Last time: Candy's integral formula for derivatives  $f(z) \text{ analytic on } U \subset \mathbb{C} \Rightarrow f \text{ has derivatives to all order in } U, \text{ all derivatives are}$ analytic, and for  $z \in int(D) \subset \overline{D} \subset U$ ,  $\frac{f^{(n)}(z)}{n!} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} \frac{f(u) du}{(u-z)^{n+1}}$ 

We now explore consequences of this formula.

First we get, by bunding the integral in the r.h.s:

 $\frac{Thm:}{(\underline{Canchy's bound})} \| \text{If } f \text{ is analytic in } U \supset \overline{B_R(z_0)}, \text{ then } \left| \frac{f^{(n)}(z_0)}{n!} \right| \leq \frac{1}{R^n} \sup_{w \in S^1(z_0,R)} |f(w)|.$ 

(By carolleing r<R, r+R, the result still holds under the weaker assumption that f is continuous on  $\overline{B_R(z)}$  and analytic in  $B_R(z)$ ).

\* Cauchy's bound has important conequences for entire tructions, ie. analytic on all of C.

Corollay: If f is analytic on all of  $\mathbb{C}$  ("entire function") and bunded, then f is constant.

(apply cauchy's bound with R- 00 to get f'=0.)

Corollary: A non constant entire husbion  $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  has dense image  $f(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}$ .

Pf: if  $c \notin f(\overline{C})$ , then  $\exists \varepsilon > 0 \text{ st. } |f(\overline{z}) - c| \ge \varepsilon \quad \forall z \in C$ , and then  $\frac{1}{f(\overline{z}) - c}$  is a bounded entire function heree constant.  $\square$ 

\* There are even more important consequences for Taylor seies of analytic functions.

Corollay: The power seies  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(z_0)}{n!} (z_0)^n$  (= the Taylor series of f at  $z_0$ ) has radius of convergence  $\geq R$ , if f is analytic in  $B_R(z_0)$ .

(since Carehy's bound implies  $\left| \frac{f^{(n)}(z_0)}{n!} \right|^{1/n} \leq \frac{C(r)^{1/n}}{r} \quad \forall r < R$ , so  $\lim p \leq \frac{1}{r} \Rightarrow \leq \frac{1}{R}$ )

Theorem: If f is analytic in  $B_R(z_0)$  then  $f(z) = \sum_{n \ge 0} a_n (z - z_0)^n$ ,  $a_n = \frac{f^{(n)}(z_0)}{n!}$ , over  $B_R(z_0)$ .

Pf: By change of variables z-zo, we assume zo=0. We prove the equality over slightly smaller discs  $B_r = \{|z| < r\} \ \forall r < R; he Toylor seies converges by the$ prions corollary. For  $z \in B_r$ , with  $f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S'(r)} \frac{f(w)dw}{w-z}$  (Cauchy) and note  $\frac{1}{\omega-2}=\frac{1}{\omega(1-2/\omega)}=\frac{1}{\omega}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{2}{\omega}\right)^n$ 

For fixed ZEB, this series of functions of WES1(1) converges uniformly (Weiestrass M-test,  $\sum \left(\frac{|z|}{r}\right)^n$  converges since |z| < r).  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S^{1}(r)} \frac{f(\omega)}{w-\bar{z}} dw = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S^{1}(r)} \frac{f(\omega)z^{n}}{w^{n+1}} d\omega = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!} z^{n}.$ Carchy integral formula Grollay: If  $f(z) = \sum a_n z^n$  has radius of conveyence R, then it has a singularity (where it cannot be analytically continued) on the circle  $\{|z| = R\}$ (because: if I analytic timetion extending f on an open U > B(0), then I E>O st.  $B_{R+\epsilon}(0) = U$ , and then the radius of convergence would be  $\geq R+\epsilon$ ). · Zeres of analytic functions: Contay: If  $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$  is analytic,  $z \in U$ , if  $f^{(n)}(z) = 0 \, \forall n \, \text{then } f(z) = 0 \, \text{on } U$ .

