

1. MOTIVATING EXAMPLE: ACCORDION COMPLEXES OF DISSECTIONS

Let P be a convex polygon. We call *diagonals* of P the segments connecting two non-consecutive vertices of P . A *dissection* of P is a set D of non-crossing diagonals. It dissects the polygon into *cells*. We denote by $Q(D)$ the quiver with relations whose vertices are the diagonals of D , whose arrows connect any two counterclockwise consecutive edges of a cell of D , and whose relations are given by triples of counterclockwise consecutive edges of a cell of D . See Figure ?? for an example.

We now consider $2m$ points on the unit circle alternately colored black and white, and let P_\circ (resp. P_\bullet) denote the convex hull of the white (resp. black) points. We fix an arbitrary reference dissection D_\circ of P_\circ . A solid diagonal δ_\bullet of P_\bullet is a *D_\circ -accordion diagonal* if it does not enter and exit any cell of D_\circ crossing two non-consecutive of its edges. In other words the diagonals of D_\circ crossed by δ_\bullet form an accordion. A *D_\circ -accordion dissection* is a set of non-crossing D_\circ -accordion diagonals. We call *D_\circ -accordion complex* the simplicial complex $\mathcal{AC}(D_\circ)$ of D_\circ -accordion dissections.

For a diagonal δ_\circ of D_\circ and a D_\circ -accordion diagonal δ_\bullet intersecting δ_\circ , we consider the three edges (including δ_\circ) crossed by δ_\bullet in the two cells of D_\circ containing δ_\circ . We define $\varepsilon(\delta_\circ \in D_\circ \mid \delta_\bullet)$ to be 1, -1, or 0 depending on whether these three edges form a Z , a Σ , or a Ψ . The *\mathbf{g} -vector* of δ_\bullet with respect to D_\circ is the vector $\mathbf{g}(D_\circ \mid \delta_\bullet) \in \mathbb{R}^{D_\circ}$ whose δ_\circ -coordinate is $\varepsilon(\delta_\circ \in D_\circ \mid \delta_\bullet)$. For example, ...

Do example
— V.

Example 1. When the reference dissection D_\circ is a triangulation of P_\circ , any diagonal of P_\bullet is a D_\circ -accordion diagonal. The D_\circ -accordion complex is thus an n -dimensional associahedron (of type A), where $n = m - 3$. In this case, it is known that the D_\circ -accordion complex is isomorphic to the 2-term silting complex of the quiver $Q(D_\circ)$ of the triangulation D_\circ (see Section ?? for definitions).

The initial motivation of this paper was to prove the following extension of Example 1.

Theorem 2. *For any reference dissection D_\circ , the D_\circ -accordion complex is isomorphic to the 2-term silting complex of the quiver $Q(D_\circ)$.*

One possible approach to Theorem 2 would be to provide an explicit bijective map between D_\circ -accordion diagonals and 2-term projective complexes for $Q(D_\circ)$. Such a map is easy to guess using \mathbf{g} -vectors, but the proof that this map is actually a bijection and that it preserves compatibility is intricate. This approach was developed in the more general context of non-kissing complexes of gentle quivers in [?]. In this paper, we use an alternative simpler strategy to obtain Theorem 2, understanding accordion complexes as certain subcomplexes of the associahedron.

For that, consider two nested dissections $D_\circ \subset D'_\circ$. Observe that any D_\circ -accordion diagonal is a D'_\circ -accordion diagonal. Conversely a D'_\circ -accordion diagonal δ_\bullet is a D_\circ -accordion diagonal if and only if it does not cross any diagonal of $D'_\circ \setminus D_\circ$ as a Z or a Σ , that is if and only if its \mathbf{g} -vector $\mathbf{g}(D'_\circ \mid \delta_\bullet)$ belongs to the subspace spanned by elements in D_\circ . This observation shows the following statement.

Theorem 3 ([?]). *For any two nested dissections $D_\circ \subset D'_\circ$, the accordion complex $\mathcal{AC}(D_\circ)$ is isomorphic to the subcomplex of $\mathcal{AC}(D'_\circ)$ induced by D'_\circ -accordion diagonals δ_\bullet whose \mathbf{g} -vector $\mathbf{g}(D'_\circ \mid \delta_\bullet)$ lie in the coordinate subspace spanned by elements in D_\circ .*

Consider now any quiver with relations Q and any subset J of vertices of Q . We call *shortcut quiver* the quiver with relations Q/J whose vertices are the vertices of Q not in J , whose arrows are the paths in Q with internal vertices in J , and whose relations are inherited from those of Q . For example, quivers of subdissections are shortcut quivers: if $D_\circ \subset D'_\circ$, then $Q(D_\circ) = Q(D'_\circ)/(D'_\circ \setminus D_\circ)$. This paper proves the following statement.

Theorem 4. *For any quiver with relations Q and any subset J of vertices of Q , the 2-term silting complex $SC(Q/J)$ for the shortcut quiver Q/J is isomorphic to the subcomplex of the 2-term silting complex $SC(Q)$ induced by 2-term projective complexes whose \mathbf{g} -vector lie in the coordinate subspace spanned by vertices not in J .*

Combining Theorems 3 and 4 together with Example 1 proves Theorem 2.

Fans
— V.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to F. Chapoton for various conversations on quadrangulations and Stokes posets.

REFERENCES

(Thibault Manneville) LIX, ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE

E-mail address: `thibault.manneville@lix.polytechnique.fr`

URL: <http://www.lix.polytechnique.fr/~manneville/>

(Vincent Pilaud) CNRS & LIX, ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE, PALAISEAU

E-mail address: `vincent.pilaud@lix.polytechnique.fr`

URL: <http://www.lix.polytechnique.fr/~pilaud/>