

# MTH 435: Analysis and Topology

Evan Fox

September 16, 2022

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Algebraic and Order properties . . . . .	2
1.2	Absoulte Value . . . . .	4
1.3	Archimeadian Property and Completness . . . . .	6

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Algebraic and Order properties

The Real numbers, denoted  $\mathbb{R}$  form a field, that is  $\mathbb{R}$  is an Abelian group under addition and multiplication that has distinct identities and satisfies the distributive property. All important algebraic properties can be derived from the fact that  $\mathbb{R}$  is a field.

**Definition 1.1.1**

*Let  $P \neq \emptyset$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  not containing 0. We say  $P$  is the set of positive real numbers and it satisfies*

1.  $a, b \in P \implies a + b \in P$
2.  $a, b \in P \implies ab \in P$
3.  $a \in P \implies a \in P \vee -a \in P \vee a = 0$

We are now in a position to define the ordering we wish to place on  $\mathbb{R}$

**Definition 1.1.2**

*For  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $b - a \in P$  then we say  $a < b$ . If  $b - a \in P \cup \{0\}$  then  $a \leq b$*

It follows immediately from trichotomy that exactly one of  $a < b, a = b, a > b$  must hold. It is also clear that this is a total ordering on  $\mathbb{R}$ , but we must check that this turns  $\mathbb{R}$  into an ordered field.

**Lemma 1.1.3**

*The ordering defined above is a strict total order.*

*Proof.* The order is irreflexive since  $a - a = 0 \notin P$ , then if  $a - b \in P$  and  $b - a \in P$  we can add to get  $0 \in P$  a contradiction. Now we must prove the transitive property, suppose  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy  $a < b$  and  $b < c$ , then  $b - a, c - b \in P$  thus so is their sum,  $c - a \in P$  which implies  $a < c$  as desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.1.4**

*The ordering defined above turns  $\mathbb{R}$  into an ordered field, let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

1. if  $a < b$  then  $a + c < a + b$
2. if  $c > 0$  and  $a < b$  then  $ac < bc$
3. if  $c < 0$  and  $a < b$  then  $ac > bc$

*Proof.* For the first item, we have  $b - a > 0$  then by adding and subtracting  $c$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< b - a - c + c \\ a + c &< b + c \end{aligned}$$

Next assume  $c > 0$ , then we have  $0 < b - a$ , since both of these are positive, so is their product,

$$0 < c(b - a) \implies 0 < cb - ca \implies ca < cb.$$

Now let  $c < 0$ , then  $-c > 0$  and the argument is the same as above.  $\square$

Thus we have turned  $\mathbb{R}$  into an ordered field.

**Theorem 1.1.5**

*The natural numbers are all positive, we will prove this in the following steps.*

1. If  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $a \neq 0$  then  $a^2 > 0$
2.  $1 > 0$
3.  $\mathbb{N} \subset P$

*Proof.* If  $a > 0$  we are done, suppose  $a < 0$ , then  $-a \in P$  and since  $a^2 = (-a)(-a) \in P$  we have  $a^2 \in P$ . Now note  $1^2 = 1$  so  $1 \in P$ , then since we defined the natural number  $n$  as  $1 + \dots + 1$ ,  $n$  times, we see that all natural numbers are positive.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.1.6**

*If  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfies  $0 \leq a < \epsilon$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , then  $a = 0$*

*Proof.* Suppose  $a > 0$ , then let  $\epsilon_0 = a/2$ , then

$$0 < \epsilon_0 < a < \epsilon$$

a contradiction. □

**Theorem 1.1.7**

*If  $ab > 0$  then  $a, b$  are both positive or both negative.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $ab > 0$  and that at least one is negative, without loss of generality say  $a < 0$ , then if  $b > 0$ , we have  $-ab > 0$  so  $-(ab) \in P$  but we assumed  $ab \in P$ ; a contradiction, thus  $b$  is negative. □

## 1.2 Absoulte Value

Next we define a function of great importance on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

$$|a| = \begin{cases} a, & \text{if } a > 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } a = 0 \\ -a, & \text{if } a < 0 \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Now we prove some basic properties of the absoulte value function,

**Theorem 1.2.1**

*Basic properties of the absoulte value.*

1.  $|ab| = |a||b|$
2.  $|a|^2 = a^2$
3. If  $c > 0$  then  $|a| \leq c \Leftrightarrow -c \leq a \leq c$
4.  $-|a| \leq a \leq |a|$

