

ANNOUNCEMENTS

2nd Exam: Grades should be ready tomorrow

P3: Due last night

- Congratulations on finishing 3 out of 5!

P4: Threads (Part a and b) available soon...

- Can choose or be matched with new partner

Read as we go along!

- Chapter 38

UNIVERSITY of WISCONSIN-MADISON
Computer Sciences Department

CS 537
Introduction to Operating Systems

Andrea C. Arpaci-Dusseau
Remzi H. Arpaci-Dusseau

PERSISTENCE: RAID

Questions answered in this lecture:

Why more than one disk?

What are the different RAID levels? (striping, mirroring, parity)

Which RAID levels are best for reliability? for capacity?

Which are best for performance? (sequential vs. random reads and writes)

ONLY ONE DISK?

Sometimes we want many disks — why?

- capacity
- reliability
- Performance

Challenge: most file systems work on only one disk

SOLUTION 1: JBOD



Application is smart, stores different files on different file systems.

JBOD: Just a Bunch Of Disks

SOLUTION 2: RAID

RAID is:

- transparent
- deployable

Application

FS

Fake Logical Disk



Logical disk gives

- capacity
- performance
- reliability

Build logical disk from many physical disks.

RAID: Redundant Array of Inexpensive Disks

WHY INEXPENSIVE DISKS?

Economies of scale! Commodity disks cost less


Can buy many commodity H/W components for the same price as few high-end components

Strategy: write S/W to build high-quality logical devices from many cheap devices

Alternative to RAID: buy an expensive, high-end disk


GENERAL STRATEGY: MAPPING

Build fast, large disk from smaller ones.



GENERAL STRATEGY: REDUNDANCY

Add even more disks for reliability.



MAPPING

How should we map logical block addresses to physical block addresses?

- Some similarity to virtual memory

1) Dynamic mapping: use data structure (hash table, tree)

- page tables

2) Static mapping: use simple math

- RAID

REDUNDANCY

Trade-offs to amount of redundancy

Increase number of copies:

- improves reliability (and maybe performance)

Decrease number of copies (deduplication)

- improves space efficiency

REASONING ABOUT RAID

RAID: system for mapping logical to physical blocks

Workload: types of reads/writes issued by applications
(sequential vs. random)

Metric: capacity, reliability, performance

RAID DECISIONS

Which logical blocks map to which physical blocks?

How do we use extra physical blocks (if any)?

Different **RAID levels** make different trade-offs

WORKLOADS

Reads

One operation

Steady-state I/O

Sequential

Random

Writes

One operation

Steady-state I/O

Sequential

Random

METRICS

Capacity: how much space can apps use?

Reliability: how many disks can we safely lose?
(assume fail stop!)

Performance: how long does each workload take?

Normalize each to characteristics of one disk

$N :=$ number of disks

$C :=$ capacity of 1 disk


$S :=$ sequential throughput of 1 disk

$R :=$ random throughput of 1 disk

$D :=$ latency of one small I/O operation

RAID-0: STRIPING

Optimize for capacity. No redundancy



4 DISKS

Disk 0	Disk 1	Disk 2	Disk 4
0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15

4 DISKS

	Disk 0	Disk 1	Disk 2	Disk 4
stripe:	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	
8	9	10	11	
12	13	14	15	

Given logical address A, find:
Disk = ...
Offset = ...

Given logical address A, find:
Disk = $A \% \text{disk_count}$
Offset = $A / \text{disk_count}$

CHUNK SIZE

Chunk size = 1

	Disk 0	Disk 1	Disk 2	Disk 4
	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	
8	9	10	11	
12	13	14	15	

Chunk size = 2

	Disk 0	Disk 1	Disk 2	Disk 4
	(0) 1	(2) 3	(4) 5	(6) 7
stripe:	8 9	10 11	12 13	14 15

assume chunk size of 1

RAID-0: ANALYSIS

What is capacity?

$$N * C$$

How many disks can fail?

$$0$$

Latency

$$D$$

Throughput (sequential, random)? $N*S$, $N*R$

Buying more disks improves throughput, but not latency!

N := number of disks

C := capacity of 1 disk


S := sequential throughput of 1 disk

R := random throughput of 1 disk

D := latency of one small I/O operation

RAID-1: MIRRORING

Logical Blocks:



Keep two copies of all data.

