



planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

proof that products of connected spaces are connected

Canonical name	ProofThatProductsOfConnectedSpacesAreConnected
Date of creation	2013-03-22 14:10:05
Last modified on	2013-03-22 14:10:05
Owner	yark (2760)
Last modified by	yark (2760)
Numerical id	13
Author	yark (2760)
Entry type	Proof
Classification	msc 54D05

Let  $\{X_\alpha \text{ for } \alpha \in A\}$  be topological spaces, and let  $X = \prod X_\alpha$  be the product, with projection maps  $\pi_\alpha$ .

Using the Axiom of Choice, one can straightforwardly show that each  $\pi_\alpha$  is surjective; they are continuous by definition, and the continuous image of a connected space is connected, so if  $X$  is connected, then all  $X_\alpha$  are.

Let  $\{X_\alpha \text{ for } \alpha \in A\}$  be connected topological spaces, and let  $X = \prod X_\alpha$  be the product, with projection maps  $\pi_\alpha$ .

First note that each  $\pi_\alpha$  is an open map: If  $U$  is open, then it is the union of open sets of the form  $\bigcap_{\beta \in F} \pi_\beta^{-1} U_\beta$  where  $F$  is a finite subset of  $A$  and  $U_\beta$  is an open set in  $X_\beta$ . But  $\pi_\alpha(U_\beta)$  is always open, and the image of a union is the union of the images.

Suppose the product is the disjoint union of open sets  $U$  and  $V$ , and suppose  $U$  and  $V$  are nonempty. Then there is an  $\alpha \in A$  and an element  $u \in U$  and an element  $v \in V$  that differ only in the  $\alpha$  place. To see this, observe that for all but finitely many places  $\gamma$ , both  $\pi_\gamma(U)$  and  $\pi_\gamma(V)$  must be  $X_\gamma$ , so there are elements  $u$  and  $v$  that differ in finitely many places. But then since  $U$  and  $V$  are supposed to cover  $X$ , if  $\pi_\beta(u) \neq \pi_\beta(v)$ , changing  $u$  in the  $\beta$  place lands us in either  $U$  or  $V$ . If it lands us in  $V$ , we have elements that differ in only one place. Otherwise, we can make a  $u' \in U$  such that  $\pi_\beta(u') = \pi_\beta(v)$  and which otherwise agrees with  $u$ . Then by induction we can obtain elements  $u \in U$  and  $v \in V$  that differ in only one place. Call that place  $\alpha$ .

We then have a map  $\rho : X_\alpha \rightarrow X$  such that  $\pi_\alpha \circ \rho$  is the identity map on  $X_\alpha$ , and  $(\rho \circ \pi)(u) = u$ . Observe that since  $\pi_\alpha$  is open,  $\rho$  is continuous. But  $\rho^{-1}(U)$  and  $\rho^{-1}(V)$  are disjoint nonempty open sets that cover  $X_\alpha$ , which is impossible.

Note that if we do not assume the Axiom of Choice, the product may be empty, and hence connected, whether or not the  $X_\alpha$  are connected; by taking the discrete topology on some  $X_\alpha$  we get a counterexample to one direction of the theorem: we have a connected (empty!) space that is the product of non-connected spaces. For the other direction, if the product is empty, it is connected; if it is not empty, then the argument below works unchanged. So without the Axiom of Choice, this theorem becomes “If all  $X_\alpha$  are connected, then  $X$  is.”