Vector Calculus

Mark van der Wilk

Department of Computing Imperial College London

y@markvanderwilk m.vdwilk@imperial.ac.uk

October 12, 2021

Overview

Index Notation

Differentiation of vector-valued functions

Multivariate Chain Rule

Back to our linear regression problem:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta})^2 = ||\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\Phi}(X)\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2$$
 (1)

How to find $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i}\right]_i$?

Back to our linear regression problem:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta})^2 = ||\mathbf{y} - \Phi(X)\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2$$
 (1)

How to find $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i}\right]_i$?

 Vector expressions can always be written in terms of operations on scalars.

Back to our linear regression problem:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta})^2 = ||\mathbf{y} - \Phi(X)\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2$$
(1)

How to find $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i}\right]_i$?

- Vector expressions can always be written in terms of operations on scalars.
- We know how to find partial derivatives of scalar expressions
 rewrite into index notation.

Back to our linear regression problem:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta})^2 = ||\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\Phi}(X)\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2$$
(1)

How to find $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i}\right]_i$?

- Vector expressions can always be written in terms of operations on scalars.
- We know how to find partial derivatives of scalar expressions
 rewrite into index notation.
- ► Take partial derivatives using tricks.

$$|\mathbf{x}|^2 = \sum_i x_i^2 \tag{2}$$

$$\mathbf{x}|^2 = \sum_{i} x_i^2 \tag{2}$$

$$|\mathbf{x}|^2 = \sum_i x_i^2$$

$$\mathbf{x}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{y} = \sum_i x_i y_i$$
(2)

$$|\mathbf{x}|^2 = \sum_i x_i^2 \tag{2}$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{y} = \sum_{i} x_{i} y_{i} \tag{3}$$

$$\mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{x} \implies y_i = f(\mathbf{x})x_i \tag{4}$$

$$|\mathbf{x}|^2 = \sum_i x_i^2 \tag{2}$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{y} = \sum_{i} x_{i} y_{i} \tag{3}$$

$$\mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{x} \implies y_i = f(\mathbf{x})x_i \tag{4}$$

$$A\mathbf{x} = ? \tag{5}$$

$$|\mathbf{x}|^2 = \sum_i x_i^2 \tag{2}$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{y} = \sum_{i} x_{i} y_{i} \tag{3}$$

$$\mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{x} \implies y_i = f(\mathbf{x})x_i \tag{4}$$

$$A\mathbf{x} = ? \tag{5}$$

$$[A\mathbf{x}]_j = \sum_i A_{ij} x_j \tag{6}$$

$$|\mathbf{x}|^2 = \sum_i x_i^2 \tag{2}$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{y} = \sum_{i} x_{i} y_{i} \tag{3}$$

$$\mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{x} \implies y_i = f(\mathbf{x})x_i \tag{4}$$

$$A\mathbf{x} = ?$$

$$[A\mathbf{x}]_j = \sum_i A_{ij} x_j \tag{6}$$

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \left[\sum_{j} A_{ij} x_{j}\right] \tag{7}$$

(5)

Back to our linear regression problem:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta})^2 = ||\mathbf{y} - \Phi(X)\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2$$
(8)

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(y_n - \sum_{m=1}^{M} \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right)^2$$
 (9)

We want to find $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta}$, i.e. $\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i}\right]_i$ for all i.

Back to our linear regression problem:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta})^2 = ||\mathbf{y} - \Phi(X)\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2$$
(8)

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(y_n - \sum_{m=1}^{M} \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right)^2$$
 (9)

We want to find $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta}$, i.e. $\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i}\right]_i$ for all i.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(y_n - \sum_{m=1}^{M} \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right)^2 \tag{10}$$

Back to our linear regression problem:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^\mathsf{T} \boldsymbol{\theta})^2 = ||\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\Phi}(X)\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2$$
 (8)

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(y_n - \sum_{m=1}^{M} \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right)^2$$
 (9)

We want to find $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta}$, i.e. $\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i}\right]_i$ for all i.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{i}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(y_{n} - \sum_{m=1}^{M} \phi_{m}(x_{n}) \theta_{m} \right)^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} 2 \left(y_{n} - \sum_{m=1}^{M} \phi_{m}(x_{n}) \theta_{m} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{i}} \left(y_{n} - \sum_{k=1}^{M} \phi_{k}(x_{n}) \theta_{k} \right)$$
(10)

Differentiation Mark van der Wilk @Imperial College London, October 12, 2021

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left(y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \sum_k \phi_k(x_n) \frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$$
(12)

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left(y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \sum_k \phi_k(x_n) \frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$$
(12)

► Think about $\frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$. What value does it take?

