Ratings and Analysis of Modules

1. server.py — 7/10

Pros:

- Clear Server class with methods for bind/listen, accept, broadcast, cleanup.
- Thread-per-client model is simple and works for small groups.

Cons:

- Never tags broadcasts with sender's name/timestamp—clients display wrong username.
- No framing protocol (1024
 <u>byte chunks can split messages unpredictably</u>).
- Uses raw print() instead of structured logging; risk of race conditions on self.clients list.
- 2. client_app.py 6/10

Pros:

- Separate receive thread lets incoming messages print immediately.
- Prompts for username up front and encodes it to the server.
- Uses Message class to format outgoing display.

Cons:

- Incoming messages get labeled with your username (server never includes the real sender).
- Console I/O can interleave—no prompt reprint after a message arrives.
- Doesn't inform user 'type "quit" to exit, 'and lacks KeyboardInterrupt handling.
- 3. client.py 5/10

Pros:

- Encapsulates conn, addr, id, and username in one object.
- Easy to extend (e.g., add per-client methods).

Cons:

- Holds redundant host/port attributes (same for every client).
- No methods for send/recv—pure data structure.
- Name collides with client_app; could be clearer (e.g., ServerClient).
- 4. message.py 7/10

Pros:

- Centralizes formatting logic (format() vs raw()).
- Clean separation of username, data, timestamp.

Cons:

- raw() implementation is broken (b"...".format(...) won't work).
- Doesn't handle framing or parsing—only formatting.
- Method names overlap with built-in format.
- 5. run.py 3/10

Pros:

• Placeholder for a CLI entry point under if __name__=='__main___'.

Cons:

- Empty pass—does nothing.
- Should either invoke server.start()/client.connect() or be removed.

6. __init__.py — 2/10

Pros:

• Defines a main() stub and module entry point.

Cons:

- main() is empty; no real package initialization.
- Unnecessary clutter unless you plan to turn this into an installable package.