*Proof.* To prove (1) first note that if  $a$  or  $b$  is zero we are done. Then we just consider the four possible cases on the signs of  $a$  and  $b$ . For example if  $a > 0$  and  $b < 0$ , we have  $|ab| = -ab$  and  $|a| = a, |b| = -b$  so  $|a||b| = -ab$ . The rest are left as an exercise. Proving (2) is simillar, if  $a > 0$  we are done and if  $a < 0$ , then  $|a|^2 = (-a)^2 = a^2$ . Now suppose  $c > 0$  and  $|a| \leq c$ , if  $a \leq 0$ , then the result is clear. If  $a < 0$  we have  $|a| = -a$ , so  $-a < c$ , rearranging gives  $-c < a$ . So we are finished. Now suppose  $-c \leq a \leq c$ , then  $-a \leq c$  and  $a \leq c$ . But the absoulte value maps to  $a$  or  $-a$  so in either case we are done. Now for (4) we let  $c = |a|$  and apply (3) to get the result. □

**Theorem 1.2.2** (Triangle Inequality)

For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|a + b| \leq |a| + |b| \quad (1.2)$$

*Proof.* By the above, we have that

$$-|a| - |b| \leq a + b \leq |a| + |b|$$

implies

$$|a + b| \leq |a| + |b|$$

as desired.  $\square$

The Triangle inequality is very important and equality hold only when  $a, b$  have the same sign.

**Theorem 1.2.3**

The following two inequalitys hold for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$

1.  $|a - b| \leq |a| + |b|$
2.  $||a| - |b|| \leq |a - b|$

*Proof.* Proof of (1) follows from subing  $-b$  into the triangle inequality. To prove (2) start my applying the triangle inequality to  $a = a - b + b$  to get  $|a| \leq |a - b| + |b|$ , and  $b = b - a + a$  to get  $|b| \leq |b - a| + |a|$ , then subtracting gives

$$|a| - |b| \leq |a - b|$$

and

$$|b| - |a| \leq |a - b|.$$

We may multiply by  $-1$  to get

$$-|b| + |a| \geq -|a - b|$$

and it follows,

$$-|a - b| \leq |a| - |b| \leq |a - b|.$$

Now we let  $|a - b| = c$  and use the third result from theorem 1.2.1, to get

$$|a| - |b| \leq |a - b|$$

$\square$

### 1.3 Archimedean Property and Completeness

The completeness axiom is the last thing that we need in order to call  $\mathbb{R}$  a complete ordered field.

#### Definition 1.3.1

A subset  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is bounded is above if there exists  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for all  $a \in A$  we have  $a \leq u$ , we say  $A$  is bounded below if the other inequality holds. We call  $u$  an upper bound or lower bound for the set  $A$ .

We say a set is bounded if it is bounded above and below.

#### Definition 1.3.2

We say that an upper bound  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  is a least upper bound if

1.  $\alpha$  is an upper bound.
2. For an arbitrary upper bound  $u$ , we have  $\alpha \leq u$ .

#### Definition 1.3.3

Every subset  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  that is bounded above has a least upper bound.

We will see that this property is very important.

#### Theorem 1.3.4

Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ , an upper bound  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfies  $\alpha = \sup(A)$  if and only if for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $\alpha - \epsilon < a$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha = \sup(A)$  then for all  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\alpha - \epsilon < \alpha$  so it cannot be an upper bound. Conversely, let  $\alpha$  be an upper bound with the desired property and let  $u$  be an upper bound, then if  $u < \alpha$  we have  $u = \alpha - \epsilon$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , but then by assumption there is an  $a \in A$  such that  $u < a$ ; a contradiction.  $\square$

#### Lemma 1.3.5

$\mathbb{N}$  is not bounded above in  $\mathbb{R}$

*Proof.* Assume that  $\mathbb{N}$  is bounded, then there exists a least upper bound, let  $\alpha = \sup(\mathbb{N})$ , then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\alpha - 1 < n$ , but then  $\alpha < n + 1$ ; a contradiction.  $\square$

#### Theorem 1.3.6

For all  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$ .

*Proof.* By the unboundedness of  $n$ , we may choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{e} < n$ , then  $\frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$ .  $\square$