SPARK Individual Assignment





Handed in by Eugen Wettstein

25.09.2020

Table of Contents

1. INTRODUCTION	
2. GOAL OF THE ANALYSIS	2
3. ANALYSIS DEEP DIVE	3
3.1 INFO AND PRE-PROCESS OF THE DATA IN DATAIKU	
3.2 READING OF THE DATA INTO SPARK	
3.3 PROFILING OF DIFFERENT CATEGORIES	
3.4 Answering of some business-related questions	
3.5 CONCLUSION	(

1. Introduction

The biggest car transportation providers in the US are Uber and Lyft. Uber has in the US 67% market share and Lyft being the second largest owns 30% market share. Both are offering the same services with different labels for their categories.

Uber categorizes his cars in **5 categories** with one having a sub-category:

UberX: UberX is the standard entry level for all Uber rideshare services

UberPool: UberPool is normally covered by UberX cars and is the possibility to share

the ride with unknown individuals that are going in the same direction

UberWAV: UberWAV are wheelchair-accessible vehicles with qualified drivers

UberXL: UberXL is Uber's large passenger-carrying service, for taking five to six

passengers per ride. The income is higher since the ride rate is higher, but

only large groups or families will order this option

BlackSUV: These are Uber's full luxury SUV fleet for taking five to six passengers

UberBlack: These are Uber's full luxury vehicles and cost most to the request

Lyft categorizes his cars as well in 5 categories with a sub-category:

Lyft: Lyft or also called Original Lyft provides rides in regular cars with up to four

passengers

Shared: Same concept as the one from Uber and normally covered by Original Lyft

Lyft XL: Lyft's large passenger-carrying service for up to 6 passengers

Lux: Lux provides premium black car service in high-end vehicles

Lux Black: Is Lyft's premium black car service that is only limited to the most luxurious

makes and models

Black XL: Black XL or also Lux Black XL provides rides in premium black SUV for up

to six passengers

Given the fact that Uber was founded in 2007 and Lyft 2012. We are considering and analyzing data of the year 2018 and gathered in Boston, USA.

2. Goal of the Analysis

A new competitor is trying to enter the market in **Boston,USA** and wants to know if through data analytics they can be supported in their decision or not.

Data Analytics is not only the fuel which allows many other technologies to function, it also enables the industry to have greater transparency over business and portfolio performance, thus making more informed, less risky, and ultimately more profitable decisions.

Given the market share of both providers one could expect that more or less every third person looking for a ride should be ordering it via Lyft and the rest should be done through Uber. The ratio of provided rides we can expect should be therefore 2:1 for Uber.

Data from **November and December of 2018** from both providers will be analyzed and compared. We will be considering parameters related to **time, rides and type of cars** that were used on certain trajectories.

Based on the results we get from the analysis, the decision can be simplified if there is any chance in entering the market in Boston, USA or not.

Since Uber is longer in the market and therefore better known by customers, we expect them to **provide the double amount** of rides than Lyft. Therefore trying to enter as new player in the market would not be beneficial.

3. Analysis Deep Dive

3.1 Info and pre-process of the data in Dataiku

For this analysis we were looking at a CSV file called *cab_rides.csv* provided by <u>Kaggle</u>.

The dataset contains different rides in Boston, USA and consists of **637976 rows and 10 columns**. Each row is an individual ride and the columns have information about each ride.

These are the columns provided in the dataset with their meaning:

Distance: Distance between source and destination

Cab type: Categorical variable with values Uber and Lyft

Timestamp: The moment where the data was queried in timestamp format

Source: Starting point

Destination: Endpoint

Price: Estimated price for thr ride in USD

Surge multiplier: The multiplier by which the price was multiplied, default is 1

ID: Unique identifier

Product_id: Uber/Lyft identifier for cab_type

Name: Visible tag of the cab

As a first step the data was analized with Dataiku. The source contained also data about regular taxi rides and those were removed (only 75 values out of 600k+ values).

The timestamp column was transformed into a date with format yyyy-mm-dd HH:MM:SS.

Once all necessary transformations were made, the data was read into SPARK.

