# Analysis Chapter 1

Evan Fox (efox20@uri.edu)

September 25, 2022

### Question 1.

Show that there doesn't exist a rational number s such that  $s^2 = 6$ .

*Proof.* First we prove that  $6|a^2 \implies 6|a$ . Suppose that 6 does not devide a and let  $a = p_1p_2 \dots p_n$  be the prime factorization of 6, then we know that 3 and 2 cannot both be common factors, But then since 2 and 3 are prime they cannot be the square of a prime number, hence 2 and 3 cannot both appear in  $a^2 = p_1^2 p_2^2 \dots p_n^2$ , hence 6 does not devide  $a^2$ . It now follows by contrapositive that if  $6|a^2$  then 6|a.

Now assume there exists  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$6 = \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^2$$

If a and b have any common factors we may cancel them out, so we assume (a,b)=1. Then  $6b^2=a^2$  implies 6|a so we may fix  $m\in\mathbb{Z}$  such that 6m=a. Then  $6b^2=(6m)^2$  implies  $b^2=6m^2$  and 6|b; thus a and b share a common factor, a contradiction.

### Question 2.

If  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  show that |a + b| = |a| + |b| iff  $ab \ge 0$ .

*Proof.* For the forward direction we use contrapositive, assume ab < 0 then without loss of generality assume a > 0 and b < 0, we show that

$$|a+b| \neq |a| + |b|$$

we have by our assumtions on a and b that |a| + |b| = a - b. Now there are three cases (by tricotomy) for what |a + b| can map to, if |a + b| = 0 we

are done since |a| + |b| > 0. If a + b is poisitve |a + b| = a + b, but then a + b = a - b would imply b = 0, contradicting our assumtion on b. If a + b is negative |a + b| = -a - b, but now -a - b = a - b would force a = 0, contradicting our assumtion on a. Hence, in every case equality does not hold. This proves the first direction.

Now assume  $ab \ge 0$ , if either is equal to zero we are done. If they are both posisitive it follows since a + b will be posistive giving

$$|a + b| = a + b = |a| + |b|.$$

Then if they are both negative, their sum will be negitive so,

$$|a+b| = -a - b = |a| + |b|$$

completing the opposite direction.

#### Question 3.

Find all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  that satisfy the inequality

$$4 < |x+2| + |x-1| < 5.$$

*Proof.* The terms x + 2, x - 1 have different signs only if  $x \in (-2, 1)$  but it is clear no such x is a solution, so assume  $x \notin (-2, 1)$ , then the terms have the same sign and by the above we may add them to get

$$4 < |2x + 1| < 5$$

which we may split into 4 < |2x + 1| and |2x + 1| < 5. For the first case we have

$$4 < |2x+1| \implies 4 < 2x+1 \lor 2x+1 < -4$$

which gives 3/2 < x and x < -5/2; written in interval notation as  $(-\infty, -5/2) \cup (3/2, \infty)$ . Now for

$$|2x+1| < 5 \implies -5 < 2x+1 < 5 \implies -6/2 < x < 4 = (-3,2)$$

We need both conditions to be satisfied so we must take the intersection over our two solutions,

$$(-\infty, -5/2) \cup (3/2, \infty) \cap (-3, 2) = (-3, -5/2) \cup (3/2, 2)$$

### Question 4.

(a) Proof. Assume without loss of generality that b < a, then |a-b| = a-b. Thus

$$\frac{1}{2}(a+b+|a-b|) = \frac{1}{2}(a+b+a-b) = \frac{1}{2}(2a) = a$$

We also have

$$\frac{1}{2}(a+b-|a-b|) = \frac{1}{2}(a+b-(a-b)) = \frac{1}{2}(2b) = b$$

and we are done.

**(b)** Prove  $\min\{a, b, c\} = \min\{\min\{a, b\}, c\}$ 

*Proof.* Note  $\min\{a,b\}$  is either a or b, then if c where such that c < a and c < b, it is clear. Now suppose a < b and a < c. then

$$\min\{a, b, c\} = a = \min\{a, c$$

$$= \min\{\min\{a, b\}, c\}.$$

Then b < a and b < c is the same as above.

