The definite integral of continuous functions is a linear operator I i C'([a,6]) -> IR, $\int_{a}^{b} (f+g) dx = \int_{a}^{b} f + \int_{a}^{b} g \qquad f \longrightarrow I_{a}^{b}(f) = \int_{a}^{b} f dx$ $\int_{a}^{b} cf dx = c \int_{a}^{b} f dx$ for each albER, satisfying axioms:

(1) If f>0 men Safdx >0 (=) if f>g hen Safdx>Sagdx). 2) If acceb hen Safdx = Safdx + Sbfdx. (3) So 1 dx = b-a

In fait, such a linear map is <u>unique</u>; he difference between different Kennies of integration is in how much more general functions we allow ourselves to integrate. The Riemann integral stats from step function: s(x): [a,b] - R such that $\exists a=x_0 < x_1 < ... < x_n=b$ ct. s(x) is carefact our each (x_{i-1},x_i) , $s(x)=s_i$. (the values at x; don't matter). Then 2)+3) suggest we must have

 $I(s) = \int_a^b s(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i (x_i - x_{i-1}).$

This definition of the intigual for step functions satisfies the required axioms.

Next; if $s \le f \le S$ for s, S step functions, then $\int_a^b dx \le \int_a^b f dx \le \int_a^b S dx$.

In particular: f: [a,b] -> R bounded => fixing a=x, <x, < . < x, =b, we can take $s_i = \inf f([x_{i-1}, x_i])$ and $s_i = \sup f([x_{i-1}, x_i])$, giving the lover and uper Riemann sins of for the given partition of [9,6].

Refining lie. subdividing further) gives better bounds on f

S dx < S s'dx < S f dx < S f dx

Lower and you Riemann integral:

$$I_{-}(f) = \sup \left\{ \int_{a}^{b} s \, dx \, \middle| \, s \leq f \text{ on } [a, b] \right\}$$

$$I_{+}(f) = \inf \left\{ \int_{a}^{b} S \, dx \, \middle| \, S \geq f \text{ on } [a, b] \right\}$$

$$S \leq \sup \left\{ \int_{a}^{b} S \, dx \, \middle| \, S \leq f \text{ on } [a, b] \right\}$$

 $\forall f$ bounded $[a,b] \rightarrow R$, $I_{-}(F) \leq I_{+}(F)$

 $\frac{\text{Def}}{\text{I}} = \frac{\text{Remain integrable}}{\text{Integrable}} = \frac{\text{F}}{\text{I}} = \frac{\text{I}}{\text{I}} = \frac$

Thom: | Continuous functions are Remain integrable.

Pf: The key injudich is uniform continuity: YE>O BS st. x,y E[a,6], |x-y|<8 => |f(x)-f(y)|<E. (Recall: this is proved by applying the lebesgue number lemma to the open cover $[a,b] \subset \bigcup_{C \in \mathbb{R}} f^{-1}((c,c+\varepsilon)): \exists s>0 \text{ st. } |x-y|=diam(\{x,y\}) < s \Rightarrow \exists c \text{ st. } \{x,y\} = f((c,c+\varepsilon))$ Thus; given $\varepsilon>0$, take S as in uniform continuity, and split $a=\kappa_0 \langle \kappa_1 \zeta \dots \zeta \kappa_n = b \rangle$. (st. $x_{i+1}-x_i < S$ $\forall i$. Then $S_i = \min \{([x_i,x_{i+1}]), S_i = \max \{([x_i,x_{i+1}]), [attained)\}\}$ satisfy $S_i'-S_i < \varepsilon$ $\forall i'$, and $S_i < f \in S_i$ on $[x_i,x_{i+1}]$. Let A, S = step functions taking values A_i , S_i on $[x_i,x_{i+1}]$: $A \le f \le S$ on [a,6], so $I(A) \le I_{-}(f)$, $I(S) \ge I_{+}(f)$;

where, $S_i-S_i \le S_i$ is $I(S)-I(A) < \varepsilon(6-a)$.

