

Figure 3. Design choices of the latent codes: Global latent codes are invariant to point orders and thus well preserve point correspondences given in the shape prior, but produce less faithful reconstruction but wrong correspondences. Optimization-based methods however income codes tend to over-fit. resulting accurate geometry reconstruction but wrong correspondences.

given in the shape prior, but produce less faithful reconstruction details. Learning-based methods using encoders to learn local latent codes tend to over-fit, resulting accurate geometry reconstruction but wrong correspondences.

Optimization-based methods however incurate geometry reconstruction but wrong correspondences.

Optimization-based methods however incurate geometry while preserving point correspondences. inaccurate

faithlui atruction while pr	Land recommendation
design choices of the latent of the latent of the latent of the shape prior, but produce less faithful reconstruction are shape prior, but produce geometry reconstruction accurate geometry while produce shape prior, but produce less faithful reconstruction accurate geometry reconstruction accurate geometry while produce shape prior, but produce less faithful reconstruction while produce less faithful reconstruction accurate geometry reconstruction while produce less faithful reconstruction accurate geometry reconstruction while produce less faithful reconstruction accurate geometry reconstruction accurate geometry while produce less faithful reconstruction accurate geometry reconstruction accurate geometry while produce less faithful reconstruction accurate geometry reconstruction	works in point cloud for car 7.95×10^{-3}
wign choice but product to geometry	works in post car
prior, or accurate bieves accurate avisting	WOT 10-3
ne shape resulting acrision ac	works in airplane 1.14×10^{-3} 7.95×10^{-3} 2.38×10^{-3} 2.38×10^{-3}
over-fit, to Our inverse	$\frac{1.14}{10^{-3}}$ $\frac{1.14}{10^{-3}}$ $\frac{1.0}{10^{-3}}$
d to or struction. Chair	$\frac{\text{airplane}}{1.15 \times 10^{-3}} \frac{1.14 \times 10}{1.95 \times 10^{-3}} \frac{2.38 \times 10^{-3}}{0.49 \times 10^{-3}}$
e reconstrue	1.15×10^{-3} 1.95×10^{-3} 0.45×10^{-3} 0.55×10^{-3} 0.45×10^{-3}
Table 1. Comparatory avg. 3.61 × 10 ⁻³	0.59 × 10 2 0.55 ×
3 1 3.61 × 10-3	3.55
	0 /191/
3 1 3.46 × 10 -3 1 2.05 10-3	rally belief total
1. Sign tas et al. [1] $\begin{vmatrix} 3.46 \times 10 \\ 2.50 \times 10^{-3} \end{vmatrix}$ $\begin{vmatrix} 2.09 \times 10^{-3} \\ 0.66 \times 10^{-3} \end{vmatrix}$	generally sizing local
11 antas et al. 1 2.50 10-3 0.0	valines are optimized How-
Achlioptas et al. [1] $\begin{array}{c c} 3.40 \\ 2.50 \times 10^{-3} \\ \hline 2.50 \times 10^{-3$	acced baself aditionally, a miction. 110
mano et al. L.	rning-bases, Addition freconstitute string, as
Zhans	the lealing based one rision of the overtill des.
Ours Com-	0.49 × 10 ⁻³ that the learning-based baselines are generally better than that the learning-based ones. Additionally, optimizing local continuous continuous that the precision of reconstruction. However, as the precision of reconstruction of the continuous codes.
Our Com-	that the learning-based baselines are generally better the the optimization-based ones. Additionally, optimizing How-the optimization-based ones the precision of reconstruction. However, and the optimization of the precision of the optimization of the precision of the optimization of t

point cloud by Achlioptas et al. [1] is not editable. Com-Ours pared with the results of Zhang et al. [40], our reconstruction results are also more accurate by a large margin.

Qualitative results. We visually assess the quality of reconstructed point clouds by our method in Figure 4. As can be seen, our inversion algorithm can reconstruct target point clouds reasonably while preserving shape details better than other methods. For example, patterns on the back of chairs can be well recognized in our reconstructions.

Global vs local latent codes. To further understand the effectiveness of our method, we provide an ablation study in 5.3. Ablation studies Table 2 and Figure 3. Specifically, we built different baselines including learning-based (i.e., using learned encoders) THE THE THE PARTY OF THE PARTY output global or local latent codes, which are then used by the SP-GAN for point cloud reconstruction. Table 2 shows

the optimization-based ones. Additionally, optimizing local latent codes increases the precision of reconstruction. However, it is worth noting that this could lead to overfitting, as shown in the case of using encoders to output local codes. In this case, reconstruction achieves the best accuracy but point correspondences are significantly corrupted in reconstructed shapes (see Figure 3), making subsequent shape manipulation impossible. Our method instead has slightly lower reconstruction accuracy compared with the overfitting case, but it can keep the correspondences intact. We found that dense correspondence problem is not shown in the animal dataset. This is probably because the number of static shapes in the animal dataset is small while the stage of verge. The encoder can avoid overfitting, but reconstruction results are not as good as those from the ShapeNet. Note that our method guarantees the reconstruction performance and donse correspondence regardless of the datasets.

Table 2 also shows the results on the Animal dataset. As