

MTH 316 Homework 1

Evan Fox (efox20@uri.edu)

July 12, 2022

- Theorem 1.** 1. *Let $u \in R$, u is a left unit if and only if left multiplication by u is surjective*
2. *If u is a left unit, then right multiplication by u is injective*
3. *A two-sided unit is unique*
4. *The two sided units of R form a group*

Proof. For (1) first assume that u is a left unit of R . Then there exists $v \in R$ such that $uv = 1$. Then for $x \in R$ we have

$$u(vx) = (uv)x = 1x = x$$

since multiplication is associative. Conversely, if left multiplication by u is surjective then there must exist $v \in R$ satisfying $uv = 1$ by the definition of surjectivity. For (2) assume that $u \in R$ is a left unit. We want to show that right multiplication by u is injective, i.e.

$$xu = yu \implies x = y$$

Since u is a left unit, we fix $v \in R$ satisfying $uv = 1$ then by right multiplying both sides of the above by v we get

$$x(uv) = y(uv)$$

$$x = y$$

For (3) let u be a two sided unit, then fix $v \in R$ such that $vu = uv = 1$. suppose v' is another inverse of u satisfying $uv' = 1$. Then, we have $uv = uv'$. multiplying on the left by v gives

$$vuv = vuv'$$

$$v = v'$$

and we are done. Note that we could first prove that the two sided units form a group and then this result would follow. For (4) we need to show the four

group axioms. Associativity follows from the fact that R is a ring. Now we show closure under multiplication. if u, v are two units then uv is also a unit with inverse $(v^{-1}u^{-1})$. Since 1 is a unit (by definition) we have we have identity. and we know that the inverse of uv is a two sided unit with inverse uv .

□

Question 1.

Find a suitable multiplication on $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ that turns it into a field.

Proof. We let $(1, 1)$ be the identity. Then let $(1, 0) * (0, 1) = (1, 1)$ and let the squares of $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$ map to each other. We claim this gives a field. A routine verification shows this to be the case. A good follow up question is whether or not this multiplication is unique. □

Question 2.

prove that $\mathbb{Z}(\sqrt{2}) = \{a + b\sqrt{2} | a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ forms a ring.

Proof. First we must show that it is an abelian group under addition, we let addition be defined as addition of real numbers, then associativity and commutativity follows. We see that $0 \in \mathbb{Z}(\sqrt{2})$ is the identity. And for any element $z \in \mathbb{Z}(\sqrt{2})$ with $z = a + b(\sqrt{2})$ the additive inverse is found by taking the inverses of a, b in the integers. Closure will follow by an application of the distributive property.

Next we define multiplication in $\mathbb{Z}(\sqrt{2})$ as multiplication of real numbers, so associativity is clear. Then this ring clearly has unit by taking $a = 1, b = 0$. For $z, z' \in \mathbb{Z}(\sqrt{2})$ we have

$$z \cdot z' = (a + b\sqrt{2})(a' + b'\sqrt{2}) = aa' + 2bb' + (ab' + a'b)\sqrt{2}$$

so it is closed under multiplication. □

is $\mathbb{Z}(\sqrt{2})$ an integral domain? is it a field? It is definitely an integral domain since the integers are an integral domain. is it a field? What would a multiplicative inverse look like? We would need $ab' + a'b = 0$. Which is possible but then $aa' + 2bb' \neq 1$, so we do not get multiplicative inverses. We

know that each element has an inverse in \mathbb{R} the question is can this be written in the form we are looking for? $\frac{1}{a+b\sqrt{2}}$ The question is can I prove that this cannot be written as $c + d\sqrt{2}$? Suppose that I can for all $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\frac{1}{a + b\sqrt{2}} = c + d\sqrt{2}$$

$$1 = ac + 2bd + (ad + bc)\sqrt{2}$$

so

$$ac + 2bd = 1 \text{ and } ad + bc = 0$$

Then we note that if a is even then $\gcd(a, 2b) \neq 1$ and thus the existence of c and d gives a contradiction.

Theorem 2. For $a, b, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\gcd(a, b) = d$ implies that there exists $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ which satisfy the equation $as + bt = d$.

Proof. First assume that $\gcd(a, b) = d$. Then let $S = \{ax + by > 0 | x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Since this is a subset of the natural numbers we can fix $\alpha = as + bt$ as the least element. We want to show that this is the *GCD* of a and b . First we show that if d' is a common divisor the $d' | \alpha$. Since d' is a common divisor we can write $dx = a$ and $dy = b$. Then

$$\alpha = as + bt = d'xs + dyt = d'(xs + yt)$$

hence $d' | \alpha$. Now we show that α itself is a common divisor, let

$$a = q_0\alpha + r_0 \text{ and } b = q_1\alpha + r_1$$

with $0 \leq r_0 < \alpha$ and $0 \leq r_1 < \alpha$

$$a = q_0(\alpha) + r_0 = q_0(as + bt) + r_0$$

$$a - q_0as - q_0bt = r_0$$

$$a(1 - q_0s) + b(-q_0t) = r_0$$

So that $r_0 = 0$ and then it follows that $\alpha | a$; a similar argument works for b . So we have that α is a common divisor of a and b and that if d' is an arbitrary common divisor it divides α . It now follows that $\alpha = \gcd(a, b)$.

