



planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

equivalent formulation of the tube lemma

| | |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Canonical name | EquivalentFormulationOfTheTubeLemma |
| Date of creation | 2013-03-22 19:15:18 |
| Last modified on | 2013-03-22 19:15:18 |
| Owner | joking (16130) |
| Last modified by | joking (16130) |
| Numerical id | 4 |
| Author | joking (16130) |
| Entry type | Theorem |
| Classification | msc 54D30 |

Let us recall the thesis of the tube lemma. Assume, that X and Y are topological spaces.

(TL) If $U \subseteq X \times Y$ is open (in product topology) and if $x \in X$ is such that $x \times Y \subseteq U$, then there exists an open neighbourhood $V \subseteq X$ of x such that $V \times Y \subseteq U$.

We wish to give a relation between (TL) and the the following thesis, concering closed projections:

(CP) The projection $\pi : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ given by $\pi(x, y) = x$ is a closed map.

The following theorem relates these two statements:

Theorem. (TL) is equivalent to (CP).

Proof. „ \Rightarrow ” Let $F \subseteq X \times Y$ be a closed set and let $U = (X \times Y) \setminus F$ be its open complement. We will show, that $\pi(F)$ is closed, by showing that $V = X \setminus \pi(F)$ is open. So assume, that $x \in V$. Obviously

$$(\pi^{-1}(x) = x \times Y) \cap F = \emptyset.$$

Therefore $x \times Y \subseteq U$ and by (TL) there exists open neighbourhood $V' \subseteq X$ of x such that $V' \times Y \subseteq U$. It easily follows, that $V' \subseteq V$ and it is open, so (since x was chosen arbitrary) V is open.

„ \Leftarrow ” Let $U \subseteq X \times Y$ be an open subset such that $x \times Y \subseteq U$ for some $x \in X$. Let $F = (X \times Y) \setminus U$. Then F is closed and by (CP) we have that $\pi(F) \subseteq X$ is closed. Also $x \notin \pi(F)$ and thus $V = X \setminus \pi(F)$ is an open neighbourhood of x . It can be easily checked, that $V \times Y \subseteq U$, which completes the proof. \square

Remark. The theorem doesn't state that any of statements is true. It is well known (see the parent object), that if both X and Y are Hausdorff with Y compact, then both are true. On the other hand, for example for $X = Y = \mathbb{R}$, where \mathbb{R} denotes reals with standard topology, they are both false. For example consider

$$F = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid xy = 1\}.$$

Of course F is closed, but $\pi(F) = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ is not closed, so the (CP) is false.