

# Data Link Layer Protocol

Eva María Urbano González

November 12, 2016

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>DLL</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1	Functions of the DLL . . . . .	4
1.2	Working procedure . . . . .	5
1.2.1	Simplest Protocol . . . . .	5
1.2.2	Stop-and-Wait Protocol . . . . .	5
1.2.3	Stop-and-Wait Automatic Repeat Request . . . . .	6
1.2.4	Go-Back-N Automatic Repeat Request . . . . .	7
1.2.5	Selective Repeat Automatic Repeat Request . . . . .	10
1.2.6	Bidirecional links: Piggybacking . . . . .	12
1.2.7	Working procedure ranking . . . . .	12
1.3	Protocols . . . . .	14

# List of Figures

1.1	Sender algorithm for the simplest protocol. . . . .	5
1.2	Receiver algorithm for the simplest protocol. . . . .	5
1.3	Sender algorithm for the Stop-and-Wait Protocol. . . . .	6
1.4	Receiver algorithm for the Stop-and-Wait Protocol. . . . .	6
1.5	Flow diagram of the Stop-and Wait ARQ. . . . .	7
1.6	Flow diagram of the Go-Back-N ARQ. . . . .	8
1.7	Receiver algorithm for the Go-Back-N ARQ. . . . .	9
1.8	Sender algorithm for the Go-Back-N ARQ. . . . .	9
1.9	Flow diagram of the Selective Repeat ARQ. . . . .	10
1.10	Sender algorithm for the Selective Repeat ARQ. . . . .	11
1.11	Receiver algorithm for the Selective Repeat ARQ. . . . .	12

# List of Tables

1.1	OWA of the DLL protocols. . . . .	13
1.2	Ranking of working procedures . . . . .	13
1.3	Reliability of CCSDS protocols . . . . .	14

# Chapter 1

## DLL

### 1.1 Functions of the DLL

The Data-Link layer is the protocol layer in a program that handles the moving of data in and out across a physical link in a network. The Data-Link layer is layer 2 in the Open Systems Interconnect (OSI) model for a set of telecommunication protocols. According to the IEEE-802 LAN standards, the DLL can be divided into two sublayers:

- Logical Link Control (LLC): Deals with protocols, flow-control, and error control.
- Media Access Control (MAC): Deals with actual control of the media.

The DLL is responsible for converting data stream to signals bit by bit and to sent that over the underlying hardware. At the receiving end, DLL picks up data from hardware which are in the form of electrical signals, assembles them in a recognizable frame format, and hands over to upper layer. The DLL also ensures that an initial connection has been set up, divides output data into data frames, and handles the acknowledgements from a receiver that the data arrived successfully. It also ensures that incoming data has been received successfully by analyzing bit patterns at special places in the frames. The specific functions of the DLL are explained in the following lines.

- **Framing:** Data-link layer takes packets from Network Layer and encapsulates them into Frames. Then, it sends each frame bit-by-bit on the hardware. At receiver end, data link layer picks up signals from hardware and assembles them into frames.
- **Addressing:** Each device on a network has a unique number, usually called a hardware address or MAC address, that is used by the data link layer protocol to ensure that data intended for a specific machine gets to it properly.
- **Synchronization:** When data frames are sent on the link, both machines must be synchronized in order to transfer to take place.
- **Error control:** Sometimes signals may have encountered problem in transition and the bits are flipped. These errors are detected and attempted to recover actual data bits.
- **Flow control:** Stations on same link may have different speed or capacity. Data-link layer ensures flow control that enables both machine to exchange data on same speed.

## 1.2 Working procedure

In the previous section, the functions of the DLL have been determined. Now, the way it is achieved will be exposed. To do so, a list of possible protocols from the simplest one to the more complex will be explained. A ranking of the preferred working procedures will be done. All the images have been extracted from [1].

