* Last time we saw several suprising consequences of Cauchy's formula (derivatives to all orders, bounds on them, convergence of Taylor series, isolated zeroes, unique continuation,...). We finished with a result about space of analytic functions U-C with the Clac topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of U:

If for of in Clac (ie. uniformly on compact subsets of U) and for is analytic then I f is analytic, and in fact fin -> f' uniformly on compact subsets.

This generalizes statements we saw earlier about convergence, analyticity, and derivatives of power series. It says that analytic functions are a closed subspace of $C^{\circ}(U,\mathbb{C})$ with Co topology of (local) wifirm convergence, and monover the Coc, C1 C2 ... topologies all coincide when we rished them to the subspace of analytic functions (whereas in real analysis C1 is shirtly fine than C°, etc.).
(also contrast with stone-we'estress, which says various classes of real functions are dense in C°,)
hence very far from closed...

And we have a lequalital) compairess paperty too...

Thon: Any wife rolly bounded sequence of analytic functions for on U has a subsequence which converges visionly on compact sets to an analytic g.

Prof: If KCU is compact, recall 3 r>0 st. dist(K, OU) >r,

so $\forall z \in K$, $|f'(z)| = \left|\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S^1(z,r)} \frac{f_n(\omega)}{(\omega-z)^2} d\omega\right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\sup_{r=1}^{\infty} |f_n|}{r^2} |\exp_{x}(S^1(z,r))|$ < + sup If Since (fa) is uniformly bounded this gives a uniform bound

on |f'n| on K independently of n. (cf. cauchy's bound!) indept of n Hera for is uniformly equicontinuous on K (YE FS st. Vz Vn...).

→ by Asioli-Arzela, I subsequence of (fn) which converges uniformly on K.

(We can ensure vitorm conveyance on all compacts by coniding a sequence of compacts K_n with $\bigcup K_n = U$, eg $K_n = \{z/|z| \le n, d(z, U^c) \ge \frac{1}{n}\}$ and ming a diagonal process to get a sis-sub---subsequence that converges

uniformly on all of them.)

Ex: in real analysis, a standard example for a bounded sequence of continuous (coo) functions that is not equivalent one [-a, a] $\forall a > 0$ is $f_n(x) = \frac{1}{1 + n^2 x^2}$ (I has no uniformly convergent subseq., since printwise limit $\notin C^0$)

These extend to analytic functions $f_n(z) = \frac{1}{1+n^2-2}$, but the above theorem Locarit graphy (2) to here near 0 because for has a pole at z=ti/n, so the seque isn't uniformly bounded on any fixed neighborhood of O, and that's why equicontinuity fails over IR!

We also have more basic things that carry over from single variable real analysis, such as anthdervatives and inverse functions... but these come with caveats.

· Thm: If f(z) is analytic on a simply connected open UC a then I analytic furtion F: U → C st. F(z)=f(z).

This is because we can define $F(z) = \int_{z_0}^{z} f(z) dz$, Cauchy's then implies that the choice of path doesn't mater: given any precause differentiable cloud loop & in U, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z) dz = 0$. In fact, over disc $B_r(z_0) \subset U$ we can define F by term-by-term integration of the power series expression for f.

Simply connected is necessary! eg. $f(z) = \frac{1}{z}$ on $\mathbb{C}^z = \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$, can only integrate to $F(z) = \log z$ over a simply connected subset (not allowing paths that enclose 0).

• Thm: If f is analytic near a, with f(a) = b and $f'(a) \neq 0$, then I analytic inverse function g defined on a neighborhood of b, st g(b) = a & g'(b) = 1/f'(a).

This is a direct consequence of the invesse function theorem for $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$, to gether with observation that $f'(a) \neq 0 \Rightarrow Df(a)$ is invertible, and its inverse is also complex. Invar.

Ronk: for real functions of I real variable, can do this on any connected interval where f' to (=) f injective), but in complex would this isn't three, even on simply connected domains - eg. log = invese function of exp, defined only on Switable domains.

