Project 1: Distributed Bitcoin Miner

1 Overview

This project will consist of the following two parts:

• Part A: Implement the Live Sequence Protocol, a homegrown protocol for providing reliable communication with simple client and server APIs on top of the Internet UDP protocol.

• Part B: Implement a Distributed Bitcoin Miner.

Schedule:

Part A Checkpoint: Due: Tuesday 10/4, 20% of project grade
Full Project Part A: Due: Thursday 10/13, 60% of project grade
Full Project Part B: Due: Thursday 10/20, 20% of project grade

We will begin by discussing part A of this project, in which you will implement the *Live Sequence Protocol*. In your implementation, you will incorporate features required to create a robust system, handling lost, duplicated, or corrupted Internet packets, as well as failing clients and servers. You will also learn the value of creating a set of layered abstractions in bridging between low-level network protocols and high-level applications.

2 Part A: LSP Protocol

The low-level Internet Protocol (IP) provides what is referred to as an "unreliable data-gram" service, allowing one machine to send a message as a packet to another, but with the possibility that the packet will be delayed, dropped, or duplicated. In addition, as an IP packet hops from one network node to another, its size is limited to a specified maximum number of bytes. Typically, packets of up to 1,500 bytes can safely be transmitted along any routing path, but going beyond this can become problematic.

Very few applications use IP directly. Instead, they are typically written to use UDP or TCP:

UDP: The "User Datagram Protocol." Also an unreliable datagram service, but it allows packets to be directed to different logical destinations on a single machine, known as

ports. This makes it possible to run multiple clients or servers on a single machine. This function is often called multiplexing.

TCP: The "Transmission Control Protocol" offers a reliable, in-order stream abstraction. Using TCP, a stream of arbitrary-length messages is transmitted by breaking each message into (possibly multiple) packets at the source and then reassembling them at the destination. TCP handles such issues as dropped packets, duplicated packets, and preventing the sender from overwhelming both Internet bandwidth and the buffering capabilities at the destination.

Your task for Part A of this project is to implement the *Live Sequence Protocol* (LSP). LSP provides features that lie somewhere between UDP and TCP, but it also has features not found in either protocol:

- Unlike UDP or TCP, it supports a client-server communication model.
- The server maintains *connections* between a number of clients, each of which is identified by a numeric connection identifier.
- Communication between the server and a client consists of a sequence of discrete messages in each direction.
- Message sizes are limited to fit within single UDP packets (around 1,000 bytes).
- Messages are sent *reliably*: a message sent over the network must be received exactly once, and messages must be received in the same order they were sent.
- Message integrity is ensured: a message sent over the network will be rejected if modified in transit.
- The server and clients monitor the status of their connections and detect when the other side has become disconnected.

The following sections will describe LSP in-depth. You will only implement part of LSP for the Part A Checkpoint. We begin by describing the protocol in terms of the messages that flow between the server and its clients.

2.1 LSP Overview

In LSP, communication between a server and client consists of a sequence of discrete messages sent in each direction. Each message sent over an LSP connection is made up of the following five values:

Message Type: An integer constant identifying one of three possible message types:

Connect: Sent by a client to establish a connection with the server.

Data: Sent by either a client or the server to transmit information.

Ack: Sent by either a client or the server to acknowledge a Connect or Data message.

Connection ID: A positive, non-zero number that uniquely identifies the client-server connection.

Sequence Number: A positive, non-zero number that is incremented with each data message sent, starting with the number 0 for the initial connection request.

Payload: A sequence of bytes, with a format determined by the application.

In the sections that follow, we will use the following notation to indicate the different possible messages that can be sent between a client and a server (note that both Connect and Ack messages have payload values of nil):

- (Connect, 0, 0): Connection request. It must have ID 0 and sequence number 0.
- (Data, id, sn, len, D): Data message with ID id, sequence number sn, payload size len and payload D.
- (Ack, id, sn): Ack message with ID id, and sequence number sn.

Note, when reading sections **2.1.1** and **2.1.2**, assume no messages are dropped.