### 1.2.1 Simplest Protocol

This protocol has no error or flow control. It is supposed that the frames are traveling only in one direction, from the sender to the receiver. It is also supposed that the receiver can immediately handle the frames received, so there is no overwhelming. The DLL of the sender site gets data from its network layer, makes a frame out of the data and sends it. The DLL at the receiver site receives a frame from its physical layer, extracts data from the frame and delivers the data to its network layer. The problem here is that the sender site cannot send a frame until its network layer has a data packet to send and the receiver site cannot deliver a data packet to its network layer until a frame arrives. There is the need to introduce the idea of events in the protocol. The procedure at the sender site is constantly running; there is no action until there is a request from the network layer. The procedure at the receiver site is also constantly running, but there is no action until notification from the physical layer arrives.

```
1 while (true)                                // Repeat forever
2 {
3     WaitForEvent()i                          // Sleep until an event occurs
4     if(Event(RequestToSend))                 //There is a packet to send
5     {
6         GetData()i
7         MakeFrame()i
8         SendFrame()i                          //Send the frame
9     }
10 }
```

Figure 1.1: Sender algorithm for the simplest protocol.

```
1 while(true)                                // Repeat forever
2 {
3     WaitForEvent()i                          // Sleep until an event occurs
4     if(Event(ArrivalNotification))          //Data frame arrived
5     {
6         ReceiveFrame()i
7         ExtractData()i
8         DeliverData ()i                      //Deliver data to network layer
9     }
10 }
```

Figure 1.2: Receiver algorithm for the simplest protocol.

### 1.2.2 Stop-and-Wait Protocol

If data frames arrive at the receiver site faster than they can be processed, the frames must be stored until their use. Normally, the receiver does not have enough storage space, especially if

it is receiving data from many sources. This may result in either the discarding of frames or denial of service. To prevent the receiver from becoming overwhelmed with frames, we somehow need to tell the sender to slow down. There must be feedback from the receiver to the sender. In the Stop-and-Wait Protocol the sender sends one frame, stops until it receives confirmation from the receiver and then sends the next frame. We still have unidirectional communication for data frames, but auxiliary ACK frames (simple tokens of acknowledgment) travel from the other direction. We add flow control to our previous protocol. In this case the algorithms of the sender and the receiver are the following ones.

1	while (true)	<i>//Repeat forever</i>
2	canSend = true	<i>//Allow the first frame to go</i>
3	{	
4	WaitForEvent();	<i>// Sleep until an event occurs</i>
5	if(Event(RequestToSend) AND canSend)	
6	{	
7	GetData();	
8	MakeFrame();	
9	SendFrame();	<i>//Send the data frame</i>
10	canSend = false;	<i>//cannot send until ACK arrives</i>
11	}	
12	WaitForEvent();	<i>// Sleep until an event occurs</i>
13	if(Event(ArrivalNotification) // An ACK has arrived	
14	{	
15	ReceiveFrame();	<i>//Receive the ACK frame</i>
16	canSend = true;	
17	}	
18	}	

Figure 1.3: Sender algorithm for the Stop-and-Wait Protocol.

1	while (true)	<i>//Repeat forever</i>
2	{	
3	WaitForEvent();	<i>// Sleep until an event occurs</i>
4	if(Event(ArrivalNotification))	<i>//Data frame arrives</i>
5	{	
6	ReceiveFrame();	
7	ExtractData();	
8	Deliver(data);	<i>//Deliver data to network layer</i>
9	SendFrame();	<i>//Send an ACK frame</i>
10	}	
11	}	

Figure 1.4: Receiver algorithm for the Stop-and-Wait Protocol.

The two protocols explained are protocols that can be suitable for noiseless channels. However, noiseless channels are nonexistent. There is a need to add error control to the protocol. Three protocols are discussed with the aim of doing so.

### 1.2.3 Stop-and-Wait Automatic Repeat Request

The Stop-and-Wait ARQ adds a simple error control mechanism to the Stop-and-Wait Protocol. To detect and correct corrupted frames, we need to add redundancy bits to our data frame. When the frame arrives at the receiver site, it is checked and if it is corrupted, it is silently

discarded. The detection of errors in this protocol is manifested by the silence of the receiver. Frames are also numbered so if the receiver receives a data frame that is out of order, this means that frames were either lost or duplicated. What is done to solve the error is that when the sender sends a frame, it keeps a copy of the sent frame. At the same time, it starts a timer. If the timer expires and there is no ACK for the sent frame, the frame is resent, the copy is held, and the timer is restarted. Since the protocol uses the stop-and-wait mechanism, there is only one specific frame that needs an ACK even though several copies of the same frame can be in the network. Since an ACK frame can also be corrupted and lost, it too needs redundancy bits and a sequence number. In the following figure is possible to see more clearly what is going on with this protocol.