□

Corollary 2.1. *If $\text{GCD}(a, b) = 1$ then there exists $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $as + bt = 1$.*

Corollary 2.2. *If there exists $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $as + bt = 1$ then $\text{gcd}(a, b) = 1$.*

So now we know that $\mathbb{Z}(\sqrt{2})$ is not a field. But it is an integral domain.

What else can I figure out about this ring. What are the ideals of this ring?

Let T be a ring without unit, then define $R = \mathbb{Z} \times T$ and define the operations of addition and multiplication on R as follows

$$(k, l) + (s, t) = (k + s, l + t)$$

and

$$(k, l) \cdot (s, t) = (ks, kt + sl + lt)$$

Theorem 3. *R as defined above is a ring with unit $1_R = (1_{\mathbb{Z}}, 0_T)$.*

Proof. Our first order of business is to prove that R forms an abelian group under addition.

1. $[(a, b) + (c, d)] + (e, f) = (a + c, b + d) + (e, f) = (a + c + e, b + d + f) = (a, b) + [(c, d) + (e, f)]$ the addition is associative.
2. \mathbb{Z} and T are both groups so $(a, b) + (c, d) = (a + c, b + d) \in \mathbb{Z} \times T$.
3. $0_{\mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0_T \in T$ so $(0, 0) + (a, b) = (0 + a, 0 + b) = (a, b)$; thus an additive identity exists.
4. For $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times T$ we have $-a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $-b \in T$. Then $(a, b) + (-a, -b) = (a + (-a), b + (-b)) = (0, 0)$
5. \mathbb{Z} and T are rings so their addition commutes giving $(a, b) + (c, d) = (a + c, b + d) = (c + a, d + b) = (c, d) + (a, b)$

Now we show that the defined multiplication gives R a ring structure. The closure of this operation follows from the fact that T and \mathbb{Z} are rings. We first show that $(1_{\mathbb{Z}}, 0_T)$ is the multiplicative identity. Let $(a, b) \in R$ and

$$(a, b) \cdot (1, 0) = (1a, a(0) + 1b + b \cdot (0)) = (a, b)$$

and

$$(1, 0) \cdot (a, b) = (1a, 1b + a(0) + 0 \cdot (b))$$

So we have a unit element. To see that the multiplication on R is associative.

$$\begin{aligned} [(a, b) \cdot (c, d)] \cdot (e, f) &= (ac, ad + cb + b \cdot d) \cdot (e, f) = \\ &= (ace, acf + ead + ecb + e(b \cdot d) + (ad + cb + b \cdot d) \cdot f) \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} (a, b) \cdot [(c, d) \cdot (e, f)] &= (a, b) \cdot (ce, cf + ed + d \cdot f) = \\ &= (ace, acf + aed + a(d \cdot f) + ceb + b \cdot (cf + ed + d \cdot f)) \end{aligned}$$

and we can see that the product is associative. Lastsly we need to show that the distributive property holds. This will follow from the ring structure of T and the fact that addition was defined component wise. \square

We say that this is an embedding of T into the ring R . By embedding a ring T into a larger ring with unit enables us to study the ring T more readily. What is preserved by this embedding? They are not isomorphic since $|R| > |T|$.

conjectures:

1. commutativity
2. if the group structure of T is cyclic then so is R .
3. If U is an ideal of T then $U' = \{(0_{\mathbb{Z}}, x) | x \in U\}$ is an ideal in R .
4. $T \cong U = \{(0_{\mathbb{Z}}, x) | x \in T\}$ with $t \mapsto (0_{\mathbb{Z}}, t)$.

Theorem 4. *If R is a boolean ring i.e. $(x^2 = x)$ for all x then R is commutative.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in R$ and we have $(a + b)^2 = a + b = a^2 + b^2$. But by the distributive property we have $(a + b)^2 = a^2 + ab + ba + b^2$. So we see

$$a^2 + b^2 = a^2 + ab + ba + b^2$$

$$ab = -ba$$

Now we prove that in a boolean ring every element has order 2 under addition.

$$2x = (2x)^2 = 4x^2 = 4x$$

which implies

$$0 = 2x$$

\square

Let R be a ring with ideal I . Let $M_n(I)$ be a subset of the matrix ring $M_n(R)$ consisting on those matrices whose elements are in I . It is easy to check that this forms an ideal of the ring $M_n(R)$. Now prove that every ideal of $M_n(R)$ has this form.