2.1.1 Establishing a connection

Before data can be sent between a client and server, a connection must first be made. The client initiates a connection by sending a *connection request* to the server. In response, the server generates and assigns an ID that uniquely identifies the new client-server connection, and sends the client an acknowledgment message containing this new ID, the sequence number 0, and a nil payload. Figure 1 illustrates how a connection is established.

Your server may choose any scheme for generating new connection IDs. Our implementation simply assigns IDs sequentially starting with 1.




Figure 1: Establishing a connection. A client sends a connection request to the server, which responds to the client with an acknowledgment message containing the connection's unique ID. The vertical lines with downward arrows denote the passage of time on both the client and the server, while the lines crossing horizontally denote messages being sent between the two.

2.1.2 Sending & acknowledging data

Once a connection is established, data may be sent in both directions (i.e. from client to server or from server to client) as sequences of discrete *data messages*. Figure 2 gives an example of a normal communication sequence between the server and a client. The figure illustrates the transmission of three data messages from the client to the server, and one data message from the server to the client. Note that all messages are acknowledged, and that the client and server maintain their own series of sequence numbers, independent of the other.

Also, note that it is entirely possible for one side to receive data messages while waiting for acknowledgments for data messages it sent—the client and server operate asynchronously, and there is no guarantee that packets arrive in the same order they are sent. However, it is still the responsibility of the client and server to *process* the received messages in order. Messages must be acknowledged when received, but processing cannot occur out of order. For example, if the server has not yet received a message with sequence number 5, but received a message with sequence number 6, it must store message 6 until it receives message 5.

Like TCP, LSP includes a sliding window protocol. The sliding window represents an upper bound on the range of messages that can be sent without acknowledgment. This upper bound is referred to as the "window size," which we denote with the symbol ω . For example, if $\omega = 1$, every message must be acknowledged before the next message can be sent. If $\omega = 2$, up to two messages can be sent without acknowledgment. That is, a third message cannot be sent until the first message is acknowledged, a fourth message cannot be sent until the first and second messages are acknowledged, etc.

Note that the range of messages that are allowed to be sent without acknowledgment is




Figure 2: Sending & acknowledging data. Data may be sent from both the client or the server, and all data messages must be acknowledged. Size field is ignored for illustration purpose.

fixed. If the oldest message that has not yet been acknowledged has sequence number n, then only messages with sequence numbers n through $n + \omega - 1$ (inclusive) may be sent. Only when the oldest (leftmost) messages are acknowledged can the window slide over to the right, possibly allowing more recent data messages to be sent.

2.1.3 Epoch events

Unfortunately, the basic protocol described so far is not robust. On one hand, its sequence numbers makes it possible to detect when a message has been dropped or duplicated. However, if any message—connection request, data message, or acknowledgment—gets dropped, the linkage in either one or both directions will stop working, with both sides waiting for messages from the other.

To make LSP robust, we incorporate a simple time trigger into the servers and clients. Timers fire periodically on both the clients and servers, dividing the flow of time for each into a sequence of *epochs*. We refer to the time interval between epochs as the *epoch duration*, which we denote with the symbol δ . Our default value for δ is 2,000 milliseconds, although this can be varied.

When the epoch timer fires, a client takes the following actions:

- If the client's connection request has not yet been acknowledged by the server, then resend the connection request.
- If the connection request has been sent and acknowledged, but no data messages have been received, then send an acknowledgment with sequence number 0.
- For each data message that has been sent but not yet acknowledged, resend the data message.

The server performs a similar set of actions for each of its connections:

- If no data messages have been received from the client, then resend an acknowledgment message for the client's connection request.
- For each data message that has been sent, but not yet acknowledged, resend the data message.

Figure 3 illustrates how the epoch events make up for failures by the normal communication. We show the occurrence of an epoch event as a large black oval on each time line. In this example, the client attempts to send data D_i , but the acknowledgment message gets dropped. In addition, the server attempts to send data D_j , but the data message gets dropped. When the epoch timer triggers on the client, it will send an acknowledgment of data message j-1, the last data message received, and it will resend data D_i .

