## Math 55b: Honors Real and Complex Analysis

Homework Assignments #4 (16 February 2018): Topology coda; calculus prelude

Q: What did the mathematician say as  $\epsilon$  approached zero?

A: "There goes the neighborhood."

-Hoary math joke

The first part of this problem set comprises several standard problems on and around completeness and compactness.

1. Let  $d_1, d_2, d_3$  be the following three metrics on **R**:

 $d_1$  is the standard metric  $d_1(x,y) = |x-y|$ ;

 $d_2$  is the discrete metric; and

 $d_3$  is the metric  $d_3(x,y) = |x^3 - y^3|$ .

The identity function  $x \mapsto x$  on **R** then gives rise to six functions  $(\mathbf{R}, d_i) \to (\mathbf{R}, d_j)$  with  $i \neq j$ .

i) Which of these six functions

$$(\mathbf{R}, d_1) \rightleftarrows (\mathbf{R}, d_2), \quad (\mathbf{R}, d_2) \rightleftarrows (\mathbf{R}, d_3), \quad (\mathbf{R}, d_3) \rightleftarrows (\mathbf{R}, d_1)$$

are continuous?

- ii) Of those, which are uniformly continuous?
- iii) For which  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  does there exist a subset  $S \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  that becomes compact in  $(\mathbf{R}, d_i)$  but not in  $(\mathbf{R}, d_j)$ ? Give and justify an example of such an S.
- 2. Prove or disprove: if X,Y,Z are metric spaces and  $f:X{\rightarrow}Y$  and  $g:Y{\rightarrow}Z$  are any uniformly continuous functions then the composite function  $g\circ f:X{\rightarrow}Z$  is uniformly continuous.
- 3. Let X, Y metric spaces, and  $X^*, Y^*$  their completions. Prove that any uniformly continuous  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  extends<sup>1</sup> uniquely to a continuous function  $f^*: X^* \rightarrow Y^*$ , and that  $f^*$  is still uniformly continuous. Show that if f is continuous, but not uniformly so, then there might not be a continuous  $f^*$  that extends f.

Problem 2 is the main thing to check if we want a "category of metric spaces with uniformly continuous functions"; if there is such a category then Problem 3 is the key step in constructing a "completion functor" to its subcategory of complete metric spaces.

4. In the previous problem set we defined a metric

$$d_1(f,g) := \int_0^1 |f(x) - g(x)| dx$$

on the space  $C([0,1], \mathbf{C})$ .

i) Show that  $\mathcal{C}([0,1], \mathbf{C})$  is not complete under this metric.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A function  $f^*$  on a set  $S^*$  "extends" a function f on a subset  $S \subseteq S^*$  if  $f^*(s) = f(s)$  for all  $s \in S$ . We also say (as in the footnoted word of this problem) that f "extends to  $f^*$ ".

- ii) Fix  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Is the map  $f \mapsto f(x)$  from  $\mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbf{C})$  to  $\mathbf{C}$  continuous with respect to the  $d_1$  metric?
- iii) Now fix a continuous function  $m:[0,1]\to \mathbb{C}$ , and define a map  $I_m:\mathcal{C}([0,1],\mathbb{C})\to \mathbb{C}$  by

$$I_m(f) := \int_0^1 f(x) \, m(x) \, dx.$$

Prove that this map is uniformly continuous.

[By problem 3, the map  $I_m$  extends to a uniformly continuous map on the completion  $L_1([0,1])$  of  $\mathcal{C}([0,1], \mathbb{C})$  under the  $d_1$  metric. This map is also linear: it satisfies the identity  $I_m(af+bg)=aI_m(f)+bI_m(g)$  for all  $f,g\in L_1([0,1])$  and  $a,b\in \mathbb{C}$ . Are there any linear maps from  $L_1([0,1])$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  not of that form?]

5. Let X be a metric space, and f a function from X to itself such that

for all  $x, y \in X$  such that  $x \neq y$ . [NB this is weaker than the notion of a "contraction map", which is a function  $f: X \to X$  such that there exists  $\theta < 1$  with  $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \theta \cdot d(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .] Let  $g: X \to \mathbf{R}$  be the real-valued function on X defined by

$$g(x) := d(x, f(x)).$$

- i) Prove that f is uniformly continuous, and has at most one fixed point (that is, there is at most one  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $x_0 = f(x_0)$ ).
- ii) Prove that g is continuous.
- iii) Conclude that if X is compact then f has a fixed point. Must this still be true if X is complete but not necessarily compact?