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left(y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \sum_k \phi_k(x_n) \frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$$
(12)

- ► Think about $\frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$. What value does it take?
- If $i \neq k$, then 0; if i = k, then 1.

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left(y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \sum_k \phi_k(x_n) \frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$$
(12)

- ► Think about $\frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$. What value does it take?
- If $i \neq k$, then 0; if i = k, then 1.
- Denoted as **kronecker delta** δ_{ik} .

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left(y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \sum_k \phi_k(x_n) \frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$$
(12)

- ► Think about $\frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$. What value does it take?
- If $i \neq k$, then 0; if i = k, then 1.
- Denoted as **kronecker delta** δ_{ik} .
- ▶ Think about what happens to $\sum_k \delta_{ik}$.

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left(y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \sum_k \phi_k(x_n) \frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$$
(12)

- ► Think about $\frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$. What value does it take?
- If $i \neq k$, then 0; if i = k, then 1.
- ▶ Denoted as **kronecker delta** δ_{ik} .
- ▶ Think about what happens to $\sum_k \delta_{ik}$.
- ▶ Think about what happens to $\sum_a v_a \delta_{ai}$.

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left(y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \sum_k \phi_k(x_n) \frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$$
(12)

- ► Think about $\frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$. What value does it take?
- If $i \neq k$, then 0; if i = k, then 1.
- ▶ Denoted as **kronecker delta** δ_{ik} .
- ▶ Think about what happens to $\sum_k \delta_{ik}$.
- ► Think about what happens to $\sum_a v_a \delta_{ai}$.

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left(y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \sum_k \phi_k(x_n) \delta_{ki}$$
 (13)

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left(y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \sum_k \phi_k(x_n) \frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$$
(12)

- ► Think about $\frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$. What value does it take?
- If $i \neq k$, then 0; if i = k, then 1.
- Denoted as **kronecker delta** δ_{ik} .
- ▶ Think about what happens to $\sum_{k} \delta_{ik}$.
- ► Think about what happens to $\sum_a v_a \delta_{ai}$.

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left(y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \sum_k \phi_k(x_n) \delta_{ki}$$
 (13)

$$=-2\sum_{n}\left(y_{n}-\sum_{i=1}^{M}\phi_{m}(x_{n})\theta_{m}\right)\phi_{i}(x_{n})\tag{14}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left(y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \phi_i(x_n) \tag{15}$$

Sometimes we prefer a compact vector expression again. Index notation is ambiguous about row/col vector. If we remember convention, we can go back:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} =$$

=

=

=

(16)

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left(y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \phi_i(x_n) \tag{15}$$

Sometimes we prefer a compact vector expression again. Index notation is ambiguous about row/col vector. If we remember convention, we can go back:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = 2 \sum_{n} (\boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^\mathsf{T} \boldsymbol{\theta} - y_n) \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^\mathsf{T} \quad \text{Remember: } \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^M$$

(16)

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left(y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \phi_i(x_n) \tag{15}$$

(16)

Sometimes we prefer a compact vector expression again. Index notation is ambiguous about row/col vector. If we remember convention, we can go back:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = 2 \sum_{n} (\boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta} - y_n) \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \quad \text{Remember: } \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^M \\
= 2 \sum_{n} [\boldsymbol{\Phi}(X) \boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{y}]_n \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \quad \text{Define: } \boldsymbol{\Phi}(X) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times M}, \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{n:}(X) = \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n)^{\mathsf{T}}$$

Differentiation Mark van der Wilk @Imperial College London, October 12, 2021

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left(y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \phi_i(x_n) \tag{15}$$

(16)

Sometimes we prefer a compact vector expression again. Index notation is ambiguous about row/col vector. If we remember convention, we can go back:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = 2 \sum_{n} (\boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta} - y_n) \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \quad \text{Remember: } \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^M
= 2 \sum_{n} [\Phi(X) \boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{y}]_n \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \quad \text{Define: } \Phi(X) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times M}, \Phi_{n:}(X) = \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n)^{\mathsf{T}}
= 2(\Phi(X) \boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{y})^{\mathsf{T}} \Phi(X)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left(y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \phi_i(x_n) \tag{15}$$

