Lord time: modules over commutative ring R (M with addition +: M\*M -> M scalar mult. x: R\*M-> M)

Recall: · e,...en generale on Romobile M if  $\varphi \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to M$ ,  $\varphi(a_1...a_n) = \Sigma a_i e_i$  is sujective.

- · e,..., en are linearly independent if  $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^n \to M$  injective
- · if both hold, then (e, en) is a basis of M, and M=R<sup>n</sup> is a free module.

  of rank n.

The difficulty, however, is that bases need not exist, and linearly indept families can't always be completed to a basis.

Def. | M, N robble over R, a module homomorphism  $\varphi \in Hom_R(M,N)$  is a map  $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$  st.  $\varphi(v+\omega) = \varphi(v) + \varphi(v)$  and  $\varphi(av) = a\varphi(v)$ .

Observe. Home (M,N) is itself an R-nodule: (44 4)(v)= (b)+4(v)  $(\alpha\varphi)(v)=\alpha\varphi(v).$ 

For free modules, Kings work as expected: Home (RM, RM) = RMXM ( 4 is determined by image 4(e;) ER of the basis vectors of Rm).

but we can have nonzeo modules M,N st. Homa (M,N) = 0!

Ex: R = k[x], M = k with multiplication  $(a_0 + a_1 x + ...) \cdot b = a_0 b$ . then home (k, k[x]) = 0 (because 1 \in k satisfies x.1 = 0 so mut map to  $\psi(1) = p(x) \in k[x]$  st.  $xp(x) = 0 \Rightarrow p = 0$ .

Remarks: . R is a mobile our itself (Free mobile of rant 1)

A submodule of R is called an ideal: his is a rubiet NCR st.

- · N is an abelian subgroup of (R,+)
- · R. N = N: mill by any element of R takes N to trely

 $\frac{E_{X}}{k[x]}$  are nZ | i.e. generald by a single k[x] are p(x)k[x] | elever. This is very special.

(Z and L(x) are "principal ideal domains". This has to do with Ehdidean division algorithms: span(p,q) = span(gcd(p,q)).

. The quotient of an R-mobile by a submodule is an R-module.

Ex:  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}/n$  as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module,  $k[x]/xk[x] \cong k$  as k[x]-module

(in fact the quotient of R itself by a submodule = ideal is not just an Romodule but also a ring in its own right.).

