

# ANNOUNCEMENTS

P3 Grading: Done by Sunday evening

- Do not trust anything you see before then!

P4: Threads (Part a and b) available

- Still need partner?
- Due Wednesday 11/18 at 9pm

Exam 3: Thursday evening at 11/19 7:15-9:15

Read as we go along!

- Chapter 40

UNIVERSITY of WISCONSIN-MADISON  
Computer Sciences Department

CS 537  
Introduction to Operating Systems

Andrea C. Arpaci-Dusseau  
Remzi H. Arpaci-Dusseau

# FILE SYSTEM IMPLEMENTATION

## Questions answered in this lecture:

What **on-disk structures** to represent files and directories?

Contiguous, Extents, Linked, FAT, Indexed, Multi-level indexed

Which are good for different **metrics**?

What disk **operations** are needed for:

- make directory
- open file
- wwrite/read file
- close file

# REVIEW: FILE NAMES

**Different types of names work better in different contexts**

## **inode**

- unique name for file system to use
- records meta-data about file: file size, permissions, etc

## **path**

- easy for people to remember
- organizes files in hierarchical manner; encode locality information

## **file descriptor**

- avoid frequent traversal of paths
- remember multiple offsets for next read or write

# REVIEW: FILE API

```
int fd = open(char *path, int flag, mode_t mode)
```

```
read(int fd, void *buf, size_t nbytes)
```

```
write(int fd, void *buf, size_t nbytes)
```

```
close(int fd)
```

## TODAY: IMPLEMENTATION

### 1. On-disk structures

- how does file system represent files, directories?

### 2. Access methods


- what steps must reads/writes take?

## PART 1: DISK STRUCTURES

# PERSISTENT STORE


Given: large array of blocks on disk

Want: some structure to map files to disk blocks



# SIMILARITY TO MEMORY?

Same principle:  
map logical abstraction to physical resource



# ALLOCATION STRATEGIES

Many different approaches

- Contiguous
- Extent-based
- Linked
- File-allocation Tables
- Indexed
- Multi-level Indexed

## Questions

- Amount of fragmentation (internal and external)  
– freespace that can't be used
- Ability to grow file over time?
- Performance of sequential accesses (contiguous layout)?
- Speed to find data blocks for random accesses?
- Wasted space for meta-data overhead (everything that isn't data)?
  - Meta-data must be stored persistently too!

# CONTIGUOUS ALLOCATION

Allocate each file to contiguous sectors on disk

- Meta-data: Starting block and size of file
- OS allocates by finding sufficient free space
  - Must predict future size of file; Should space be reserved?
- Example: IBM OS/360



Fragmentation (internal and external)?      - Horrible external frag (needs periodic compaction)

Ability to grow file over time?      - May not be able to without moving

Seek cost for sequential accesses?      + Excellent performance


Speed to calculate random accesses?      + Simple calculation

Wasted space for meta-data?      + Little overhead for meta-data

## SMALL # OF EXTENTS

Allocate multiple contiguous regions (extents) per file

- Meta-data: Small array (2-6) designating each extent  
Each entry: starting block and size



Fragmentation (internal and external)? - Helps external fragmentation

Ability to grow file over time? - Can grow (until run out of extents)

Seek cost for sequential accesses? + Still good performance

Speed to calculate random accesses? + Still simple calculation

Wasted space for meta-data? + Still small overhead for meta-data

## LINKED ALLOCATION

Allocate linked-list of **fixed-sized** blocks (multiple sectors)

- Meta-data: Location of first block of file  
Each block also contains pointer to next block
- Examples: TOPS-10, Alto



Fragmentation (internal and external)? + No external frag (use any block); internal?

Ability to grow file over time? + Can grow easily

Seek cost for sequential accesses? +/- Depends on data layout

Speed to calculate random accesses? - Ridiculously poor

Wasted space for meta-data? - Waste pointer per block

Trade-off: Block size (does not need to equal sector size)

# FILE-ALLOCATION TABLE (FAT)

Variation of Linked allocation

- Keep linked-list information for all files in on-disk FAT table
- Meta-data: Location of first block of file
  - And, FAT table itself



Draw corresponding FAT Table?

Comparison to Linked Allocation

- Same basic advantages and disadvantages
- Disadvantage: Read from two disk locations for every data read
- Optimization: Cache FAT in main memory
  - Advantage: Greatly improves random accesses
  - What portions should be cached? Scale with larger file systems?

# INDEXED ALLOCATION

Allocate fixed-sized blocks for each file

- Meta-data: Fixed-sized array of block pointers
- Allocate space for ptrs at file creation time



Advantages

- No external fragmentation
- Files can be easily grown up to max file size
- Supports random access


Disadvantages

- Large overhead for meta-data:
  - Wastes space for unneeded pointers (most files are small!)