Here: $I_{+}(f) - I_{-}(f) \leq \mathcal{E}(6-\alpha)$ $\forall \epsilon > 0 \Rightarrow I_{+}(f) = I_{-}(f)$ $f \in \mathbb{R}([95])$. \square

Rml: piecewise continuous functions are also integrable; and so do some stronger functions (see Rudin & see HW). However for example $f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0 & \text{is not Riemann integrable} \end{cases} \begin{pmatrix} I_{-}(f) = 0 \\ I_{+}(f) = b-a \end{pmatrix}.$

The lebesgue integral allows more general decompositions into "measurable" subsets (rather than just sub-intervals) & allows more general functions to be integrated (including unbounded functions, which are never Riemann integrable) (eg for Riemann integration, $\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{V_L^2} dt = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{x}$ only makes sense as an "improper integral" ie. $\lim_{E \to 0} \int_{\Sigma}^{\infty} .$ whereas lebesgue can handle this & worse).

- I fact, lebesque gave a characterization of exactly which fundious are Riemann. integrable: $f \in \mathcal{R}([a,b])$ iff f is bounded on [a,b] and the set of pints where f is discontinuous has lebesque measure O, which means: $\forall E>0$ $\exists (Ii)$ at rost contable collection of open intervals $st \in CUI$; and $\Sigma \text{ length}(Ii) \times E$.
- . It is easy to check (do it!) that R([a,b]) is a vector space, I; R([a,b])→R is linear and satisfies the above axioms.
- Fundamental Man of calculus: if f is contimons on [a,b] then $F(x) = \int_a^x f(t)dt$ is differentiable and F'=f.

 $\frac{Pf}{h}(F(x+h)-F(h)) = \frac{1}{h} \int_{x}^{x+h} f(t) dt \longrightarrow f(x) \text{ using continuity of } f \xrightarrow{x} to$ estimate the integral for how 0. \square

I: $C^0(G_0 J_0) \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous with reject to the uniform topology: if $f_n \to f$ withoutly then $\int_0^b f_n dx \to \int_0^b f dx$. In fact, $|\int_0^b f dx| \le \int_0^b f_0 dx \le (b-a)$ sup |f-g|.

On the other hand, pointwise convergence isn't enough: $f_n = 2n$ $f_n \to 0$ pointwise but $\int_0^1 f_n dx = 1 + s \int_0^1 0 dx = 0$.

* Besides ||files = sup |fil, we have other nows on the vector space C°([a,b], R), (3) defining coarser topologies (with repect to which integration is still a continuous functional) narely $\|f\|_1 = \int_a^b |f(x)| dx$, and also $\|f\|_p = \left(\int_a^b |f(x)|^p dx\right)^{p} \forall p \ge 1$. (Triangle inequality follows from Hölder's inequality, of honework) These are called the LP norms; since IIIp < (6-a) 1/p II files, balls for 11-11p contain balls for 11.100 and the topologies defined by these metrics are coarser than the uniform topology (and LP is coaser than LP' for p<p', using Hölder ineq.). (C°([a,b]), (.lp) isn't complete, its completion is the Lebrogue space LP([a,b]) - Math 114! Ex: $f_n = \begin{cases} 1 \\ 0 \end{cases}$ is Cauchy in L^1 norm, in fact conveyes in L^1 to its pointwise limit f = 1 $\in \mathbb{R}$ $\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|f_{n}-f\right|dx=\frac{1}{2n}\rightarrow0\right)$, but $f\notin C^{\circ}$. * L1 is quite natural, but so is L2, which comes from an inner product $\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2} = \int_a^b f g dx \qquad (\Rightarrow ||f||_{L^2} = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle})$ (Carchy Schwaz: $\angle f,g > \leq \|f\|_{L^2} \|g\|_{L^2}$ is a special case of Hölder's ineq.) We now return to 1.100 (chiforn topology) and various nouts about CO([9,6]). * Closed & bounded subsets of (C°([a,b]), 1-1100) aren't compact (the fact: the closed unit ball of an infinite-dial. normed bucher space is never compact, by Riesz's theorem). Ex: fin = 1 1/1 | If I | If I | I with my conveyed subsequence (even worse, fn = sin(nx) doesit even have a pointwise converged subsequence on any interval) So ... what kinds of subsets of (CO([a,b], 11.1100) are compact (to sequentially compact).

