

## planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

## proof of Urysohn's lemma

Canonical name ProofOfUrysohnsLemma

Date of creation 2013-03-22 13:09:23 Last modified on 2013-03-22 13:09:23

Owner scanez (1021) Last modified by scanez (1021)

Numerical id 4

Author scanez (1021)

Entry type Proof

Classification msc 54D15

First we construct a family  $U_p$  of open sets of X indexed by the rationals such that if p < q, then  $\bar{U}_p \subseteq U_q$ . These are the sets we will use to define our continuous function.

Let  $P = \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$ . Since P is countable, we can use induction (or recursive definition if you prefer) to define the sets  $U_p$ . List the elements of P is an infinite sequence in some way; let us assume that 1 and 0 are the first two elements of this sequence. Now, define  $U_1 = X \setminus D$  (the complement of D in X). Since C is a closed set of X contained in  $U_1$ , by normality of X we can choose an open set  $U_0$  such that  $C \subseteq U_0$  and  $\overline{U_0} \subseteq U_1$ .

In general, let  $P_n$  denote the set consisting of the first n rationals in our sequence. Suppose that  $U_p$  is defined for all  $p \in P_n$  and

if 
$$p < q$$
, then  $\bar{U}_p \subseteq U_q$ . (1)

Let r be the next rational number in the sequence. Consider  $P_{n+1} = P_n \cup \{r\}$ . It is a finite subset of [0,1] so it inherits the usual ordering < of  $\mathbb{R}$ . In such a set, every element (other than the smallest or largest) has an immediate predecessor and successor. We know that 0 is the smallest element and 1 the largest of  $P_{n+1}$  so r cannot be either of these. Thus r has an immediate predecessor p and an immediate successor p in  $p_{n+1}$ . The sets p and p are already defined by the inductive hypothesis so using the normality of p, there exists an open set p of p such that

$$\bar{U}_p \subseteq U_r$$
 and  $\bar{U}_r \subseteq U_q$ .

We now show that (1) holds for every pair of elements in  $P_{n+1}$ . If both elements are in  $P_n$ , then (1) is true by the inductive hypothesis. If one is r and the other  $s \in P_n$ , then if  $s \leq p$  we have

$$\bar{U}_s \subseteq \bar{U}_p \subseteq U_r$$

and if  $s \geq q$  we have

$$\bar{U}_r \subseteq U_q \subseteq U_s$$
.

Thus (1) holds for ever pair of elements in  $P_{n+1}$  and therefore by induction,  $U_p$  is defined for all  $p \in P$ .

We have defined  $U_p$  for all rationals in [0,1]. Extend this definition to every rational  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  by defining

$$U_p = \emptyset$$
 if  $p < 0$   
 $U_p = X$  if  $p > 1$ .

Then it is easy to check that (1) still holds.

Now, given  $x \in X$ , define  $\mathbb{Q}(x) = \{p : x \in U_p\}$ . This set contains no number less than 0 and contains every number greater than 1 by the definition of  $U_p$  for p < 0 and p > 1. Thus  $\mathbb{Q}(x)$  is bounded below and its infimum is an element in [0,1]. Define

$$f(x) = \inf \mathbb{Q}(x).$$

Finally we show that this function f we have defined satisfies the conditions of lemma. If  $x \in C$ , then  $x \in U_p$  for all  $p \ge 0$  so  $\mathbb{Q}(x)$  equals the set of all nonnegative rationals and f(x) = 0. If  $x \in D$ , then  $x \notin U_p$  for  $p \le 1$  so  $\mathbb{Q}(x)$  equals all the rationals greater than 1 and f(x) = 1.

To show that f is continuous, we first prove two smaller results:

(a) 
$$x \in \bar{U}_r \Rightarrow f(x) \leq r$$

*Proof.* If  $x \in \overline{U}_r$ , then  $x \in U_s$  for all s > r so  $\mathbb{Q}(x)$  contains all rationals greater than r. Thus  $f(x) \leq r$  by definition of f.

(b) 
$$x \notin U_r \Rightarrow f(x) \geq r$$
.

*Proof.* If  $x \notin U_r$ , then  $x \notin U_s$  for all s < r so  $\mathbb{Q}(x)$  contains no rational less than r. Thus  $f(x) \ge r$ .

Let  $x_0 \in X$  and let (c, d) be an open interval of  $\mathbb{R}$  containing f(x). We will find a neighborhood U of  $x_0$  such that  $f(U) \subseteq (c, d)$ . Choose  $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that

$$c$$

Let  $U = U_q \setminus \bar{U}_p$ . Then since  $f(x_0) < q$ , (b) implies that  $x \in U_q$  and since  $f(x_0) > p$ , (a) implies that  $x_0 \notin \bar{U}_p$ . Hence  $x_0 \in U$ .

Finally, let  $x \in U$ . Then  $x \in U_q \subseteq \overline{U}_q$ , so  $f(x) \leq q$  by (a). Also,  $x \notin \overline{U}_p$  so  $x \notin U_p$  and  $f(x) \geq p$  by (b). Thus

$$f(x) \in [p,q] \subseteq (c,d)$$

as desired. Therefore f is continuous and we are done.