3.2 Reading of the data into SPARK

Once the data is processed by SPARK the following elements are identified

Entities: Rides(main one which is measured – facts)

Metrics: Timestamp

Dimension: Distance, cab type, destination, price, surge multiplier, name and id

and as followed categorized

Timing related column: Timestamp

Drive related columns: distance, cab type, source, destination, price and surge multiplier

Company car related columns: id, product id and name

3.3 Profiling of different categories

3.3.1 Timing related columns

Summary of column time_stamp:

summary	year	month	day	hour
count	637976	637976	637976	637976
mean	2018.0	11.589251006307448	17.762665053230844	11.51422310557137
stddev	1.135600535413938	0.4919701589039917	10.002298741735492	6.9593165878176775
min	2018	11	1	0
25%	2018	11	13	5
50%	2018	12	17	12
75%	2018	12	28	17
max	2018	12	30	23
+	}			+

Having a first summary overview of this column we notice it has one year, two month values, all the days in a month and all the hours in a day.

Checking amount of distinct values in column time_stamp:

year	month	day	hour
1 occurrences	2 occurrences	16 occurrences	24 occurrences

By checking the distinct values in those categories, we notice there are only **like half of the days** of a normal month and the rest are what we expected and the column also doesn't contain any null values.

3.3.2 Drive related columns

Summary of columns distance, cab_type, source, destination, price and surge_multiplier:

distance	cab_type	source	destination	price	surge_multiplier
637976	637976	637976	637976	637976	637976
2.189261100730507	null	null	null	16.54512549061407	1.0150675730748493
1.1354130181861846	null	null	null	9.324358581411598	0.09542184282423667
0.02	Lyft	Back Bay	Back Bay	2.5	1.0
1.27	null	null	null	9.0	1.0
2.16	null	null	null	13.5	1.0
2.93	null	null	null	22.5	1.0
7.86	Uber	West End	West End	97.5	3.0
	637976 2.189261100730507 1.1354130181861846 0.02 1.27 2.16 2.93	637976 637976 037976 2.189261100730507 null 1.1354130181861846 null 0.02 Lyft 1.27 null 2.16 null 2.93 null	637976 637976 637976 637976 2.189261100730507 null null 1.1354130181861846 null null 0.02 Lyft Back Bay 1.27 null null 2.16 null null 2.93 null null	637976 637976 637976 637976 2.189261100730507 null null null 1.1354130181861846 null null null 0.02 Lyft Back Bay Back Bay 1.27 null null null 2.16 null null null 2.93 null null null	637976 637

We can see that cab_type, source and destination are categorical variables and distance, price and surge multiplier numerical.

Checking amount of distinct values in columns distance, cab_type, source, destination, price and surge_multiplier:

+		++	 	+
•				surge_multiplier
	2	: :	147	
+		++	 +	+

Looking at the distinct values in each column we can see we have **two cab_types (Uber/Lyft) and 12 destinations and routes**. Same case as before there aren't any null values in these columns.

Checking frequency of dibstinct values in column source, destination and surge_multiplier:

leastFreqSource	mostFreqSource	leastFreqDestination	mostFreqDestination	leastFreqSurge_multiplier	mostFreqSurge_multiplier	
North Station (52576 occurrences)	Financial District (54197 occurrences)	North Station (52577 occurrences)	Financial District (54192 occurrences)	3.0 (12 occurrences)	1.0 (617001 occurrences)	

Also something we can observe is that the Financial District is the most frequent source and destination and the North Station the least frequent source and destination.

3.3.3 Company car related columns

Summary of columns id, product_id and name:

	+	+		++
	summary	id	product_id	name
•	+	+		++
	count	637976	637976	637976
	mean	null	null	null
	stddev	null	null	null
	min	00005b8c-5647-410	55c66225-fbe7-4fd	Black
	25%	null	null	null
	50%	null	null	null
	75%	null	null	null
	max	ffffecd1-49b1-498	lyft_premier	WAV
-	+	+	}	++

Looking at the summary we can see that all of the variables are categorical and that product_id might have some wrong inputs. Therefore in the further analysis we won't use it but also because it contains the same information as the name column.

Checking amount of distinct values in columns id, product_id and name:

+	+	+_	+
		uct_id r	name
637	•	12	12
	,		

Checking thee distinct values in the three columns we get the expected 12 values since each provider has 6 distinct categories and again as in the other columns before we don't have any null values.