Consider Similarly:  $f^{(n)}(z_0) = g^{(n)}(z_0) \, \forall n \Rightarrow f = g \, \text{on } U$ .  $\underline{F}$ : Let  $V = \{ z \in U / f^{(n)}(z) = 0 \text{ fin} \}$ . The roult on Taylor series implies: if  $z \in V$  and  $B_r(z) \subset U$  then over  $B_r(z)$ , f equals its Taylor series  $\equiv 0$ , and so f<sup>(n)</sup>=0 Un ove B<sub>r</sub>(z). Here V is open. BW W = {z \in U / \exists n f (n)(z) \neq 0} = U \ \{z \in U / \f (n)(z) \neq 0\} is open too, and U=VUW. Since U is connected and V+p, U=V so f=0. 1 The key point here is: at a point where  $f(z_0) = 0$ , f vanishes to a finite integer order (unless  $f \equiv 0$ ) - not to infinite or to fractional order as can happen with real functions. Conllay: | f: U- C analytic, not everywhere zero, then the zeros of fare isolated.

(i.e. f-1(0) has no limit points). If if f(z) = 0 then unling  $f(z) = \sum a_n(z-z_0)^n$ , not all an are zero. Let  $k = \min \{n/a_n \neq 0\}$  (first nonzero term),  $f(z) = (z-z_0)^n g(z)$  where  $g(z) = \sum_{n\geq 0} a_{k+n}(z-z_0)^n$  is analytic on  $B(z_0,R) \subset U$ , and  $g(z_0) = a_k \neq 0$ . By continuity, 3 E>0 st. |2-20 | < E => g(2) +0 here  $0<|z-z_0|<\varepsilon \Rightarrow f(z)\neq 0$ :  $z_0$  is an isolated point of  $f^{-1}(0)$ . Non-example:  $f(z) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{z}\right)$  satisfies  $f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = 0$   $\forall n \ge 1$  integer, but  $\left\{\frac{1}{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\right\}$ 

Remark: in the real  $C^{\infty}$  world, then are nonzero functions with nonisolated zeroes. (eg.  $f(x) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{x^2}\right) \cdot \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$  for  $x \neq 0$ , f(0) = 0).

has no limit points in the Lonain of f, U= C.

\* Some other consequences of Cauchy formula, for space of analytic functions w/ without topology Theorem: If  $f_n(z)$  are analytic functions on U, and  $f_n \rightarrow f$  locally uniformly lie.  $\forall z \in U \exists r > 0 \text{ st. } B_r(z) = U \text{ and } f_n \rightarrow f \text{ uniformly on } B_r(\overline{z})$  ( $\iff f_n \rightarrow f \text{ uniformly on all compact subsets of U})$ 

(This is very different from the real case: a sequence of Continctions can converge aniformly to a nondifferentiable limit!)

Proof: Given a closed disk (or other compact)  $B \subset U$  over which  $f_n - f$  withormly, and  $z \in int(B)$ , we have

 $f(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial B} \frac{f_n(\omega)}{\omega - z} d\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial B} \frac{f(\omega)}{\omega - z} d\omega$ Cauchy formula:  $f_n$  analytic using  $f_n \to f$  uniformly on  $\partial B$ ,

so  $\int_{\partial B} \frac{f_n(\omega) - f(\omega)}{\omega - z} d\omega \to 0$ 

Last time we saw a proposition stating: given that f is continuous on 2B (which follows from uniform emvergence), the rhs of this formula defines an analytic function on int (B). Thus f is analytic in int (B), hence on all U.

Even letter:

Than: If for analytic on U & converge locally uniformly to f, then

for converge locally uniformly to f', and so on for higher deindires.

(Pf: same ingredients: Cauchy formula + uniform convergence).

So: analytic functions are a <u>closed</u> subspace of  $C^0(U,\mathbb{C})$  with  $C^0_{lbc}$  topology of (local) uniform convergence, and monorer the  $C^0_{lbc}$ ,  $C^1_{lbc}$  ... topologies all coincide when we restrict them to the subspace of analytic functions (whereas in real analysis  $C^1$  is shirtly fine than  $C^0$ , etc.).

