

GREAT APE VOCAL REPERTOIRES ARE ALL SIMILAR IN SIZE: NOW WHAT?

Steven Moran^{*1,2}, Marco Maiolini¹, and Adriano Lameira^{*3}

^{*}Corresponding Authors: steven.moran@unine.ch, Adriano.Lameira@warwick.ac.uk

¹Institute of Biology, University of Neuchâtel, Neuchâtel, Switzerland

²Department of Anthropology, University of Miami, Coral Gables, USA

³Department of Psychology, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

There is an uncanny similarity between the size of nonhuman great ape vocal repertoires and that of the phonological inventories documented in human languages. Great ape repertoires vary from a conservative estimate, and lower-bound, of 18 calls in both species of gorillas (*Gorilla*), to the low-to-mid 20s in chimpanzees and bonobos (*Pan*), to the mid 30s in orangutans (*Pongo*). These numbers are in line with the average number of contrastive speech sounds in modern and in ancient and reconstructed languages.

This observation suggests a common evolutionary pressure for a two digit system repertoire, one that existed before the origin of language, and present at the split with our evolutionary ancestors. The difference between systems is well documented – language combines speech sounds (or signs) into an open-ended communication system that *infinitely* produces new words and meanings. And although we do not yet know what led to the cognitive abilities that gave rise to this system in *Homo*, there are many similarities between the vocal repertoires of great apes and those in languages left to explore.

Like language, great ape calls are produced in non-random combinations (Lameira et al., 2021). Like speech, orangutan vocal repertoires are composed of consonant- and vowel-like calls (Lameira, 2014). Orangutan vocalizations also involve modest airstream and articulatory control (Wich et al., 2008) and experiments done in captivity show precise vocal fold motor control, including voicing (Lameira & Shumaker, 2019). And along with other cognitive skills, e.g., tool making, some great ape vocalizations are arguably learned (Hopkins, Tagliabata, & Leavens, 2007) with some groups in captivity reportedly transmitting raspberries to their young, which they use to get the attention of caretakers (Hopkins et al., 2007). Finally like languages, the call repertoires of great apes are shaped by socio-cultural factors (Lameira et al., 2022), they have dialects (Crockford, Herbinger, Vigilant, & Boesch, 2004), change through contact (Mitani & Gros-Louis, 1998), and their existence and diversity are under the threat of extinction (Meijaard et al., 2011).

We conducted an extensive review of the existing literature (66 published articles) and found that call repertoires are similar in size across extant great apes. However, we also discovered that there is little in terms of a comparative articulatory and acoustic analysis of these vocalizations. This is because there is a serious gap in the descriptions of the articulatory features of great apes in the literature, including for both vocal and gestural data.

A step towards a comprehensive comparative phonetic analysis of articulations of all great apes is needed to shed light on the similarities between vocal communication systems and how each evolved in its own right over the last 6-7 million years of divergent evolution. It is crucial for language evolution research that we have articulatory and acoustic phonetic analyses, of the sort conducted by Perlman and Clark (2015) through audio-visual recordings, for all great ape species (and ideally for each community). This would allow, for example, more studies along the lines of Grawunder et al. (2022), who explored the evolution of the vowel space in chimpanzees. The challenge, however, is not trivial because it is difficult to collect the necessary field data – unlike in linguistics, in which fieldworkers have access to speakers and can also ask them questions directly.

So far we have identified the gaps in the literature and created an ontology of the disparate terminology used in great ape studies. Our research suggests that one pertinent area to explore for acoustic analysis is the spectral envelope, so that we can ask whether prosodic features can be modified to give information to the receiver (Zimmermann, Leliveld, & Schehka, 2013). Such findings will shed light on whether paralinguistic features are shared across all great apes. Another area ripe for investigation is what effects exist due to sexual dimorphism, e.g., in orangutans and gorillas, and to understand how to categorize these vocalizations.

