EyeDentify3D: A Python package for gaze behavior classification of mobile eye-tracking data

Eve Charbonneau [1,2¶], **Thomas Romeas** [1,2], **and Maxime Trempe** [3]

1 Université de Montréal, Canada **2** Institut national du sport du Québec, Canada **3** Bishop's University, Canada ¶ Corresponding author

Summary

With the technological advances of mobile eye-tracking technologies, researchers can now place participants in real-world settings (or in virtual environments that simulate the real world) and measure their head and eye orientation to get the gaze orientation in 3D space. This raw gaze orientation is hardly interpretable and must be post-processed to extract gaze behaviors (e.g., fixations, saccades, smooth pursuits, visual scanning). However, most open-source gaze classification algorithms were developed for screen-based eye-tracking, where data is recorded on a 2D plane and the participant's head is kept still. These algorithms are ill-suited for real-world mobile eye-tracking data (360°) as the gaze-vector origin and endpoint can move substantially due to head rotations, a challenge also present in head-mounted display systems used in virtual reality research. Additionally, eye-tracking researchers often rely on study-specific analysis pipelines, which leads to methodological discrepancies that impede cross-study comparison and the interpretation of results, ultimately limiting our understanding of gaze behavior-related phenomena. To address this gap, we developed EyeDentify3D, an automated and modulable pipeline for analyzing 360° eye-tracking data.

Statement of need

To address the need for automating the identification of gaze behaviors from eye-tracking data, a few open-source packages have been developed over the years. Most of them have focused primarily on the identification of fixations, either from fixed-screen eye-tracker data (Krassanakis et al., 2014) or mobile eye-tracker data Munn & Pelz (2009). Some have extended their identification capabilities to include other behaviors such as saccades, blinks, and micro-saccades, although these have remained limited to fixed-screen eye-trackers (Ghose et al., 2020, @ berger:2012). Notably, none of the existing packages have included the identification of gaze behaviors in dynamic environments that involve large eye and head movements, such as smooth pursuit and visual scanning.

EyeDentify3D is a Python package for identifying multiple gaze behaviors (blinks, fixations, saccades, smooth pursuits, visual scannings) from mobile eye-tracking data. It was designed to: 1. Interpret data from various mobile eye-tracking systems (e.g., Pupil Invisible), including those embedded in head-mounted displays (e.g., HTC Vive Pro, Pico Neo 3 Pro Eye). 2. Provide a simple user interface, where only a few lines of code are needed to identify the desired gaze behaviors and extract related metrics. 3. Enable visual inspection of the classification results.

EyeDentify3D was designed to be used in science and human performance analysis. It has already been used in sport psychology to analyze the gaze behavior of basketball players (Trempe et al., 2025), and was used in pilot studies trampolinists and boxers. Our objective is to distribute the toolbox openly to help researchers more reliably identify and analyze

42 gaze behaviors in real-world scenarios, which involve movements of the head, and promote
43 standardization in gaze analysis, thereby improving our understanding of visual strategies.

Gaze behavior identification

45 For each trial recorded during an experiment, the eyes and head rotations are extracted from
46 the data collected by the eye-tracker and the inertial measurement unit, respectively. The gaze
47 orientation (head and eye rotations combined) expressed over a 360° range is then analyzed
48 frame-by-frame. For each frame, the pipeline applies a step-by-step classification based on the
49 following criteria: 1. **Invalid**: The eye-tracker has declared having low confidence in the gaze
50 orientation measurement and considers the data invalid. This often happens when the eyes are
51 closed (e.g., during a blink), the eye orientation is outside the eye-tracker's measurement range,
52 or if the eye-tracker was not positioned properly on the participant. 2. **Blink**: The eye openness
53 is below the user defined threshold (Chen & Hou, 2021). 3. **Saccade**: Two criteria must be
54 met to detect a saccade. 1) The eye movement must be faster than a dynamical threshold
55 determined using a rolling median over a user defined window size. 2) The eye movement
56 acceleration must exceed a user defined threshold for a user defined number of frames. This
57 ensures that the eyes are moving rapidly between two targets, accelerating as they leave the
58 first target and decelerating as they approach the second target (Van Opstal & Van Gisbergen,
59 1987). 4. **Visual scanning**: The gaze (head + eyes) velocity is larger than a user defined
60 threshold (McGuckian et al., 2020). Visual scanning should be identified after saccades as
61 visual scanning behaviors could also present high eye velocity. 5. **Inter-saccadic interval**: Our
62 inter-saccadic interval classification was adapted from Larsson et al. (2015) implementation
63 designed for screen-based eye-tracking data by replacing cartesian coordinates (2D plane) with
64 spherical coordinates (360° range of motion). The frames that remained unidentified after
65 the previous steps are grouped into intervals. The intervals lasting longer than a user defined
66 duration threshold, are considered inter-saccadic intervals. These intervals are subdivided into
67 windows of a user defined size. Each window is classified as either coherent or incoherent
68 based on the gaze movement (moving in a consistent direction or not). Adjacent coherent
69 and incoherent windows are merged together to form segments. Then, these segments are
70 further classified as either 6. **fixation** or **smooth pursuit** behaviors based on the four criteria
71 described in Larsson et al. (2015): - Dispersion: $p_D < \eta_D$ - Consistent direction: $p_{CD} > \eta_{CD}$
72 - Positional displacement: $p_{PD} > \eta_{PD}$ - Spatial range: $p_R > \eta_{maxFix}$

