## Reminder: HW1 due tonight on Canvas; HW2 available on Canvas

Recall: A subgrape H of a grape G is a non-empty subset HCG which is closed under composition (a, b  $\in$  H  $\Rightarrow$  ab  $\in$  H) and inversion (a  $\in$  H  $\Rightarrow$  a'  $\in$  H). These conditions imply  $\in$  H. So H (with same operation) is also a group.

Prop: | if H, H'CG are two subgraps, then HnH' is also a subgrap.

Pf:  $e \in H \cap H'$  so nonempty  $e \in H \cap H'$  for a  $b \in H \cap H'$ , so a  $b \in H \cap H'$ .

· likewix for inverses.

Similarly for more than two subgroups (even so many). (However, HUH' almost never a subgroup. Why?)

Subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}$ ; given  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}a = \{na \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$  is a subgroup

Prop: All nontrivial subgroups of (Z,+) are of this form.

Proof: Mis follows from the Euclidean algorithm. Given a nontrivial subgroup (0) \$ HCZ, there exists a EH such that a>0. Let as he the smallest positive element of H. given any b ∈ H, b = qa+r for some q ∈ Z and 0 ≤ r < ao (remainder). Since bEH and 990 EH, rEH. Since read, by def. of 90, r must be zero. Here be Zao; so HCZao, and convexely ZaoCH, so H=Zao. [

So every subgroup of Z is generated by a single element 90, in the following sense.

Q: Given a subset  $S \subset G$  (nonempty), what is the smallest subgroup of G which contains S? This is denoted  $\langle S \rangle$  and called the subgroup generated by S.

Answer: look at all subgraps of G which contain S (thee's at least G itself!) and take their intersection:  $\langle S \rangle = \bigcap_{S \in H \subset G} H$ .

More useful answer:  $\langle S \rangle$  must contain all products of elements of S and their investes, and these form a subgroup of G, so  $\langle S \rangle = \{a_1 ... a_k \mid a_i \in S \cup S^{-1} \ \forall 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ 

Def: A group is cyclic if it is generated by a single element. (ex. Z, Z/n. These one in fact the only cyclic groups up to isomorphism).

Definition: The kernel of a homomorphism  $\varphi: G \to H$  is  $\ker(\varphi) = \{ a \in G \mid \varphi(a) = e_H \}$ .

This is a subgroup of G. (check it contains  $e_G$ , products, invesses) •  $\varphi$  is injective iff  $\ker(\varphi) = \{e_G\}$ . (using  $: \varphi(a) = \varphi(b) \Leftrightarrow a^{-1}b \in \ker \varphi$ ).

```
Definition: | • The image of a group homomorphism \varphi: G \rightarrow H is
Im(\psi) = \varphi(G) = \{b \in H \mid \exists a \in G \text{ st. } \varphi(a) = b\}
• This is a subgroup of H. \varphi is sujective iff Im(\varphi) = H.
    Remark: if \varphi is injective, then G is isomorphic to the subgroup \operatorname{Im}(\varphi) \subset H.

(the isomorphism is given by the map G \to \operatorname{Im}(\varphi), a \mapsto \varphi(a)).
   Example: Let a \in G be any element in a group G, then the map \varphi: \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow G, n \mapsto a^n
                               is a homomorphism, with image <a> he subgroup generated by a.
  Def: the order of a G = smallest possible k such that \triangle do not confine order of a G at G at G at G at G at G and G and G and G and G are G and G and G are G are G and G are G are G and G are G and G are G are G are G and G are G are G and G are G are G are G and G are G are G are G and G are G are G are G are G and G are G and G are G are G and G are G are G and G are G 
    If a has infinite order then power of a are all distinct, \varphi: n \mapsto a^n is injective, and \langle a \rangle is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}. If a has finite order k then \ker(\varphi) = \mathbb{Z}k,
      and <a> = {a^ | n = 0,..,k-1} is isomorphic to Z/k.
                                                                                                      (This completes the classification of cyclic groups, by the way).
    Example: \mathbb{Z}/6 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/3 (observe: (1,1) \in \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/3 has order 6, so generates).

a \mapsto (a \mod 2, a \mod 3)
                                                                                                                                                             But 2/2 × 2/2 # 2/4
                            Similarly, gcd (m,n)=1 => Z/m×Z/n = Z/mn.
                                                                                                                                                                    x+x = 0 \ \forall x \ vs. \ 1+1 \neq 0.
We will likely skip his proposition and come back to it later, when discussing grap actions).
Proposition: | Every finite group G is isomorphic to a subgroup of the symmetric group Sn for some n. (In fact we can take n = |G|).

(this is not achially helpful for classifying finite groups; instead it says subgroups of Sn are hard to classify in general).
  Proof: define a map \phi: G \longrightarrow Perm(G) = permutation of G (Lijections G - G)
                            by \phi(g) = m_g, where m_g is left multiplication by g, m_g: G \to G
                         (Check: Why is mg a permulation?)
                         . The fact that of is a honomorphism follows from associativity:
                                 \phi(gh) = mgh : x \mapsto (gh)x
\phi(g) \cdot \phi(h) = mg \cdot mh : k \mapsto g(hx)
g(hx) = g(hx)
                          · If g \def g then mg (e) = g \def g' = mg (e), so \phi(g) \def \def (g').
```