Sometimes we prefer a compact vector expression again. Index notation is ambiguous about row/col vector. If we remember convention, we can go back:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = 2 \sum_{n} (\boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta} - y_n) \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \quad \text{Remember: } \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^M
= 2 \sum_{n} [\Phi(X) \boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{y}]_n \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \quad \text{Define: } \Phi(X) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times M}, \Phi_{n:}(X) = \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n)^{\mathsf{T}}
= 2(\Phi(X) \boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{y})^{\mathsf{T}} \Phi(X)
= 2(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\mathsf{T}} \Phi(X)^{\mathsf{T}} \Phi(X) - \mathbf{y}^{\mathsf{T}} \Phi(X))$$
(16)

Overview

Index Notation

Differentiation of vector-valued functions

Multivariate Chain Rule

Back to our linear regression problem:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta})^2 = ||\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\Phi}(X)\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2$$
 (17)

Back to our linear regression problem:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta})^2 = ||\mathbf{y} - \Phi(X)\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2$$
 (17)

• We could manually take partial derivatives of $L(\theta)$

Back to our linear regression problem:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta})^2 = ||\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\Phi}(X)\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2$$
 (17)

- We could manually take partial derivatives of $L(\theta)$
- Or, we could see our scalar function $L(\theta)$ as a function composition:

$$f(g(\theta))$$
 $f: \mathbb{R}^D \to \mathbb{R}, \quad g: \mathbb{R}^E \to \mathbb{R}^D$

Back to our linear regression problem:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta})^2 = ||\mathbf{y} - \Phi(X)\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2$$
 (17)

- We could manually take partial derivatives of $L(\theta)$
- Or, we could see our scalar function $L(\theta)$ as a function composition:

$$f(g(\theta))$$
 $f: \mathbb{R}^D \to \mathbb{R}, \quad g: \mathbb{R}^E \to \mathbb{R}^D$

▶ Wouldn't it be nice to have a chain rule?

Back to our linear regression problem:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta})^2 = ||\mathbf{y} - \Phi(X)\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2$$
 (17)

- We could manually take partial derivatives of $L(\theta)$
- Or, we could see our scalar function $L(\theta)$ as a function composition:

$$f(g(\theta))$$
 $f: \mathbb{R}^D \to \mathbb{R}$, $g: \mathbb{R}^E \to \mathbb{R}^D$

► Wouldn't it be nice to have a chain rule? $\frac{df(g(\theta))}{d\theta} = \frac{df}{dg} \frac{dg}{d\theta}$

Back to our linear regression problem:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta})^2 = ||\mathbf{y} - \Phi(X)\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2$$
 (17)

- We could manually take partial derivatives of $L(\theta)$
- Or, we could see our scalar function $L(\theta)$ as a function composition:

$$f(\mathbf{g}(\boldsymbol{\theta}))$$
 $f: \mathbb{R}^D \to \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbf{g}: \mathbb{R}^E \to \mathbb{R}^D$

- ► Wouldn't it be nice to have a chain rule? $\frac{df(g(\theta))}{d\theta} = \frac{df}{dg} \frac{dg}{d\theta}$
- ▶ But how does a derivative of a vector w.r.t. a vector work?

Differentiation of a vector w.r.t. a scalar

 Differentiation quantifies how an output changes, in response to an input change.

- Differentiation quantifies how an output changes,
 in response to an input change.
- ► Change is measured by subtraction. Draw vector subtraction.

- Differentiation quantifies how an output changes,
 in response to an input change.
- ► Change is measured by subtraction. Draw vector subtraction.
- ► Can use the same limiting argument as with scalars:

- Differentiation quantifies how an output changes,
 in response to an input change.
- ► Change is measured by subtraction. Draw vector subtraction.
- ► Can use the same limiting argument as with scalars:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{\mathbf{x}(t + \Delta t) - \mathbf{x}(t)}{\Delta t} \tag{18}$$

But now, derivative is a vector!

- Differentiation quantifies how an output changes,
 in response to an input change.
- ► Change is measured by subtraction. Draw vector subtraction.
- ► Can use the same limiting argument as with scalars:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{\mathbf{x}(t + \Delta t) - \mathbf{x}(t)}{\Delta t} \tag{18}$$

But now, derivative is a vector!