Figure 1.5: Flow diagram of the Stop-and Wait ARQ.

The main problem of this protocol is its efficiency. The Stop-and-Wait ARQ is very inefficient if our channel is thick and long. The product of thickness and longitude is called the bandwidth-delay product. We can think of the channel as a pipe. The bandwidth-delay product then is the volume of the pipe in bits. The pipe is always there. If we do not use it, we are inefficient.

#### 1.2.4 Go-Back-N Automatic Repeat Request

To improve the efficiency of transmission (filling the pipe), multiple frames must be in transition while waiting for acknowledgment. In other words, we need to let more than one frame be outstanding to keep the channel busy while the sender is waiting for acknowledgment. In the Go-Back-N Automatic Repeat Request the sender sends several frames before receiving acknowledgments. It also keeps a copy of these frames until the acknowledgments arrive. Although there can be a timer for each frame that is sent, in this protocol only one is used.



The reason is that the timer for the first outstanding frame always expires first and then all outstanding frames when this timer expires are sent again. The receiver sends a positive acknowledgment if a frame has arrived safe and sound and in order. If a frame is damaged or is received out of order, the receiver is silent and will discard all subsequent frames until it receives the one it is expecting. The silence of the receiver causes the timer of the unacknowledged frame at the sender site to expire. This, in turn, causes the sender to go back and resend all frames, beginning with the one with the expired timer. The receiver does not have to acknowledge each frame received. It can send one cumulative acknowledgment for several frames. That is the reason why the protocol is called Go-Back-N. The flow diagram and the algorithms of the sender and the receiver are shown next.




Figure 1.6: Flow diagram of the Go-Back-N ARQ.

```

1  Rn = 0;
2
3  while (true)                                II Repeat forever
4  {
5      WaitForEvent();
6
7      if(Event{ArrivalNotification}» /Data frame arrives
8      {
9          Receive(Frame);
10         if(corrupted(Frame)»
11             Sleep();
12         if(seqNo == Rn)                    III If expected frame
13         {
14             DeliverData()i                IID Deliver data
15             Rn = Rn + 1;                  IISlide window
16             SendACK(Rn);
17         }
18     }
19 }

```

Figure 1.7: Receiver algorithm for the Go-Back-N ARQ.

```

1  Sw = 2m - 1;
2  Sf = 0;
3  Sn = 0;
4
5  while (true)                                //Repeat forever
6  {
7      WaitForEvent();
8      if(Event{RequestToSend}» //A packet to send
9      {
10         if(Sn-Sf == Sw)                    III If window is full
11             Sleep();
12         GetData();
13         MakeFrame(Sn);
14         StoreFrame(Sn);
15         SendFrame(Sn);
16         Sn = Sn + 1;
17         if(timer not running)
18             StartTimer();
19     }
20
21     if(Event{ArrivalNotification}» IIACK arrives
22     {
23         Receive(ACK);
24         if(corrupted{ACK}»
25             Sleep();
26         if{(ackNo==sf)&&(ackNO==Sn)} III If a valid ACK
27             While(Sf <= ackNo)
28             {
29                 PurgeFrame(Sf);
30                 Sf = Sf + 1;
31             }
32             StopTimer();
33     }
34
35     if(Event{TimeOut}» IIThe timer expires
36     {
37         StartTimer();
38         Temp = Sf;
39         while(Temp < Sn);
40         {
41             SendFrame(Sf);
42             Sf = Sf + 1;
43         }
44     }
45 }

```

Figure 1.8: Sender algorithm for the Go-Back-N ARQ.

