Detector induced assymetry in CP violation measurements

Eugenia Spedicato, Lina Maria Ortiz Parra, Jonah Blank

February 24, 2020

Comments - efficiencies

- very small errors in $D=\frac{\epsilon_+-\epsilon_-}{\epsilon_++\epsilon_-}$ $\to D=0$ out of 5σ -range
- *D* is much smaller in for the *UP*-polarity
- smaller error for UP due to higher statistics
- no difference in the efficiencies between UP and DOWN within scope of the error
- in the MC: $\epsilon_{D^*}=0$ (Dst_reconstructed always 0) in our computation: $\epsilon_{D^*}=\epsilon_{\pi,s}\cdot\epsilon_{D^0}$

Comments - plots


- lacksquare structure of $\epsilon(\phi)$ probably due to rectangular detector shape
- peak in $\epsilon_{D^*}(\theta)$ within scope of error

Total


Efficiencies

Polarity	ϵ_{π}	$\epsilon_{\mathcal{K}}$	$\epsilon_{\pi,s}$	ϵ_{D^0}	ϵ_{D^*}
UP				73.33 ± 0.05	
DOWN	86.61 ± 0.04	84.67 ± 0.05	70.54 ± 0.00	73.33 ± 0.06	50.23 ± 0.07


π -efficiency




K-efficiency




soft π -efficiency



D⁰-efficiency



D*-efficiency




Charge: +

Efficiencies


Polarity	ϵ_{π}	$\epsilon_{\mathcal{K}}$	$\epsilon_{\pi,s}$	ϵ_{D^0}	ϵ_{D^*}
UP DOWN	$86.62 \pm 0.06 \\ 86.65 \pm 0.06$	$85.05 \pm 0.06 \\ 85.10 \pm 0.07$	$76.25 \pm 0.07 \\ 76.78 \pm 0.08$	$72.99 \pm 0.07 \\ 72.98 \pm 0.08$	$55.71 \pm 0.08 \\ 56.14 \pm 0.09$
UP	π	K	soft π	D^0	D^*
$N_{\rm reco}$ $N_{\rm tot}$	323 475 373 456	317 441 373 249	284 753 373 456	272 602 373 456	208 042 373 456
DOW	Ν π	K	soft π	D^0	D*
$N_{ m reco}$				210 563 288 516	161 974 288 516




π -efficiency




K-efficiency




soft π -efficiency



D⁰-efficiency



D*-efficiency




Charge: -

Efficiencies


Polarity	ϵ_{π}	$\epsilon_{\mathcal{K}}$	$\epsilon_{\pi,s}$	ϵ_{D^0}	ϵ_{D^*}
UP DOWN	$86.60 \pm 0.06 \\ 86.64 \pm 0.06$	$84.30 \pm 0.06 \\ 83.95 \pm 0.07$	$76.97 \pm 0.07 \\ 76.36 \pm 0.07$	$73.65 \pm 0.07 \\ 73.74 \pm 0.08$	$56.81 \pm 0.08 \\ 56.38 \pm 0.09$
UP	π	К	soft π	D^0	<i>D</i> *
$N_{\rm reco}$ $N_{\rm tot}$	323 251 373 249	314 671 373 456	287 331 373 249	274 932 373 249	212 081 373 249
DOW	Ν π	K	soft π	D^0	<i>D</i> *
$N_{ m reco}$				213 485 288 742	163 206 288 742




π -efficiency




K-efficiency




soft π -efficiency



D⁰-efficiency



D*-efficiency



Deviation

Table: The deviation
$$\frac{\epsilon_+ - \epsilon_-}{\epsilon_+ + \epsilon_-}/10^{-3}$$

Polarity	π	K	$soft\pi$	D^0	D*
UP DOWN	$0.1 \pm 0.5 \\ 0.4 \pm 0.5$			-4.5 ± 0.7 -4.8 ± 0.8	

Table: The deviation $\frac{N_+ - N_-}{N_+ + N_-}/10^{-3}$


Polarity	π	K	$soft\pi$	D^0	D^*
UP	0.3 ± 1.2	4.4 ± 1.3	-4.5 ± 1.3	-4.2 ± 1.4	-9.6 ± 1.5
DOWN -	-1.6 ± 1.4	7.2 ± 1.4	1.0 ± 1.5	-6.9 ± 1.5	-3.8 ± 1.8

- lacktriangleright calculation via $N_{
 m reco}$ doesn't work, $N_{
 m tot,+/-}$ different ightarrow no normalization
- interesting: $D_{soft \pi} \& D_{D^0}$ cancel partially in DOWN,




but add up in IIP Eugenia Spedicato, Lina Maria Ortiz Parra, Jonah Blank


Comparison of different charges with UP polarity - D^*




Comparison of different charges with DOWN polarity - D^*





Comparison - $\overline{D^*p_T}$







Comparison - $D^*\phi$







Comparison - $D^*\theta$






Comparison - $D^*\eta$







D* deviation dependencies - UP polarity




D* deviation dependencies - DOWN polarity



D^* deviation - ϕ





- left UP, right DOWN
- clear dependency in ϕ , inverted $UP \leftrightarrow DOWN$
- doesn't seem to have dependency on other topolgical variables
 → form of the detector is biggest source of induced CPV