Prop: If for if E C°(k) uniformly, then {fn} is bounded in 11.100 (3M st. Vn, 11fn 1100 (M) and equicontinuous.

```
PF: given \varepsilon > 0, \exists N st \cdot n \geqslant N \Rightarrow \|f_n - f\|_{\infty} \langle \frac{\varepsilon}{3} | f  is uniformly continuous (K compact), (4)
           let \delta > 0 st. d(x,y) < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon. Then \forall n > N, d(x,y) < \delta \Rightarrow |f_n(x) - f_n(y)| < \varepsilon
                                                                                                   (using triangle ine 9.)
        Since f_1,...,f_N are also uniformly continuous, decreasing S if needed we can ensure this also holds for n < N, thus proving equitablishing.
    So: equicontinuity is necessary for sequetial compartness of subuts of (C°(K), 11.60).
     - Thm (Arzela-Ascoli):
         If a sequence f_n \in C^{0}(k) is uniformly bounded and equicantinuous then it has a uniformly conveyed subsequence. Hence: a subset of (C^{0}(k), \|\cdot\|_{\infty}) is compact iff it is closed, bounded, and equicantinuous.
<u>Proof</u> (1st statement): • K compact metric squice \Rightarrow \exists combable dense subset A = \{x_1, x_2, ...\} \subset K.
               (core K by finitely many in-balls bn, take all centers).
        - I subsequence of \{f_n\} st. converges pointwise at x_1 (since \{f_n(K_1)\} is bunded).

I sub-subsequence which also converges pointwise of x_2 , etc...
            Diagonal process: let f_{n_k} = k^m term of the k^m subsequence: here f_{n_k} converges printwise at all points of A.
         · Now we prove (for ) is uniformly cauchy have uniformerged), using equicationing.
             Given E>O, let S>O st. Vnk, Vx, y, lx-y(< S => |fn(k)-fn(y)|< = (equivalinally)
            Let A' \subset A finite subset st. \bigcup_{x_i \in A'} B_{S}(x_i) \supset K (comparines of K).
            Let N be st. n_k, n_k > N \Rightarrow |f_{n_k}(x_i) - f_{n_k}(x_i)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \forall x_i \in A'  (pointwise Cauchy)
             Then \forall x \in K \exists x \in A' \text{ st-} d(x; x) < S, so \forall n_k, n_k \ge N,
                            |f_{n_k}(x) - f_{n_\ell}(x)| \leq |f_{n_k}(x) - f_{n_k}(x_i)| + |f_{n_k}(x_i) - f_{n_\ell}(x_i)| + |f_{n_\ell}(x_i) - f_{n_\ell}(x_i)|
                                              < \frac{3}{5} + \frac{3}{5} + \frac{5}{5} = \frac{5}{5}.
              hence: nk, ne > N => ||fnk - fne ||os & E: (fnk) is Cauchy in 1.10, hence conveges.
```

Ex: (fn) \(\int C^1([a,b])\), bounded sequence in C^1. norm (ip. sup |fn| \int M, sup |fn| \int M)

\(\Rightarrow \) equicostimorus (using mean value ineq.) \(\Rightarrow \) has subsequence that converges in C^0.

The closure of the unit ball for C^0-norm isn't compact in C^0

\(\frac{1}{1} = \frac{C^0}{1} = \fra