Assuming the server has an epoch event around the same time (there is no requirement that they occur simultaneously), we can see that the server will send an acknowledgment for data D_i , and it will resend data D_j .

We can see in this example that duplicate messages can occur: for example, the server might receive two copies of D_i . For most cases, we can use sequence numbers to detect any duplications: Each side maintains a counter indicating which sequence number it expects next and discards any message that does not match the expected window range of sequence numbers. One case of duplication requires special attention, however: it is possible for the client to send multiple connection requests, with one or more requests or




Figure 3: Epoch events. Both sides resend acknowledgments for the most recently received data, and (possibly) resend any unacknowledged data. Size field is ignored for illustration purpose.

acknowledgments being dropped. The server must track the host address and port number of each connection request and discard any for which that combination of host and port already has an established connection.

One feature of this epoch design is that there will be at least one message transmitted in each direction between client and server on every epoch. As a final feature, we will track at the endpoint of each connection the number of epochs that have passed since a message (of any type) was received from the other end. Once this count reaches a specified epoch limit, which we denote with the symbol K, we will declare that the connection has been lost. Our implementation uses a default value of 5 for K; thus, if nothing is received from the other end of an established connection over a total period of $K \cdot \delta$ seconds, then the connection should be assumed lost. Note, if the epoch limit is reached when the client is attempting to establish connection with the server, then the client should close.

2.1.4 Data Size

In network protocol, usually the size of data to be received is unknown to the receiver so it is necessary to send the size of the payload before sending the actual data. Although in our LSP this is not necessary as *marshalling* has packed the struct in Go, we want to emulate sending size before data. And as a basic data integrity check, if the size of the received

payload does not match the given size, the message should be rejected, i.e. it should be as if the message was dropped and the server never received the message.

2.2 LSP API

We will now describe LSP from the perspective of a Go programmer. You must implement the *exact* API discussed below to facilitate automated testing, and to ensure compatibility between different implementations of the protocol.

The LSP API can be found in the lsp package (included as part of the starter code). This file defines several exported structs, interfaces, and constants, and also provides detailed documentation describing the intent and expected behavior of every aspect of the API. Consult it regularly!

2.2.1 LSP Messages

Type

ConnID

The different LSP message types are defined as integer constants below:

```
SeqNum int // Message sequence number.
Size int // Size of the payload.
Payload []byte // Data message payload.
}
```

To send a Message over the network, you must first convert the structure to a UDP packet by *marshalling* it into a sequence of bytes. This can be done in Go using the Marshal function in the json package.

MsgType // One of the message types listed above.

// Unique client-server connection ID.

2.2.2 LSP Parameters

For both the client and the server, the API provides a mechanism to specify the epoch limit K, the epoch duration δ , and the sliding window size ω when a client or server is first created. These parameters are encapsulated in the following struct:

```
type Params struct {
          EpochLimit int // Default value is 5.
          EpochMillis int // Default value is 2000.
          WindowSize int // Default value is 1.
}
```

2.2.3 LSP Client API

An application calls the NewClient to set up and initiate the activities of a client. The function blocks until a connection with the server has been made, and returns a non-nil error if the connection could not be established. The function is declared as follows:

```
func NewClient(hostport string, params *Params) (Client, error)
```

The LSP client API is defined by the Client interface, which declares the methods below:

```
ConnID() int
Read() ([]byte, error)
Write(payload []byte) error
Close() error
```

The Client interface hides all of the details of establishing a connection, acknowledging messages, and handling epochs from the application programmer. Instead, applications simply read and write data messages to the network by calling the Read and Write methods respectively. The connection can be signaled for shutdown by calling Close.

A few other details are worth noting:

- Read should block until data has been received from the server and is ready to be returned. It should return a non-nil error if either (1) the connection has been explicitly closed, or (2) the connection has been lost due to an epoch timeout and no other messages are waiting to be returned by Read.
- Write should *not* block. It should return a non-nil error only if the connection to the server has been lost.