3.4 Answering of some business-related questions

3.4.1 Ratio of rides throughout the day

To get statistics of the rides throughout the day we will modify slightly the data by first dividing the data for each provider and then binning the timestamp column. The new created column **Time of day** is divides as follows:

Night rides: From 12am – 6am

Morning rides: From 6am – 12pm

Afternoon rides: From 12pm – 6pm

Evening rides: From 6pm – 12am

After the analysis of the data we see the following statistics for both providers and also splited by months

Uber's rides for the months november and december:

+	+	+	+	+	++
month	Time_of_day		, , ,	avg(surge_multiplier)	Ratio
11	Afternoon_ride	38802	15.739832998299057		28.552296575372704
11			15.877702903845634 15.795368135184068		27.190245625395516 24.385936511206936
11			15.82234771338641	•	19.871521288024844
•	•		•		
+	+	+	+	+·	++

n	nonth	Time_of_day			avg(surge_multiplier)	Ratio
Τ-					т	тт
	12	Night_ride	51771	15.797193409437716	1.0	26.594236400061643
Ĺ	12	Morning ride	49908	15.782810371082792	1.0	25.637232239173986
Ĺ	12	Afternoon ride	48397	15.76082195177387	1.0	24.86104689988185
i	12	Evening ride	44594	15.808371081311387	1.0	22.907484460882518
+-		 	++	+	+	++

Lyft's rides for the months november and december:

				+	
month				avg(surge_multiplier)	
				+	
11	_		17.344922592860723	· ·	28.46928260007927
11	Evening_ride	35020	17.346330668189605	1.0310322672758423	27.760602457391993
11	Night_ride	30260	17.350132187706542	1.0314441506939855	23.987316686484345
11	Morning_ride	24956	17.22771277448309	1.0312049206603622	19.782798256044394
+			+	+	++
+			+	+	++
1					

month	· – – -		avg(price)	avg(surge_multiplier) 	Ratio
12 12 12 12	Night_ride Morning_ride Afternoon_ride Evening_ride	48344 46131 44643 42140	17.355377089194107 17.361774078168693 17.396557131017182 17.371506407214046	1.031084519278504 1.0300015174177886 1.0320766973545685	26.671374504849442 25.450462876121332 24.629533593000033 23.248629026029196

There are several things we can observe from the above outcome. First we can see that both providers have the exactly same order for the **Time_of_day** column. In the month November they had slightly more afternoon rides and in December they had slightly more night rides.

This makes sense if we consider that in November there might be more tourists that are visiting the city in the afternoons and in December are a lot of Christmas dinners where people need to get home and might not be in a condition to drive by themselves. If we look at the ratio we can see that they are pretty similar for both providers and for both months.

The surprising fact is that although the rides of Lyft are slightly more expensive than the ones from Uber, they have almost the same number of rides they provided.

3.4.2 Number of rides per hour

Next we will have a look at the different hours where rides were provided. The tables will be seperatly showed and for each month

Amount of rides provided by Uber for each hour and for the months november and december:

++		+	+	+ -	+	+	+		۲
month	hour	cab_type	rides		month	hour	cab_type	rides	
+1				+ -	+		+	H	۲
11				!	12				
11	23			!	12		!		
11	15	Uber			12	0	Uber		
11	12	Uber		!	12	6	Uber	8855	
11	19	Uber	6536		12	5	Uber	8845	
11	17	Uber	6491		12	4	Uber	8634	
11	11	Uber	6476		12	1	Uber	8279	
11	0	Uber	6421		12	17	Uber	8132	
11	16	Uber	6412		12	11	Uber	8117	
11	14	Uber	6372	ĺ	12	14	Uber	8102	
11	13	Uber	6343	ĺ	12	15	Uber	8068	
11	18	Uber	6290	İ	12	16	Uber	8062	
11	22	Uber	6013	İ	12	13	Uber	8041	
11	10	Uber	5790	İ	12	9	Uber	8030	
11	21	Uber	5685	i	12	19	Uber	8018	
11	20	Uber	5663	i	12	12	Uber	7992	
11	2	Uber	5659	i	12		Uber	7982	
11	5	Uber	4778	i	12		Uber		
11	3	Uber	4674	i	12		!		
11	4	Uber		:	12		!		
11	7	Uber		!	12			7286	
11	8	Uber		!	12				
11	9	Uber		!	12		!	7082	
11	6	Uber			12		Uber	7056	
+				! + -	, 12 +	, 22 	+	.350 	-