▶ Subtraction is elementwise, so we can reduce to scalar case:

$$\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}}{\mathrm{d}t}\right]_{i} = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{x_{i}(t + \Delta t) - x_{i}(t)}{\Delta t} \tag{19}$$

Function that describes a point going round a circle, with period 1s:

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos 2\pi t & \sin 2\pi t \end{bmatrix}^\mathsf{T} \tag{20}$$

Draw on board

Function that describes a point going round a circle, with period 1s:

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos 2\pi t & \sin 2\pi t \end{bmatrix}^\mathsf{T} \tag{20}$$

Draw on board

▶ Based on intuition, what should the speed be?

Function that describes a point going round a circle, with period 1s:

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos 2\pi t & \sin 2\pi t \end{bmatrix}^\mathsf{T} \tag{20}$$

Draw on board

▶ Based on intuition, what should the speed be? 2π m/s.

Function that describes a point going round a circle, with period 1s:

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos 2\pi t & \sin 2\pi t \end{bmatrix}^\mathsf{T} \tag{20}$$

Draw on board

- ▶ Based on intuition, what should the speed be? 2π m/s.
- Find velocity vector $d\mathbf{x}/dt$.

Function that describes a point going round a circle, with period 1s:

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos 2\pi t & \sin 2\pi t \end{bmatrix}^\mathsf{T} \tag{20}$$

Draw on board

- ▶ Based on intuition, what should the speed be? 2π m/s.
- Find velocity vector $d\mathbf{x}/dt$.
- ► Find speed from the norm.

Derivative of a vector

The derivative of a vector-valued function is given by the derivative of each of its elements.

Overview

Index Notation

Differentiation of vector-valued functions

Multivariate Chain Rule

It turns out, there is a multivariate chain rule:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(a(t),b(t))}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a}\frac{\mathrm{d}a}{\mathrm{d}t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial b}\frac{\mathrm{d}b}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

It turns out, there is a multivariate chain rule:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(g(t))}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{\partial f}{\partial g_i} \frac{\mathrm{d}g_i}{\mathrm{d}t} \qquad g(t) \in \mathbb{R}^D$$

14

It turns out, there is a multivariate chain rule:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(g(t))}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{\partial f}{\partial g_i} \frac{\mathrm{d}g_i}{\mathrm{d}t} \qquad g(t) \in \mathbb{R}^D$$

This is an inner product. Can write in vector form:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(g(t))}{\mathrm{d}t} = \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}g}}_{\text{row}} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}g}{\mathrm{d}t}}_{\text{column}}$$

It turns out, there is a multivariate chain rule:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(g(t))}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{\partial f}{\partial g_i} \frac{\mathrm{d}g_i}{\mathrm{d}t} \qquad g(t) \in \mathbb{R}^D$$

This is an inner product. Can write in vector form:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(g(t))}{\mathrm{d}t} = \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}g}}_{\text{row}} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}g}{\mathrm{d}t}}_{\text{column}}$$

- $\frac{dg}{dt}$ is the derivative of a column vector. We keep this to be a column vector.
- Can also be derived from a limit argument, like the scalar derivative (board: Circle Example).

14

Consider
$$f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$$
, $x: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$
$$f(x) = f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + 2x_2,$$

$$x(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin(t) \\ \cos(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

Consider $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, $x: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ $f(x) = f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + 2x_2,$ $x(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin(t) \\ \cos(t) \end{bmatrix}$

► What are the dimensions of $\frac{df}{dx}$ and $\frac{dx}{dt}$?

Work it out with your neighbors

Consider $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, $x: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ $f(x) = f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + 2x_2,$ $x(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin(t) \\ \cos(t) \end{bmatrix}$

► What are the dimensions of $\frac{df}{dx}$ and $\frac{dx}{dt}$?

$$1 \times 2$$
 and 2×1

► Compute the gradient $\frac{df}{dt}$ using the chain rule:

• Consider $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, $x: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + 2x_2,$$

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin(t) \\ \cos(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

► What are the dimensions of $\frac{df}{dx}$ and $\frac{dx}{dt}$?

$$1 \times 2$$
 and 2×1

► Compute the gradient $\frac{df}{dt}$ using the chain rule:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}x} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial t} \\ \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial t} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2\sin t & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos t \\ -\sin t \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= 2\sin t \cos t - 2\sin t = 2\sin t (\cos t - 1)$$