### Question 5.

Proof. inf  $S_4 = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}$  is an element of  $S_4$  that occurs for n = 2, for  $n \neq 2$ , if n is odd we will be adding to 1 which gives  $\frac{1}{2} < 1 + 1/n$ . If n > 2 is even we have 1/n < 1/2 which implies 1 - 1/2 < 1 - 1/n, so 1/2 is the minimal element of  $S_4$ . It follows that if a set contains a minimal element it is the infinium since we have 1/2 < x for all  $x \in S_4$  it is a lower bound and since it is an element of  $S_4$ , given any lower bound l, we must have  $l \leq 1/2$ .

sup  $S_4 = 2$ , I proceed with a very simillar argument as above, 2 appears in  $S_4$  when n = 1, for any n > 1 either we are subtracting from 1, or adding a number smaller than 1 to 1, in either case we get somthing less than 2. Thus 2 is the maximal element of the set and hence it must be the supremum.  $\square$ 

#### Question 6.

Let A and B be bounded nonempty subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ . Prove that  $\sup(A + B) = \sup(A) + \sup(B)$ 

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha = \sup(A)$  and  $\beta = \sup(B)$ . Then for all a, b we have  $a \leq \alpha$  and  $b \leq \beta$ , thus

$$a + b \le \alpha + \beta, \forall a \in A, b \in B.$$

So  $\alpha + \beta$  is an upperbound for the set A + B. But then for  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $b_0 \in B$  such that  $\beta - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon < b_0$  and  $a_0 \in A$  such that  $a - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon < a_0$ , so  $\alpha + \beta - \epsilon < a_0 + b_0$ , Thus  $\alpha + \beta = \sup(A + B)$ . A very simillar argument works for infinium. Let  $a = \inf(A)$  and  $b = \inf(B)$ . Then a + b is a lower bound for A + B for the same reasons stated above. But then given  $\epsilon > 0$ , I can find elements  $a_0, b_0$  in A and B respectively such that  $a + b + \epsilon > a_0 + b_0$ , so  $a + b = \inf(A + B)$ .

#### Question 7.

I first reprove a result from class. If every element of a set B is an upperbound for a set A, then  $\inf(B)$  is an upperbound for A.

*Proof.* Assume every element of B is an upper bound for A, then if  $\inf(B) < a$  for some  $a \in A$ , there exists  $\epsilon = a - \inf(B) > 0$  such that  $\inf(B) + \epsilon = a$ , but by the epsilon formulation of infinium, we have there exists an element of b with b < a, a contradiction.

*Proof.* We prove that every element of  $F = \inf\{f(x)|x \in X\}$  is an upper-bound for the set  $G = \{g(y)|y \in Y\}$ , then it will follow  $\sup(G) \leq \inf(F)$ . Let  $y_0 \in Y$  be arbitrary, then for all  $x \in X$  we have

$$g(y_0) \le h(x, y_0) \le f(x).$$

The first inequality holds since g(y) is the infinium over all choices of x and the second holds since for each  $x \in X$  we have defined f(x) to be the suppremum over  $y \in Y$ . Then for each  $g(y) \in G$ , we have  $g(y) \leq f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ , thus each element of F is an upperbound for G and by the above, the result follows.

## Question 8.

If u > 0 and x < y show there exists a rational number x < ru < y.

*Proof.* Let  $x,y\in\mathbb{R}$  with x< y. Then fix  $n\in\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{n}< y-x$ , from this we imeiditly get 0<1+xn< yn. Now choose  $m\in\mathbb{N}$  to be the integer such that  $xn< m\leq xn+1$ . Then we have

$$xn < m \leq xn + 1 < yn \implies xn < m < yn \implies x < \frac{m}{n} < y.$$

Now note  $\frac{x}{u},\frac{y}{u}\in\mathbb{R}$  so there exists  $s,t\in\mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\frac{x}{u} < \frac{s}{t} < \frac{y}{u} \implies x < \frac{su}{t} < y$$

and we are done.