Recall: every abelian group $(6,+)$ is also a Z-module ie has operation $Z\times G \to G$ $0$ n, $g\mapsto ng$ .
=> Today: linear algebra ove Z & classification of finitely generated abelian groups
Theorem: Any finitely greated stellar group is isom to a product of cyclic groups $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n_1 \times \times \mathbb{Z}/n_k) \times \mathbb{Z}^l$
(+ ming 2/m = 2/m × 2/n iff gcd (m,n)=1, can rearrange the finite factors eg. to arrange all n; = powers of pimes).  (Artin \$14.4-14.7)
The strategy for the classification is as follows.
Prop.1 If M is a finitely generated Z-module, then I m, n and $T \in Hom(\mathbb{Z}^m, \mathbb{Z}^n)$ st. $M \cong \mathbb{Z}^n / Im T$ . (Equivalently: I exact seq. $\mathbb{Z}^m / Im / $
"   = 2/Im T. (Equivalently: 1 exact seq. 2" -> 2" -> 0)
This relies on: (= subgroup)
This relies on: (=subgroup)  Lerma:   Any submodule of Z <sup>n</sup> is finitely generated (in fact, free of rank ≤n)
Pf: by induction on n. True fir n=1: subgraps of $(Z,+)$ are $\{Z_a, a \in Z-10\}$ .
Assume the routh holds for Z <sup>n-1</sup> , and consider MCZ <sup>n</sup> submodule.
The map $\mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ restricts to a honomorphism $\pi: M \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ $(a_1a_n) \mapsto (a_2a_n)$
where $\cdot$ Im $\pi$ is a submobile of $\mathbb{Z}^{n'}$ , hence finitely goverted (free) by induction.
· Ker # = Mn (Zx0xx0) is a subgroup of Z hence free (of rank 0 on 1).
$+$ if $kv(\pi)$ and $Im(\pi)$ are finitely generated (resp. free) then so is M! proof is
just as in ridtem public 4: let equiek generators of the TT (resp. basis)
g1= Tr(fi),, gm=Tr(fm) generators of Im Tr
then $\forall x \in M \exists a \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ st. } \pi(x) = \sum a_i g_i$ , so $\pi(x - \sum a_i f_i) = 0$ , so
$x - \sum a_i f_i \in \ker \pi = span(e_1 e_k),  x \in span(e_1 e_k, f_1 f_m): (e_i, f_i) generate.$ (basis: left as an exercise, vonit need anyway).
Prof of proposition: If $M$ is finitely generated, with generators $(e_1 \dots e_n)$ , then $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}^n \longrightarrow M$ is sujective, and $\ker(\varphi) = N \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ is a $(a_1 \dots a_n) \mapsto \Sigma a_i e_i$
subgroup / submobile of Z", hence finishly guested by the lemma.

```
Let f_n ... f_m be generators of ker \varphi, then ker \varphi = Im(T, \mathbb{Z}^m \to \mathbb{Z}^n) (3)
                and now we have an exact sequence Z^m \xrightarrow{T} Z^n \xrightarrow{\varphi} M \rightarrow 0, with Ker \varphi = Im T, inducing an isom M = Z^n/Im T.
The next ingredient is the notion of dissibility of an elevent of In ( n a free 2-module).
               Def: The drisibility of a nonzero element x = (a1, ..., an) & Zn is the largest
                             d \in \mathbb{Z}_+ for which \exists y \ d \cdot x = d \cdot y (i.e. d = \gcd(a_1, ..., a_n)).
                           An elever of Z is primitive if its dissibility = 1.
            Lemma: An elevel of a free finishly gen. Z' no bele (eg. Z") can be chosen to be part of a basis iff it is primitive (or d times a basis elevel iff its dissibility is d).
              Pf: Clearly, elevents of a basis (e,..., en) are primitive.
                                                      (linear independence prevents e_i = d(\Sigma_{a_i}e_i) for some d>1)
                        - converse: Euclidean dission algorithm. Let v = a_1e_1 + ... + a_ne_n primitive.
                                  Without loss of generally assume a+f0, |a+| = min { [ai], a; f0}.
                                 Then let ak = 9k 91 + rk Enclosean dission + anainder,
                                    change basis to (e' = e' + \sum_{k \ge 2} q_k e_k, e_2, ..., en) to get
                                      V= a1 e1 + 2 e2 + - + men, to make all other Gettiviers < |a1|.
                                 Repeat his process, in finitely many steps we're left with
                                       v = d fimes a baois rector.
      Prop.2 | \forall T \in \mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{Z}^m, \mathbb{Z}^n), \exists bases (e,...e_m) of \mathbb{Z}^m, (f,...f_n) of \mathbb{Z}^n, r \leq min(m,n) (the rank of T) and positive integers d_1,...,d_r st. T(e_i) = \begin{cases} d_i.f_i & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq r \\ 0 & \text{ip } r \end{cases} i.e.: \mathcal{M}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} d_i & 0 \\ 0 & d_r \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d_i & 0 \\ 0 & d_r \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d_i & 0 \\ 0 & d_r \end{pmatrix} for d_i in d_i and d_i integers d_i in 
    Proof: If T=0 he statement is obvious tim, n
                     Otherise, proceed by induction on m.
                        Case m=1: let d=div(T(1)), by lemma \exists basis of \mathbb{Z}^n st. T(1)=df_1.
                     Assume roult proved for Zn-1, consider T: Zn Zn (can assume T40).
                        Let d_1 = \min \left\{ \operatorname{div} T(x) \mid x \notin \ker T \right\}, \text{ and } e_1 \text{ st. } \operatorname{div} T(e_1) = d_1.
                      e, is necessarily primitive (if it is divisible by d then dir T(\frac{1}{d}e,)=\frac{1}{d}dir T(e,))
```

+ write T(e1) = d1f1, f1 & 2" pinihie.