15

We saw the chain rule if we were differentiating w.r.t. a scalar:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(a(t),b(t))}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a}\frac{\mathrm{d}a}{\mathrm{d}t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial b}\frac{\mathrm{d}b}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

We saw the chain rule if we were differentiating w.r.t. a scalar:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(\mathbf{g}(t))}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{\partial f}{\partial g_i} \frac{\mathrm{d}g_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{g}}}_{\text{row}} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{g}}{\mathrm{d}t}}_{\text{column}} \qquad \mathbf{g}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{D}$$

We saw the chain rule if we were differentiating w.r.t. a scalar:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(g(t))}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{\partial f}{\partial g_i} \frac{\mathrm{d}g_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}g}}_{\text{row}} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}g}{\mathrm{d}t}}_{\text{column}} \qquad g(t) \in \mathbb{R}^D$$

What happens if we differentiate w.r.t. a vector?

We saw the chain rule if we were differentiating w.r.t. a scalar:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(g(t))}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{\partial f}{\partial g_i} \frac{\mathrm{d}g_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}g}}_{\text{row}} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}g}{\mathrm{d}t}}_{\text{column}} \qquad g(t) \in \mathbb{R}^D$$

What happens if we differentiate w.r.t. a vector?

⇒ As before, we just stack the derivatives w.r.t. each of the inputs.

$$\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}))}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{\partial f}{\partial g_i} \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_j} \qquad \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^D$$

Multivariate Chain Rule w.r.t. vector

This is a matrix multiplication! Can write in vector form:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(g(\mathbf{x}))}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}} = \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}g}}_{\text{row}} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}g}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}}}_{\text{matrix}}$$

Multivariate Chain Rule w.r.t. vector

This is a matrix multiplication! Can write in vector form:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(g(\mathbf{x}))}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}} = \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}g}}_{\text{row}} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}g}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}}}_{\text{matrix}}$$

▶ $\frac{dg}{dx}$ is the derivative of a column vector w.r.t. the input vector x. We put the elements of g (i.e. i) along the column, and the dimensions of the derivative (i.e. j) along the row.

Multivariate Chain Rule w.r.t. vector

This is a matrix multiplication! Can write in vector form:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(g(\mathbf{x}))}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}} = \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}g}}_{\text{row}} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}g}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}}}_{\text{matrix}}$$

- $\frac{dg}{dx}$ is the derivative of a column vector w.r.t. the input vector x. We put the elements of g (i.e. i) along the column, and the dimensions of the derivative (i.e. j) along the row.
- Can also be derived from a directional derivative argument, but for a vector.

Vector Field Differentiation $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^M$

$$y = f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{M}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{M} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{1}(x) \\ \vdots \\ f_{M}(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{1}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{N}) \\ \vdots \\ f_{M}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{N}) \end{bmatrix}$$

Vector Field Differentiation $f: \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^M$

$$y = f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{M}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{M} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{1}(x) \\ \vdots \\ f_{M}(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{1}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{N}) \\ \vdots \\ f_{M}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{N}) \end{bmatrix}$$

► Jacobian matrix (collection of all partial derivatives)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{dy_1}{dx} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{dy_M}{dx} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_N} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_M}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_M}{\partial x_N} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$$

18

Dimensionality of the Gradient

In general: A function $f: \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{M}}$ has a gradient that is an $M \times N$ -matrix with

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}x} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}, \qquad \mathrm{d}f[m,n] = \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n}$$

Gradient dimension: # target dimensions × # input dimensions

Dimensionality of the Gradient

In general: A function $f: \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{M}}$ has a gradient that is an $M \times N$ -matrix with

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}x} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}, \qquad \mathrm{d}f[m,n] = \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n}$$

Gradient dimension: # target dimensions × # input dimensions

A function composition $f(x) = (g \circ h)(x)$ has the constraint that the **output dimension** of $h(\cdot)$ has to equal the **input dimension** of $g(\cdot)$, so that we can compute g(h(x)).

Dimensionality of the Gradient

In general: A function $f: \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{M}}$ has a gradient that is an $M \times N$ -matrix with

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}x} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}, \qquad \mathrm{d}f[m,n] = \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n}$$

Gradient dimension: # target dimensions × # input dimensions

A function composition $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{h})(\mathbf{x})$ has the constraint that the **output dimension** of $\mathbf{h}(\cdot)$ has to equal the **input dimension** of $\mathbf{g}(\cdot)$, so that we can compute $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}))$.