### 1.2.5 Selective Repeat Automatic Repeat Request

Go-Back-N ARQ simplifies the process at the receiver site. The receiver keeps track of only one variable, and there is no need to buffer out-of-order frames; they are simply discarded. However, this protocol is very inefficient for a noisy link. In a noisy link a frame has a higher probability of damage, which means the resending of multiple frames. In the case of these protocol, the Selective Repeat ARQ, the processing at the receiver is more complex but is more efficient for noisy links. The Selective Repeat Protocol allows a number of frames to arrive out of order and be kept until there is a set of in-order frames to be delivered to the network layer. The handling of the request event is similar to that of the previous protocol except that one timer is started for each frame sent. The arrival event is more complicated here. An ACK or a NAK frame may arrive. If a valid NAK frame arrives, the corresponding frame is resent. If a valid ACK arrives the corresponding timer stops. When the time for a frame has expire, only this frame is resent.




Figure 1.9: Flow diagram of the Selective Repeat ARQ.

```

1  =  $2^{m-1} - i$ 
2  =  $O_i$ 
3  =  $O_i$ 
4
5  hile (true)                //Repeat forever
6  {
7    WaitForEvent(i)
8    if(Event(RequestToSend)) //There is a packet to sen
9    {

```

```

10   if(Sn-S;E >= Sw)           I/If window is full
11       Sleep();
12   GetData();
13   MakeFrame(Sn);
14   StoreFrame(Sn);
15   SendFrame(Sn);
16   Sn = Sn + 1;
17   StartTimer(Sn);
18   }
19
20   if(Event{ArrivalNotification}» ACK arrives
21   {
22       Receive{frame};          I/Receive ACK or NAK
23       if{corrupted{frame}»
24           Sleep();
25       if (FrameType == NAK)
26           if (nakNo between Sf and So)
27           {
28               resend(nakNo);
29               StartTimer(nakNo);
30           }
31       if (FrameType == ACK)
32           if (ackNo between Sf and So)
33           {
34               while(sf < ackNo)
35               {
36                   Purge(sf);
37                   stopTimer(Sf);
38                   Sf = Sf + 1;
39               }
40           }
41   }
42
43   if(Event{TimeOut{t}}»       liThe timer expires
44   {
45       StartTimer(t);
46       SendFrame(t);
47   }
48   }

```

Figure 1.10: Sender algorithm for the Selective Repeat ARQ.

```

1  Rn = 0;
2  NakSent = false;
3  AckNeeded = false;
4  Repeat(for all slots)
5      Marked(slot) = false;
6
7  !while (true)                                IIRepeat forever
8  {
9      WaitForEvent()
10
11     if{Event{ArrivalNotification»           jData frame arrives
12     {
13         Receive(Frame);
14         if(corrupted(Frame)&& (NOT NakSent)
15         {
16             SendNAK(Rn);
17             NakSent = true;
18             Sleep();
19         }
20         if(seqNo <> Rn)&& (NOT NakSent)
21         {
22             SendNAK(Rn);
23             NakSent = true;
24             if {(seqNo in window)&&(IMarked(seqNo»
25             {
26                 StoreFrame{seqNo)
27                 Marked(seqNo)= true;
28                 while (Marked(Rn)
29                 {
30                     DeliverData(Rn);
31                     Purge(Rn);
32                     Rn = Rn + 1;
33                     AckNeeded = true;
34                 }
35                 if(AckNeeded);
36                 {
37                     SendAck(Rn);
38                     AckNeeded = false;
39                     NakSent = false;
40                 }
41             }
42         }
43     }
44 }

```

Figure 1.11: Receiver algorithm for the Selective Repeat ARQ.

### 1.2.6 Bidirectional links: Piggybacking

Piggybacking is not a protocol, is a technique. All que protocols explained until now are all unidirectional: data frames flow in only one direction although control information such as ACK and NAK frames can travel in the other direction. In real life, data frames are normally flowing in both directions: from node A to node B and from node B to node A. This means that the control information also needs to flow in both directions. Piggybacking is used to improve the efficiency of the bidirectional protocols. When a frame is carrying data from A to B, it can also carry control information about arrived (or lost) frames from B; when a frame is carrying data from B to A, it can also carry control information about the arrived (or lost) frames from A.