RAID-1 LAYOUT

	Disk 0	Disk 1
	0	0
2 disks	1	1
	2	2
	3	3

	Disk 0	Disk 1	Disk 2	Disk 4
	0	0	1	1
4 disks	2	2	3	3
	4	4	5	5
	6	6	7	7

RAID-1: 4 DISKS

Disk 0	Disk 1	Disk 2	Disk 4
0	0	1	1
2	2	3	3
4	4	5	5
6	6	7	7

How many disks can fail?

Assume disks are **fail-stop**.

- each disk works or it doesn't
- system knows when disk fails

Tougher Errors:

- latent sector errors
- silent data corruption

RAID-1: ANALYSIS

What is capacity?	$N/2 * C$
How many disks can fail?	1 (or maybe N / 2)
Latency (read, write)?	D

N := number of disks
 C := capacity of 1 disk
 S := sequential throughput of 1 disk
 R := random throughput of 1 disk
 D := latency of one small I/O operation

RAID-1: THROUGHPUT

- What is steady-state throughput for
- sequential reads?
 - sequential writes?
 - random reads?
 - random writes?

RAID-1: THROUGHPUT

What is steady-state throughput for

- random reads? $N * R$


- random writes? $N/2 * R$

- sequential writes? $N/2 * S$

- sequential reads? **Book: $N/2 * S$ (other models: $N * S$)**

Disk 0	Disk 1	Disk 2	Disk 4
0	0	1	1
2	2	3	3
4	4	5	5
6	6	7	7

CRASHES



CRASHES

	Disk0	Disk1	
0	A	A	
1	B	B	
2	C	C	
3	D	D	

write(A) to 2

CRASHES

	Disk0	Disk1	
0	A	A	
1	B	B	
2	A	C	
3	D	D	

write(A) to 2

CRASHES

	Disk0	Disk1	
0	A	A	
1	B	B	
2	A	A	
3	D	D	

write(A) to 2

CRASHES

	Disk0	Disk1	
0	A	A	
1	B	B	
2	A	A	
3	D	D	

CRASHES

	Disk0	Disk1	
0	A	A	
1	B	B	
2	A	A	
3	D	D	

write(T) to 3

CRASHES

	Disk0	Disk1	
0	A	A	
1	B	B	
2	A	A	
3	D	T	

write(T) to 3

CRASHES

	Disk0	Disk1
0	A	A
1	B	B
2	A	A
3	D	T

CRASH!!!

CRASHES

	Disk0	Disk1
0	A	A
1	B	B
2	A	A
3	D	T


after reboot, how to
tell which data is right?

H/W SOLUTION

Problem: Consistent-Update Problem

Use non-volatile RAM in RAID controller.

Software RAID controllers (e.g., Linux md) don't have this option



RAID-4 STRATEGY

Use parity disk

In algebra, if an equation has N variables, and N-1 are known, you can often solve for the unknown.


Treat sectors across disks in a stripe as an equation.

Data on bad disk is like an unknown in the equation.

EXAMPLE

Disk0 Disk1 Disk2 Disk3 Disk4

Stripe:



EXAMPLE

	Disk0	Disk1	Disk2	Disk3	Disk4
Stripe:					
	(parity)				

EXAMPLE

	Disk0	Disk1	Disk2	Disk3	Disk4
Stripe:	5	3	0	1	
	(parity)				

EXAMPLE

	Disk0	Disk1	Disk2	Disk3	Disk4
Stripe:	5	3	0	1	9

(parity)

EXAMPLE

	Disk0	Disk1	Disk2	Disk3	Disk4
Stripe:	5	X	0	1	9

(parity)

EXAMPLE

	Disk0	Disk1	Disk2	Disk3	Disk4
Stripe:	5	3	0	1	9

(parity)

EXAMPLE

	Disk0	Disk1	Disk2	Disk3	Disk4
Stripe:	2	1	1	X	5

(parity)

EXAMPLE

	Disk0	Disk1	Disk2	Disk3	Disk4
Stripe:	2	1	1	1	5

(parity)

EXAMPLE

	Disk0	Disk1	Disk2	Disk3	Disk4
Stripe:	3	0	1	2	X

(parity)

EXAMPLE

	Disk0	Disk1	Disk2	Disk3	Disk4
Stripe:	3	0	1	2	6

(parity)

Which functions are used to compute parity?