Now  $\mathcal{M}(T, (e_i), (f_i)) = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & \times \\ 0 & \mathcal{M}(T') \end{pmatrix}$  where T' is the noticion of T to span  $(e_2, \dots, e_m) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{m-1}$  composed with the projection to span (f2,-,fn)= 2n.1.

Use induction hypothesis => replacing (ez, en) and (fz, ..., fn) with some other bases of their span, can assume  $T'(ej) = \{d_j, f_j \mid f_{ij} \neq j \leq r \}$ 

Then  $\mathcal{M}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{d_1}{d_1} & \frac{a_2 & \dots & a_n}{a_n} \\ 0 & \frac{d_2}{d_r} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  i.e.  $T(e_j) = d_j f_j + a_i f_1$  for some  $a_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

Wish a; = 9; d+r; , and charge basis to (e, e'=e2-92e1,..., e'=em-9me1). Then  $\mathcal{M}(T) = \left(\frac{d_1}{0} \begin{vmatrix} r_2 & \cdots & r_m \\ \frac{d_2}{o} & d_r \end{vmatrix}\right)$  with  $0 \le r_2, \cdots, r_m < d_4$ .

Now rj=10 would give dir T(ej) | rj < d, contraditing our choice of dy. So rj=0 Vj>2, and we're done.

Prof of theorem: Prop 1 => any finitely gent Z-modele M is a Z"/In(T) for some  $T \in Hom(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{Z}^n)$ , and  $\operatorname{Prop.} 2 \Rightarrow \operatorname{after} \operatorname{a charge} \operatorname{of} \operatorname{basis} (f_j) \operatorname{of} \mathbb{Z}^n$ , we can assume Im(T) is spanned by difi,..., drfr for some d; >0, r in. So Ma Z/Jm(7) = Z/d, x ... x Z/d, x Zn-r.

## Group actions:

(Arhin § 6.7)

Def: An action of a group G on a set S is a homomorphism  $\rho: G \to Perm(S)$ .

equivalently, we have a map  $G \times S \longrightarrow S$  st.  $e \cdot s = s \quad \forall s \in S$   $(g,s) \mapsto g \cdot s$   $(gh) \cdot s = g \cdot (h \cdot s)$ 

This generalizes the idea of groups as syrrelies of geometric objects.

Understanding what sets a group G acts on (& in what way) gives into about G!

Def: An action is faithful if p is injective (otherwise, the game that "really" acts on S is G/ker p ...)

Def. | The orbit of seS where G is  $O_s = G \cdot s = \{g \cdot s \mid g \in G\} \in S$ . Observe:  $t \in O_s \iff \exists g \in G \text{ st. } g \cdot s = t, \text{ and then } s = g^1 \cdot t \in O_t.$ So: the orbits of the G-action for a partition of S= LI Os. Equidently: sat => 3 g & G st. g.s = t is an equilable relation: · s~t ⇒ ∃g, g·s=t, Ken t=g'·s so t~s. • sat and  $t \sim u \Rightarrow \exists g, g.s=t$  then (hg).s=h.(g.s)=u here  $s \sim u$ . Orbits are the equialence classes of this relation. Def: An action is transitive if there is only one orbit. ie. Vs.tES 3g st. g.s=t. Note: Given any G-action on S, by retriction we get a G-action reparally on gray action into a disjoint union of transitive actions! Def: The stabilizer of  $s \in S$  is  $Stab(s) = \{g \in G \mid g \cdot s = s\}$ . This is a subgroup of G!• The fixed points of  $g \in G$  are the subject  $S^8 := \{s \in S \mid g.s = s\}$ . \* If s'= g.s Ken Stab(s') = g Stab(s) g-1. So: elevents in same abit have conjugate stabilizers.

pf,  $h \cdot s = s \Rightarrow (ghg^{-1})gs = g(hs) = gs$ , so  $g \cdot Shab(s)g^{-1} \subset Shab(s')$ .

Conversely, same aryunet for  $s = g^{-1}s' \Rightarrow g^{-1}Shab(s')g \subset Shab(s)$  hence equality).