Def: A topological space X is connected if it cannot be written as X=UUV where U, V are disjoint nonempty open sets.

(such a decomposition is called a separation of X).

Prop: [0,1] = R (standard top) is connected. (proved last time)

Ex: $[0,1) \cup (1,2]$ is not comeded, since [0,1) and (1,2] are open in subspace topology & provide a separation. More generally, $x < y < z \in \mathbb{R}$, $x,z \in A$, $y \notin A \Rightarrow A$ distancested.

Thus $||f_1 \times J \times f(x) - f(x) - f(x)| = f(x) - f(x) = f(x$

PF: If UUV is a separation of f(X), then f-1(U) uf-1(V) is a separation of X, contradiction! (subspace top.: U=f(X) n u' + p, u' qu' in Y => f'(u) = f'(u') + p open in X; f'(u) nf'(v) = f'(unv) = p).

Corollary: intrmediate value theorem

Theorem: X connected top space, $f: X \to IR$ continuous. If $a,b \in X$ and r lies between F(a) and F(b), then F(c) = r.

 $\frac{p_{f}}{f}$ sine X is Gonechd, so is f(X). If $r \notin f(X)$ then $U=(-\infty,r)$ of f(X) and $V=(r,\infty)$ of f(X) give a separation of f(X) (one contains f(a) and the other contains f(b)) - contradiction. So $r\in f(X)$. \square .

Fact: A, B CX connected (for subspace top.) \$ An B cornected. Ex: A But things are better for unions of connected sets, provided they overlap.

Thm: $A_i \subset X$ connected subspaces, all containing some point $P \in X$ (ie- $P = A_i \neq \emptyset$)
Then $Y = U A_i$ is connected.

PFr assume $Y=U\cup V$ disjoint, open in Y. Without loss of generality, $p\in U$. Then $U\cap A_i$ and $V\cap A_i$ are disjoint, open in A_i . Since A_i is connected and $p\in U\cap A_i$, much have $A_i\subset U$ $\forall i$. Hence $Y=UA_i\subset U$ (and $V=\phi$). So Y is connected. \square Carollay: | R is connected; so are open, half open, and closed interals in R.

Thm: X, Y annexted > X x Y is connected.

Pf: Fix $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times Y$. Then $\forall x \in X$, $A_{xx} = (X \times \{y_0\}) \cup (\{x\} \times Y)$ is connected by previous than (both pieces contain (x, y_0))

40 7

and now $X \times Y = \bigcup_{x \in X} A_{x}$ (all containing $(x_0, y_0) \Rightarrow X \times Y$ connected. $x \times X \times Y$

In fact, more is true; X_i , $i \in I$ connected $\Rightarrow TT X_i$ with product top is connected. (unit proce).

(This is false for uniform and box topologies: eg. $\mathbb{R}^{\perp} = \{\text{funchians } \mathbb{I} \to \mathbb{R} \}$ for infinite I Say $f: \mathbb{I} \to \mathbb{R}$ is bounded if $f(\mathbb{I}) \subset \mathbb{R}$ bounded subset. Then $\{\text{bounded}\} \cup \{\text{unbounded}\} : S$ a separation of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{I}}$ in uniform topology.).

Pah-connectedness:

Def: $| X \text{ top space, } x,y \in X, \text{ a path from } x \text{ to } y \text{ is a continuous map}$ $f: [a,b] \to X \text{ st. } f(a) = x \text{ and } f(b) = y.$

I subspace top. of standard IR

Def: X is path connected if every pair of points in X can be joined by a path.

two paks $x \rightarrow y$.

Note: The relation $x \sim y \iff x$ and y can be connected by a path is an equivalence relation, i.e. (1) $x \sim x$ (constant path f(t) = x)

(2) x~y = y~x (backwards path f(-t))

(3) x~y and y~2 = 1 x~Z

(constante pales: $f = \begin{cases} f_1(t) & t \in [a,c] \\ f_2(t) & t \in [c,b] \end{cases}$

The equivalence classes are called the path components of X. (will return to these in alg. to pology!)

Than: If X is path connected then X is connected.

Pf: Assume not, be $X = U \sqcup V$ dipoint open, $x \in U$, $y \in V$. Pick a path $f: [a,b] \to X$ connecting x to y. Then $[a,b] = f^{-1}(u) \perp f^{-1}(v)$ open subsets. This contradicts the connectedness of [a,b]. Q

The converse is false in general, but the for nize enough spaces eg. CW-complexes.

Ex: the "topologist's sine cure"; let $S = \{(x,y) | y = \sin(\frac{1}{x}), x > 0\} \cup \{(0,0)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$.

defi=50 J

the 'main' part S_0 is connected, since it's the image of R_+ (connected) under the continuous map $x \mapsto (x, \sin \frac{1}{x})$.