73 All behaviors are mutually exclusive (except for invalid and blink that can happen simultane-
74 ously). For example, a frame cannot be classified as both a visual scanning and a smooth
75 pursuit. Thus, the order of the identification is important as the first behavior identified will
76 take precedence over the others.

77 More details on the definition of events and how they are identified can be found in the
78 documentation.

79 Finally, `EyeDentify3D` enables visualisation of the classified gaze data and extraction/export
80 of metrics related to the behaviors (e.g., mean duration, time ratio spent in each behavior,
81 number of occurrences, saccade amplitude, smooth pursuit trajectory length, etc.).

Note on the implementation

83 We believe that the choices made in `EyeDentify3D` are the most suitable for the analysis
84 of gaze behavior in 3D space (especially in sporting context). However, we are very open
85 to implement other identification methods that might be more suitable in other application
86 contexts.

Acknowledgements

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Declaration of generative AI

During the preparation of this work the developer used ChatGPT, Claude, and Copilot to speed up development and enhance code clarity. Aider and Claude were also used to write tests. After using these tools/services, the developer reviewed and edited the content as needed and takes full responsibility for the content of the repository.

References

Chen, X., & Hou, W. (2021). Visual fatigue assessment model based on eye-related data in virtual reality. *2021 IEEE 7th International Conference on Virtual Reality (ICVR)*, 262–268. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICVR51878.2021.9483841

Ghose, U., Srinivasan, A. A., Boyce, W. P., Xu, H., & Chng, E. S. (2020). PyTrack: An end-to-end analysis toolkit for eye tracking. *Behavior Research Methods*, *52*(6), 2588–2603. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-020-01392-6

Krassanakis, V., Filippakopoulou, V., & Nakos, B. (2014). EyeMMV toolbox: An eye movement post-analysis tool based on a two-step spatial dispersion threshold for fixation identification. *Journal of Eye Movement Research*, *7*(1). https://doi.org/10.16910/jemr.7.1.1

Larsson, L., Nyström, M., Andersson, R., & Stridh, M. (2015). Detection of fixations and smooth pursuit movements in high-speed eye-tracking data. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, *18*, 145–152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bspc.2014.12.008

McGuckian, T. B., Beavan, A., Mayer, J., Chalkley, D., & Pepping, G. (2020). The association between visual exploration and passing performance in high-level U13 and U23 football players. *Science and Medicine in Football*, *4*(4), 278–284. https://doi.org/10.1080/24733938.2020.1769174

Munn, S. M., & Pelz, J. B. (2009). FixTag: An algorithm for identifying and tagging fixations to simplify the analysis of data collected by portable eye trackers. *ACM Transactions on Applied Perception (TAP)*, *6*(3), 1–25. https://doi.org/10.1145/1577755.1577759

Trempe, M., Charbonneau, E., Lévesque, V., Ba, A., & Romeas, T. (2025). Expanding the view: Differences in gaze behavior between immersive 360° videos and traditional fixed-screen videos . *Under Review*.

Van Opstal, A. J., & Van Gisbergen, J. A. M. (1987). Skewness of saccadic velocity profiles: A unifying parameter for normal and slow saccades. *Vision Research*, *27*(5), 731–745. https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6989(87)90071-X

West, J. M., Haake, A. R., Rozanski, E. P., & Karn, K. S. (2006). eyePatterns: Software for identifying patterns and similarities across fixation sequences. *Proceedings of the 2006 Symposium on Eye Tracking Research & Applications*, 149–154. https://doi.org/10.1145/1117309.1117360