Def: A reproculation of a group G is a rector space V + an action of G on V by linear operators: ie.  $G \times V \longrightarrow V$  st.  $\forall g \in G$ ,  $g : V \longrightarrow V$  linear map. Equivalently: a homomorphism  $\rho : G \longrightarrow GL(V)$  the group of invetible linear operators  $V \longrightarrow V$ .

Def. A subrepresentation is a subspace  $W \subset V$  which is invariant under G, i.e.  $gW = W \quad \forall g \in G$ .

· A reproculation is irreducible if it has no nontinial subrepresentations.

Ex: G finite abelian group  $\Rightarrow$  every finite dim rep of G our C is a direct sum of 1-dimensional sub-reps. Isom, classes of 1-dim representations:  $\hat{G} = \text{Hom}(G, C^{\kappa})$ .

Def: Given two representations V, W of G, a homomorphism of representations  $\varphi: V \to W$  is a linear map  $\varphi: V \to W$  that is equivariant, i.e. compatible with the group actions:  $\varphi(gv) = g\varphi(v) \ \forall v \in V \ \forall g \in G$ .

Theorem. Let V be any rep. of a finite group G (over I, or k of char.0), and suppose WCV is an invariant subspace (ie., subrepresentation).

Then there exists another invariant subspace UCV st. V= UDW.

(as a direct sum of rep. 5)

Conlary: any finite dim reprosedation of a finite of decomposes into direct sum of irreducibles.

Two profs of Km. The fist one uses:

Lemma: If V is a C-representation of a finite group G, then there exists a positive definite Hernitian inner product on V which is presented by G: H(gv, gw) = H(v, w) + Vg, v, w, i.e. all the linear operators  $g: V \rightarrow V$  are unitary.

 $\frac{Pf. lemma}{H(v,w)} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} H_0(gv, gw)$ . Then H is still Herritian and definite positive (hence an inner product), and H(gv, gw) = H(v, w).

 $\frac{\gamma_{f-hm}}{g(w)} = W$ , g unitary =>  $g(w^{\perp}) = w^{\perp}$ . So  $U=w^{\perp}$  is a complementary invariant subspace.

Altenative pf; choose any complemelay subspace UocV st. V= U@W.

Let To: V-s W projection anto W with Kernel Vo (TOIN=0, TOIN=id).

Define  $\pi(v) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g\pi_0(g^{-1}v) \in W$ . Then  $\pi_i V_{-1}W$  is a homomorphism of  $\pi_p^{-s}$ 

(ie. G. equivariant;  $g\pi g' = \pi Vg$ ), so  $U = \ker \pi$  is an invariant subspace. (2) Since  $\pi_{|W} = id$ ,  $\pi$  is sujective and  $V = U \otimes W$  (dim/rank formula and  $U \cap W = \{0\}$ ).  $\square$ 

Rmk: he proof fails if  $\operatorname{char}(k) \neq 0$  (non spectically,  $\operatorname{char}(k) = p \mid \mid G \mid$ ). His is one of the reasons that modular reprosectations (= over fields of  $\operatorname{char} > 0$ ) are more consticated.

• it also fails if G is infinite (and doesn't carry a finite invariant measure) as we can't use averaging hick. (Averaging works for compact lie groups such as  $S^1$ , SO(n),...)

Ex:  $G = \mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$  acking on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  by  $t \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

then the first factor C×0 is invariant under G, but \$ complementary into subspace.

Goal: gran G, find its irreducible reproculations, describe how other decompox into irreducibles. Schools Lemma: 1. IF V. W are irreducible repros of G and w: V-> W any homon.

over k=C: if V is irreducible and  $\varphi:V\to V$  is a homom of representations then  $\varphi$  is a multiple of identity.

Proof: • given  $\varphi: V \to W$ ,  $\ker(\varphi)$  is an invariant subspace of V, i.e. a subrepresentation. Since V is irreducible, either  $\ker(\varphi) = 0$  ( $\varphi$  injective) or  $\ker(\varphi) = V$  ( $\varphi = 0$ ). Similarly,  $\operatorname{Im}(\varphi) \subset W$  is invariant hence either zero ( $\varphi = 0$ ) or W ( $\varphi$  sujective). Hence, either  $\varphi = 0$  or  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism.

