



planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

axiomatic definition of the real numbers

Canonical name	AxiomaticDefinitionOfTheRealNumbers
Date of creation	2013-03-22 15:39:29
Last modified on	2013-03-22 15:39:29
Owner	matte (1858)
Last modified by	matte (1858)
Numerical id	17
Author	matte (1858)
Entry type	Definition
Classification	msc 54C30
Classification	msc 26-00
Classification	msc 12D99
Related topic	RealNumber

Axiomatic definition of the real numbers

The real numbers consist of a set \mathbb{R} together with mappings $+: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\cdot: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a relation $< \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ is an Abelian group:

- (a) For $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}a + b &= b + a, \\(a + b) + c &= a + (b + c),\end{aligned}$$

- (b) there exists an element $0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a + 0 = a$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (c) every $a \in \mathbb{R}$ has an inverse $(-a) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a + (-a) = 0$.

2. $(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$ is an Abelian group:

- (a) For $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}a \cdot b &= b \cdot a, \\(a \cdot b) \cdot c &= a \cdot (b \cdot c),\end{aligned}$$

- (b) there exists an element $1 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $a \cdot 1 = a$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (c) every $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ has an inverse $a^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a^{-1} \cdot a = 1$.

3. The operation \cdot is distributive over $+$: If $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}a \cdot (b + c) &= a \cdot b + a \cdot c, \\(b + c) \cdot a &= b \cdot a + c \cdot a.\end{aligned}$$

4. $(\mathbb{R}, <)$ is a total order:

- (a) (transitivity) if $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b$, and $b < c$, then $a < c$,
- (b) (trichotomy) precisely one of the below alternatives hold:

$$a < b, \quad a = b, \quad b < a.$$

For convenience we make the following notational definitions: $a > b$ means $b < a$, $a \leq b$ means either $a < b$ or $a = b$, and $a \geq b$ means either $b < a$ or $a = b$.

5. The operations $+$ and \cdot are compatible with the order $<$:
 - (a) If $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a < b$, then $a + c < b + c$.
 - (b) If $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b$ and $0 < c$, then $ac < bc$.
6. \mathbb{R} has the least upper bound property: If $A \subset \mathbb{R}$, then an element $M \in \mathbb{R}$ is an $\text{upper bound for } A$ if

$$a < M, \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

If A is non-empty, we then say that A is bounded from above. That \mathbb{R} has the least upper bound property means that if $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ is bounded from above, it has a least upper bound $m \in \mathbb{R}$. That is, A has an upper bound m such that if M is any upper bound from M , then $m \leq M$.

Here it should be emphasized that from the above we can not deduce that a set \mathbb{R} with operations $+, \cdot, <$ exists. To settle this question such a set has to be explicitly constructed. However, this can be done in various ways, as discussed on <http://planetmath.org/RealNumber> this page. One can also show the above conditions uniquely determine the real numbers (up to an isomorphism). The proof of this can be found on <http://planetmath.org/EveryOrderedFieldWithT> page.

Basic properties

In condensed form, the above conditions state that \mathbb{R} is an ordered field with the least upper bound property. In particular $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$ is a ring, and $(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$ is a group, and we have the following basic properties:

Lemma 1. Suppose $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

1. The additive inverse $(-a)$ is unique <http://planetmath.org/UniquenessOfAdditiveIdentity>
2. The additive identity 0 is unique <http://planetmath.org/UniquenessOfAdditiveIdentity>
3. $(-1) \cdot a = (-a)$ [http://planetmath.org/1cdotAA\(proof\)](http://planetmath.org/1cdotAA(proof)).
4. $(-a) \cdot (-b) = a \cdot b$ [http://planetmath.org/XcdotYXcdotY\(proof\)](http://planetmath.org/XcdotYXcdotY(proof)).
5. $0 \cdot a = 0$ [http://planetmath.org/0cdotA0\(proof\)](http://planetmath.org/0cdotA0(proof))

6. The multiplicative inverse a^{-1} is unique <http://planetmath.org/UniquenessOfInverseFor>

7. If a, b are non-zero, then $(ab)^{-1} = b^{-1}a^{-1}$ <http://planetmath.org/InverseOfAProduct>(pro

In view of property ??, we can write simply $-a$ instead of $(-1) \cdot a$ and $(-a)$.

Because of the additive inverse of a real number is unique (by property 1 above), and $(-a) + a = a + (-a) = 0$, we see that the additive inverse of $-a$ is a , or that $-(-a) = a$. Similarly, if $a \neq 0$, then $a^{-1} \neq 0$ (or we'll end up with $1 = aa^{-1} = a0 = 0$), and therefore by Property 6 above, a^{-1} has a unique multiplicative inverse. Since $aa^{-1} = a^{-1}a = 1$, we see that a is the multiplicative inverse of a^{-1} . In other words, $(a^{-1})^{-1} = a$.

For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ let us also define $a - b = a + (-b)$, which is called the *difference* of a and b . By commutativity, $a - b = -b + a$. It is also common to leave out the multiplication symbol and simply write $ab = a \cdot b$. Suppose $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ is non-zero. Then b <http://planetmath.org/Divisiondivided> by a is defined as

$$\frac{a}{b} = ab^{-1}.$$

In consequence, if $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ and b, c, d are non-zero, then

- $\frac{\frac{a}{b}}{\frac{c}{d}} = \frac{bd}{ac},$
- $\frac{ab}{b} = a.$

For example,

$$\frac{\frac{a}{b}}{\frac{c}{d}} = \frac{ab^{-1}}{cd^{-1}} = ab^{-1}(cd^{-1})^{-1} = ab^{-1}dc^{-1} = \frac{ad}{bc}.$$