The remaining problems concern "differential algebra"; that is, familiar algebraic axioms of a (usually commutative) ring or field, extended by a map  $D: f \mapsto f'$  satisfying the axioms (f+g)'=f'+g' and (fg)'=fg'+f'g. Such a map is called a *derivation* of the ring or field. Note that in the case of a field, the formula  $(f/g)'=(f'g-fg')/g^2$  holds automatically because the argument we gave in class starting from f=g(f/g) uses only the field and derivation axioms. The topological considerations that arise in the definition of the derivative enter into some of the following problems but are not the main point except for the final problem.

- 6. i) If  $f, g, h : [a, b] \to \mathbf{R}$  are differentiable at  $x \in [a, b]$ , prove that so is their product fgh, and find (fgh)'(x).
  - ii) If  $f, g : [a, b] \to \mathbf{R}$  are thrice<sup>2</sup> differentiable at  $x \in [a, b]$ , prove that so is their product fg, and find (fg)'''(x).
  - iii) Generalize.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  once: twice: thrice:: 1:2:3. Look it up if you don't believe me. As far as I know the sequence "once, twice, thrice" has no fourth term in English (though it does have a zeroth term of sorts in "never").

- 7. i) Let U, V, W be finite-dimensional vector spaces over  $\mathbf{R}$  or  $\mathbf{C}$ , and f, g any functions from an interval [a, b] to the finite-dimensional vector spaces  $\operatorname{Hom}(V, W)$  and  $\operatorname{Hom}(U, V)$  respectively. If for some  $x \in [a, b]$  both f and g are differentiable at x, prove that so is  $f \circ g$ , and  $(f \circ g)'(x) = (f'(x) \circ g(x)) + (f(x) \circ g'(x))$ .
  - ii) Now let V be a finite-dimensional real or complex vector space and assume that the function  $f:[a,b]\to \operatorname{End}(V)$  is differentiable at some  $x\in[a,b]$ . Prove that if f(x) is invertible then the function  $[a,b]\to \operatorname{End}(V)$ ,  $t\to (f(t))^{-1}$  is differentiable at x, and determine its derivative. [Hint: heed Artin's admonition (from Geometric Algebra), "It is my experience that proofs involving matrices can be shortened by 50% if one throws the matrices out."]
- 8. i) Prove that if K is a field equipped with a derivation D then  $k := \ker D$  is a subfield of K. This is called the "constant subfield" of K. Show that  $D: K \to K$  is k-linear.
  - ii) Now suppose K = F(X), the field of rational functions in one variable over some field F. Define  $D: K \to K$  by the usual formula: if  $P = \sum_n a_n X^n$  then  $D(P) = \sum_n n a_n X^{n-1}$ ; and any  $f \in K$  is the quotient P/Q of two polynomials, so we may write  $D(P/Q) = (P'Q PQ')/Q^2$ . Show that this is well-defined (i.e. if  $f = P_1/Q_1 = P_2/Q_2$  then the two definitions of D(f) agree), and yields a derivation of K. What is the constant subfield?
- 9. [Wronskians<sup>3</sup>] The numerator f'g fg' of the formula for f/g is the case n = 2 of a Wronskian. In general, if  $f_1, \ldots, f_n$  are scalar-valued functions on [a, b], each of which is differentiable n 1 times at some  $x \in [a, b]$ , then their "Wronskian" at x is the determinant of the  $n \times n$  matrix, call it  $M_W(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ , whose (i, j) entry is the (j-1)-st derivative of  $f_i$ . In the context of an arbitrary differential field, we likewise let  $M_W(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$  be the matrix whose (i, j) entry is  $D^{j-1}(f_i)$ .
  - i) Suppose each  $f_i$  is differentiable n-1 times on all of [a,b]. Prove that if the  $f_i$  are linearly dependent over the scalar field then their Wronskian vanishes. Likewise show in the algebraic setting of a differential field K that if the  $f_i$  are linearly dependent over the constant field k then their Wronskian vanishes.
  - ii) In the algebraic setting, construct a homomorphism  $w: K^* \to \operatorname{GL}_n(K)$  such that

$$M_W(cf_1, cf_2, \dots, cf_n) = M_W(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) w(c)$$

for all  $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in K$  and  $c \in K^*$ . Use this homomorphism to show the converse of part (i): if  $\det M_W(f_1, \ldots, f_n) = 0$  then the  $f_i$  are linearly dependent over k.

iii) Construct differentiable real-valued functions f, g on some interval I such that f, g are linearly independent but their Wronskian f'g - fg' vanishes on all of I.

This problem set is due Friday, February 23, at the beginning of class.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ I believe that this is pronounced as if it were "Vronskians", but I could be vrong.