• Close should not forcefully terminate the connection, but instead should block until all pending messages to the server have been sent and acknowledged (of course, if the connection is suddenly lost during this time, the remaining pending messages should simply be discarded).

- No goroutine should be left running after Close has returned (this will cause our Autolab tests to hang).
- You may assume that Read, Write, and Close will not be called after Close has been called.

For detailed documentation describing the intent and expected behavior of each function and method, consult the client_api.go and client_impl.go files.

2.2.4 LSP Server API

The API for the server is similar to that for an LSP client, with a few minor differences. An application calls the NewServer to set up and initiate a LSP server. However, unlike NewClient, this function should not block. Instead, it should simply begin listening on the specified port and spawn one or more goroutines to handle things like accepting incoming client connections, triggering epoch events at fixed intervals, etc. If there is a problem setting up the server, the function should return a non-nil error. The function is declared as follows:

```
func NewServer(port int, params *Params) (Server, error)
```

The LSP server API is defined by the Server interface, which declares the following methods:

```
Read() (int, []byte, error)
Write(connID int, payload []byte) error
CloseConn(connID int) error
Close() error
```

Similar to the Client, the Server interface allows applications to both read and write data to its clients. Note, however, that because the server can be connected to several LSP clients at once, the Write and CloseConn methods take a client's unique connection ID as an argument, indicating the connection that should be written to or that should be closed.

A few other details are worth noting:

• Read should block until data has been received from some client and is ready to be returned. It should return a non-nil error if either (1) the connection to some client has been explicitly closed, or (2) the connection to some client has been lost due to an epoch timeout and no other messages from that client are waiting to be returned by Read. This method should not return data from a connection that was explicitly closed by a call to CloseConn.

- The Write and CloseConn methods should not block, and should both return a non-nil error value only if the specified connection ID does not exist.
- Close should block until all pending messages to each client have been sent and acknowledged (of course, if a client that still has pending messages is suddenly lost during this time, the remaining pending messages should simply be discarded).
- No goroutine should be left running after Close has returned (this will cause our Autolab tests to hang).
- You may assume that after CloseConn has been called, neither Write nor CloseConn
 will be called on that same connection ID again. You may also assume that no other
 Server methods calls will be made after Close has been called.

For detailed documentation describing the intent and expected behavior of each function and method, consult the server_api.go and server_impl.go files.

2.3 Starter Code

The starter code for this project is hosted as a read-only repository on GitHub. For instructions on how to build, run, test, and submit your server implementation, see the README.md file in the project's root directory. To clone a copy, execute the following Git command:

```
git clone https://github.com/cmu440-F15/p1.git
```

Part A starter code can be found in the p1/src/github.com/cmu440/ directory, and is organized as follows:

- The lsp package contains the API, tests, and the starter code you will need to complete:
 - The client_api.go, server_api.go, message.go, and params.go files collectively define the LSP API. To facilitate automated testing, you must not modify these files.

 The client_impl.go file contains a skeletal implementation of the Client that you will write.

- The server_impl.go file contains a skeletal implementation of the Server that you will write.
- The *_test.go files contain the tests that we will run on Autolab to test and evaluate your final submission.
- The lspnet package contains all of the UDP operations you will need to complete this assignment. To import, use import "github.com/cmu440/lspnet". You will not need and are not permitted to use the net package. Under-the-hood, the lspnet package provides some additional functionalities that allow us to more easily grade the robustness of your implementation.
- The srunner (server-runner) and crunner (client-runner) packages each provide simple executable programs that you may use for your own testing purposes. Instructions on how these programs can be used are posted in the project's README.md file on GitHub.

We have also provided pre-compiled executables of the srunner and crunner programs discussed above that you can use for testing. The binaries were compiled against our reference LSP implementation, so you might find them useful in the early stages of the development process (for example, if you wanted to test your Client implementation but haven't finished implementing the Server yet, etc.). Instructions on how these programs can be used are posted in the project's README.md file on GitHub.

In addition to the starter code we provide, you may create your own utility files if you wish. For example, it might be a good idea to create a common.go file and use it to store code that can be shared between both your Client and Server implementations.

