







Human factors track report

ATM 2001 Seminar Friday December 7th

Santa Fe









Human Factors Report

- Rapporteur : A Printemps CENA
- Session chairs:
 - Mark Rodgers (FAA) (3 papers)
 - S Chatty (CENA) (1 paper)
 - J P Nicolaon (Eurocontrol) (2 papers)
 - J Hansman (MIT) (3 papers)
- 9 presentations









Session 1 Automation design: Flexibility, attitude and understanding

Main research outputs

- Need to meet variability in controller strategies
 - Airspace structure
 - Local differences (cultural or training reasons)
 - Working methods...
- Managers and controllers disagree on main threat to ATC safety
 - Managers : automation
 - Controller: excessive traffic
 - Controller demand to be part of the ATC automation process
- Existing system, ground based or airborne (FMS), are not used to their optimum capability









Session 1 (2) Automation design: flexibility, attitude and understanding

Recommendations

- Need of a better understanding of the flexibility
 required in automation to support controller variability
- Need of a better understanding of the opportunities to leverage the capability of existing and future automation systems (airborne or not)
- Need to review the automation design process
 - Earlier user involvement (prototypes)
 - Selection of human factor best practices
 - Human factors aspects put at a higher rank by managers









Sessions 2 and 3

Controller activity measurement

Main research outputs

- Tools and techniques are needed to get objective measurements of key controller activities
 - Situation awareness
 - Workload
 - Controller acceptability of a system evolution
- Were highlighted :
 - Benefits of an on line (in simulations) situation awareness assesment tool
 - Benefits of a Controller Acceptance Rating scale methodology
 - Objective task load measures, excluding communication task load, predicts subjective workload
 - Need to verify in high communication task load.









Sessions 2 and 3 (2)

Controller activity measurement

Recommendations

- There is a need to achieve a commonly agreed upon set of criteria (parameters, tools, techniques) to measure some key controller activity
 - Wide variability of situations make this task highly complex
 - Quite difficult to achieve
- The ATM R&D community should better interchange to achieve this common goal and make partial R&D results widely available.









Session 4

Need for cognitive models that accommodate the variability of controller activity

Main research outputs

When designing automation or system behaviour modelling, controller activity is to be described

- Quite essential for airport capacity modelling
- Speech recognition tools requires further enhancement :
 - ATC domain knowledge
 - Speech recognition technology
- Use of paper strip in control tower need to be better understood before alternative information display approaches can be realized









Session 4 (2)

Need for cognitive models that accommodate the variability of controller activity

- **Recommendations**: need to achieve valid models of controller cognitive activity
 - Work conducted is often embedded in tool or system evolution projects and is often lost when these projects conclude.
 - A lot of research, but incomplete.
 - Poor and « local » bibliography availability creates lack of continuity
 - Understanding the variability in controller activity is the foundation of automation
 - Large variety of possible models : need to achieve common modeling concepts









General findings

- Most of the research presented involved controller through experiments
 - The importance to leave the lab and go on the terrain should be highlighted.
- A lot of interesting research... but results are partial and bibliography often inadequate
 - Difficulty to have firm conclusions
 - Need to build on past findings, not replicate...









General finding (2)

- Need to recognize the need to get consolidated findings on some key basic issues on controller activity and their measurement.
- Need to recognize the importance of this activity as a research goal (and not the tool).
- Today automation is build on sand... there is no clear answer to some basic foundation questions
- Need for a more organized research community, for improved results exchange and access to past experience.