And we have a lequalital) compairess property too...

then of is analytic on U.

Thom: Any wifermly bounded sequence of analytic functions of an U has a subsequence which converges wifermly on compact sets to an analytic g.

Since  $(f_n)$  is uniformly bounded this gives  $\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi r} & \sup |f_n| \\ \frac{1}{2\pi r} & \sup |f_n| \end{cases}$  a uniform bound on  $|f_n|$  on K independently of n.

Hence  $f_n$  is uniformly equicantinuous on K ( $\forall E \exists S \text{ st. } \forall z \forall n \dots$ ).  $\Rightarrow$  by Ascoli-Arzela,  $\exists s_i \text{ Siegience of } (f_n)$  which converges uniformly on K.

(We can ensure uniform convergence on all compacts by coniding a sequence of compacts  $K_n$  with  $\bigcup K_n = U$ , eq.  $K_n = \{z/|z| \le n, d(z, U^c) \ge \frac{1}{n}\}$ . and using a diagonal process to get a subsequence that converges uniformly on all of them.)

Ex: in real analysis, a standard example for a bounded sequence of continuous ( $C^{oo}$ ) furthers that is not equication over [-a,a] to a>0 is  $f_n(x)=\frac{1}{1+n^2x^2}$  (I has no uniformly convergent subseq., since printwise limit  $f(C^o)$ .

These extend to analytic functions  $f_n(z)=\frac{1}{1+n^2z^2}$ , but the above theorem doesn't apply to these near 0 because  $f_n$  has a pole at  $z=\pm i/n$ , so the sequence isn't uniformly bounded on any fixed neighborhood of 0, and that's why equicantioning fails are R!

• Thm: If f(z) is analytic on a simply connected open  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  then  $\exists$  analytic furtion  $F: U \to \mathbb{C}$  st. F'(z) = f(z).

This is because we can define  $F(z) = \int_{z_0}^{z} f(z) dz$ , Cauchy's how implies that the choice of path doesn't matter: given any piecewise differentiable closed loop g in U, g g f(z) dz = 0. In fact, over disc g g g g g g we can define g by term-g-term integration of the power series expression for g.

Simply connected is necessary! eg.  $f(z) = \frac{1}{z}$  on  $C' = C - \{0\}$ , can only integrate to  $F(z) = \{0\}$  a ver a simply connected subset (not allowing paths that enclose 0).

• Thm: If f is analytic near a, with f(a) = b and f'(a) = 0, then I analytic inverse tunction g defined on a neighborhood of b, st g(b) = a & g'(b) = 1/f'(a).

<sup>\*</sup> Beoiles the magical shiff (derivatives to all orders, Candry's formula, convergence of Taylor series) we also have more basic things that carry over from real analysis, eg. antiderivatives and inverse functions... but these come with caveats.

This is a direct consequence of the invesse function theorem for  $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ , to gether with observation that  $f'(a) \neq 0 \Rightarrow Df(a)$  is invertible, and its inverse is also complex. Inear.

Rmb: for real function of 1 real variable, can do this on any connected interval where  $f' \neq 0$  (=) f injective), but in complex would this is it time, even on simply connected domains - eg.  $\log$  = inverse function of exp, } defined only on Switable domains.

The investe function theorem has give:  $\exp'(z) = e^z \Rightarrow \log'(z) = \frac{1}{z}$ .

From which we can get eg.

From which we can get eg.

From which we can get eg.  $\log(1+z) = \int \frac{dz}{1+z} = z - \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{z^3}{3} - \dots$   $\log(1+z)^{\alpha} = 1 + \alpha z + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} z^2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)(\alpha-2)}{3} z^3 + \dots$ 

These have singularities at z=0 - "branch singularities", not poles. We'll soon show the behavior of analytic functions at an isolated singularity, iest- fis defined on  $U-\{z_0\}$ ,  $z_0\in int(U)$ , by this won't handle  $\log z$  or  $z^{\infty}$  which aren't analytic on a whole  $\vec{D}(r)=\vec{D}(r)-\{0\}$ .