Here & is injective, and G~ Im(4) = Pem(G) = S1G1. [

An important question in group theory is the classification of finite groups up to isomorphism. This becomes increasingly difficult as |G| increases. The beginning:

- every group of order 2 is isomorphic to 2/2 (by writing the hable of the convoition (an ...).
- similarly, every group of order 3 is = 12/3.
- · for order 4, we know 21/4 and 21/2 × 21/2. (these are different: every nonres elenes of 2/2 < 2/2 has order 2, while Z/4 has an element of order 4).

In fact these are the only two groups of order 4 up to i.so.

(Classification completed in the 1980s, taking through of pages. We'll learn some of the key tools & concepts in the class, but certainly won't tackle the complete classification!).

Aside: equivalence relations and partitions (of Artin \$2.7; also Halmos Set theory) An equivalence relation on a set S is a way to declare certain elements equivalent to each other ("a~b"), yielding a smaller set of equivalence classes ("S/n") (the quotient of 5 by ~).

Def: An equivalence relation on a set 5 is a binary relation (ie. a subset of Ses; write and iff (a,b) are in this subset) which is

- 1) reflexive: YaES, a~a
- 2) symmetric: Va, b ∈ S, and => bra
- 3) transitive: ∀a,b,c∈S, if a~b and b~c then a~c.
- . The equivalence class of a  $\in S$  is  $\{a' \in S \mid a \sim a'\}$  (sometimes denoted [a]). (by transitivity, he elevens of [a] are all equivalent to each other.)
- . The equivalence classes from a partition of S, ie. these are mutually disjoint subjects of S whom union is S.
- · The quotient of S by ~ is the set of equivalence classes: S/~ = {[a] / a ∈ S} = F(S). This comes with a sujective map  $S \longrightarrow S/_{\sim}$   $a \longmapsto [a]$

<u>Example</u>: . S=Z, given nEZ, , set and iff n divides b-a. This is congruence mod n; check it is an equivalence relation. There are n equivalence classes  $[0] = \{...,-n,0,n,2n,...\} = \mathbb{Z}n$ ,  $[1] = \{..., 1-n, 1, 1+n, 1+2n, ...\}, ..., [n-1].$ 

