}[0, 1]$ ? Müntz’s Theorem asserts:

A)  $V$  is dense in  $L_2([0, 1])$  iff  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 1/n_i$  diverges.

B)  $V$  is dense in  $\mathcal{C}[0, 1]$  iff  $n_0 = 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 1/n_i$  diverges.

Note that the  $L_2([0, 1])$  and  $\mathcal{C}[0, 1]$  versions of the Weierstrass Approximation Theorem are the special case  $n_i = i$  of Müntz; we assume the Weierstrass theorem in the following proof.

6. For any vectors  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$  in a real Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , let  $\Delta_m(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  be the determinant of the  $m \times m$  matrix whose  $ij$ th entry is the inner product of  $x_i$  with  $x_j$ . Recall that if the  $x_i$  are linearly independent then this matrix is positive definite, so in particular  $\Delta_m(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  is positive. In this case let  $V_m$  be the

---

<sup>2</sup>This generalizes in many directions; e.g., if  $f : \mathbf{Z}/12\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , what is  $f(0) + f(3) + f(6) + f(9)$  in terms of  $\hat{f}$ ?

$m$ -dimensional subspace spanned by the  $x_i$ , and show that for any vector  $y \in \mathcal{H}$  the distance from  $y$  to the nearest point of  $V_m$  (that is, the norm of the projection of  $y$  to the orthogonal complement  $V_m^\perp$ ) is the square root of the ratio

$$\Delta_{m+1}(x_1, \dots, x_m, y) / \Delta_m(x_1, \dots, x_m).$$

[Note that this problem only uses the finite-dimensional space generated by  $y$  and the  $x_i$ 's; the full Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is needed only for what follows.]

7. Taking  $\mathcal{H} = L_2([0, 1])$ ,  $x_{i+1} = t^{n_i}$  and  $y = t^k$  in problem 7 we find determinants  $\Delta_m, \Delta_{m+1}$  of the form  $\det(1/(a_i + b_j))_{i,j=1}^M$ . Prove that, for any real numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_M; b_1, \dots, b_M$  such that none of the  $a_i + b_j$  vanishes, the value of this determinant is

$$D_M(a_1, \dots, a_M) D_M(b_1, \dots, b_M) / \prod_{i=1}^M \prod_{j=1}^M (a_i + b_j)$$

where  $D_M(r_1, \dots, r_M) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq M} (r_i - r_j)$ . Use this to compute the  $L_2$  distance from  $x^k$  to the space  $V_m$  spanned by  $x^{n_i}$ ,  $0 \leq i < m$ . (Why are these  $m$  vectors linearly independent?)

8. Conclude that, provided  $k$  is not one of the  $n_i$ , the  $L_2([0, 1])$  closure of  $V = \cup_{m=1}^\infty V_m$  contains  $x^k$  if and only if  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty 1/n_i$  diverges. Use this to deduce part A of Müntz's Theorem.
9. The “only if” half of part B is now easily accessible: prove that if  $n_0 > 0$  or  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty 1/n_i < \infty$  then  $\mathcal{C}[0, 1]$  contains functions not in the closure of  $V$ . To get the reverse implication we need one more trick: for any  $f \in L_2([0, 1])$  define  $\int f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by  $\int f(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt$ , i.e., the inner product of  $f$  with the characteristic function of  $[0, x]$ . As part of last problem of PS8, we showed in effect that  $\int$  is a continuous linear map of norm  $\leq 1$  from  $L_2([0, 1])$  to  $\mathcal{C}[0, 1]$ . Use this map to finish the proof of Müntz's Theorem.

This problem set is due Friday, May 2 in class.