Detector induced assymetry in CP violation measurements

Eugenia Spedicato, Lina Maria Ortiz Parra, Jonah Blank

March 4, 2020

Comments - efficiencies

- very small errors in $D=\frac{\epsilon_+-\epsilon_-}{\epsilon_++\epsilon_-}$ $\to D=0$ out of 5σ -range
- *D* is much smaller in for the *UP*-polarity
- smaller error for UP due to higher statistics
- no difference in the efficiencies between UP and DOWN within scope of the error
- in the MC: $\epsilon_{D^*}=0$ (Dst_reconstructed always 0) in our computation: $\epsilon_{D^*}=\epsilon_{\pi,s}\cdot\epsilon_{D^0}$

Comments - plots


- lacksquare structure of $\epsilon(\phi)$ probably due to rectangular detector shape
- peak in $\epsilon_{D^*}(\theta)$ within scope of error

Total


Efficiencies

| Polarity | ϵ_{π} | $\epsilon_{\mathcal{K}}$ | $\epsilon_{\pi,s}$ | ϵ_{D^0} | ϵ_{D^*} |
|----------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|
| UP | | | | 73.33 ± 0.05 | |
| DOWN | 86.61 ± 0.04 | 84.67 ± 0.05 | 70.54 ± 0.00 | 73.33 ± 0.06 | 50.23 ± 0.07 |


π -efficiency




K-efficiency




soft π -efficiency



D⁰-efficiency



D*-efficiency




Charge: +

Efficiencies


| Polarity | ϵ_{π} | $\epsilon_{\mathcal{K}}$ | $\epsilon_{\pi,s}$ | ϵ_{D^0} | ϵ_{D^*} |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| UP DOWN | $86.62 \pm 0.06 \\ 86.65 \pm 0.06$ | $85.05 \pm 0.06 \\ 85.10 \pm 0.07$ | $76.25 \pm 0.07 \\ 76.78 \pm 0.08$ | $72.99 \pm 0.07 \\ 72.98 \pm 0.08$ | $55.71 \pm 0.08 \\ 56.14 \pm 0.09$ |
| UP | π | K | soft π | D^0 | D^* |
| $N_{\rm reco}$ $N_{\rm tot}$ | 323 475 373 456 | 317 441 373 249 | 284 753 373 456 | 272 602 373 456 | 208 042 373 456 |
| DOW | Ν π | K | soft π | D^0 | D* |
| $N_{ m reco}$ | | | | 210 563 288 516 | 161 974 288 516 |




π -efficiency




K-efficiency




soft π -efficiency



D⁰-efficiency



D*-efficiency




Charge: -

Efficiencies


| Polarity | ϵ_{π} | $\epsilon_{\mathcal{K}}$ | $\epsilon_{\pi,s}$ | ϵ_{D^0} | ϵ_{D^*} |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| UP DOWN | $86.60 \pm 0.06 \\ 86.64 \pm 0.06$ | $84.30 \pm 0.06 \\ 83.95 \pm 0.07$ | $76.97 \pm 0.07 \\ 76.36 \pm 0.07$ | $73.65 \pm 0.07 \\ 73.74 \pm 0.08$ | $56.81 \pm 0.08 \\ 56.38 \pm 0.09$ |
| UP | π | К | soft π | D^0 | <i>D</i> * |
| $N_{\rm reco}$ $N_{\rm tot}$ | 323 251 373 249 | 314 671 373 456 | 287 331 373 249 | 274 932 373 249 | 212 081 373 249 |
| DOW | Ν π | K | soft π | D^0 | <i>D</i> * |
| $N_{ m reco}$ | | | | 213 485 288 742 | 163 206 288 742 |




π -efficiency




K-efficiency




soft π -efficiency



D⁰-efficiency



D*-efficiency



Deviation

Table: The deviation
$$\frac{\epsilon_+ - \epsilon_-}{\epsilon_+ + \epsilon_-}/10^{-3}$$

| Polarity | π | K | $soft\pi$ | D^0 | D* |
|------------|------------------------------|---|-----------|----------------------------------|----|
| UP DOWN | $0.1 \pm 0.5 \\ 0.4 \pm 0.5$ | | | -4.5 ± 0.7 -4.8 ± 0.8 | |

Table: The deviation $\frac{N_+ - N_-}{N_+ + N_-}/10^{-3}$


| Polarity | π | K | $soft\pi$ | D^0 | D^* |
|----------|--------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| UP | 0.3 ± 1.2 | 4.4 ± 1.3 | -4.5 ± 1.3 | -4.2 ± 1.4 | -9.6 ± 1.5 |
| DOWN - | -1.6 ± 1.4 | 7.2 ± 1.4 | 1.0 ± 1.5 | -6.9 ± 1.5 | -3.8 ± 1.8 |

- lacktriangleright calculation via $N_{
 m reco}$ doesn't work, $N_{
 m tot,+/-}$ different ightarrow no normalization
- interesting: $D_{soft \pi} \& D_{D^0}$ cancel partially in DOWN,




but add up in IIP Eugenia Spedicato, Lina Maria Ortiz Parra, Jonah Blank


Comparison of different charges with UP polarity - D^*




Comparison of different charges with DOWN polarity - D^*





Comparison - $\overline{D^*p_T}$







Comparison - $D^*\phi$







Comparison - $D^*\theta$






Comparison - $D^*\eta$







D* deviation dependencies - UP polarity



D* deviation dependencies - DOWN polarity



D^* deviation - ϕ



- left UP, right DOWN
- clear dependency in ϕ , inverted $UP \leftrightarrow DOWN$
- $lue{}$ doesn't seem to have dependency on other topolgical variables \rightarrow form of the detector is biggest source of induced CPV