3 Checkpoint: Read/Write Server

Epochs are a necessary aspect of the LSP protocol due to imperfect networks: there is no way to prevent messages being dropped, we can only detect a dropped message and retransmit it. For the checkpoint, we will assume the network is perfect and no packet loss occurs. Therefore, epoch events are not necessary.

This checkpoint is simply to get you started. We will only require you to pass the first 9 basic tests, and we will not test for race conditions. We will even assume messages are sent in order, and not require you to implement the sliding window protocol.

4 Part B: Distributed Bitcoin Miner

In part B of this project, you will implement a simple distributed system using your LSP implementation you wrote in part A. Your system will harness the power of multiple processors to speed up a compute-intensive task and will be capable of recovering from sudden machine failures.

4.1 Bitcoin Overview

Bitcoin is a decentralized digital currency. At the heart of the Bitcoin protocol is a replay prevention mechanism that prevents participants from double spending Bitcoins. The replay prevention mechanism uses a crypotographic proof-of-work function that is designed to be computationally hard to execute. Clients compete to be the first to find a solution to the proof-of-work in order to get their signature attached to a sequence of transactions. If a client wins, they are rewarded with Bitcoins. The process of finding a solution to the proof-of-work is called *mining*.

In this project, we will spare you the details of the real Bitcoin protocol. You will instead implement a mining infrastructure based upon a simplified variant: given a message M and an unsigned integer N, find the unsigned integer n which, when concatenated with M, generates the *smallest* hash value, for all $0 \le n \le N$. Throughout this document, we will refer to each of these unsigned integers as a *nonce*.

As an example, consider a request with string message "msg" and maximum nonce 2. We can determine the desired result by calculating the hash value for each possible concatenation. In this case, nonce 1 generated the least hash value, and thus the final result consists of least hash value 4754799531757243342 and nonce 1. Note that you need not worry about the details of how these hash values are computed—we have provided a Hash function in the starter code for you to use to calculate these hashes instead.

- bitcoin.Hash("msg", 0) = 13781283048668101583
- bitcoin.Hash("msg", 1) = 4754799531757243342
- bitcoin.Hash("msg", 2) = 5611725180048225792

One simple approach to completing this task is to perform a brute-force search, in which we enumerate all possible scenarios across multiple machines. For tasks that require searching a large range of nonces, a distributed approach is certainly preferable over executing the compute-intensive task on a single machine. As an example, our measurements show that a typical Andrew Linux machine can compute SHA-256 hashes at a rate of around 10,000

per second. Running sequentially, a brute force approach would require around 28 hours to try all possible hashes consisting of 9 decimal digits. But, if we could harness the power of 100 machines, then we could reduce this time to around 17 minutes.

Your task for this project is to implement a simple distributed system to perform this task. We discuss our proposed system design in the next section.

4.2 System Architecture

Your distributed system will consist of the following three components:

Client: An LSP client that sends a user-specified request to the server, receives and prints the result, and then exits.

Miner: An LSP client that continually accepts requests from the server, exhaustively computes all hashes over a specified range of nonces, and then sends the server the final result.

Server: An LSP server that manages the entire Bitcoin cracking enterprise. At any time, the server can have any number of workers available, and can receive any number of requests from any number of clients. For each client request, it splits the request into multiple smaller jobs and distributes these jobs to its available miners. The server waits for each worker to respond before generating and sending the final result back to the client.

Type	Fields	From-To	Use
Join		M–S	miner's join request
Request	Data Lower Upper	C-S, S-M	send job request
Result	Hash Nonce	M-S, S-C	report job's final result

Figure 4: Predefined message types in the Bitcoin distributed system. In the "From–To" column, 'M' denotes a miner, 'S' denotes the server, and 'C' denotes a request client.

Each of the three components communicate with one another using a set of predefined messages. Figure 4 shows the types of messages that can be sent between the different system components. Each type of message is declared in the message.go file as a Go struct. As in part A, each message must first be marshalled into a sequence of bytes using Go's json package.