This ensures that the shapes of the chain rule work out:

$$\mathbf{f}: \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{N}} \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{M}} \qquad \mathbf{g}: \mathbb{R}^{L} \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{M}} \qquad \mathbf{h}: \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{N}} \to \mathbb{R}^{L} \qquad (21)$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{d\mathbf{f}}{d\mathbf{x}}}_{\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{N}} = \underbrace{\frac{d\mathbf{g}}{d\mathbf{h}}}_{\mathbf{M} \times L} \underbrace{\frac{d\mathbf{h}}{d\mathbf{x}}}_{\mathbf{L} \times \mathbf{N}} \qquad (22)$$

$$f(x) = Ax, \qquad f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{M}, \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_M \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ f_M(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}x_1 + A_{12}x_2 + \cdots + A_{1N}x_N \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ A_{M1}x_1 + A_{M2}x_2 + \cdots + A_{MN}x_N \end{bmatrix}$$

► Compute the gradient $\frac{df}{dx}$

$$f(x) = Ax, \qquad f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{M}, \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_M \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ f_M(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}x_1 + A_{12}x_2 + \cdots + A_{1N}x_N \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ A_{M1}x_1 + A_{M2}x_2 + \cdots + A_{MN}x_N \end{bmatrix}$$

- ► Compute the gradient $\frac{df}{dx}$
 - ► Gradient:

$$f_i(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} A_{ik} x_k \implies \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} = \sum_k A_{ik} \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial x_j} = \sum_k A_{ik} \delta_{kj} = A_{ij}$$

$$f(x) = Ax, \qquad f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{M}, \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_M \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ f_M(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}x_1 + A_{12}x_2 + \cdots + A_{1N}x_N \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ A_{M1}x_1 + A_{M2}x_2 + \cdots + A_{MN}x_N \end{bmatrix}$$

- ► Compute the gradient $\frac{df}{dx}$
 - ► Gradient:

$$f_i(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} A_{ik} x_k \implies \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} = \sum_k A_{ik} \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial x_j} = \sum_k A_{ik} \delta_{kj} = A_{ij}$$

$$f(x) = Ax, \qquad f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{M}, \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_M \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ f_M(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}x_1 + A_{12}x_2 + \cdots + A_{1N}x_N \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ A_{M1}x_1 + A_{M2}x_2 + \cdots + A_{MN}x_N \end{bmatrix}$$

- ► Compute the gradient $\frac{df}{dx}$
 - ▶ Gradient:

$$f_{i}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} A_{ik} x_{k} \qquad \Longrightarrow \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} = \sum_{k} A_{ik} \frac{\partial x_{k}}{\partial x_{j}} = \sum_{k} A_{ik} \delta_{kj} = A_{ij}$$

$$\Longrightarrow \frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{N}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_{M}}{\partial x_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{M}}{\partial x_{N}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & \cdots & A_{1N} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ A_{M1} & \cdots & A_{MN} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$$

Example: Multivariate Chain Rule

Consider the function

$$\begin{split} L(e) &= \tfrac{1}{2} \|e\|^2 = \tfrac{1}{2} e^\top e \\ e &= y - Ax, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}, e, y \in \mathbb{R}^M \end{split}$$

► Compute the gradient $\frac{dL}{dx}$. What is the dimension/size of $\frac{dL}{dx}$?

Work it out with your neighbours

Example: Multivariate Chain Rule

Consider the function

$$L(e) = \frac{1}{2} \|e\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} e^{\top} e$$

 $e = y - Ax$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$, $e, y \in \mathbb{R}^M$

► Compute the gradient $\frac{dL}{dx}$. What is the dimension/size of $\frac{dL}{dx}$?

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}L}{\mathrm{d}x} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial e} \frac{\partial e}{\partial x}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial e} = e^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times M}, \qquad \frac{\partial L}{\partial e_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial e_i} \sum_j \frac{1}{2} e_j^2 = \sum_j \frac{1}{2} 2 e_j \frac{\partial e_j}{\partial e_i} = e_i$$

$$\frac{\partial e}{\partial x} = -A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$$

$$\implies \frac{\mathrm{d}L}{\mathrm{d}x} = e^{\top} (-A) = -(y - Ax)^{\top} A \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times N}$$

21

Summary

- ► Chain rule for multivariate functions
- ▶ Derivatives of vectors w.r.t. scalars.
- ► Derivatives of vectors w.r.t. vectors (and shapes).