Hence S is connected: if $S=U\cup V$ disjoint open, then $S_0 = (U\cap S_0)\cup (V\cap S_0) \text{ disjoint it open} \Rightarrow \text{ one of them (eg. V\cap S_0) is empty.}$ $V\subset S-S_0 = \{(0,0)\}. \text{ But } \{(0,0)\} \text{ not open in } S, \text{ so in fact } V=\emptyset.$

On the other hand, S is not path connected: there's no path connecting $(\frac{1}{17},0)$ to (0,0). (Pf. later using compactness: the image of such a path is a closed subset of \mathbb{R}^2 but S isnit: (0,1) is a limit pint of S not in S).

However, for nice early spaces the two notions are equivalent.

Thm: ACR open => A is connected iff A is path connected.

Pf: already seen: path connected \Rightarrow connected. We show: not path connected \Rightarrow not connected. Assum A open in \mathbb{R}^n : then the path connects of A are open.

Indeed, if $x \in A$ then $\exists r > 0$ st. $B_r(x) \subset A$, and any two points of $B_r(x)$ can be connected inside A by a straight line segment. So all f $B_r(x)$ is in the same path conjunent. Now: if A is not path connected then

A = (one path companed) U (U all other path components) gives a reparation. II
This implies similar results for other classes of spaces, eg. top. manifolds and CW-complexes.

they give a partition of X into disjoint connected open (& closed) subsets.

Ship a patition only exists if X is "lacally connected" ie . The topology has a basis consisting of connected open subsets. (Counterexample: Q C R isn't loc com.)

(each point of Q is its own path component, but these aren't open).

Compatress (Nurkres \$26-...)

Compainess is a "Finiteness/boundedness" properly of nice topological spaces such as closed bounded internals [9,6] CR, or mon generally, closed bounded subsets of Rn.

Eg: any continuous map f: K-SR achieves its maximum & minimum.

The definition isn't very intribute.

|Def| An open cont of a top space X is a callection of open subsets $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ st. $\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i = X$.

Def: ||X| is compact if every open over $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of X admits a finite subcover, i.e. $\exists i_1...,i_n$ st. $X = U_{i_1} \cup ... \cup U_{i_n}$.

```
Showing a space is not compact is much easier than showing it is!
  \underline{Ex}: R is not compact: the open cover R = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (n-1, n+1) has no finite subcover.
         neither is (0,1] with subspace top: (0,1] = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\frac{1}{n},1] has no finite subspace.
 \underline{E} \times : X = \{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{n}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}\} is compact: given any open cover X = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i, let is be such that 0 \in U_{ip}, then U_{ip} also contain \frac{1}{n} for all large n \ge N,
       here U_{i_1}, ..., U_{i_N} cardwing 1, \frac{1}{2}, ..., \frac{1}{N} and U_{i_0} give a finite subcover.
Thm: If X is compact and f: X - 1 y is continuous, then f(X) = Y is compact as subspace top
 (Ronk; an open case of f(X)CY with subspace top ( U; CY open, U u; > f(X)).
Pf: let U li open cover of f(X). Then U f-1(Vi) is an open cover of X,
     hence Birnin st f'(u; ) un of f'(uin) = X. So VXEX f(x) E U; un o Uin,
      le. f(X) = Vigu. ... Uin finite subace.
 Once we know subsets of R are compact iff closed and bounded, taking Y = R,
  this gives he extreme value theorem. To get started on this right away:
 Thron: [0,1] (with subspace top. CR) is compact.
# let { U; } iEI open over of [0,1].
   Let A = {x ∈ [0,1] / I finite subsider U; v. u U; > [0,x]}.
   A \neq \emptyset (contains 0). We can to show 1 \in A. Let a = \sup(A) \in [0,1].
   · first we show aEA: I is st a E Uio; since Uio is open, I E>O st. Be(a) C Uio.
     On the other hand a = sup A, so I x E A st. x > a - E, and a finite subscore
      [0,2] C Ui, v. v. Uin. Therefore [0, a] C Ui, v. v. Uin v Uio, and a EA.
    - Next, assume a<1: since aEA, I iquin st. [0, a] = uinvivulin, which is
    open, so JESO st B_(a) < Ui, u.u Uin, hence
     Ui, u...uUin cover [0, x] for some x7a
                                                                        finite over of (0, 9+\epsilon)

(if 9+\epsilon \le 1). \Box.
```

· So: a=1 E A, I finite subcover. Thm: X compact, FCX closed => F is compact.

(eg. $x = a + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ if ≤ 1 , else 1), contradicts sup (A) = a.

Pf: Given an open over of F, ie. $U_i \subset X$ open, $U_i \supset F$, let $V = X \setminus F$ open: then $\{U_i, i \in I\} \cup \{V\}$ is an open over of X, hence \exists finite subcover. Discarding V, this gives a finite subcover for F.