• over  $k=\mathbb{C}$ , any  $\varphi\colon V\to V$  has an eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Then  $\varphi-\lambda I:V\to V$  is also equivariat, has nonzero kernel, here  $\varphi-\lambda I=0$  by the above. Thus  $\varphi=\lambda I$ .

Ex: Let V irred-rep of G, and  $h \in Z(G)$  center of G (h committee with  $\forall g \in G$ ). Then the action of  $h: V \rightarrow V$  satisfies  $V \in G$ ,  $h(gv) = gh(v): sinh is equivariant, i.e. <math>h \in Hom_G(V, V) = C$  id by Schm's lemma  $\Rightarrow h$  and by a multiple of id. In particular, if G is abelian and V is irreducible then every element of G acts by a multiple of id; this gives another proof that irred-rep: of finite abelian graps are 1-dimensional.

Next are look at the simplest nonabellar group,  $S_3$  (=  $G_3$  in Fulton-Harris). We know the trivial representation  $U \simeq \mathbb{C}$  (every  $\sigma \in S_3$  acts by id). There's another 1-d. rep.  $U' \simeq \mathbb{C}$  with the other elevel of  $Hom(S_3, \mathbb{C}^6)$ : the alternating rep.? (also called sign rep.) where  $\sigma \in S_3$  acts by  $(-1)^6$ .

We also have the permutation reprosetation  $= \mathbb{C}^3$  with basis  $e_1, e_2, e_3$ , on which  $S_3$  3 and by permutation matrices:  $\sigma$  maps  $e_i \mapsto e_{\sigma(i)}$ .

This has an invariant subspace, namely span  $(e_1+e_2+e_3)$ , and we easily find a complenedary sub-rep2, namely  $V = \{(z_1,z_2,z_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid z_1+z_2+z_3=0\}$ . This is called the standard reproduction of  $S_3$ , In V=2, and it is irreducible.

Robin Similarly for  $S_n$ : the two 1-dim. representations are the trivial rep.  $U=\mathbb{C}$  and the alternating rep.  $U'=\mathbb{C}$  with  $\sigma$  acting by  $(-1)^{\sigma}$ , and the permutation reprince  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with  $\sigma$  acting by  $e_i$  the  $e_{\sigma(i)}$  has an instruction span( $e_i$ t...ten)  $\simeq U$ , with confidence subseque  $V=\{(z_1...z_n)\in\mathbb{C}^n\mid \Xi_i=0\}$ ; it turns out V is irreducible - the standard rep. of  $S_n$ , with  $\dim V=n-1$ .

What is specific to Sz is that this is the whole story (over t). (Sn has more ined-ry"s, in fact #irred reps of Sn = p(n) number of partitions of n...).

Prop: U, U' and V are the only irrelatible representations of  $S_3$  (over C). Hence, any rep of  $S_3$  is isomorphic to a direct sum  $U^{\oplus a} \oplus U'^{\oplus b} \oplus V^{\oplus c}$ for some (unique)  $a,b,c \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Proof: Let W be any (Finite dim. /C) representation of  $S_3$ . Restrict first to the abelian subgroup  $A_3 \cong \frac{1}{2} \le S_3$ : let  $T \in S_3$  be any  $3 \cdot y_1 \le 1$ , and  $6 \in S_3$  any transposition. Then  $t^2 = 6^2 = id$ , and  $6^2 t 6 = t^2$ . Restricting the reproduction to the subgroup generated by  $T (\cong \frac{7}{3})$ , W has a basis of eigenvectors  $(V_j)$ , when  $T(V_j) = \lambda_j V_j$  where  $\lambda_j = e^{2\pi i k_j/3}$  and of unity. Now let's see how G acts.

If  $V \in W$  is an eigenvector for T,  $T(V) = \lambda V$ , then  $T(GV) = G(T^2V) = \lambda^2 G(V)$ .

So: G maps the  $\lambda$ -eigenpace of T to its  $\lambda^2$ -eigenpace.