RAID-4: ANALYSIS

What is capacity?

$$(N-1) * C$$

How many disks can fail?

$$1$$

Latency (read, write)?

$$D, 2*D \text{ (read and write parity disk)}$$

	Disk0	Disk1	Disk2	Disk3	Disk4
	3	0	1	2	6

N := number of disks

(parity)

C := capacity of 1 disk

S := sequential throughput of 1 disk

R := random throughput of 1 disk

D := latency of one small I/O operation

RAID-4: THROUGHPUT

What is steady-state throughput for

- sequential reads? $(N-1) * S$
- sequential writes? $(N-1) * S$
- random reads? $(N-1) * R$
- random writes? $R/2$ (read and write parity disk)

how to avoid
parity bottleneck?

Disk0	Disk1	Disk2	Disk3	Disk4
3	0	1	2	6

(parity)

RAID-5

Disk0	Disk1	Disk2	Disk3	Disk4
-	-	-	-	P
-	-	-	P	-
-	-	P	-	-

...

Rotate parity across different disks

RAID-5: ANALYSIS

What is capacity?

$$(N-1) * C$$

How many disks can fail?

1

Latency (read, write)?

D, 2*D (read and write parity disk)

Same as RAID-4...

Disk0 Disk1 Disk2 Disk3 Disk4

-	-	-	-	P
---	---	---	---	---

-	-	-	P	-
---	---	---	---	---

-	-	P	-	-
---	---	---	---	---

...

N := number of disks

C := capacity of 1 disk

S := sequential throughput of 1 disk

R := random throughput of 1 disk

D := latency of one small I/O operation

RAID-5: THROUGHPUT

Steady-state throughput for RAID-4:

- sequential reads? **(N-1) * S**

- sequential writes? **(N-1) * S**

- random reads? **(N-1) * R**

- random writes? **R/2 (read and write parity disk)**

Disk0 Disk1 Disk2 Disk3 Disk4

3	0	1	2	6
---	---	---	---	---

(parity)

What is steady-state throughput for RAID-5?

Disk0 Disk1 Disk2 Disk3 Disk4

- sequential reads? **(N-1) * S**

-	-	-	-	P
---	---	---	---	---

- sequential writes? **(N-1) * S**

-	-	-	P	-
---	---	---	---	---

- random reads? **(N) * R**

-	-	P	-	-
---	---	---	---	---

- random writes? **N * R/4**

...

RAID LEVEL COMPARISONS

Reliability Capacity		
RAID-0	0	$C*N$
RAID-1	1	$C*N/2$
RAID-4	1	$(N-1) * C$
RAID-5	1	$(N-1) * C$

RAID LEVEL COMPARISONS

	Read Latency	Write Latency
RAID-0	D	D
RAID-1	D	D
RAID-4	D	2D
RAID-5	D	2D

RAID LEVEL COMPARISONS

	Seq Read	Seq Write	Rand Read	Rand Write
RAID-0	$N * S$	$N * S$	$N * R$	$N * R$
RAID-1	$N/2 * S$	$N/2 * S$	$N * R$	$N/2 * R$
RAID-4	$(N-1)*S$	$(N-1)*S$	$(N-1)*R$	$R/2$
RAID-5	$(N-1)*S$	$(N-1)*S$	$N * R$	$N/4 * R$

RAID-5 is strictly better than RAID-4

RAID LEVEL COMPARISONS

	Seq Read	Seq Write	Rand Read	Rand Write
RAID-0	$N * S$	$N * S$	$N * R$	$N * R$
RAID-1	$N/2 * S$	$N/2 * S$	$N * R$	$N/2 * R$
RAID-5	$(N-1)*S$	$(N-1)*S$	$N * R$	$N/4 * R$

RAID-0 is always fastest and has best capacity (but at cost of reliability)

RAID-5 better than RAID-1 for sequential workloads

RAID-1 better than RAID-5 for random workloads

SUMMARY

Many engineering tradeoffs with RAID
capacity, reliability, performance for different workloads

Block-based interface:
Very deployable and popular storage solution due to
transparency