

# Detector induced assymetry in CP violation measurements

Eugenia Spedicato, Lina Maria Ortiz Parra, Jonah Blank

December 23, 2019

- tried with two different errors
  - first error assuming Poisson distribution
  - second error assuming binomial distribution
  - binomial error more accurate,  
but leading to very small errors in  $D = \frac{\epsilon_+ - \epsilon_-}{\epsilon_+ + \epsilon_-}$   
 $\rightarrow D = 1$  out of  $5\sigma$ -range
- smaller error for *UP*-polarity due to higher statistics
- no difference between *UP* and *DOWN* within scope of the error
- in the MC:  $\epsilon_{D^*} = 0$  (Dst reconstructed always 0)  
in our computation:  $\epsilon_{D^*} = \epsilon_{S,\pi} \cdot \epsilon_{D^0}$





- structure of  $\epsilon(\phi)$  probably due to rectangular detector shape

# Total





# Efficiencies

Polarity	$\epsilon_{\pi}$	$\epsilon_K$	$\epsilon_{\pi,s}$	$\epsilon_{D^0}$	$\epsilon_{D^*}$
<i>UP</i>	$86.61 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.04$	$84.65 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.04$	$76.61 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.05$	$73.33 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.05$	$56.26 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.06$
<i>DOWN</i>	$86.61 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.04$	$84.67 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.05$	$76.54 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.06$	$73.33 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.06$	$56.23 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.07$





# $\pi$ -efficiency



# K-efficiency







# soft $\pi$ -efficiency









# $D^0$ -efficiency



# $D^*$ -efficiency







**Charge: +**





# Efficiencies

Polarity	$\epsilon_{\pi}$	$\epsilon_K$	$\epsilon_{\pi,S}$	$\epsilon_{D^0}$	$\epsilon_{D^*}$
<i>UP</i>	$86.63 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.06$	$85.01 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.06$	$76.26 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.07$	$73.00 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.07$	$55.71 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.08$
<i>DOWN</i>	$86.57 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.06$	$85.38 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.07$	$76.71 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.08$	$72.92 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.08$	$56.09 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.09$





# $\pi$ -efficiency







# K-efficiency



# soft $\pi$ -efficiency







# $D^0$ -efficiency





# $D^*$ -efficiency







**Charge: -**





# Efficiencies

Polarity	$\epsilon_{\pi}$	$\epsilon_K$	$\epsilon_{\pi,s}$	$\epsilon_{D^0}$	$\epsilon_{D^*}$
<i>UP</i>	$86.60 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.06$	$84.30 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.06$	$76.97 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.07$	$73.65 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.07$	$56.81 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.08$
<i>DOWN</i>	$86.64 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.06$	$83.95 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.07$	$76.36 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.07$	$73.74 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.08$	$56.38 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.09$





# $\pi$ -efficiency







# K-efficiency



# soft $\pi$ -efficiency



# $D^0$ -efficiency



# $D^*$ -efficiency











Table: The deviation  $\frac{\epsilon_{+-} - \epsilon_{--}}{\epsilon_{++} + \epsilon_{--}} / 10^{-3}$

Polarity	$\pi$	$K$			$soft\pi$			$D^0$		$D^*$		
<i>UP</i>	$0.2 \pm 2.9 \pm 0.8$	4	$\pm 3$	$\pm 0.8$	-5	$\pm 3$	$\pm 1.0$	-4	$\pm 3$	$\pm 1$	$-9.8 \pm 1.8 \pm 1.1$	
<i>DOWN</i>	$-0.4 \pm 3.3 \pm 0.9$	8	$\pm 3$	$\pm 0.9$	2.3	$\pm 3.0 \pm 1.1$	-6	$\pm 3$	$\pm 1.2$	$-2.5 \pm 2.4 \pm 1.3$		