Software Testing, Quality Assurance and Maintenance	Winter 2017
Lecture 26 — March 10, 2017	
Patrick Lam	version 2

We'll continue discussing how to engineer your test suites today. In particular, we'll see more details on how to make behaviour verification actually happen (using mock objects); we'll discuss the bane of flaky tests; and we'll talk about continuous integration.

Test Doubles

Mock objects are a particular kind of test double. We need test doubles because objects collaborate with other objects, but we only want to test one object at a time. Meszaros categorizes test doubles as follows:

- dummy objects: these are not actually test doubles; they don't do anything, but just take up space in parameter lists. Are like null, but get past nullness checks in code.
- fake objects: have actual behaviour (which is correct), but somehow unsuitable for use in production; typical example is an in-memory database.
- stubs: produce canned answers in response to interactions from the class under test.
- mocks: like stubs, also produce canned answers. Difference: mock objects also check that the class under test makes the appropriate calls.
- spies: usually wraps the real object (instead of the mock, which stubs it), and records interactions for later verification.

Shorter reference about test doubles: martinfowler.com/articles/mocksArentStubs.html

Mock Objects

Before we talk about mock objects, let's look at a stub. Imagine that you have a service that sends out emails. You don't actually want to send out emails while you're testing. So here's a class that pretends to send out emails.

```
public class MailServiceStub implements MailService {
  private List<Message> messages = new ArrayList<Message>();
  public void send (Message msg) {
    messages.add(msg);
  }
  public int numberSent() {
    return messages.size();
  }
}
```

This stub permits state verification, as seen in the following assert in a test:

```
assertEquals(1, mailer.numberSent());
```

This is state verification because it's checking the contents of memory (which should reflect interactions that have happened in the past). One could also check the recipients, contents of messages, etc.

jMock example. Instead of state verification, we can also do behaviour verification. This is jMock syntax.

```
class OrderInteractionTester... {
  public void testOrderSendsMailIfUnfilled() {
    Order order = new Order(TALISKER, 51);
    Mock warehouse = mock(Warehouse.class);
    Mock mailer = mock(MailService.class);
    order.setMailer((MailService) mailer.proxy());

    mailer.expects(once()).method("send");
    warehouse.expects(once()).method("hasInventory")
        .withAnyArguments()
        .will(returnValue(false));

    order.fill((Warehouse) warehouse.proxy());
}
```

The calls to mock() create mock objects which have the appropriate type. If you are using the objects as simple dummy objects, calling mock() and proxy() is enough. Note that we have a real Order object but we're giving it the fake proxy objects, as created by the Mock's proxy() methods.

We also specify the expected behaviour of the mailer and the warehouse. The test case is saying that the mailer ought to have send() called on it once, and that the warehouse ought to have hasInventory() called; that method should return false().

EasyMock example. Different mock object libraries have different syntax. Here's another example, this time for EasyMock.

```
classUnderTest.removeDocument("Does not exist");
}
```

Here we are testing the ClassUnderTest and creating a mock object of Collaborator type. Easy-Mock 2.3 reads the @Mock annotation and automatically fills in a mock object of the appropriate type. In our test case, we call replay(mock) to indicate that we are no longer recording expectations, but are instead starting the test case itself. In the above code, there are currently no expectations.

Let's add some expectations.

```
@Test
public void testAddDocument() {
        recording phase
  // expect document addition
 mock.documentAdded("Document");
  // expect to be asked to vote for document removal, and vote for it
  expect (mock.voteForRemoval("Document"))
             .andReturn((byte) 42);
  // expect document removal
 mock.documentRemoved("Document");
  replay (mock);
                           we expect the recorded actions to happen
        replaying phase
  classUnderTest.addDocument("New Document", new byte[0]);
  // check that the behaviour actually happened:
  verify (mock);
}
```

Here we record the fact that the mock should be called with documentAdded and a parameter "New Document". We also record that the mock's voteForRemoval method should be called, and when that happens, it should return value 42. Finally, we add a call verify() to let EasyMock know that we're done and that it can go ahead and check that the expected behaviour actually happened.

Flaky Tests

The second test engineering topic I want to talk about today is flaky tests. Flaky tests are those that sometimes fail (nondeterministically). Flakiness is not something you want in your test cases. (I have heard one defense of a flaky test: it lets you know that the system has the potential to actually work.) In general, flaky tests don't play well with the expectation that your test suite passes 100%.

Reference:

Qingzhou Luo, Farah Hariri, Lamyaa Eloussi, Darko Marinov. "An Empirical Analysis of Flaky Tests". In Proceedings of Foundations of Software Engineering '14.

Dealing with flaky tests. Companies with large test suites have found mitigations for the flaky test suite problem. One can label known-flaky tests as flaky and automatically re-run them to see if they eventually pass. One can also ignore or remove flaky tests. But this is unsatisfactory: it takes a long time to re-run failing tests.

Causes of flakiness. Luo et al studied 201 fixes to flaky tests in open-source projects. They found that the three most common causes of fixable flaky tests were:

- 1. improper waits for asynchronous responses;
- 2. concurrency; and
- 3. test order dependency.

The problem that caused flakiness for asynchronous waits was that there was typically a sleep() call which didn't wait long enough for the action (perhaps a network call) to finish. The best practice is to use some sort of wait() call to wait for the result instead of hardcoding a sleep time.

Concurrency problems were what one might expect. The problem could either be in the system under test or in the test itself. Problems included data races, atomicity violations, and deadlocks; the solutions were the proper use of concurrency primitives (e.g. locks) as seen in your Operating Systems course.

Test order dependency problems arose when some tests expected other tests to have already executed (and left a side effect like a file in the filesystem). They came up especially in the transition from Java 6 to Java 7 because that transition changed the (not-guaranteed) test execution order. The solution is to remove the dependency.

Continuous Integration

This is not a complicated concept. Literally, continuous integration requires you to use a single shared master branch with your development teams. That is integration because you're merging your changes into master, and continuous because you're doing it all the time.

Why CI Is Awesome. Before CI, people could be stuck integrating changes for months (or longer!) after each team finishes developing their change. This is not good. Instead, your software always stays in a working state.

Necessary CI Practices. You can't just do CI, though. It's not new, but there was a time before CI, because the infrastructure didn't exist yet. You need continuous builds and test automation to make CI work (and, of course, a source control repository). You can then use CI to do Continuous Deployment. Here's how CI works.

- 1. You clone the repo (which works).
- 2. You make your changes.
- 3. You commit and push your changes (often!)

- 4. A machine pulls the changes, compiles them, and runs automated tests.
- 5. Everyone knows whether your changes passed tests or not.

Continuous Deployment is a minor variant to CI where the production machines also pull changes as soon as the tests pass, and deploy them.

Key Details. To make Continuous Integration work, you'll probably have to follow these practices as well:

- Fix broken builds immediately! (Commits shouldn't even be accepted if they don't compile; test cases should start immediately and fixing them is a high priority task).
- Keep the build fast (minutes): parallelize the build and tests. Deploy tiered tests: fast tests run first, then slower, more detailed tests.
- Test in a production-like environment. Virtual machines are helpful here.

References about Continuous Integration.

Bullet points from Gitlab: about.gitlab.com/2015/02/03/7-reasons-why-you-should-be-using-ci/

Mid-length article from Atlassian:

www.atlassian.com/agile/continuous-integration

Longer article by Martin Fowler:

martinfowler.com/articles/continuousIntegration.html

Serverless CI:

medium.com/@hichaelmart/lambci-4c3e29d6599b