

# Homework 4

Evan Fox

2/13/2023

Morgan Prior and I worked together

**Problem 1.** *Proof.* We prove that the smaller partite set of  $G = X \dot{\cup} Y$  is at least  $e(G)/\Delta(G)$ , then it follows that  $X$  is a minimal vertex cover and since  $G$  is bipartite, this is also the largest matching.

We proceed with induction. If  $n = 2$  the result clearly holds since the max degree is 1 and the number of edges is 1 we get  $e(G)/\Delta(G) = 1$  which is equal to the size of the largest matching.

Now suppose that for  $n \geq 2$  all bipartite graphs  $B$  have a matching of size at least  $\frac{e(B)}{\Delta(B)}$ . and let  $G = X \dot{\cup} Y$  be bipartite. Without loss of generality, assume that  $|X| \leq |Y|$ . Then we remove a vertex  $v$  from  $X$  such that removing  $v$  does not lower the maximal degree of the graph (This is always possible unless  $|X| = 1$ , in which case the graph is a star and we have  $\frac{e(G)}{\Delta(G)} = \frac{n-1}{n-1} = 1$  which is equal to the size of the largest matching). Now consider  $G' = G \setminus v$ . Then this is a graph on  $n$  vertices show that by our induction hypothesis, we have  $|X \setminus v| = \frac{e(G')}{\Delta(G')}$ . Then we have

$$\frac{|G'|}{\Delta(G)} \leq \frac{e(G)}{\Delta(G)} \leq \frac{e(G') + \Delta(G)}{\Delta(G)} \leq \frac{e(G')}{\Delta(G)} + 1$$

So that adding back  $v$  increases the ration by at most 1, but increases the size of  $X$  by exactly 1, hence we have  $|X| \geq \frac{e(G)}{\Delta(G)}$ .

We have established that in any bipartite graph, the smaller of the two partite sets is at least  $\frac{e(G)}{\Delta(G)}$ , since taking  $X$  gives a minimal vertex cover, we may apply the theorem proved in class for bipartite graphs, namely, that maximum size of a matching is the same as the minimum size of a vertex cover. Size a minimum vertex cover is at least  $\frac{e(G)}{\Delta(G)}$ , the size of a max matching is also at least as big.

For the example, since we know that there are more than  $(k-1)n$  vertices and that the complete bipartite graph has max degree  $n$ , we have that

$$\alpha'(G) > \frac{(k-1)n}{n} = (k-1)$$

so it is at least  $k$  (because the inequality is strict and  $\alpha'(G)$  is restricted to integers).  $\square$

**Problem 2.** *Proof.* Let  $G$  be a graph and let  $M$  be a matching of max size. Then let  $S$  be the set of all vertices in  $G$  that are contained in an edge of  $M$ . Note that  $|S| = 2\alpha'(G)$ . We prove that  $S$  is a vertex covering. Indeed, we have by maximality of  $M$  that for every edge  $e$  in  $G$ , either  $e \in M$  or  $e$  is incident to an edge in  $M$ . In the first case  $e$  is covered by the vertices it connects, in the second case  $e$  is covered by the vertices of the edge it is incident to. Hence  $S$  is a vertex covering of the graph  $G$ . Then it follows

$$\beta(G) \leq |S| = 2\alpha'(G)$$

as desired.

For the second part, one can consider a graph  $G$  with  $k$  components, such that each component is a 3 cycle. It is clear that the three cycle has a max matching of 1 and a minimum vertex cover of size 2, then repeating this component  $k$  times gives the result.  $\square$

**Problem 3.** *Proof.* The max matching is 8 as pictured because there exists a vertex cover of size 8  $\square$

**Problem 4. Proof.** Assume that  $T$  have a perfect matching, then by tutes thm we have that  $q(T \setminus v) \leq |\{v\}| = 1$ . Now since  $T$  is a tree and have a perfect matching it cannot be on an odd number of vertices. If  $v$  is a leaf then clearly  $T \setminus v$  has exactly one odd component. In the case that  $v$  is not a leaf, removing  $v$  splits the tree into two components (since any two vertices have exactly one path in a tree), whose odders must add to an odd number (so that adding  $v$  back gives  $T$  on an even number of vertices). Hence exactly one of the compents will be odd.

Now we must proceed in the opposite direction. Assume that  $T$  does not have a perfect matching, we will show that the condidtion given in the problem is violated. As in my last homework, I define a leaf edge to be an edge that contains a leaf. We begin to build a matching by first taking  $M$  to be the collections of all leaf edges in  $T$ , then we look at the subgraph  $T_1$  wich is induced on all vertices not contained in a leaf edge of  $T$ . Inductivly, we define  $T_n$  to be the induced subgraph on all vertices not contained in a leaf edge of  $T_{n-1}$  and we identify  $T$  with  $T_0$ . Now for some  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$  we must have that this process fails, that is at some  $k$ , we will be unable to add all leaf edges of  $T_k$  to  $M$  without a contradiction (because we assumed there is no perfect matching.) At this step, it must be the case that there are two (or more) leaf edges  $e_1, e_2$  which share a common vertex, say,  $w$ . We will show that  $q(T \setminus w) \geq 2$ . Since we are assuming that the process fails at step  $k$ , it then is succesful for the first  $k-1$  steps (I deal with  $k=0$  below). The leaves in  $T_k$  then connect to vertices which themselves can be thought of as roots of a tree that contains a perfect matching, and hence contains an even number of vertices. Then when we consider  $T \setminus w$ , we get one componet with each tree plus the edge  $e_1$  or  $e_2$  (or more if thats the case), since the tree is on an even number of vertices, these are two odd components. The above doesnt quite apply to the case  $k=0$ , which I deal with now, if on the first step we are unable to add all leaf edges to  $M$ , then as above this must be because at least two leaf edges both contain the same vertex,  $w$ , then  $T \setminus w$ , splits into two componets which contain exactly 1 point and the rest of the tree, hence this has more than one odd component.  $\square$