The invest function theorem has give: $exp'(z)=e^{z} \Rightarrow \log'(z)=\frac{1}{z}$.

from which we can get eg. $\begin{cases} \frac{1}{1+z} & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{1+z} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{$

 $(1+z)^{\alpha} = 1 + \alpha z + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} z^{2} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)(\alpha-2)}{2} z^{3} + \dots$

These have singulaities at z=0 - "branch singulaities", not poles.

We'll now shoty the behavior of analytic functions at an isolated singularly, iest-f is defined on U-{=0}, =0 = int(U), by his won't handle log = or = which must analytic on a whole D(r) = D(r)-103.

Laurest series: these are power seins with positive and negative exponents! f(=) = \(\frac{2}{5} \) an \(\frac{2}{5} \). Convergence is last undertood by splitting into $\sum_{n\geq 0} a_n z^n$ (usual power series) converges for $|z| < R_2 = \frac{1}{linsup} \frac{1}{|a_n|^{4/n}}$ and $\sum_{n \in \Omega} a_n z^n$ (power series in $\frac{1}{z}$) converges for $|z| > R_1 = \lim_{n \to -\infty} |a_n|^{1/n}$ ⇒ we have an amulis of onvergence {R1<|z|<R2}. Beware: general (formal) Laurent series don't form a ring. The issue is that the coefficient of z^n in $(\Sigma q_k z^k)(\Sigma b_k z^k)$ should be $\Sigma a_k b_{n-k}$, which may not be a conveyent seils. (Things are fine if annul of conveyence have non-empty intersection). A bester-behaved class of Lourant seies are shose with only finitely many negative powers of z, ie. $\sum_{-N}^{\infty} a_n z^n \left(= \frac{1}{z^N} \cdot (power series) \right)$. There are achally a field - the field of fractions of the ring of power series. Thm: If f(z) is analytic in $A_{R_1, R_2} = \{R_1 < |z| < R_2\}$ then we can express it as a Laurest series $f(z) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ which converges on A_{R_1, R_2} . $\frac{Pf}{}$: We show this on slightly smaller annul: $\{r_1 \leq |z| \leq r_2\}$ $\forall R_1 < r_1 < r_2 < R_2$. Then the Cauchy formula for Ar, 12 and its boundary S'(12) - S'(1,) gives $f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S^1(z)} \frac{f(\omega) d\omega}{\omega - z} - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S^1(r_1)} \frac{f(\omega) d\omega}{\omega - z} \quad \text{for} \quad r_1 < |z| < r_2.$ On $S^1(r_2)$ we have $\frac{1}{W-Z} = \frac{W^{-1}}{1-Z/W} = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{Z^N}{W^{N+1}}$, converging uniformly On $S^{1}(r_{1})$ we have $\frac{1}{z-\omega} = \frac{z^{-1}}{1-w/z} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{w^{k}}{z^{k+1}} = \sum_{n \leq -1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n}}{w^{n+1}}$, withoutly (|4/2 | < 1) Uniform conveyence allows us to move he sum outside of the integrals, giving $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{2\pi i} z^n \int_{S^1(r_2)} \frac{f(\omega) d\omega}{\upsilon^{n+1}} + \sum_{n \leq -1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} z^n \int_{S^1(r_1)} \frac{f(\omega) d\omega}{\upsilon^{n+1}}.$ = $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^n$ where $a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S1(r)} \frac{f(\omega) d\omega}{\omega^{n+1}}$ (for any $r \in (R_1, R_2)$), since this is indeptorable of r by Cauchy). \square

(compare with our earlier result about Taylor series).

Singularities and removability: Assume f is analytic on $D^{k}(R) = D(R) - \{0\}$, and express it as a Laurent series $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^n$. Let $N = \inf \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a_n \neq 0\}$ (if exists)

- 1) If $N \ge 0$ (ie. $a_n = 0$ $\forall n < 0$), f is a power series and the singularity at 0 is removable, i.e. can extend f to an analytic function on $D(R) \ni 0$.
 - $N = \infty$ ie. $a_n = 0 \ \forall n : \ \text{Men} \ f \equiv 0$.
 - N>0, then $f(z) = z^N(a_N + ...)$ has an isolated zero of order N at O.
 - $\cdot N = 0$, $f(0) = a_0 \neq 0$

The new case are when the negative part of the Laurent series isn't zero.