4.2.1 Sequence of events

The overall operation of the system should proceed as follows:

• The server is started using the following command, specifying the port to listen on:

```
./server port
```

• One or more miners are started using the following command, specifying the server's address and port number separated by a colon:

```
./miner host:port
```

- When a miner starts, it sends a join request message to the server, letting the server know that it is ready to receive and execute new job requests. New miners may start up and send join requests to the server at any time.
- The user makes a request to the server by executing the following command, specifying the server's address and port number, the message to hash, and the maximum nonce to check:

```
./client host:port message maxNonce
```

The client program should generate and send a request message to the server, specifying lower nonce "0" and maximum nonce "maxNonce":

```
[Request message 0 maxNonce]
```

• The server breaks this request into more manageable-sized jobs and farms them out to its available miners (it is up to you to choose a suitable maximum job size). Once the miner exhausts all possible nonces, it determines the least hash value and its corresponding nonce and sends back the final result:

```
[Result minHash nonce]
```

• The server collects all final results from the workers, determines the least hash value and its corresponding nonce, and sends back the final result to the request client.

The request client should print the result of each request to standard output as follows (note that you must match this format precisely in order for our automated tests to work):

• If the server responds with a final result, it should print,

Result minHash nonce

where minHash and nonce are the values of the lowest hash and its corresponding nonce, respectively.

• If the request client loses its connection with the server, it should simply print

Disconnected

4.2.2 Handling failures

We will assume that the server operates all the time, but it is quite possible that a request client or some of the miners can drop out. You should take the following actions when different system components fail:

- When a miner loses contact with the server it should shut itself down.
- When the server loses contact with a miner, it should reassign any job that the worker was handling to a different worker. If there are no available miners left, the server should wait for a new miner to join before reassigning the old miner's job.
- When the server loses contact with a request client, it should cease working on any requests being done on behalf of the client (you need not forcibly terminate a job on a miner—just wait for it to complete and ignore its results).
- When a request client loses contact with the server, it should print Disconnected to standard output and exit.

Your server will need to implement a *scheduler* to efficiently assign workers to incoming client requests. You should design a scheduler that balances loads across all requests, so that the number of workers assigned to each outstanding request is roughly equal. Your code should contain documentation on how your scheduler achieves this requirement.

4.3 Starter code

The starter code for part B of this project can be found in the bitcoin/ directory, and is organized as follows:

• The message.go file defines the message types you will need to implement your system.

• The hash.go file defines a Hash function that your miners should use to compute uint64 hash values.

- The client/client.go file is where you will implement your request client program.
- The miner/miner.go file is where you will implement your miner program.
- The server/server.go file is where you will implement your server program.

We have provided some starter code in the three files that you need to implement as mentioned above, and feel free to make any changes to them. However, do not modify message.go and hash.go. For instructions on how to compile and test your client, miner, and server programs, please consult the README.md file on GitHub.

5 Project Requirements

As you write your code for this project, also keep in mind the following requirements:

- The project must be done individually. You **must not** use any code that you have not written yourself. As with all projects in this course, we will be using Moss to detect software plagiarism (including comparisons with student submissions from past semesters).
- Your code **must not** use locks and mutexes. All synchronization must be done using goroutines, channels, and Go's channel-based **select** statement. We strongly discourage implementing lock-like behavior using channels. **If you use lock-like** behavior using channels, we will not help you debug your code.
- You must not use Go's net package for this assignment. Instead, you should use
 the functions and methods in the lspnet package we have provided as part of the
 starter code for this project instead.
- Avoid using fixed-size buffers and arrays to store things that can grow arbitrarily in size. For example, **do not** use a buffered channel to store pending messages for a particular connection. Instead, use a linked list—such as the one provided by the **container/list** package—or some other data structure that can expand to an arbitrary size.
- You may assume that the UDP packets will not be corrupted, and that you do not need to check your messages for proper formatting (unless, of course, you want to defend against your own programming errors).

• You must format your code using go fmt and must follow Go's standard naming conventions. See the Formatting and Names sections of Effective Go for details.