+	+	+	++	+	+	-	·	+	H
month	hour	cab_type	rides		month	hour	cab_type	rides	
+	+	+	++	+	·		+	++	ŀ
11	1	Lyft	6609		12	6	Lyft	8379	
11	23	Lyft	6550		12	3	Lyft	8318	
11	18	Lyft	6234		12	5	Lyft	8254	
11	13	Lyft	6127		12	0	Lyft	8056	
11	14	Lyft	6110		12	1	Lyft	8048	
11	16	Lyft	6041		12	4	Lyft	7982	
11	11	Lyft	5966		12	7	Lyft	7915	
11	17	Lyft	5950		12	2	Lyft		
11	0	Lyft	5948		12	18	Lyft	7619	
11	19	Lyft	5927		12	10	Lyft	7604	
11	12	Lyft	5844	ĺ	12	12	Lyft	7528	
11	15	Lyft			12	13			
11	22	Lyft			12	9	Lyft		
11	20	Lyft			12	19	Lyft	7477	
11	10	Lyft			12	15			
11	21	Lyft	5180		12	17	Lyft	7399	
11	2	Lyft	4983		12	14	Lyft	7393	
11	5	Lyft		ĺ	12	8	Lyft	7377	
11	4	Lyft			12	11	Lyft	7359	
11	3	Lyft	4230		12	16	Lyft	7353	
11	7	Lyft		ĺ	12	20	Lyft	7068	
11	8	Lyft		ĺ	12	22	Lyft	6744	
11	9	Lyft			12	23			
11	6	Lyft		ĺ	12	21			
+	+	+	++	- +	++	-	+·	++	۲

Amount of rides provided by Lyft for each hour and for the months november and december:

Again by comparing them on a more micrometric level we see again that the times are very similar when people order rides and with again almost same amount of rides.

3.4.3 Most frequent routes per server

We now look at the different routes for which the people order a cab. For this analysis we also binned the distances the following way:

Short_distance: Everything below 2.5 miles

Medium_distance: Between 2.5 and 5 miles

Long_distance: Everything above 5 miles

For the 12 different sources and destinations these where the most frequent routes with their ranges.

Lyft's top destinations in november and december:

+	+	+	+	·+
	cab_type		source	
+	+	+	t	t+
11	Lyft	Short_distance	North End	Beacon Hill 1918
11	Lyft	Medium_distance	Northeastern Univ	West End 1916
11	Lyft	Short distance	Financial District	South Station 1893
11	Lyft	Short distance	Beacon Hill	North End 1869
11	Lyft	Short distance	South Station	Financial District 1867
+	+	- 	+	·+

only showing top 5 rows

+	+ -	+	++
month cab_type		•	
+	h		++
12 Lyft	Short_distance	South Station	Financial District 2759
12 Lyft	Short distance	Financial District	South Station 2733
12 Lyft	Medium distance	Fenway	West End 2702
12 Lyft	Short distance	Haymarket Square	Financial District 2687
12 Lyft	Short distance	Financial District	Haymarket Square 2676
++			·

only showing top 5 rows

Ubers's top destinations in november and december:

++				++
month ca		Range	source	
11 11 11 11	Uber Uber Uber Uber	Short_distance Short_distance Short_distance Short_distance	South Station South Station Haymarket Square	Theatre District 2121 Financial District 2004 Financial District 2003 North End 2001

only showing top 5 rows

++	++++++	
	Range source destination rides	İ
12 Uber Short_dis 12 Uber Short_dis	tance Financial District South Station 2907 tance South Station Financial District 2904 tance Financial District Haymarket Square 2904 tance West End South Station 2873	

only showing top 5 rows

Looking at it we see that Uber and Lyft mostly where used for small distances so more in the center of Boston. We can also see that for Lyft in November the most frequent routes were South Station-Financial District and Beacon Hill-North End and for December South Station-Financial District and Haymarket Square-Financial District. For Uber the most frequent routes in November are as well South Station-Financial District and for December again South Station-Financial District.

Seeing this we could say that the Financial District and the South Station is mostly dominated by Uber and that Beacon Hill and the North End is mostly dominated by Lyft. Both providers will benefit from each other when there are no rides available in the moment where people look for a ride.

3.5 Conclusion

Overall, we can say, although Uber has more than double of market share in the USA, in Boston they have only a few more rides than Lyft and **the numbers are very similar**. A market entry could be possible since the people seem to be very open for new competitors. Each provider has his customer bases in certain districts in the city but there are also districts where passengers use both providers equally. Putting a bigger focus with advertisements on areas like the **Theatre District**, **Haymarket Square or Fenway** could provide advantages in the market.