# MULTI-LEVEL INDEXING

## Variation of Indexed Allocation

- Dynamically allocate hierarchy of pointers to blocks as needed
- Meta-data: Small number of pointers allocated statically
  - Additional pointers to blocks of pointers
- Examples: UNIX FFS-based file systems, ext2, ext3



## Comparison to Indexed Allocation

- Advantage: Does not waste space for unneeded pointers
  - Still fast access for small files
  - Can grow to what size??
- Disadvantage: Need to read indirect blocks of pointers to calculate addresses (extra disk read)
  - Keep indirect blocks cached in main memory

# FLEXIBLE # OF EXTENTS

## Modern file systems:

Dynamic multiple contiguous regions (extents) per file

- Organize extents into multi-level tree structure
  - Each leaf node: starting block and contiguous size
  - Minimizes meta-data overhead when have few extents
  - Allows growth beyond fixed number of extents

Fragmentation (internal and external)? + Both reasonable

Ability to grow file over time? + Can grow

Seek cost for sequential accesses? + Still good performance

Speed to calculate random accesses? +/- Some calculations depending on size

Wasted space for meta-data? + Relatively small overhead

## ASSUME MULTI-LEVEL INDEXING


Simple approach

More complex file systems build from these basic data structures

## ON-DISK STRUCTURES


- data block
- inode table
- indirect block
- directories
- data bitmap
- inode bitmap
- superblock

## FS STRUCTS: EMPTY DISK



Assume each block is 4KB


## DATA BLOCKS



Not actual layout : Examine better layout in next lecture

Purpose: Relative number of each time of block

# INODES



# ONE INODE BLOCK

Each inode is typically 256 bytes (depends on the FS, maybe 128 bytes)

4KB disk block


16 inodes per inode block.

inode 16	inode 17	inode 18	inode 19
inode 20	inode 21	inode 22	inode 23
inode 24	inode 25	inode 26	inode 27
inode 28	inode 29	inode 30	inode 31

# INODE

type (file or dir?)  
uid (owner)  
rwx (permissions)  
size (in bytes)  
Blocks  
time (access)  
ctime (create)  
links\_count (# paths)  
addrs[N] (N data blocks)

# INODES



# INODE

**type  
uid  
rwx  
size  
blocks  
time  
ctime  
links\_count  
addrs[N]**

Assume single level (just pointers to data blocks)


What is max file size?



Assume 256-byte inodes (all can be used for pointers)

Assume 4-byte addrs


How to get larger files?

$$\begin{aligned} 256 / 4 &= 64 \\ 64 * 4K &= 256 \text{ KB!} \end{aligned}$$







Assume 256 byte inodes (16 inodes/block).  
What is offset for inode with number 0?



Assume 256 byte inodes (16 inodes/block).  
What is offset for inode with number 4?



Assume 256 byte inodes (16 inodes/block).  
What is offset for inode with number 40?



## DIRECTORIES

## File systems vary

Common design:

Store directory entries in data blocks

Large directories just use multiple data blocks

Use bit in inode to distinguish directories from files

Various formats could be used

- lists
  - b-trees

## SIMPLE DIRECTORY LIST EXAMPLE

valid	name	inode
1	.	134
1	..	35
1	foo	80
1	bar	23

unlink("foo")

## ALLOCATION


How do we find free data blocks or free inodes?

Free list


Bitmaps

Tradeoffs in next lecture...

## BITMAPS?



## OPPORTUNITY FOR INCONSISTENCY (FSCK)



# SUPERBLOCK

Need to know basic FS configuration metadata, like:


- block size
- # of inodes

Store this in superblock

# SUPER BLOCK

S	i	d	I	I	I	I	I	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
0								7	8						15
16	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
32	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
48	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D

# ON-DISK STRUCTURES



# PART 2 : OPERATIONS

- create file
- write
- open
- read
- close

## create /foo/bar

data bitmap	inode bitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data
		read			read	
			read			read
read						write
write					read	
					write	
						write

What needs to be read and written?

## open /foo/bar


data bitmap	inode bitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data	bar data
		read			read		
			read			read	
				read			read

write to /foo/bar (assume file exists and has been opened)

data bitmap	inode bitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data	bar data
read write				read			write

read /foo/bar – assume opened

data bitmap	inode bitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data	bar data
				read			read



## EFFICIENCY

How can we avoid this excessive I/O for basic ops?

Cache for:

- reads
- write buffering

## WRITE BUFFERING

Why does procrastination help?

Overwrites, deletes, scheduling

Shared structs (e.g., bitmaps+dirs) often overwritten.

We decide: how much to buffer, how long to buffer...

- tradeoffs?

## SUMMARY/FUTURE

We've described a very simple FS.

- basic on-disk structures
- the basic ops

Future questions:

- how to allocate **efficiently** to obtain good performance from disk?
- how to handle **crashes**?