- 2) If NCO Prite, ie. there are Pritely many negative power of z in the series: then $f(z) = \frac{1}{z^{|N|}} (a_N + \cdots) = \frac{g(z)}{z^{|N|}}$, g analytic with $g(0) = a_N \neq 0$. We say I has a pole of order INI at 0.
- 3) If N=-00, ie. the negative part of the seies has so many terms: we say f has an essential singularity at 0 (= non-removable singularity other than a pole). \underline{Ex} : $\exp(1/z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} z^{-n}$ exacted singularly at 0.

The qualitative differences between the 3 caus can also be undertood without involving Laurest seizes.

Thon; I f analytic on D'(R):

- 1) the singularity at 0 is removable iff f(z) is bounded on a neighborhood of 0.
- 2) f has a pole at 0 iff |f(z)| → ∞ as z→0 3) f has an essetial singularly iff ∀E>0, f(D(E)) is dense in C (equivalently: ∀y∈C∪(∞), ∃ zn→0 st. f(zn)→y).

Pf (without wing lowest seies!)

1) assume f bounded on D'(r). Since f is continuous on S'(r), we have seen that $g(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S'(r)} \frac{f(\omega) d\omega}{\omega - z}$ is analytic in D(r). By Cauchy's formula, if

$$0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}, \text{ then } \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} \frac{f(\omega)d\omega}{\omega - z} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{S(r)} - \int_{S^1(z,\varepsilon)} \int_{S^1(0,\varepsilon)} \frac{1}{\omega - z} \right) = g(z) - f(z) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S^1(0,\varepsilon)} \frac{f(\omega)d\omega}{\omega - z} = 0$$

by the last integral $\rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow 0$ since the integrand is bounded and length $(S(z)) \rightarrow 0$. \bigcirc So: g is analytic in D(r) and g(z) = f(z) $\forall z \in D(r) - \{0\}$. i.e. the singularity at 0 is removable. (Conversely, it is clear that f is brunked near 0 if the sing- is removable).

2) assume $|f(-1) \otimes a_0| z \to 0$, then $h(z) = \frac{1}{f(z)}$ is analytic and bonded in a neighborhood of 0, here has a removable singularity, i.e. \exists analytic extension which we denote again by h. Since $|h| \to 0$ as $z \to 0$, h has an (isolated) zero at z = 0, where it vanishes to finite order; $\exists n \ge 1$ and h(z) analytic, $h(0) \ne 0$ stime $h(z) = \frac{1}{h(z)} = \frac{1}{h(z)} = \frac{1}{h(z)}$ where $g(z) = \frac{1}{h(z)}$ is analytic on a hid. of 0: f has a pole of order n.

Conversely if $f(z) = \frac{g(z)}{z^n}$, $n \ge 1$, g analytic, $g(0) \ne 0$ then $\exists c > 0$ st. $|g(z)| \ge c > 0$ over a neighborhood of 0, and $|f(z)| \ge \frac{c}{|z|^n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $z \rightarrow 0$.

3) if $f(D(\xi))$ isn't done in \mathbb{C} , then $\exists c \ st$. $h(z) = \frac{1}{f(z) - c}$ is bounded near 0, hence has a removable singularly; we denote the extension over 0 by hagain If h(0) = 0 then, as in the previous case, he has a zero of finite note $n \ge 1$, $\frac{1}{h(z)}$ has a pole of order n, and $f(z) = c + \frac{1}{h(z)}$ also has a pole of note n. If $h(0) \ne 0$ then $f(z) = c + \frac{1}{h(z)}$ extends over 0, the singularity is removable. So: exactive singularity $\Rightarrow f(D(\xi))$ is denote in \mathbb{C} $\forall \xi > 0$. (The convexe is clear too: $f(D(\xi))$ denote $\Rightarrow f$ isn't bounded and $|f| \ne \infty$,

(the convexe is clear too: f(D'(E)) dense \Rightarrow f isn't bounded and |f| too, so neither removable nor pole).