```
\frac{P_{np}:}{S^{\perp}} if T is self-aljoint and SCV is an invariant subspace (T(S) \subset S) then (T(S) \subset S) then (T(S) \subset S)
  PF: Let v \in S^{\perp}, then \forall w \in S, \forall w \in S, so \langle \forall v, w \rangle = \langle v, \forall u \rangle = 0.

Since \langle \forall v, w \rangle = 0 \forall w \in S, we get: \forall v \in S^{\perp}. (\forall v \in S^{\perp}, \forall u \in S) II.
Lerna: If T is self-adjoint then \forall a \in \mathbb{R}_+, T^2 + a is inertible.
     \frac{Pf.}{} \forall v \in V_{-\{0\}}, \quad \langle \tau^2 + a \rangle v, v \rangle = \langle \tau^2 v, v \rangle + a \langle v, v \rangle 
 = \langle \tau v, \tau v \rangle + a \langle v, v \rangle = \| \tau v \|^2 + a \| v \|^2 > 0
              So (T^2+a)v \neq 0. Here \ker(T^2+a)=0.
Corollary: IF PER[x] is a quadratic without real rooks and T=T then p(T) is invertible.
    PF: enough to show T2+bT+c is invertible whenever 6-4c<0.
            wife T^2 + bT + c = (T + \frac{b}{2})^2 + a, a = c - \frac{b^2}{4} > 0, T + \frac{b}{2} self-adjoint
             => by the lemma (applied to T+b) this is invertible.
=> Theorem (he spectral theorem for real self adjoint operators)
      If T; V-1 V is self adjoint then T is diagonalizable, with real eigenvalues.
        Even more, I can be disymated in an orthonormal basis of (V,<; >)!
  Pf: · First we show the existence of an eigenvector.
          Pick veV, vf0; since v, Tv, ..., The eV are linearly dependent (n=dimV),
          There exists a (nonconstant) polynomial st. (a_n T^n + ... + a_0) v = 0.
          This doesn't factor into degue 1 factors one R like it would ove C, but
           it factors into linear and quadratic factors
                                \Pi(T-\lambda_i) \Pi(T^2+b_iT+c_j) v=0
                            Mese are the real roots irriduible (no real roots) coming from pairs of complex conjugate roots.
         At least one of these operators must have a nonthinial kernel (else their product is
         invertible, but VHO!). By the previous contagy, each +2+b; T+c; is nuclible,
          so in fact some T-2; mut have a nonhivial kenel, have an exercetir!
        Now, d'azonalization: we know there's an eigenvector v \in V with eigenvalue \lambda \in \mathbb{R}; scaling v, if needed we may assume \|v\| = 1.
```

```
Then S = span(v) \subset V is an invariant subspace, hence (by Rop above) so is 5^{\frac{1}{2}}
      By induction, wing inner product on St induced by restricting <->.> and
       obsering TISL is still self-adjoint, there is a basis of St, (v2... vn)
       (orthonormal if we wish), st. each v; is an eigenvector of T.
      Then (V_1...V_n) is a basis of V in which T diagonalizes, and we can
        asseme it is othonormal.
    So: T selfadjoint \longrightarrow M(T) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} in a suitable orthonormal basis.
      Ruk: this also implie: eigenvectors of T for distinct exercalues are
            orthogonal! but we already knew this because
             Tv=1v, Tw= yw => 1 < v, w> = < Tv, w> = < v, Tw> = µ< v, w>,
                   so \lambda \neq \mu \Rightarrow \nu \perp w.
Back to orthogonal transformations (T, V-V orthogonal & LTU, TV>= < 4, v> V4, V
                                                                  <=> T*=T<sup>-1</sup>)
Do we have a similar structure result?
  - in dim· I: T is multiby a scalar, so T orthogonal (=) T=±I.
   in din.2; Tothogonal (=) T is a station or a reflection.
             (given orthonormal basis (e1, e2), Te, is any unit vector & unit circle
                 {vEV/ |v|=1} = { cos 0 e, + sin 0 ez }; Tez is also unit vector and
                                                            \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{rotation} \, \operatorname{by} \, \theta.
               1 Te, => 2 possibilities
       Rotations have no eigenvectors
                                                               \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & -\cos \theta \end{pmatrix} = \text{reflection}
       Reflections have ejevalues ±1
                     how orthogonal eigenspace
    Notation for (V, 5,>), SO(V) = O(V) = GL(V) subgrows
                                         athogonal invehible linear operators T.V-V
                    in dim-1: {+I}, in dim-2: rotations
         Since V \simeq \mathbb{R}^n by choosing athonormal basis, usually with O(\mathbb{R}^n) = O(n) < .> std O(\mathbb{R}^n) = O(n)
                                                                              SO(R^n) = SO(n)
                 SO(n) has index 2 in O(n),
                                                          1 \longrightarrow SO(n) \longrightarrow O(n) \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\} = \mathbb{Z}/_2 \rightarrow 1.
             SO(2) \simeq S^{1} (rotations \Leftrightarrow angles)
```

Recall: T.V-1V linear epister => 3 invariant subspace of din 1 on 2 (4) + if T is orthogonal for (., > then it maps who to (T(W)) = W.

=> Thm: If T: V-V is an altogoral operator on a finite direction inner product space, then V decomposes into a direct sum of orthogonal invariant subspaces $V = \bigoplus V_i$, $V_i \perp V_j$ $\forall i \neq j$, $\forall (V_i) = V_i$, of $\dim V_i \in \{1, 2\}$. and if dim $V_i = 1$ then $T_{|V_i|} = \pm I$ if dim V: = 2 Ken TIVi is either a rotation or reflection (in latter case, can latter decompose into ±1 eigenspaces, so can replace reflections by 1.din. blocks)

This gives a very nice way to Kink about an individual transformation as built from reflections and rotations on individual subspaces, but it's pretty useless for indestanding the conjuition of two orthogonal transformations (whose invariant subspaces have no reason to crimide)

(Ex: notations in R3: family for the product of two notations?)

Now on to the analyse of all his for complex vector spaces: Hermitian inner products As previously noted, a bilinear form on a complex vector space VXV -> C can't be definite positive, since b(iv,iv) = -b(v,v). Solution: abandon C linearity in one of the two variables, and only require "conjugate linear"

Def; A Hernitian form on a complex victor space V is H; V×V -> C st. H is <u>seoquilinear</u>:

- H(u+r, ω) = H(a,ω) + H(v,ω) , H(u, v+ω) = H(u,v) + H(u,ω).
- $H(u, \lambda v) = \lambda H(u, v)$, however $H(\lambda u, v) = \overline{\lambda} H(u, v)$ conjugate $\overline{a+ib} = a-ib$.

+ H conjugate-symmetric:

· H(4,v) = H(v,u).

Conjugate symmetry ⇒ H(u,u) ∈ R.

Def: A Hernitian inner product is a positive definite (conjugate-symmetric) Hernitian form. Ly ie · Hla, u) ≥ 0 Vu, H(u,u)=0 €> u=0.

Rmk: (4: V) V* is now a complex autilinear map V=V*! (6(24) = 76(21).