Proof. First we check that $M_n(I)$ is an ideal. Associativity and commutativity of addition follows since these are matrices. Since the elements of the matrices in $M_n(I)$ are in I when we add to matrices component wise we get elements of the form $a + b$ for $a, b \in I$ and so $a + b \in I$. Hence all elements of the sum of two matrices are in I and so the sum of the matrices is in $M_n(I)$. This shows that we have an abelian group under addition. Now we need to show that it is closed under left and right multiplication by elements of R . Again since all elements in a given matrix $A \in M_n(I)$ are in an ideal, thier multiplication by any element of R must again be in I . The argument is very similiar to the first part of the proof.

Now let U be an ideal of $M_n(R)$. Let U' be the subset of R given by $x \in U'$ if x is an element of a matrix in U . Then, $a, b \in U'$ implies there exists a matrix A with $(A)_{1,1} = a$ and B such that $(B)_{1,1} = b$ Then the matrix $A + B$ contains $a + b$ so $a + b \in U'$. The rest of the group axioms follow in a similar manner. Then we must show that U' is closed under multiplication by elements of R . This again follows since for $a \in U$ we have $(A)_{1,1} = a$ and since U is an ideal of $M_n(R)$ we fix a matrix that has r in the 1,1 component. Then the mutplication of the matrices must be contained in the ideal U and it has entries ra so $ra \in U'$ when $a \in U'$. \square

let $a^3 = a$ for all $a \in R$. Prove that R is communitive.

Proof.

$$a^2 + ab + ba + b^2(a + b) = a^3 + a^2b + aba + ab^2 + ba^2 + bab + b^2a + b^3$$

How do I show that a and b commute? \square

Theorem 5. $\text{hom}(\mathbb{Z}_n, \mathbb{Z}_m) = \mathbb{Z}_{\text{gcd}(m,n)}$

The only ideals of a field are $\{0\}$ and the field itself.

Proof. Let U be an ideal of a field \mathbb{F} and suppose that $U \neq \{0\}$. Then we may fix $a \in U$ such that $a \neq 0$. Since \mathbb{F}^* forms a group we know there exists $a^{-1} \in \mathbb{F}$ and since U is an ideal it must be closed under multiplication by

elements of \mathbb{F} . Hence $aa^{-1} = 1 \in \mathbb{F}$. Now we apply the same argument again, since U is closed under multiplication by elements of \mathbb{F} for any $x \in \mathbb{F}$ we have $1 \cdot x = x \in U$; thus, $U = \mathbb{F}$. \square

consider the quaternions with interger coefficients. Prove this forms a ring and that it's only ideals are $\{0\}$ and the ring itself. Then prove that the quaternions with interger coefficients do not form a field, they are not commutative!

$\mathbb{Z}_7[\sqrt{3}]$ is a field. determine necessary and sufficient conditions for $\mathbb{Z}_p[\sqrt{k}]$ to be a field for prime p and integer k .

$$\frac{1}{a + b\sqrt{3}} \cdot \frac{a - b\sqrt{3}}{a - b\sqrt{3}} = \frac{a - b\sqrt{3}}{a^2 - 3b^2} =$$

maximal ideal: An ideal M is said to be maximal if and only for any ideal U such that $M \subseteq U$ we have $U = M$ or $U = R$. That is, M is a maximal ideal if it is impossible to fit an ideal between it and the entire ring. It is possible to have more than one maximal ideal.

Let $I = \langle 2 \rangle$ and note that this is a maximal ideal of \mathbb{Z} but $I[x]$ is not a maximal ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

Proof. Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ an an ideal properly conatining $\langle 2 \rangle$. Then fix $a \in U$ with $a \notin \langle 2 \rangle$. Since $\gcd(a, 2) = 1$ we are permitted to fix $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $sa + t2 = 1$. Then it since U is an ideal containg the identity it must be the ring itself. Now we want to find a proper ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ containing $I[x]$. Suppose $I[x] \subset U[x] \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Then in particular, there exists a polynomial with odd coeficeint. \square

A commutitive ring with the cancellation property has no zero-divisors

Proof. Let R be commutitive with cancellation. Then suppose $ab = 0$. We have

$$ab = 0b$$

\square

$$f(x) = x^2$$

Let G be a cyclic group and $H \leq G$. Since G is cyclic, we have

$$G = \{g^{-1}, 0, g^1, g^2, \dots\} = \langle g \rangle$$

and H contains some subcollection of these elements. Let $S = \{a \mid a \in \mathbb{N}, g^a \in H\}$. Let s be the least element of S . Let $g^n \in H$ and use the division algorithm to find $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$n = qs + r \text{ with } 0 < r < s$$

Then since s is the least element of S greater than 0, $r = 0$.

$$g^n = g^{qs+r} = g^q s g^r = g^q s$$

so that every element of H is a multiple of g^s , so then $H = \langle g^s \rangle$.