



**planetmath.org**

Math for the people, by the people.

**nested interval theorem**

Canonical name	NestedIntervalTheorem
Date of creation	2013-03-22 17:27:12
Last modified on	2013-03-22 17:27:12
Owner	pahio (2872)
Last modified by	pahio (2872)
Numerical id	11
Author	pahio (2872)
Entry type	Theorem
Classification	msc 54C30
Classification	msc 26-00

**Proposition 1.** If

$$[a_1, b_1] \supseteq [a_2, b_2] \supseteq [a_3, b_3] \supseteq \dots$$

is a sequence of nested closed intervals, then

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n] \neq \emptyset.$$

If also  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (b_n - a_n) = 0$ , then the infinite intersection consists of a unique real number.

*Proof.* There are two consequences to nesting of intervals:  $[a_m, b_m] \subseteq [a_n, b_n]$  for  $n \leq m$ :

1. first of all, we have the inequality  $a_n \leq a_m$  for  $n \leq m$ , which means that the sequence  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \dots$  is nondecreasing;
2. in addition, we also have two inequalities:  $a_m \leq b_n$  and  $a_n \leq b_m$ . In either case, we have that  $a_i \leq b_j$  for all  $i, j$ . This means that the sequence  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \dots$  is bounded from above by all  $b_i$ , where  $i = 1, 2, \dots$

Therefore, the limit of the sequence  $(a_i)$  exists, and is just the supremum, say  $a$  (see proof <http://planetmath.org/NondecreasingSequenceWithUpperBoundhere>). Similarly the sequence  $(b_i)$  is nonincreasing and bounded from below by all  $a_i$ , where  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , and hence has an infimum  $b$ .

Now, as the supremum of  $(a_i)$ ,  $a \leq b_i$  for all  $i$ . But because  $b$  is the infimum of  $(b_i)$ ,  $a \leq b$ . Therefore, the interval  $[a, b]$  is non-empty (containing at least one of  $a, b$ ). Since  $a_i \leq a \leq b \leq b_i$ , every interval  $[a_i, b_i]$  contains the interval  $[a, b]$ , so their intersection also contains  $[a, b]$ , hence is non-empty.

If  $c$  is a point outside of  $[a, b]$ , say  $c < a$ , then there is some  $a_i$ , such that  $c < a_i$  (by the definition of the supremum  $a$ ), and hence  $c \notin [a_i, b_i]$ . This shows that the intersection actually coincides with  $[a, b]$ .

Now, since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (b_n - a_n) = 0$ , we have that  $b - a = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (b_n - a_n) = 0$ . So  $a = b$ . This means that the intersection of the nested intervals contains a single point  $a$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** This result is called the *nested interval theorem*. It is a restatement of the *finite intersection property* for the compact set  $[a_1, b_1]$ . The result may also be proven by elementary methods: namely, any number lying in between the supremum of all the  $a_n$  and the infimum of all the  $b_n$  will be in all the nested intervals.