

The Real Problem

"I'm losing more time than I'm gaining"

— Your teammate

The issue wasn't AI **capability**.

It was AI **discipline**.

My Journey

Perfect Prompts → ✗ Still inconsistent

Manual Workflows → ↑ Better, but copy-paste hell

The Question... → 

**ME
WORKFLOW**

Structure Doesn't Limit AI —It Elevates It

Traditional:

You → Prompt → AI = **Random excellence**

WorkRail:

You → Workflow → AI = **Reliable excellence**

Structure + Creativity = Reliable Excellence

Structure ensures thoroughness

LLM brings creative reasoning

Together: **Reliable excellence**

Bug Investigation in Action

Watch for:

- ✓ Conditional logic adapts to complexity
- ✓ Systematic hypothesis testing
- ✓ Validation gates ensure evidence



SCREEN RECORDING 1 (60 seconds)

IDE showing Bug Investigation workflow starting

What you'll see:

- Agent requests first step from WorkRail



SCREEN RECORDING 2 (90 seconds)

IDE showing hypothesis testing loop

What you'll see:

- LLM generates 3 creative hypotheses
- Workflow enforces systematic testing



SCREEN RECORDING 3 (30 seconds)

IDE showing final investigation results

ROOT CAUSE: Race condition in cache invalidation

LOCATION: CacheManager.ts:247

EVIDENCE:

- Stack traces (47 instances → line 247)

What Just Happened?

- ✓ Workflow adapted to bug complexity
- ✓ LLM generated creative hypotheses
- ✓ Structure ensured systematic validation
- ✓ Evidence-based certainty, not guessing

Reliable excellence.

The System

AI Agent ↔ MCP Protocol ↔ WorkRail ↔ Workflows

- Stateless (agent manages state)
- Step-by-step delivery
- Context optimization (60-80% reduction)
- Resumable across sessions

What's in a Workflow?

Not checklists. Executable methodologies:

- Conditional branching (adapt to context)
- Iterative loops (systematic coverage)
- Validation gates (quality assurance)
- Meta-guidance (strategic thinking)
- Agent roles (perspective shifts)

Team Impact

Before → After

Before:

- ✗ Inconsistent results
- ⏳ Wasting time
- 😵 Ready to quit

After:

- ✓ Daily users

The Unexpected Benefit

Because workflows are consistent, reliable, methodical...

I can trust them to work unattended.

This changed everything.

Real Productivity Multiplication

Three Workflows Running Simultaneously

🐛 Bug Investigation - Step 6/8: Testing hypothesis 2...  [REDACTED]

75%

⚡ Feature Development - Step 4/12: Examining patterns...

 [REDACTED] 33%



9:47 AM — All running at the same time

This is true productivity multiplication.

The Result

My feature: ✓ Completed with full context

Bug investigation: ✓

Ten hypotheses narrowed to two root causes

With evidence: stack traces, logs, reproduction steps

MR review: ✓

Comprehensive feedback ready for teammate

Edge cases identified, patterns checked

**Not just better results—MORE
results.**

MIT License

v0.6.1-beta

14 workflows ready to use

Get Started Today

github.com/exaudeus/workrail

npm: @exaudeus/workrail

Start with:

- **Bug Investigation** (prevents jumping to conclusions)
- **MR Review** (team favorite)
- **Task Development** (comprehensive approach)

What You'll Discover

- When workflows help (vs. when they're overkill)
- How to customize for YOUR team
- Ways to codify YOUR expertise
- The sweet spot: **Structure + Creativity**

Coming up in Q&A:

What's MCP?

Model Context Protocol (MCP)

- Standard protocol for AI-tool communication
- JSON-RPC 2.0 over stdio
- Tools expose capabilities to agents
- Stateless by design

Think: REST API, but for AI agents

When NOT to Use Workflows

Good Fit:

- ✓ Repetitive tasks with proven methodology
- ✓ Complex analysis requiring thoroughness
- ✓ Team consistency matters
- ✓ Codifying expertise

Poor Fit:

Workflow JSON Example

```
{  
  "id": "bug-investigation",  
  "name": "Systematic Bug Investigation",  
  "steps": [  
    {  
      "id": "gather-context",  
      "title": "Gather Context",  
      "prompt": "Analyze the bug report...",  
      "runCondition": {  
        "var": "bugComplexity",  
        "equals": "high"  
      }  
    }  
  ]  
}
```

Team Adoption Strategy

Start Small:

1. Pick one workflow (MR review works well)
2. One team member tries it
3. Share results in standup
4. Team adopts what works
5. Customize for your patterns

Don't force it. Let results sell it.

Structure + Creativity = Reliable Excellence