### 1.2.7 Working procedure ranking

Now its time to choose the working procedure that best fits the needs of the mission. To do so, an OWA (Ordered Weighted Average) will be used. The criteria to consider is the following one:

- Efficiency: This fact deals with how the channel is being used. Protocols will be classified as non-efficient or efficient.
- Time: This fact deals about the time needed to transmit the data satisfactory.
- Error correction: Deals about whether a protocol can correct an error of transmission or not.

It is important also to take into account that the protocol to use should have a flow control, that is, should know if the receiver is available or not to receive the data. For this reason the Simplest Protocol is rejected and won't be studied in the OWA. Regarding the factors of the OWA, all of them will be rated from 0 to 1. In this project the fact of transmitting the data without errors is more important than transmitting it fast, as is possible to appreciate un the project charter ( the latency can be relative high, but incorrect information is useless). The efficiency of the protocol is very important too, because the less the efficiency the less power provided by the CubeSat is being used. Since the CubeSat has limited space, ideally al the power it can gives for transmission will be used for it. Then, the weights of the different factors are the following ones:

- Efficiency: 40
- Time: 30
- Error correction: 60

In the following table the rating of each protocol together with the corresponding OWA is shown.

Protocol	Efficiency	Time	Error correction	OWA
Stop-and-Wait Protocol	0	0	0	0
Stop-and-Wait ARQ	0	0	1	0,46
Go-Back-N ARQ	1	0	1	0.69
Selective Repeat ARQ	1	1	1	1

Table 1.1: OWA of the DLL protocols.

Then, the ranking of working procedures is the following one:

<b>1</b>	Selective Repeat ARQ
<b>2</b>	Go-Back-N ARQ
<b>3</b>	Stop-and-Wait ARQ
<b>4</b>	Stop-and-Wait Protocol

Table 1.2: Ranking of working procedures

It has to be said that when dealing with bidirecional links piggybacking technique will be used if possible.

## 1.3 Protocols

The standards of the CCSDS will be followed in order to allow interoperability with other satellites such as the one of the client. The CCSDS has developed four protocols for the Data Link Protocol Sublayer of the Data Link Layer [2]:

- TM Space Data Link Protocol
- TC Space Data Link Protocol
- AOS Space Data Link Protocol
- Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol-Data Link Layer

These protocols provide the capability to send data over a single space link. TM, TC, and AOS can have secured user data into a frame using the Space Data Link Security (SDLS) Protocol. CCSDS has also developed three standards for the Synchronization and Channel Coding Sublayer of the DLL:

- TM Synchronization and Channel Coding
- TC Synchronization and Channel Coding
- Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol—Coding and Synchronization Layer

TM Synchronization and Channel Coding is used with the TM or AOS Space Data Link Protocol, TC Synchronization and Channel Coding is used with the TC Space Data Link Protocol and the Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol—Coding and Synchronization Layer is used with the Proximity-1 Space Link Protocol—Data Link Layer.

Now the reliability of each of the protocols of the Data Link Protocol Sublayer will be compared in order to know which one is the best of them. This will be done because reliability is the most important feature of the DLL.

Protocol	System used for reliability
TM	Stop-and-Wait Protocol
TC	Type-A: Go-Back-N ARQ, Type-B:Stop-and-Wait Protocol
AOS	Stop-and-Wait Protocol
Proximity-1	Go-Back-N ARQ

Table 1.3: Reliability of CCSDS protocols

According to the table and to the ranking of working procedures done previously, only TC Type-A and Proximity-1 will be considered from now on. Security is another important feature to take into account when taking this decision. TM Space Data Link Protocol has provision for inserting secured data into a frame using the Space Data Link Security (SDLS) Protocol. However, there have been no security requirements to date established for Proximity-1. The SLDS protocol can provide security services, such as authentication and confidentiality for TC Transfer Frames (it can also do it with TM and AOS, that have been previously discharted). For this reason, the TC Space Data Link Protocol Type-A is selected in order to be used with the CubeSat network.

# Bibliography

- [1] Behrouz a. Forouzan. *Data Communications and Networking - Global Edition*. 2012.
- [2] CCSDS Secretariat. Overview of Space Communications Protocols. (CCSDS 130.0-G-3):43, 2014.