



planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

KKM lemma

Canonical name	KKMLemma
Date of creation	2013-03-22 16:26:31
Last modified on	2013-03-22 16:26:31
Owner	uriw (288)
Last modified by	uriw (288)
Numerical id	14
Author	uriw (288)
Entry type	Theorem
Classification	msc 54H25
Classification	msc 47H10
Synonym	K-K-M lemma
Related topic	BrouwerFixedPointTheorem

# 1 Preliminaries

We start by introducing some standard notation.  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is the  $(n+1)$ -dimensional real space with Euclidean norm and metric. For a subset  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  we denote by  $\text{diam}(A)$  the diameter of  $A$ .

The  $n$ -dimensional simplex  $\mathcal{S}_n$  is the following subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

$$\left\{ (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}) \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i = 1, \quad \alpha_i \geq 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n+1 \right\}$$

More generally, if  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$  is a set of vectors, then  $S(V)$  is the simplex spanned by  $V$ :

$$S(V) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i v_i \mid \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i = 1, \quad \alpha_i \geq 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, k \right\}$$

Let  $\mathcal{E} = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n+1}\}$  be the standard orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . So,  $\mathcal{S}_n$  is the simplex spanned by  $\mathcal{E}$ . Any element  $v$  of  $S(V)$  is represented by a vector of coordinates  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$  such that  $v = \sum_i \alpha_i v_i$ ; these are called a barycentric coordinates of  $v$ . If the set  $V$  is in general position then the above representation is unique and we say that  $V$  is a basis for  $S(V)$ . If we write  $S(V)$  then  $V$  is always a basis.

Let  $v$  be in  $S(V)$ ,  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$  a basis and  $v$  represented (uniquely) by barycentric coordinates  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$ . We denote by  $F_V(v)$  the subset  $\{j \mid \alpha_j \neq 0\}$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  (i.e., the set of non-null coordinates). Let  $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , the  $I$ -th face of  $S(V)$  is the set  $\{v \in S(V) \mid F_V(v) \subseteq I\}$ . A face of  $S(V)$  is an  $I$ -face for some  $I$  (note that this is independent of the choice of basis).

# 2 KKM Lemma

The main result we prove is the following:

**Theorem 1** (Knaster-Kuratowski-Mazurkiewicz Lemma [?]). *Let  $\mathcal{S}_n$  be the standard simplex spanned by  $\mathcal{E}$  the standard orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Assume we have  $n+1$  closed subsets  $C_1, \dots, C_{n+1}$  of  $\mathcal{S}_n$  with the property that for every subset  $I$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$  the following holds: the  $I$ -th face of  $\mathcal{S}_n$  is a subset of  $\cup_{i \in I} C_i$ . Then, the intersection of the sets  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{n+1}$  is non-empty.*

We prove the KKM Lemma by using Sperner's Lemma; Sperner's Lemma is based on the notion of simplicial subdivision and coloring.

**Definition 2** (Simplicial subdivision of  $\mathcal{S}_n$ ). *A simplicial subdivision of  $\mathcal{S}_n$  is a couple  $D = (V, \mathcal{B})$ ;  $V$  are the vertices, a finite subset of  $\mathcal{S}_n$ ;  $\mathcal{B}$  is a set of simplexes  $S(V_1), S(V_2), \dots, S(V_k)$  where each  $V_i$  is a subset of  $V$  of size  $n + 1$ .  $D$  has the following properties:*

1. *The union of the simplexes in  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $\mathcal{S}_n$ .*
2. *If  $S(V_i)$  and  $S(V_j)$  intersect then the intersection is a face of both  $S(V_i)$  and  $S(V_j)$ .*

*The norm of  $D$ , denoted by  $|D|$ , is the diameter of the largest simplex in  $\mathcal{B}$ .*

An  $(n + 1)$ -coloring of a subdivision  $D = (V, \mathcal{B})$  of  $\mathcal{S}_n$  is a function

$$C : V \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n + 1\}$$

A *Sperner Coloring* of  $D$  is an  $(n + 1)$ -coloring  $C$  such that  $C(v) \in F_{\mathcal{E}}(v)$  for every  $v \in V$ , that is, if  $v$  is on the  $I$ -th face then its color is from  $I$ . For example, if  $D = (V, \mathcal{B})$  is a subdivision of the standard simplex  $\mathcal{S}_n$  then the standard basis  $\mathcal{E}$  is a subset of  $V$  and  $F_{\mathcal{E}}(e_i) = i$ . Hence, If  $C$  is a Sperner Coloring of  $D$  then  $C(e_i) = i$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1$ .

**Theorem 3** (Sperner's Lemma). *Let  $D = (V, \mathcal{B})$  be a simplicial subdivision of  $\mathcal{S}_n$  and  $C : V \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n + 1\}$  a Sperner Coloring of  $D$ . Then, there is a simplex  $S(V_i) \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $C(V_i) = \{1, 2, \dots, n + 1\}$ .*

It is a standard result, for example by barycentric subdivisions, that  $\mathcal{S}_n$  has a sequence of simplicial subdivisions  $D_1, D_2, \dots$  such that  $|D_i| \rightarrow 0$ . We use this fact to prove the KKM Lemma:

*Proof of KKM Lemma.* Let  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{n+1}$  be closed subsets of  $\mathcal{S}_n$  as given in the lemma. We define the following function  $\gamma : \mathcal{S}_n \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n + 1\}$  as follows:

$$\gamma(v) = \min\{i | i \in F_{\mathcal{E}}(v) \text{ and } v \in C_i\}$$

$\gamma$  is well defined by the hypothesis of the lemma and  $\gamma(v) \in F_{\mathcal{E}}(v)$ . Also, if  $\gamma(v) = i$  then  $v \in C_i$ . Let  $D_1, D_2, \dots$  be a sequence of simplicial subdivisions such that  $|D_i| \rightarrow 0$ . We set the color of every vertex  $v$  in  $D_i$  to be  $\gamma(v)$ . This is a Sperner Coloring since if  $v$  is in  $I$ -fact then  $\gamma(v) \in F_{\mathcal{E}}(v) \subseteq I$ .

Therefore, by Sperner's Lemma we have in each subdivision  $D_i$  a simplex  $S(V_i)$  such that  $\gamma(V_i) = \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$ . Moreover,  $\text{diam}(S(V_i)) \rightarrow 0$ . By the properties of  $\gamma$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  and every  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$  we have that  $S(V_i) \cap C_j \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $u_i$  be the arithmetic mean of the elements of  $V_i$  (this is an element of  $S(V_i)$  and thus an element of  $\mathcal{S}_n$ ). Since  $\mathcal{S}_n$  is bounded and closed we get that  $u_i$  has a converging subsequence with a limit  $L \in \mathcal{S}_n$ . Now, every set  $C_j$  is closed, and for every  $\epsilon > 0$  we have an element of  $C_j$  of  $\epsilon$ -distance from  $L$ ; thus  $L$  is in  $C_j$ .

Therefore,  $L$  is in the intersection of all the sets  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{n+1}$ , and that proves the assertion.  $\square$

## References

- [1] B. Knaster, C. Kuratowski, and S. Mazurkiewicz, Ein Beweis des Fixpunktsatzes für  $n$ -dimensionale Simplexe, *Fund. Math.* 14 (1929) 132-137.