We know that the size of great ape vocal repertoires are similar across all extant great apes and that we share eight innate vocalizations, e.g., screaming, crying, laughing (Anikin, Bååth, & Persson, 2018). However, there is still much to learn about what we share vocally, and gesturally (Liebal, Slocombe, & Waller, 2022), and how and when we diverged linguistically.

Supplementary Materials & Acknowledgements

Supplementary materials and all references are available online.¹ SM & MM were funded by the Swiss NSF (#186841). ARL was funded by the UKRI Future Leaders Fellowship (MR/T04229X/1).

References

- Anikin, A., Bååth, R., & Persson, T. (2018). Human non-linguistic vocal repertoire: Call types and their meaning. *Journal of Nonverbal Behavior*, 42(1), 53–80.

¹https://github.com/bambooforest/great_ape_vocal_repertoires

- Crockford, C., Herbinger, I., Vigilant, L., & Boesch, C. (2004). Wild chimpanzees produce group-specific calls: a case for vocal learning? *Ethology*, 110(3), 221–243.
- Grawunder, S., Uomini, N., Samuni, L., Bortolato, T., Girard-Buttoz, C., Wittig, R. M., & Crockford, C. (2022). Chimpanzee vowel-like sounds and voice quality suggest formant space expansion through the hominoid lineage. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 377(1841), 20200455.
- Hopkins, W. D., Taglialatela, J. P., & Leavens, D. A. (2007). Chimpanzees differentially produce novel vocalizations to capture the attention of a human. *Animal Behaviour*, 73(2), 281–286.
- Lameira, A. R. (2014). The forgotten role of consonant-like calls in theories of speech evolution. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 37(6), 559–560.
- Lameira, A. R., Alexandre, A., Gamba, M., Nowak, M. G., Vicente, R., & Wich, S. (2021). Orangutan information broadcast via consonant-like and vowel-like calls breaches mathematical models of linguistic evolution. *Biology Letters*, 17(9), 20210302.
- Lameira, A. R., Santamaría-Bonfil, G., Galeone, D., Gamba, M., Hardus, M. E., Knott, C. D., Morrogh-Bernard, H., Nowak, M. G., Campbell-Smith, G., & Wich, S. A. (2022). Sociality predicts orangutan vocal phenotype. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 6(5), 644–652.
- Lameira, A. R., & Shumaker, R. W. (2019). Orangutans show active voicing through a membranophone. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 1–6.
- Liebal, K., Slocombe, K. E., & Waller, B. M. (2022). The language void 10 years on: multimodal primate communication research is still uncommon. *Ethology Ecology & Evolution*, 34(3), 274–287.
- Meijaard, E., Buchori, D., Hadiprakarsa, Y., Utami-Atmoko, S. S., Nurcahyo, A., Tjiu, A., Prasetyo, D., Christie, L., Ancrenaz, M., Abadi, F., et al.. (2011). Quantifying killing of orangutans and human-orangutan conflict in Kalimantan, Indonesia. *PLOS ONE*, 6(11), e27491.
- Mitani, J., & Gros-Louis, J. (1998). Chorusing and call convergence in chimpanzees: Tests of three hypotheses. *Behaviour*, 135(8), 1041–1064.
- Perlman, M., & Clark, N. (2015). Learned vocal and breathing behavior in an enculturated gorilla. *Animal Cognition*, 18(5), 1165–1179.
- Wich, S. A., Swartz, K. B., Hardus, M. E., Lameira, A. R., Stromberg, E., & Shumaker, R. W. (2008). A case of spontaneous acquisition of a human sound by an orangutan. *Primates*, 50(1), 56–64.
- Zimmermann, E., Leliveld, L., & Schehka, S. (2013). Toward the evolutionary roots of affective prosody in human acoustic communication: a comparative approach to mammalian voices. In E. Altenmüller, S. Schmidt, & E. Zimmermann (Eds.), *Evolution of emotional communication: From sounds in nonhuman mammals to speech and music in man* (Vol. 116, p. 132). Oxford University Press Oxford, UK.