The quotient is naturally in bijection with  $\mathbb{Z}/_n$ :  $\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/_n \cong \mathbb{Z}/_n$ .

```
(he defined 2/n as {0,..,n-1} only to avoid the language of equivalence classes) but it makes more know to redefine it as the quotient set.
                                              • given a map f: S \rightarrow T, set and iff f(a) = f(b)
                                                                     This is an equivalence relation; the partition into equivalence claves
                                                                        is S = \bigcup_{t \in T} f^{-1}(t)

f \in S f = \{a \in S \mid f(a) = t\}

if f = S not sujective, only consider f \in S f \in S.
                                                                        and f factors though quotient: S ->> S/2 -> T.
                                                                                                                                                                                                         a mo [a] mo f(a)
                                                                             (if f enjective then S/_{\sim} \cong T)
Using this contraction: equivalent relation on S => partition of S into disjoint subsets
                                                                                                                                                                                        (up to compaision with a bijection T=>T')
Back to groups: assume we have a sujective group homomorphism \varphi: G \to H.
                                      Recall the kernel K = \ker(\psi) = \{a \in G \mid \psi(a) = e_H\} is a subgroup of G.
                                     Let's book at the partition of G induced by \varphi:
\varphi(a) = \varphi(b) \iff \varphi(a)^{-1}\varphi(b) = e_{H} \iff a^{-1}b \in K
                                                                                                                                              let k=a'b, then b=ak  b EaK = {ak/leK}.
    Del! Given any subgroup k of a group G,

+ Proposition: ak = \{ak \mid k \in k\} \subset G is called the (left) coset of k \subset G containing a.
                                            • The relation and ⇒ā'b ∈K is an equivalence relation on G, who ke equivalence clayes are the left cosets.
                                              • The quotient (the set of left carts) is denoted by G/K. We have a partition G = \bigsqcup_{ak \in G/K} ak
                  Proof: j. a'a = e Ek, so ava VaEG.
                                         ? if and then a'bek, hence (a'b)' = b'a \in K, hence b \sim a.

. if and and b \sim c then a'bek, b'c \in K, so (a'b)(b'c) \in K, and.
                                          Also, beak => 3kekst. b=ak => 3kekst. a'b=k => a'bek => a~b.
            Example: \varphi: \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n has kernel \mathbb{Z} : \mathbb{Z} : \mathbb{Z} : \mathbb{Z} : \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} a \mapsto a mod \mathbb{Z} : \mathbb{Z} 
                                               and we have a bijection \mathbb{Z}/_{\mathbb{Z}_n} \cong \mathbb{Z}/_n.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     This gives a group law on the
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        quotient! (addition of cosets addition mod n)
                                                                                                                                                              [k] \rightarrow k.
```

When a subgroup k is the kernel of a homomorphism : \$\varphi\$: \$G \rightarrow\$H, we get a bijection G/K 

H  $aK \mapsto \varphi(a)$  (recall  $\varphi(b) = \varphi(a)$  iff  $b \in aK$ ). and we can use this bijection to get a group structur on G/K, essentially  $(ak) \cdot (6k) = abk$ . Then  $G \longrightarrow G/k$  is a group homomorphism.  $(\Longrightarrow \varphi(a) \cdot \varphi(b) = \varphi(ab))$ .  $a \mapsto ak$ For a general subgroup KCG, however, trying to make G/K a group by setting (aK). (bK) = abk might not work. The obstacle to his is: Assume a ~ a' ( ) a K = a'k ( ) a a a' a' e k) and b ~ b' ( ) bk = b'k ( ) b' b' e k). Does it follow that ab ~ a'b'? ( a abk = a'b'K?) (if not, our operation isn't well defined). isn't well defined).

Ex:  $G = D_4 = \text{synnehies of square}, \quad H = \{e, h\} \text{ where } h = \text{horiz. Flip}$ Then  $e \sim h$  (cost  $eH = hH = \{e, h\}$ ), but setting  $r = \text{notation by } 90^\circ$ hor = 

vs. the coset of eor = r is  $\{r$ , roh = r- hor of eor even though have (and rar). \* Right-cosets vs. left.cosets: similarly to the left cosets aK = {ak / kEK} (a~b & a'b EK) we define right usets  $Ka = \{ka/k \in K\}$ , which compand to and  $\iff ba' \in K$ Rmk: none of these are subgrows of G! (except for K itself) (they don't ontain e!) Also denote aka' = {aka'/kEK} (this one is a subgroup). Def: KCG is a normal subgroup if VaEG, aK=Ka ("left cosets = right covets")
or equivalently, VaEG, aKa"=K.

This ream the two
equivalence relations above agree-Example: . any subgroup of an abelian group is normal. (a+K=K+aV). • in Dy, the subgroup  $H = \{e,h\}$  is not normal.  $\left(rH = \{r,rh\}\right)$ Thoir reflection  $\left(fH = \{r,hr\}\right)$ Theorem: | Given a group G and a subgroup KCG, the following are equivalent: (1) there exists a gamp homomorphism  $\varphi: G \to H$  (some other gamp) with  $\ker(\varphi) = K$  (2) K is a normal subgroup. (3) G/K has a gamp structure given by  $(ak) \cdot (bK) = abK$