( $R_{not}$ :  $V \in G$  eigenvector of T, apan (V, GV) is an invariant subspace, since both gunchors G and T preserve it. So now we know irred reprehere d in  $\leq 2$ )

Let's now specialty to the case W invaluable, and choose  $V \in W$  and eigenvector of T.

Case  $\lambda = 1$ ; T(V) = V, and by the above, T(G(V)) = G(V). If G(V) is likely integer f f. then  $W = V + G(V) \neq 0$  satisfies  $G(V) = G(V) + G^2(V) = W$ , and T(W) = W, so we get an invariant subspace (trivial subseq.) Span(W)  $\cong V$ . Contradity invaluability.

So o(v) is a scalar multiple of v; since  $o^2 = id$ ,  $o(v) = \pm v$ .

In both cases, span(v) is invariant, and  $\simeq U$  if  $\sigma(v)=v$   $\neg (v)=v$   $\Im U'$  if  $\sigma(v)=-v$   $\neg (v)=v$ .

If W inabible his is all of W.

Case  $\lambda = e^{\pm 2\pi i/3}$ : then  $\pm (v) = \lambda v$  and  $\pm (\sigma(v)) = \lambda^2 \sigma(v)$  by the above. Since  $\lambda \neq \lambda^2$ , these two eigenvectors of  $\pm$  are linearly independent;  $\operatorname{span}(v, \sigma(v))$  is an invariant subseque, hence by irreducibility, equals W. We check that  $W \simeq V$  standard rep<sup>2</sup> by mapping v to the  $\lambda$ -eigenvector of  $\pm$  in the standard rep<sup>2</sup> (i.e.  $\{v, \sigma(v)\}$  map to  $\{(1, \lambda^2, \lambda), (1, \lambda, \lambda^2)\} \subset V \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ )  $\square$ 

\* Given a reproduction of  $S_3$ ,  $W = U^{\oplus a} \oplus U'^{\oplus b} \oplus V^{\oplus c}$ , how do we find a,b,c? A: Look at eigenvalues of T: the 1-eigenspace of T is  $U^{\otimes a} \oplus U'^{\oplus b}$ , so a+b=d in ker (T-1).; whereas the  $e^{\pm 2\pi i/3}$ -eigenspaces each have d in = c. So: multiplicities of eigenvalues of T give a+b and c.

Thenix,  $\varepsilon$  acts by +1 on U, -1 on U', and  $\binom{0}{10} \sim \binom{10}{0-1}$  on V, so the eigenpaces of  $\varepsilon$  have dim. a+c for 1, b+c for -1. From this we get a, b, and c.

Example: consider V the standard rep. of S3, and  $V^{\otimes 2} = V \otimes V$  also a rep. (recall:  $g(v \otimes u) = gv \otimes gur)$ . How here  $V^{\otimes 2}$  decompose into irreducibles?

Start with a basis  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$  of V with  $\tau e_1 = \lambda e_1$ ,  $\tau e_2 = \lambda^2 e_2$  where  $\lambda = e^{2\pi i/3}$  or  $e_1 = e_2$ ,  $\sigma e_2 = e_1$ .

Then V@V has a basis  $e_1 \otimes e_1$ ,  $e_1 \otimes e_2$ ,  $e_2 \otimes e_1$ ,  $e_2 \otimes e_2$ . There are eigenvectors of t, with eigenvectors  $\lambda^2$ , 1, 1,  $\lambda$ .

Nowever, on the 1. eigenspace span( $e_1 \otimes e_2$ ,  $e_2 \otimes e_1$ ),  $\sigma$  swaps there has, so  $e_1 \otimes e_2 \pm e_2 \otimes e_1$  is an eigenvector of  $\sigma$  with eigenvalue  $\pm 1$ .

Hance VeV ~ U⊕ U'⊕V.

Similarly  $Syn^2V$ : Lasis  $e_1^2$ ,  $e_1e_2$ ,  $e_2^2$  ~  $Syn^2(V) \simeq U \oplus V$ .  $\tau$  at h by  $\lambda^2$ , 1,  $\lambda$ 

(whereas 12 V ~ U', perhaps unsurprisingly considering det- vs sign).

Next time well discour symmetric polynomials, then inhoduce characters as a tool to study representations.