

Method	FLOPs	Δ top-1	Δ top-5
RESNET-50 @ ILSVRC12 dataset			
<i>ThiNet</i>	2.25	-1.87	-1.12
<i>Channel pruning for Accelerating VDDN</i>	2.00	—	-1.40
<i>Soft filter pruning</i>	1.72	-1.54	-0.81
<i>Discrimination-aware Channel Pruning</i>	2.25	-1.06	-0.61

Comparisons of top-1 and top-5 accuracies for ResNet-50 on ILSVRC-12 validation set. Pre-trained ResNet-50 has 76.15% top-1 and 92.87% accuracies.

Method	FLOPs	Δ top-1	Δ top-5
RESNET-18 @ ILSVRC12 dataset			
<i>Pruning filters for Efficient Convnets</i>	1.72	-3.18	-1.85
<i>Network Slimming</i>	1.39	-1.77	-1.29
<i>Discrimination-aware Channel Pruning</i>	1.89	-2.29	-1.38
<i>Channel Gating NN</i>	1.61	-1.62	-1.03
<i>Feature Boosting and Suppression</i>	1.98	-2.54	-1.46

Table 1: Comparisons of top-1 and top-5 accuracies for ResNet-18 on ILSVRC-12 validation set. Pre-trained ResNet-18 has 69.76% top-1 and 90.36% top-5 accuracies.

Method	Δ top-5 errors (%)		
	3 \times	4 \times	5 \times
VGG-16 @ ILSVRC12 dataset			
<i>Pruning filters for Efficient Convnets</i>	—	-8.6	-14.6
<i>Perforated CNNs</i>	-3.7	-5.5	—
<i>Network Slimming</i>	-1.37	-3.26	-5.18
<i>Runtime Neural Pruning</i>	-2.32	-3.23	-3.58
<i>Channel Pruning for Accelerating VDDN</i>	0.0	-1.0	-1.7
<i>AutoML Compression</i>	—	—	-1.4
<i>ThiNet-Conv</i>	-0.37	—	—
<i>Feature Boosting and Suppression</i>	-0.04	-0.52	-0.59

Table 2: Comparisons of top-5 error rate for VGG-16 on ILSVRC-12 validation set under 3 \times , 4 \times and 5 \times FLOPs reduction. Results from Channel Pruning for Accelerating VDDN only show numbers with one digit after the decimal point.

Model	MUSCO	Tucker2-iter
AlexNet	-0.81	-4.2
VGG-16	-0.15	-2.8
YOLOv2	-0.19	-3.1
Tiny YOLOv2	-0.10	-2.7

Table 3: Quality drop after iterative compression and one-time compression. For AlexNet and VGG-16 metric is Δ Top-5 accuracy, for YOLO - Δ mAP

Model	FLOPs	mAP
FASTER R-CNN C4 (RESNET-50) @ VOC2007		
Used baseline	1.0 \times	75.0
Tucker2-iter (nx, 1.4)	1.17 \times	76.8(+1.8)
MUSCO(nx, 1.4, 2)	1.39\times	77.0(+2.0)
MUSCO(nx, 1.4, 3)	1.57\times	75.4(+0.4)
Tucker2-iter (nx, 3.16)	1.49 \times	75.0(+0.0)

Table 4: Comparison of Faster R-CNN (with ResNet-50 backbone) compressed models on Pascal VOC2007 evaluation dataset.

Model	FLOPs	mAP	mAP.50
FASTER R-CNN FPN (RESNET-50) @ COCO2014			
Original	1.0 \times	37.7	59.1
Tucker2-iter(vbmf, 0.7)	1.2\times	36.3(-1.4)	57.3(-1.8)
MUSCO(vbmf, 0.7, 2)	1.7\times	36.2(-1.5)	57.1(-2.0)
MUSCO(nx, 3, 4)	1.8\times	35.4(-2.3)	56.2(-2.9)
Tucker2-iter(vbmf, 0.9)	2.0 \times	33.8(-3.9)	54.0(-5.1)

Table 5: Comparison of Faster R-CNN (with ResNet-50 backbone) compressed models on COCO2014 dataset. MUSCO (vbmf, 0.7, 2) corresponds to the two-iteration compression with automatically selected ranks using GAS of EVBMF and rank weakening with weakening factor equals 0.7.

