# Detector induced assymetry in CP violation measurements

Eugenia Spedicato, Lina Maria Ortiz Parra, Jonah Blank

March 1, 2020

#### Comments - efficiencies

- very small errors in  $D = \frac{\epsilon_+ \epsilon_-}{\epsilon_+ + \epsilon_-}$  $\rightarrow D = 0$  out of  $5\sigma$ -range
- *D* is much smaller in for the *UP*-polarity
- smaller error for UP due to higher statistics
- no difference in the efficiencies between UP and DOWN within scope of the error
- in the MC:  $\epsilon_{D^*}=0$ (Dst\_reconstructed always 0) in our computation:  $\epsilon_{D^*}=\epsilon_{\pi,s}\cdot\epsilon_{D^0}$

#### Comments - plots


- lacksquare structure of  $\epsilon(\phi)$  probably due to rectangular detector shape
- peak in  $\epsilon_{D^*}(\theta)$  within scope of error

#### **Total**


#### **Efficiencies**

Polarity	$\epsilon_{\pi}$	$\epsilon_{\mathcal{K}}$	$\epsilon_{\pi,s}$	$\epsilon_{D^0}$	$\epsilon_{D^*}$
UP	$86.61 \pm 0.04$	$84.65 \pm 0.04$	$\textbf{76.61} \pm \textbf{0.05}$	$\textbf{73.33} \pm \textbf{0.05}$	$56.26 \pm 0.06$
DOWN	$86.61 \pm 0.04$	$84.67 \pm 0.05$	$\textbf{76.54} \pm \textbf{0.06}$	$\textbf{73.33} \pm \textbf{0.06}$	$56.23 \pm 0.07$


## $\pi$ -efficiency




## K-efficiency




## soft $\pi$ -efficiency



# $D^0$ -efficiency



# D\*-efficiency




Charge: +

#### **Efficiencies**


Polarity	$\epsilon_{\pi}$	$\epsilon_{K}$	$\epsilon_{\pi,s}$	$\epsilon_{D^0}$	$\epsilon_{D^*}$
UP DOWN	$86.62 \pm 0.06 \\ 86.65 \pm 0.06$	$85.05 \pm 0.06 \\ 85.10 \pm 0.07$	$76.25 \pm 0.07 \\ 76.78 \pm 0.08$	$72.99 \pm 0.07 \\ 72.98 \pm 0.08$	$55.71 \pm 0.08 \\ 56.14 \pm 0.09$
UP	$\pi$	К	soft $\pi$	$D^0$	<i>D</i> *
$N_{\rm reco} \ N_{ m tot}$	323 475 373 456	317 441 373 249	284 753 373 456	272 602 373 456	208 042 373 456
DOW	N $\pi$	K	soft $\pi$	$D^0$	<i>D</i> *
$N_{ m reco}$				210 563 288 516	161 974 288 516




## $\pi$ -efficiency




## *K*-efficiency




#### soft $\pi$ -efficiency



# $D^0$ -efficiency



## D\*-efficiency




Charge: -


#### **Efficiencies**

Polarity	$\epsilon_{\pi}$	$\epsilon_{K}$	$\epsilon_{\pi,s}$	$\epsilon_{D^0}$	$\epsilon_{D^*}$
UP DOWN	$86.60 \pm 0.06 \\ 86.64 \pm 0.06$	$84.30 \pm 0.06 \\ 83.95 \pm 0.07$	$76.97 \pm 0.07 \\ 76.36 \pm 0.07$	$73.65 \pm 0.07 \\ 73.74 \pm 0.08$	$56.81 \pm 0.08 \\ 56.38 \pm 0.09$
UP	$\pi$	К	soft $\pi$	$D^0$	<i>D</i> *
$N_{ m reco} \ N_{ m tot}$	323 251 373 249	314 671 373 456	287 331 373 249	274 932 373 249	212 081 373 249
DOW	N $\pi$	K	soft $\pi$	$D^0$	<i>D</i> *
$N_{ m reco}$	250 83 288 74			213 485 288 742	163 206 288 742


## $\pi$ -efficiency




## K-efficiency




## soft $\pi$ -efficiency



# $D^0$ -efficiency



## D\*-efficiency



#### Deviation

Table: The deviation 
$$\frac{\epsilon_+ - \epsilon_-}{\epsilon_+ + \epsilon_-}/10^{-3}$$

Polarity	$\pi$	К	$soft\pi$	$D^0$	<i>D</i> *
UP DOWN	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1\pm0.5\\ 0.4\pm0.5\end{array}$			$-4.5 \pm 0.7$ $-4.8 \pm 0.8$	


Table: The deviation 
$$\frac{N_+ - N_-}{N_+ + N_-}/10^{-3}$$

Polarity	$\pi$	K	$soft\pi$	$D^0$	D*
UP	$0.3\pm 1.2$	$4.4\pm1.3$	$-4.5 \pm 1.3$ -	$-4.2 \pm 1.4$	$-9.6 \pm 1.5$
DOWN -	$-1.6\pm1.4$	$\textbf{7.2} \pm \textbf{1.4}$	$1.0\pm1.5$ -	$-6.9\pm1.5$	$-3.8\pm1.8$


- calculation via  $N_{\text{reco}}$  doesn't work,  $N_{\text{tot},+/-}$  different  $\rightarrow$  no normalization
- interesting:  $D_{soft \pi} \& D_{D^0}$  cancel partially in DOWN, but add up in UP




## Comparison of different charges with UP polarity - $D^*$




#### Comparison of different charges with *DOWN* polarity - $D^*$





# Comparison - $\overline{D^*p_T}$







# Comparison - $D^*\phi$







# Comparison - $D^*\theta$






# Comparison - $D^*\eta$







## D\* deviation dependencies - UP polarity



#### D\* deviation dependencies - DOWN polarity



## $D^*$ deviation - $\phi$



- left *UP*, right *DOWN*
- clear dependency in  $\phi$ , inverted  $UP \leftrightarrow DOWN$
- doesn't seem to have dependency on other topolgical variables
  → form of the detector is biggest source of induced CPV