



Math for the people, by the people.

uniform neighborhood

Canonical name	UniformNeighborhood
Date of creation	2013-03-22 16:42:31
Last modified on	2013-03-22 16:42:31
Owner	CWoo (3771)
Last modified by	CWoo (3771)
Numerical id	6
Author	CWoo (3771)
Entry type	Definition
Classification	msc 54E15
Related topic	TopologyInducedByAUniformStructure

Let  $X$  be a uniform space with uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$ . For each  $x \in X$  and  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , define the following items

- $U[x] := \{y \mid (x, y) \in U\}$ , and
- $\mathfrak{N}_x := \{(x, U[x]) \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$
- $\mathfrak{N} = \bigcup_{x \in X} \mathfrak{N}_x$ .

**Proposition.**  $\mathfrak{N}_x$  is the abstract neighborhood system around  $x$ , hence  $\mathfrak{N}$  is the abstract neighborhood system of  $X$ .

*Proof.* We show that all five defining conditions of a neighborhood system on a set are met:

1. For each  $(x, U[x]) \in \mathfrak{N}$ ,  $x \in U[x]$ , since every entourage contains the diagonal relation.
2. Every  $x \in X$  and every entourage  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $U[x] \subseteq X$  with  $(x, U[x]) \in \mathfrak{N}$
3. Suppose  $(x, U[x]) \in \mathfrak{N}$  and  $U[x] \subseteq Y \subseteq X$ . Showing that  $(x, Y) \in \mathfrak{N}$  amounts to showing  $Y = V[x]$  for some  $V \in \mathcal{U}$ . First, note that each entourage  $U$  can be decomposed into disjoint union of sets “slices” of the form  $\{a\} \times U[a]$ . We replace the “slice”  $\{x\} \times U[x]$  by  $\{x\} \times Y$ . The resulting disjoint union is a set  $V$ , which is a superset of  $U$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is a filter,  $V \in \mathcal{U}$ . Furthermore,  $V[x] = Y$ .
4.  $a \in U[x] \cap V[x]$  iff  $(x, a) \in U \cap V$  iff  $a \in (U \cap V)[x]$ . This implies that if  $(x, U[x]), (x, V[x]) \in \mathfrak{N}$ , then  $(x, U[x] \cap V[x]) = (x, (U \cap V)[x]) \in \mathfrak{N}$ .
5. Suppose  $(x, U[x]) \in \mathfrak{N}$ . There is  $V \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $(V \circ V)[x] \subseteq U[x]$ . We show that  $V[x] \subseteq X$  is what we want. Clearly,  $x \in V[x]$ . For any  $y \in V[x]$ , and any  $a \in V[y]$ , we have  $(x, a) = (x, y) \circ (y, a) \in V \circ V$ , or  $a \in (V \circ V)[x] \subseteq U[x]$ . So  $V[y] \subseteq U[x]$  for any  $y \in V[x]$ . In order to show that  $(y, U[x]) \in \mathfrak{N}$ , we must find  $W \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $U[x] = W[y]$ . By the third step above, since  $V[y] \subseteq U[x]$ , there is  $W \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $W[y] = U[x]$ . Thus  $(y, U[x]) = (y, W[y]) \in \mathfrak{N}$ .

□

**Definition.** For each  $x$  in a uniform space  $X$  with uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$ , a *uniform neighborhood* of  $x$  is a set  $U[x]$  for some entourage  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . In general, for any  $A \subseteq X$ , the set

$$U[A] := \{y \in X \mid (x, y) \in U \text{ for some } x \in A\}$$

is called a *uniform neighborhood* of  $A$ .

Two immediate properties that we have already seen in the proof above are: (1). for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $x \in U[x]$ ; and (2).  $U[x] \cap V[x] = (U \cap V)[x]$ . More generally,  $\bigcap U_i[x] = (\bigcap U_i)[x]$ .

**Remark.** If we define  $T_{\mathcal{U}} := \{A \subseteq X \mid \forall x \in A, \exists U \in \mathcal{U} \text{ such that } U[x] \subseteq A\}$ , then  $T_{\mathcal{U}}$  is a <http://planetmath.org/TopologyInducedByAUniformStructuretopology> induced by the uniform structure  $\mathcal{U}$ . Under this topology, uniform neighborhoods are synonymous with neighborhoods.