

## Math 55b: Honors Advanced Calculus and Linear Algebra

### Parseval's identity for Fourier transforms

Parseval's identity for Fourier transforms can be stated as follows:

**Theorem.** *There exists a linear operator  $f \mapsto \hat{f}$  on  $L_2([0, 1])$  that coincides with the Fourier transform on bounded  $L_1$  functions and satisfies the identity*

$$(\hat{f}, \hat{g}) = 2\pi(f, g)$$

for all  $f, g \in L_2([0, 1])$ .

This identity, or its special case  $|\hat{f}|^2 = 2\pi|f|^2$ , is known as *Parseval's identity*. The two forms are equivalent, since applying the special case to  $f \pm g$  and  $f \pm ig$  recovers the general case. (We have seen this “polarization” trick last term; remember “quarter squares”?) Yet another equivalent form is: the operator  $f \mapsto (2\pi)^{-1/2}\hat{f}$  is unitary. This is actually not quite equivalent: a unitary operator must not only preserve the norm but be bijective. On an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space there are operators that are norm-preserving but not surjective, such as  $(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots) \mapsto (0, a_1, a_2, \dots)$  on  $l_2$ . But the map  $f \mapsto (2\pi)^{-1/2}\hat{f}$  is known to be bijective, because its fourth iterate is the identity by the inversion formula.

Since both sides of Parseval's identity are continuous in  $f$ , it is enough to prove the identity for  $f$  in a dense subset of  $L_2([0, 1])$ . We know that the continuous functions supported on finite intervals constitute such a subset. Let  $f$ , then, be a continuous function of compact support. Write  $(f, f)$  as a convolution:

$$(f, f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)\overline{f(t)} dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)f_1(-t) dt = (f * f_1)(0),$$

where  $f_1(t) := \overline{f(-t)}$  is also a continuous function of compact support. Thus the same is true of  $g := f * f_1$ . Therefore  $\hat{g} = \hat{f}\hat{f}_1$ . We readily find that  $\hat{f}_1(\zeta) = \overline{\hat{f}(\zeta)}$ , and conclude that  $\hat{g}(\zeta) = |\hat{f}(\zeta)|^2$  for all  $\zeta \in \mathbf{R}$ . Since  $g$  is continuous we may apply Féjer's theorem for Fourier transforms to find

$$g(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^R \left(1 - \frac{|\zeta|}{R}\right) |\hat{f}(\zeta)|^2 d\zeta.$$

But  $|\hat{f}(\zeta)|^2 \geq 0$  for all  $\zeta$ , so the limit exists if and only if  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(\zeta)|^2 d\zeta$  converges in which case it equals that integral. Thus

$$(f, f) = g(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(\zeta)|^2 d\zeta = \frac{1}{2\pi}(\hat{f}, \hat{f})$$

as desired, and we are done.

(The same approach can also prove  $(\hat{f}, \hat{g}) = 2\pi(f, g)$  directly — once it is known that  $\hat{f}, \hat{g}$  are in  $L_2$  so that  $\hat{f}\hat{g}$  is  $L_1$  by Cauchy-Schwarz.)

As an application we prove:

**Theorem.** *For any  $L_1$  function  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , its Fourier transform  $\hat{f}(\zeta)$  approaches 0 as  $|\zeta| \rightarrow \infty$ .*

Recall that we have already shown that  $\hat{f}$  is bounded by  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)| dt$ . Thus again it is enough to prove our claim for  $f$  in a dense subset  $S$  of  $L_1(\mathbf{R})$ . Indeed for each  $\epsilon$  we may then approximate  $f$  by some  $f_1 \in S$  to within  $\epsilon/2$  in the  $L_1$  norm; then  $|\hat{f}(\zeta) - \hat{f}_1(\zeta)| \leq \epsilon/2$  for all  $\zeta$ , since  $\hat{f} - \hat{f}_1$  is the Fourier transform of  $f - f_1$ . If it is known that  $\hat{f}_1(\zeta) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|\zeta| \rightarrow \infty$ , then there exists  $R$  such that  $|\hat{f}_1(\zeta)| < \epsilon/2$  for  $|\zeta| > R$ ; thus  $|\hat{f}(\zeta)| < \epsilon$  for  $|\zeta| > R$ . Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary, it will follow that  $\hat{f}(\zeta) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|\zeta| \rightarrow \infty$  as desired.

We may use the  $L_2$  functions in  $L_1(\mathbf{R})$  as the dense subset  $S$ , since the bounded, compactly supported functions are dense in  $L_1(\mathbf{R})$  and a compactly supported integrable function is automatically square integrable. By Parseval, if  $f_1$  is in this  $S$  then so is  $\hat{f}_1$ . But we know already (Lemma 46.3) that  $\hat{f}_1$  is uniformly continuous. Well, a uniformly continuous  $L_2$  function on  $\mathbf{R}$  must tend to 0 as  $|\zeta| \rightarrow \infty$ . Indeed if it didn't we would have an  $\epsilon > 0$  and infinitely many  $\zeta_n$  such that  $|\hat{f}_1(\zeta_n)| \geq \epsilon$  and  $|\zeta_m - \zeta_n| > 1$  for  $m \neq n$ . Since  $\hat{f}_1$  is uniformly continuous, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|\hat{f}_1(\zeta) - \hat{f}_1(\zeta')| < \epsilon/2$  if  $|\zeta - \zeta'| < \delta$ . We may assume that  $\delta < 1/2$ . Then the  $\delta$ -balls about the  $\zeta_n$  are disjoint and we have

$$\infty > \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}_1(\zeta)|^2 d\zeta \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\zeta_n - \delta}^{\zeta_n + \delta} |\hat{f}_1(\zeta)|^2 d\zeta \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\zeta_n - \delta}^{\zeta_n + \delta} (\epsilon/2)^2 d\zeta = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta \epsilon^2}{2},$$

which is impossible. Our theorem is thus proved.

We could have used other subsets  $S$ , such as the functions of bounded variation, for which we know  $\hat{f}_1(\zeta) \ll 1/|\zeta|$ . We use the  $L_2$  functions because this approach generalizes most readily to the Fourier transform on an arbitrary locally compact abelian group.